

Annual Report

Fiscal Year 2015-2016



OUR MISSION.....A Connecticut where affordable housing, in strong, vibrant and inclusive communities, is accessible to individuals and families across the state and homelessness is a thing of the past.

March 1, 2017

I. HOUSING DEVELOPMENT

A. FY 2015-16 Housing/Economic Environment

Connecticut's housing environment continues to be challenging. As the state's population ages, young talent is not replacing those retiring. The birth rate is below replacement and young adults continue to leave the state. Within twenty-two years (from 2000-2030), 374,534 more people (totaling 817,719) will be 65 years of age and older (Connecticut State Data Center. "CtSDC: 2010 to 2030 Population Projections – State-Wide Stand-Alone"). This is an increase of 75% from 2000. The troubling part is that this group will increase from 14% of the overall state population in 2000, to 22% in 2030. There will be a larger number of people in the over 65 category, as well as a higher percentage of our population.

As the demographics of Connecticut change, minorities will assume a larger role in the future workforce. It is anticipated that by 2020, 50% of young workers in Connecticut will be minorities (Business Wire, "The New England Council releases studies on Connecticut's Aging Workforce". 29 March 2007). The growing role for minorities should allow more opportunity for jobs and prosperity in the near future. However, high school graduation rates among working age (25-64) Hispanics in Connecticut is 70.1%, compared to 85.6 % for blacks and 94.6 % of whites (US Census Bureau. American Community Survey Public Use Microdata Sample).

This trend continues in post-secondary education as well. There is an 18% gap between whites and minorities in the percentage of 25- to 64-year-olds with a bachelor's degree or higher in Connecticut, which is one of the largest gaps in the United States. Among the same population, 13% of Hispanics and 16% of blacks, the largest minority populations in Connecticut, have a bachelor's degree or higher, compared with 41 % of whites (The National Center for Public Policy and Higher Education. "Measuring Up 2008").

Over a third (34%) of Connecticut's job openings in the next ten years require post-secondary education, while 38% require short-term on-the-job training (Connecticut Department of Labor – Labor Market Information. "Connecticut Job Outlook by Training Level 2006-2016"). However, the difference in average wage for those occupations requiring only short-term on-the-job training (such as cashiers, retail salespersons and wait-staff) and those occupations requiring post-secondary education (such as registered nurses, accountants and lawyers) is close to \$20 per hour (Connecticut Department of Labor – Labor Market Information. "Connecticut Job Outlook by Training Level 2006-2016"). In 2015, Connecticut had a per capital personal income (PCIP) of \$68,704. This PCPI ranked 1st in the United States and was 143 percent of the national average, \$48,112. The 2015 PCPI reflected an increase of 3.0 percent from 2014.

The 2014-2015 national change was 3.7 percent (Bureau of Economic Analysis, U.S. Dept. of Commerce, <https://www.bea.gov/regional/bearfacts/pdf.cfm?fips=09000&areatype=STATE&geotype=3>).

Since 2000, Connecticut has lost a higher percentage of its 25- to 34-year-old population than any other state in the nation. The state's population for that age cohort declined by 14 % from 2000 to 2008. The U.S. Census Bureau projects this lower percentage of working-age residents to continue through 2030. Maintaining a healthy proportion of working-age residents is critical to any state. Members of that group make an important contribution to the regional tax base, which helps support older and younger members of the population and the social and educational services they require. A possible factor contributing to the loss of young workers includes Connecticut's relatively high housing prices. From 2000 to 2008, the median home sales price in Connecticut rose by 83.3 % and the median gross rent in the state increased by 42.4 %. Many of Connecticut's younger working residents may have been drawn to other states with lower cost of living.

In summary, the State of Connecticut's population is growing slowly, but the workforce that Connecticut needs is moving away. Since the 1990 census, the urban population had moved into the suburbia, baby boomers are retiring and moving to warmer climates, minority immigrant rates are rising, and young people (ages 25-44) are leaving in record numbers because the cost of living is too expensive. Connecticut is on pace with New England Educational attainment percentages and ahead of the nation's averages, but a focus on increasing these is important for the future of the state.

The role of housing construction and maintenance as an economic driver is critical to the State of Connecticut. Home building and housing services account for approximately 15.24% of Connecticut's gross domestic product ("Housing's Contribution to Gross State Product: In-Depth Analysis, National Association of Home Builders", September 6, 2005, Natalia Siniavskaia, Ph.D.). At fifteen percent of the state's economy, it is clear that housing is an important economic driver. The Department of Housing ("DOH") alone invested \$90,814,300 in FY 2015-16, into 28 projects around the state and, in doing so, created or retained and estimated 6,673 jobs. However, equally important is the role housing plays as a facilitator of economic growth. The relationship between the availability and affordability of housing and economic growth is straight forward. In order for businesses to grow, they need skilled workers. As more workers move into a region, demand for housing increases.

Section 8-39a of the Connecticut General Statutes ("CGS") defines "Affordable Housing" as housing for which persons and families pay 30% or less of their annual income, where such income is less than or equal

to the area median income for the municipality in which such housing is located, as determined by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (“HUD”).

Increasingly, housing that the market is unable or unwilling to produce, without some form of subsidy, includes housing that is traditionally for those with incomes between 80% and 120% of Area Median Income/Median Family Income (“AMI/MFI”). If housing that is affordable to households with incomes between 80% and 120% of AMI/FMI is not being produced, then the availability of existing housing in that price range diminishes. In keeping with the economic laws of supply and demand, scarcity increases prices.

In 2015, the U.S. housing market saw high home sales and rising home prices. Connecticut followed the nation in terms of sales, however, prices continued to fall. Multifamily housing became more important as the demand for multifamily units increased. (“The Connecticut Economic Digest: 2015 State Housing Market: Permits Up, Prices Fall”, July 2016, Vol. 21, No. 7, Kolie Sun, Senior Research Analyst, DECD). Sun also sites data recently release from the US Census shows that in 2015 Connecticut cities and towns authorized 6,077 new housing units; these include single and multifamily homes. Notably, the state’s multifamily units accounted for over half of the total number of housing units authorized, while 40.1% were single-family homes in 2015. Sun also sites that after evaluating demolition and building permit information, the state’s housing inventory is estimated to be 1,506,593 units.

Despite the drop in housing prices, housing prices and rents have increased faster than wages, and the overall supply of housing units has not increased sufficiently to meet the need- especially for those households with income at or below 120% of AMI/MFI (Appendix B: Housing Needs Assessment & Market Analysis 2010-2015 State Long-Range Housing Plan).

Despite the increase in housing costs, Connecticut’s real state gross domestic product (SGDP), the broadest measure of the state’s economic health, increased 0.6 % in 2015, the latest year available. (BEA6-28, News Release: GPD by State, “Information Industry Group Led Growth Across States in the Fourth Quarter.” http://www.bea.gov/newsreleases/regional/gpd_state/2016/qgsp0616.htm,” June 14, 2016. This growth followed a 0.2% gain in 2012, a 0.4% decline in 2013, and a 1.2% gain in 2014.

Additionally, the state’s housing market recovery that began in 2012 showed slight improvement in 2015-2016. The U.S. Census based residential permit data for the adjusted count of 104 towns reported monthly by the DECD through October 2016 showed year-to-date gains of 3,696, not quite matching the 2015 gain for the same period of 4,388. Moreover, the 2016 housing review in the Digest indicated that after the housing market’s best year in nearly a decade in 2015 “growth is likely to continue” in the years ahead

(Kolie Sun, “2015 State Housing Market: Permits Up, Prices fall,” *The Connecticut Economic Digest*, Vol 21, No. 7, July 2016, p.5). Sun also sites that The Partnership for Strong Communities noted that “The unprecedented support for affordable housing creation provided by Gov. Malloy and the General Assembly has resulted in thousands of new affordable units...including affordable units in market rate developments that further economic integration and capitalize on market demands for multi-family housing, the highest since 1987.”

B. Housing Development Goals and Objectives/Investment Analysis

The overall goal of the housing and community development programs DOH administers is to develop viable communities by providing decent housing and a suitable living environment for low-and moderate-income persons. The primary means towards this end is to extend and strengthen partnerships among all levels of government and the private sector, including for-profit and non-profit organizations, in the production and operation of affordable housing. The state works to preserve and increase the supply of quality affordable rental housing available to low- and moderate-income households and improve the ability of low-and moderate-income residents to access homeownership opportunities and, within available resources, assist distressed households in maintaining homeownership. While increasing the supply of low-and moderate income homes available for ownership, the quality of the living environment can be improved by incorporating responsible development strategies such as mixed-use and transit-oriented developments.

Increasing the supply of quality affordable housing can be accomplished in multiple ways including new construction and rehabilitation of existing units. Adaptive re-use of historic structures provides multiple benefits to communities. Redevelopment lowers the ratio of poor quality or unused structures. Additionally, re-use lessens sprawl in rapidly developing areas by preserving open space/undeveloped land. Adaptive re-use is very likely to engender community support by preserving structures that have long been part of the community.

DOH focuses its available resources to achieve the creation of new quality affordable rental units; to achieve the creation of new affordable homeownership opportunities; to achieve the preservation of existing affordable rental housing units; and to maintain homeownership opportunities.

Program success is measured through increased rental and homeowner housing opportunities that serve low-and-moderate income households in a variety of geographies.

The state utilizes its federal formula grant funding, as well as its state allocations to address Connecticut's housing and community development needs through the application of six Growth Management Principles by giving funding priority to projects that address multiple needs and leverage existing infrastructure and resources, with a focus on addressing homelessness and expanding supportive housing opportunities. The six Growth Management Principles are:

- Collaborate with other state agencies to affirmatively further fair housing;
- Redevelop and revitalize regional centers and areas with existing or currently planned physical infrastructure;
- Expand housing opportunities and design choices to accommodate a variety of household types and needs;
- Concentrate development around transportation nodes and along major transportation corridors to support the viability of transportation options;
- Conserve and restore the natural environment, cultural and historical resources, and traditional rural lands; and
- Promote integrated planning across all levels of government to address issues on a statewide, regional and local basis.

Applications are considered against financial and qualitative categories, including but not limited to:

- Financial feasibility;
- Reasonableness to proceed to construction;
- Financial leveraging and firm financial commitments;
- Social and economic impact on the neighborhood, community, region and state as defined in the strategies and priorities outlined in the ConPlan, including but not limited to transportation, education, and job creation/retention.
- Level of consistency with DOH's responsible growth criteria including compliance with the current Conservation and Development Policies Plan for Connecticut;
- Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity Compliance;
- Number of current open contracts;
- Current projects' expenditure rates; and
- Prior audit or monitoring performance.

In FY 2015-16 the Department of Housing ("DOH") invested \$90,814,300 in federal and state funds into 28 projects across the state and, in doing so, will create or preserve a total of 1,840 housing units, of which 1,559

units will be affordable. An analysis of DOH’s housing development investment portfolio is detailed below. More detailed presentation of the DOH investments can be found at the end of this report as Attachment A.

The information provided includes:

- project name/location;
- a general description;
- the type of project including new construction, rehabilitation, mill conversion, historic conversion, planning and predevelopment projects;
- total project cost with a breakdown of DOH’s original investment;
- the funding source including the Housing Trust Fund (“HTF”), the Affordable Housing Program (“FLEX”), and the HOME Investment Partnerships Program (“HOME”);
- the type and the amount of federal or state funding, including whether it was a grant or loan;
- a breakdown of the total housing units created or preserved;
- a breakdown by ownership or rental;
- whether the units are limited to elderly or open to families; and
- the estimated number of jobs each project created or retained.

1. Housing Development Portfolio Analysis

The table below outlines DOH’s housing investments. During this fiscal year the total value of DOH’s housing development portfolio grew to over \$815 million.

Table 1			
2016 DOH Housing Development Activity			
	State	Federal	Total
FY 2016 Housing Activity	\$83,314,001	\$7,500,299	90,814,300
DOH Housing Portfolio Value	\$587,702,809	\$228,114,236	\$815,820,045

Source: DOH

The following table outlines DOH’s average rate of participation in its housing development projects. In an era of “doing more with less” DOH has worked hard over the past several years to increase its leverage ratio for housing development projects by partnering with other development and financing organizations.

Table 2 DOH Housing Development Leveraging				
All Funding Sources	Leverage Ratio	Total Development Cost	Non-DOH Funds	DOH Investment
FY 2016 Leverage Ratio	3.67	\$333,596,888	\$242,782,588	\$90,814,300
Portfolio Leverage Ratio	4.02	\$3,280,923,235	\$2,465,103,190	\$815,820,045

Source: DOH

The following table provides DOH’s cost per unit for the affordable housing units created and preserved by DOH’s housing development investments.

Table 3 DOH’s Housing Development Per Unit Cost			
All Funding Sources	DOH Investment	Units	DOH Per Unit Cost
FY 2016 Net Units Created	\$64,334,576	622	\$103,432
FY 2016 Units Preserved	\$ 26,479,724	938	\$28,230
Total Average FY 2016 Cost Per Unit	\$90,814,300	1,560	\$58,214
Total New Units Created	\$559,283,676	8,255	\$67,750
Total Units Preserved	\$256,536,369	7,727	\$33,200
Total Average Portfolio Cost Per Unit	\$815,820,045	15,982	\$51,046

Source: DOH

2. State Funded Housing Production and Preservation Analysis

The table below outlines the number of units created and preserved by household type. For the purposes of this section, “elderly units” are defined as units for which occupancy is restricted by age and “family units” are units for which occupancy is not restricted by age.

Table 4 Household Type Analysis				
	Elderly Units		Family Units	
	FY 2016	Portfolio	FY 2016	Portfolio
Created	87	1,783	535	6,363
Preserved	333	1,111	605	9,955

Source: DOH

The following table outlines the number of units created and preserved by municipality during FY 2015-16 and for the entire Housing Development portfolio.

Table 5		
Analysis by Municipality		
Municipality	FY 16 Units Created	FY 16 Units Preserved
Bridgeport	93	
Bristol		90
Deep River	44	
Enfield		120
Hartford	48	99
Killingly	6	
Meriden		80
New Britain	17	
New Milford	30	
Norwalk	36	
Stafford	71	
Stamford	105	60
Statewide		51
Thompson		53
Vernon	18	
Waterbury		378
Wethersfield		118
Willington	20	
Wilton	23	
Total	511	1049

Source: DOH

3. Governor Malloy’s \$30MM Preservation Initiative

June 30th marked the end of the 2015-16 fiscal year, and the completion of Year 4 of Governor Malloy’s 10-year investment in the revitalization of the State Sponsored Housing Portfolio (“SSHP”). On April 27, DOH and Connecticut Housing Finance Authority (“CHFA”) received 20 applications requesting approximately \$68 million in funding for the rehabilitation or renovation of SSHP properties totaling 1,163 units. The applicant’s combined request for State funding was more than three times the amount of available funds. Ultimately, eight properties received nearly \$18 million in funding to support the capital improvement of 410 units of affordable housing.

DOH and CHFA have also accepted applications for funding for eligible properties with critical capital needs that threaten residents’ health and safety. Applications are being reviewed and approved on a rolling basis. To date, CHFA has received ten applications. Approximately \$3 million is available under this program.

Other major initiatives that occurred in the last fiscal year include the SSHP Housing Academy, a five-month classroom-based training experience which received national recognition at the National Development Council. Additionally, technical assistance consultants continue to work with SSHP property owners and managers to formalize a development plan for their properties and prepare to apply for funding in upcoming rounds.

Through various forums, panels and conferences, CHFA and DOH staff continued their outreach to SSHP stakeholders to provide important information about the State’s SSHP-related resources.

In Special Session that concluded on June 30, the General Assembly adopted, and Governor Malloy signed, legislation that included funding to support ongoing investment in the SSHP. This includes \$30 million in annual authorizations for the revitalization of the SSHP, as well as 150 additional rental assistance payments (“RAP”s) dedicated to SSHP properties.

DOH and CHFA awarded assistance to eight (8) specific properties representing approximately 410 units which had already completed their planning activities, and were best able to use the third year funds. The following table is a list of those properties, the units being preserved, and the award to be provided.

Table 6				
Fourth Round Preservation Projects and Awards FY 2016				
Property Name	Municipality	Units Preserved	Awarded	Total Dev Cost
Tiffany Place	Brooklyn	27	2,047,000	3,811,672
Fairfield Ridge	Danbury	58	2,500,000	11,494,625
Hamden Village	Hamden	110	2,805,000	5,380,000
Jackie Schaffer	Hartford	10	950,000	952,438
Ryefield Manor	Old Lyme	39	2,057,000	2,721,183
Cedar Village Keleher Park New Meadow Village	Newington	106	2,495,000	3,805,041
Pitkat Congregate	Vernon	44	3,913,000	3,913,000
Liberty Hall	Waterbury	16	1,192,000	1,192,000
Subtotal		410	17,959,000	33,269,959

Source: DOH

The Governor's Portfolio included providing technical resources to various programs. Administered by DOH, technical assistance ("TA") providers worked with owners to review Capital Plan recommendations and prepare to submit an application for funding in upcoming rounds. To date, fifty owners have participated in this program, five of whom submitted applications under the current capital funding round. Also administered by DOH, a separate TA program was deployed to Limited Equity Cooperatives ("LEC"s).

In August, DOH and CHFA participated in the CONN-NAHRO annual convention. This event provides staff the opportunity to connect with affordable housing owners, residents, and technical assistance providers to discuss upcoming funding rounds and other statewide initiatives.

4. Housing Development Impact

In FY 2016 DOH was the lead state agency for all matters relating to housing in Connecticut. As part of the agency's overall mission, DOH worked to increase opportunities for Connecticut's citizens to live in safe, quality housing at affordable prices. To fulfill its mission, DOH monitored and analyzed the Connecticut housing environment and developed policies, strategies, programs and services that maximize success in expanding affordable housing opportunities in Connecticut.

It is difficult to capture the socio-economic benefits that flow from the provision of housing or improved housing to those who may not otherwise be able to afford it. These benefits include building a strong community tax base, encouraging safe streets, and empowering neighborhoods and communities to stabilize and flourish.

5. Small Cities Community Development Block Grant ("CDBG") Program Portfolio

Beginning on July 1, 2013, the newly formed Department of Housing was designated as the principal state agency for the allocation and administration of the federal CDBG program for non-entitlement areas within the state. Non-entitlement areas include those units of general local government that do not receive CDBG funds directly from HUD as part of the entitlement program.

The primary statutory objective of the CDBG program is to develop viable communities by providing housing, a suitable living environment, and by expanding economic opportunities for persons of low and moderate income. To achieve these goals, the CDBG regulations outline eligible activities and national objectives that each activity must meet.

DOH established two program priority objectives and nine secondary objectives for the SC/CDBG Program. The program priority objectives are the creation or preservation of affordable housing and the enhancement of employment opportunities for low and moderate-income persons. These program priority objectives have been in place since the state began administering the program in 1982. The nine additional objectives range from housing issues to coordinated strategies for neighborhood revitalization.

The following table outlines DOH's Small Cities CDBG program activity during SFY 2016.

Table 7		
CDBG Projects Awarded During FY 2016		
Municipality	Project Description	Investment
Ansonia	Housing Rehab Program	\$400,000
Ashford	Food Program	\$ 56,847.34
Cheshire	Housing Rehab Program	\$400,000
Colchester	Dublin Village ADA & Capital Improvements	\$800,000
Durham	Mauro Meadows Housing Complex	\$700,000
East Granby	Roofing Site and Energy Improvements at Metacomet Village	\$800,000
East Windsor	ADA, Fire Safety & Emergency Imp. at Park Hill Elderly Housing	\$599,000
Ellington	Regional Housing Rehabilitation Loan Program	\$450,000
Granby	Improvements at Salmon Brook Apts. Sr. Hsng. Complex	\$800,000
Groton	Pequot Village I and II Elderly Housing Renovations	\$800,000
Hampton	Regional Housing Rehab Program	\$450,000
Killingly	Maple Court Congregate Rehabilitation Project	\$800,000
Rocky Hill	Harold J. Murphy Senior Housing Improvements	\$800,000
Seymour	Housing Rehab Program	\$400,000
Shelton	Helen Devaux Housing Complex Public Hsng. Modernization	\$800,000
Southbury	Housing Rehab Program	\$400,000
Sprague	River Street Reconstruction – 1 st and 2 nd Avenue	\$500,000
Trumbull	Stern Village Senior Housing Complex	\$800,000
Voluntown	Greenwood Manor Public Housing Modernization	\$700,000
Wallingford	McGuire Court Improvement Project	\$800,000
Woodbury	Housing Rehab Program	\$400,000
Total		\$12,655,847.34

Source: DOH

The following table offers a summary of the types of activities that were funded during FY 2016.

Table 8		
FY 2016 CDBG Activity Summary		
Activity	Total Funding	Number of Projects
Homeowner Rehabilitation	\$ 2,900,000	7
Public Housing Rehabilitation	\$ 9,199,000	12
Total Housing	\$ 12,099,000	19
Public Service	\$ 56,847.34	1
Water/Sewer/Street Improvements	\$ 500,000	1
Total Other	\$ 556,847.34	2
Total Public Facilities	\$ 0	0
TOTAL	\$ 12,655,847.34	21

Source: DOH

For more information on the Small Cities CDBG program please visit the Department of Housing’s website at <http://www.ct.gov/doh/cwp/view.asp?a=4513&q=530474>.

6. Supportive Housing

For more than twenty years, various agencies, both public and quasi-public, along with private organizations have joined in a collaborative effort to identify and develop long-term solutions to end chronic and long-term homelessness. The current partners in this effort are DOH, the Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services (“DMHAS”), the Department of Children and Families (“DCF”), the Department of Corrections (“DOC”), the Department of Veteran Affairs (“VA”), Court Support Services Division – Judicial (“CSSD”), the Department of Developmental Services (“DDS”), the Department of Social Services (“DSS”), the Office of Policy and Management (“OPM”), CHFA, and the Corporation for Supportive Housing (“CSH”). Connecticut is the only state in the nation consistently investing in the development of supportive housing on a statewide basis.

Connecticut has a long history of providing permanent supportive housing to the most vulnerable homeless individuals and families in our State. Resources have been leveraged at the federal, state, local and philanthropic levels to permanently house over 4000 formerly homeless individuals and families. Connecticut currently is implementing a Social Innovation Fund (“SIF”) grant, funded through CSH, in which we created a data match between our Homeless Management Information System (“HMIS”) and our Medicaid data, to locate and provide permanent supportive housing to the high cost users of Medicaid who are also homeless.

Connecticut also created a Connecticut Collaborative on Re-Entry (“CCR”), formerly known as the Frequent User Service Engagement (“FUSE”) program in which we matched data from the HMIS and our criminal justice system to permanently house individuals that cycle between jails and homeless shelters. Both programs are successful in reducing high cost institutional care. Services are designed to address the individual needs of the residents, and included the help of a case manager, connections to community treatment and employment services, and rehabilitation services that help the client achieve and retain permanent housing. Due to the successes Connecticut has had in leveraging resources and identifying homeless individuals, Connecticut became one of only two states to end veteran homelessness by June 30, 2016.

The State of Connecticut is planning to build on these successes to bring these programs to full scale. Although our State has been generous in appropriating nearly 60 million dollars for capital work, support services and rental assistance for permanent supportive housing, more resources are needed to meet the goal of ending chronic homelessness by 2016 and setting a path to end all homelessness by 2020. Connecticut has been successful over the past twenty years in creating over 50 single site supportive housing properties that have resulted in over 1200 units of permanent supportive housing. In the past five years, Connecticut has been able to develop an additional 300 units of permanent supportive housing by prioritizing efforts through the Low-Income Housing Tax Credit Program (“LIHTC”) program, the Qualified Allocation Plan (“QAP”), and the Competitive Housing Assistance for Multifamily Properties (“CHAMP”).

II. Housing Support Programs

A. Congregate Facilities Operating Cost Subsidies

Through the Congregate Facilities Operating Cost Subsidies DOH provided grants to housing authorities and nonprofit corporations that own/operate state-financed congregate rental housing for the elderly. Core services included one main meal a day, housekeeping services and a 24-hour emergency service. The program also provided rental assistance for those tenants so they pay no more than 30% of their income toward rent. Program funding was subject to availability of legislative authorizations.

In FY 2016 DOH assisted 24 congregate facilities with \$7.87MM in assistance.

**Table 11
Congregate Program Awards FY 2015**

Entity	Project Name	Subsidized Units	Amount Awarded
Augustana Homes, Inc.	Augustana Homes	44	\$344,671
Women's Institute Reality of Connecticut, Inc.	Eleanor Congregate Apartments	35	\$601,861
Bristol Housing Authority	Komanetsky Estates	44	\$163,116
Enfield Housing Authority	Mark Twain	82	\$130,998
Glastonbury Housing Authority	Herbert T. Clark	45	\$350,206
Hill House, Inc.	Hill House	37	\$353,948
Mystic River Homes, Inc.	Mystic River Homes	50	\$438,858
Hamden Housing Authority	Mount Carmel	30	\$445,396
Sheldon Oak Central, Inc.	Bacon Congregate	23	\$378,412
Killingly Housing Authority	Maple Court	43	\$203,538
Manchester Housing Authority	Westhill Gardens	37	\$301,212
Lutheran Social Services, Inc.	Luther Manor	45	\$286,105
Naugatuck Housing Authority	Robert E. Hutt	36	\$207,608
Hannah Gray Development Corporation	E.B. Scantlebury	20	\$364,924
Norwalk Housing Authority	Ludlow Commons	44	\$505,373
Under One Roof, Inc.	The Marvin	50	\$580,694
St. Jude Housing Corporation	St. Jude Commons	51	\$238,380
Town of Orange	Silverbrook Estates	45	\$373,386
Pomfret Community Housing Corporation	Seely Brown Village	31	\$283,458
Ridgefield Housing Authority	Prospect Ridge	34	\$300,860
Simsbury Housing Authority	Virginia Connolly	40	\$324,932
Stamford Housing Authority	Margot J. Wormser	40	\$265,916
Trumbull Housing Authority	Stern Village	36	\$171,717
Vernon Housing Authority	F.J. Pitkat	43	\$249,538
TOTAL		985	\$7,865,107

Source: DOH

B. Elderly Rental Assistance Program (ERAP)

The ERAP Program provided rental assistance to low-income elderly persons residing in DOH-assisted rental housing for the elderly. DOH contracted with nonprofit organizations as well as local housing authorities that provide rental subsidies in accordance with an approved contract. The following table outlines the FY 2016 awards.

Table 12 Elderly Rental Assistance Program Awards FY 2016				
Entity	Units	Tenants on RAP	Disabled/ under 62	Amount Awarded
Ashford Housing Authority	32	22	4	\$34,236
Branford Housing Authority	90	35	18	\$62,448
Brookfield Housing Authority	35	24	5	\$29,998
Colchester Housing Authority	70	46	15	\$77,172
Coventry Housing Authority	40	11	2	\$4,176
Enfield Housing Authority	200	145	87	\$218,040
Essex Housing Authority	36	17	4	\$21,588
Guilford Housing Authority	90	28	8	\$19,080
Hamden Housing Authority	190	76	39	\$74,388
Hebron Housing Authority	25	19	1	\$25,502
Housing One Corp	40	25	4	\$116,604
Killingly Housing Authority	120	13	2	\$8,508
Manchester Housing Authority	80	67	40	\$150,420
Mansfield Housing Authority	40	12	8	\$13,404
Marlborough Association for Senior Housing	24	20	3	\$81,204
Monroe Housing Authority	30	18	4	\$28,296
Montville Housing Authority	80	3	1	\$312
North Branford Housing Authority	60	35	12	\$42,777
Norwich Housing Authority	183	112	65	\$202,236
Shoreline Affordable Housing, Inc.	39	37	6	\$141,924
Oxford Housing Authority	34	14	1	\$12,180
Preston Housing Authority	40	24	9	\$29,326
Putnam Housing Authority	40	23	6	\$25,958
Ridgefield Housing Authority	60	51	5	\$115,736
Simsbury Housing Authority	70	28	8	\$19,344
South/Southwest Housing Corporation	36	19	0	\$41,016
Stamford Housing Authority	50	30	12	\$88,524
Tolland Housing Authority	30	1	0	\$564
Vernon Housing Authority	54	6	3	\$5,832
Wallingford Housing Authority	155	76	34	\$81,264
Wethersfield Housing Authority	112	50	24	\$60,656
Willimantic Housing Authority	90	62	29	\$73,788
Windsor Locks Housing Authority	40	10	2	\$9,780
TOTAL	2,295	1,148	459	\$1,916,281

Source: DOH

1. ERAP Assessment

In accordance with § 8-119ll of the Connecticut General Statutes, the Department of Housing is required to prepare a comprehensive analysis of the current and future needs for rental assistance under the Elderly Rental Assistance Payments program (ERAP). In order to do this, DOH collected detailed information from the current owners of the participating properties through the submission of Tenant Certification and Rent Roll forms. These forms broke down actual tenant contributions toward rent, as well as the subsidy portion to be paid through ERAP. The analysis of these subsidy costs included taking into consideration the effect of anticipated rent increases projected both during the current year and in the coming year, allowing accurate estimates of the impacts of these necessary rent increases on the cost of the program. In addition, the Department considered the availability of project-based rental assistance under the Rental Assistance Payments program (RAP) as part of the Governor’s Preservation Initiative relative to the proposed or anticipated redevelopment activities of many of these properties. The use of these subsidies in some of these properties has led to a change in the availability of funding in the current year and was considered as part of the future needs of the program.

All of this information was used to estimate the annualized needs of the current residents at participating properties, and to estimate the program wide need should all of the eligible properties be brought into the program.

The table below summarizes this analysis, and identifies both the current subsidy levels, as well as those projected funding levels necessary to maintain the current roster of eligible residents, and an estimate of the funding necessary to include all of those eligible elderly and young disabled residents who pay more than 30% of their income for rent and utilities living in these participating facilities.

Elderly Rental Assistance Payments Needs			
Current Year FY 2016-17 Allocation	FY 2016-17 Current Participants Annualized	FY 2017-18 Projected Need – Current Participation	FY 2017-18 Projected Need – Full Participation
\$1,982,065 (\$ 2,002,085 less holdback of \$20,020)	\$ 2,002,085	\$ 2,052,137	\$ 3,525,675
1,186 residents	1,186 residents	1,186 residents	2,315 residents

Source: DOH

Current policy of the department has been that no new participants may be added to the program, and that these savings will be used to address increases in rental cost due to either redevelopment activity or increasing costs of operation due to contractual services/cost of utilities/etc. It is anticipated that additional unmet need will arise in many of these facilities as a result of these increased costs, as well as variations in tenant income of individuals on current wait lists.

The **FY 2017-18 Projected Need – Current Participation** reflects the **FY 2016-17 Current Participants Annualized** plus approved or anticipated rent increases at these participating facilities. It does not anticipate savings as a result of tenant turnover. It is estimated that the Governor’s proposed funding level for this program will be sufficient to address the needs of these properties in the coming fiscal year when this tenant turnover is considered.

The **FY 2017-18 Projected Need – Full Participation** reflects the projected need for rental assistance at all of the participating facilities if new unsubsidized residents were allowed to be added to the program. It is anticipated that up to an additional 1,129 residents in participating facilities may be in need of rental assistance. This would extrapolate to an additional \$1,473,538 in new ERAP funding being necessary to assist these individuals. This would bring total participation to approximately 2,315 elderly/disabled residents receiving a total of \$3,525,765 in ERAP.

C. Housing Assistance and Counseling Program/Assisted Living in Federal Facilities (“ALFF”)

This program was a joint demonstration program with DSS and OPM that brought assisted living services to residents of four HUD-funded facilities. Residents who were eligible for the basic Connecticut Home Care Program for Elders (“CHCPE”) received assisted living services through DSS. Those residents who needed services, but could not qualify for the DSS program, received up to \$500 per month from DOH to offset some of the costs of receiving the assisted living services.

Table 16 Assisted Living in Federal Facilities Awards FY 2016		
Entity	Subsidized Units	Amount Awarded
New Haven Jewish Federation Housing Corp	51	\$299,970
Immanuel Church Housing Corporation	16	\$84,153
TOTAL	67	\$384,123

Source: DOH

D. Resident Service Coordinator (“RSC”) Program

The RSC Program (also known as the Elderly Rental Registry and Counselor Program) provided grant funds to sponsors of DOH-assisted rental housing for the elderly to hire a resident services coordinator to perform an evaluation of all tenants and to provide other services related to housing when necessary. FY 16 DOH awarded the total grant amount of \$950,860 to provide for a total of 4,780 housing units.

Table 14					
Resident Services Coordinator Program Awards FY 2016					
Entity	Total Units	Amount Awarded	Entity	Total Units	Amount Awarded
Ansonia Housing Authority	40	\$7,506	Morris Housing Authority	20	\$3,053
Ashford Housing Authority	32	\$7,436	Naugatuck Housing Authority	194	\$37,106
Berlin Housing Authority	70	\$15,010	TFC Housing Corp	50	\$12,787
Bethel Housing Authority	80	\$13,523	Newington Housing Authority	106	\$22,514
Branford Housing Authority	90	\$22,234	New London Housing Authority	210	\$33,114
Canton Housing Authority	40	\$6,455	New Neighborhoods	28	6,530
Cheshire Housing Authority	48	\$15,010	North Branford Housing Auth.	60	\$14,824
Colchester Housing Auth.	70	\$13,006	North Haven Housing Authority	70	\$15,010
Coventry Housing Authority	80	\$12,542	Norwich Housing Authority	183	\$37,474
Danbury Housing Authority	100	\$18,620	Oxford Housing Authority	34	\$6,417
Deep River Housing Authority	26	\$7,364	Plainfield Housing Authority	40	\$7,506
Derby Housing Authority	106	\$19,137	Plainville Housing Authority	120	\$19,588
East Hampton Housing Authority	70	\$13,006	Preston Housing Authority	40	\$6,558
East Windsor Housing Authority	84	\$22,514	Putnam Housing Authority	67	\$13,059
Ellington Housing Authority	42	\$14,598	Ridgefield Housing Authority	60	\$14,028
Enfield Housing Authority	240	\$37,526	Simsbury Housing Authority	70	\$15,010
Essex Housing Authority	36	\$7,506	Southington Housing Authority	180	\$32,646
Farmington Housing Authority	40	\$6,460	South/Southwest Housing Corp.	36	\$6,455
Glastonbury Housing Authority	140	\$29,896	South Windsor Housing Authority	70	\$10,550
Greenwich Housing Authority	51	\$13,059	Stafford Housing Authority	110	\$22,514
Groton Housing Authority	175	\$31,754	Tolland Housing Authority	30	\$6,455
Guilford Housing Authority	122	\$29,740	Vernon Housing Authority	54	\$15,010
Hamden Housing Authority	190	\$23,558	Wallingford Housing Authority	185	\$37,524
Hebron Housing Authority	25	\$7,350	Watertown Housing Authority	120	\$22,514
Killingly Housing Authority	120	\$22,514	Westbrook Housing Authority	32	\$7,436
Manchester Housing Authority	80	\$15,074	Westport Housing Authority	50	\$12,758
Mansfield Housing Authority	40	\$6,558	Willimantic Housing Authority	90	\$19,344
Marlborough Association for Senior Housing, Inc.	24	\$7,506	Winchester Housing Authority	14	\$7,506
Middlefield Housing Authority	30	\$6,452	Windsor Housing Authority	112	\$18,620
Monroe Housing Authority	30	\$7,506	Woodstock Housing Authority	24	\$6,530
SUBTOTAL	2,321	\$460,420	SUBTOTAL	2,459	\$490,440

Source: DOH

E. Assisted Living Demonstration Program

The Assisted Living Demonstration Program provided subsidized assisted living to persons who reside in four specific assisted living demonstration sites. Assisted living was designated for people who want to live in a community setting and who need help with activities of daily living, but who do not need nursing home care. Demonstration participants received assisted living services through an assisted living services agency, which is licensed by the Department of Public Health and is under contract with the housing community. These funds are intended to supplement the revenue generated by the property by providing a rental subsidy which is used primarily to cover the cost of debt service on the state bond funds originally used to develop these four properties.

Entity	Qualified Units	Amount Awarded
Herbert T. Clark, Glastonbury	25	\$224,000
Smithfield Gardens, Seymour	56	\$540,000
Luther Ridge	45	\$420,000
The Retreat	100	\$1,220,000
TOTAL	226	\$2,240,400

Source: DOH

F. Section 8 New Construction/ Substantial Rehabilitation

The Section 8 New Construction/Substantial Rehabilitation Program (“Section 8 NC/SR”) was a federal project-based rental subsidy program administered by DOH under C.G.S. Section 8-37r, Section 8-37u and Section 8-37x, as well as the U.S. Housing Act of 1937, as amended.

DOH acted as contract administrator for 19 projects throughout Connecticut to ensure HUD-subsidized properties were serving eligible families at the correct level of assistance. DOH also provided asset management functions to ensure the physical and financial health of these HUD properties. DOH’s contract administrator fee for FY 2015-16 was \$362,145. The following table provides detailed information on DOH’s HUD Section 8 projects across the state.

Table 17 HUD Section 8 Portfolio			
Town	Project Name	# Elderly	# Family
Berlin	Marjorie Moore	40	0
Bethel	Reynolds Ridge	40	0
Bristol	Mountain Laurel Park	40	0
Canton	Twenty-One	40	0
Cheshire	Beachport	48	0
Coventry	Orchard Hill Estates	40	0
Danbury	Fairfield Mill Ridge	0	25
Danbury	The Godfrey	0	9
Farmington	Forest Court	0	36
Hartford	95 Vine Street	0	30
Hartford	Casa Nueva	0	79
Hartford	Casa Verde Sur	0	39
Hartford	Wolcott Place I	0	18
Killingly	Robinwood	0	42
Middlefield	Sugarloaf Terrace	30	0
Norwich	Hillside Apartments	0	26
Putnam	Bulgar Apartments	27	0
Wallingford	McKenna Court	30	0
Westport	Canal Park	50	0
TOTAL		385	304

III. Summary of Efforts to Promote Fair Housing

The DOH continued to administer the HOME and SC/CDBG programs in a nondiscriminatory manner, in accordance with equal opportunity, affirmative action and fair housing requirements. Recipients of HOME and SC/CDBG funds for housing related activities were required to comply with the following civil rights laws and regulations:

- Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964;
- Title VIII of the Civil Rights Act of 1968, as amended;
- The Americans with Disabilities Act;
- Executive Orders 11063, 11246, and 12892;
- Section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968, as amended;
- Minority Small Business Enterprises – good faith effort, 24CFR 85.36(e);
- The Age Discrimination Act of 1975, as amended;
- Section 104(b) of Title I of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended;
- Section 109 of Title I of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended;
- Section 503 and 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended;

- Sections 92.202 and 92.252, 24 CFR Part 92; and
- 24 CFR Part 85.36(e).

Recipients complied with program assurances that they will affirmatively further fair housing in all their programs. Recipients complied with the requirements of 24 CFR 91.25(a) (1), 24 CFR 91.325(a) (1), 24 CFR 91.425(a) (1) and 24 CFR 570.487(b). Each recipient was given a Fair Housing Handbook developed by DOH. The handbook contains information on state and federal fair housing laws, housing discrimination complaint procedures, model fair housing policies and guidelines, duty to affirmatively further fair housing, an overview of disability discrimination in housing, trends in fair housing, pertinent legal decisions, the State Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing and a resource directory.

Accordingly, recipients of HOME and SC/CDBG funds, in compliance with their Certification to Affirmatively Further Fair Housing, submitted a Fair Housing Action Plan (“FHAP”) to DOH for review and approval. The plans were consistent with the DOH’s Fair Housing Action Plan Implementation Guidelines. All recipients of housing funds whether state or federal provided the FHAP as a condition for funding.

The promotion and enforcement of equal opportunity and affirmative action laws and regulations in housing, economic development, and employment is a standard requirement of all SC/CDBG applications. During the review process, all applications were evaluated for compliance with Title VI and for Fair Housing/Equal Opportunity, and the ADA. In the evaluation system there was a separate criteria for Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity for which points were awarded.

The DOH provides the most recent statewide [Analysis of Impediments \(“AI”\) to Fair Housing Choice](#) on our website. The following is a review of progress made on the previous year’s goals as outlined in the State AI:

Objective 1 – Increasing housing access for protected classes

- DOH provided the Corporation for Independent Living with a total of \$1,000,000 during FY 15-16 from the Affordable Housing (Flex) Fund to finance the “Money Follows the Person Transition Program” for accessibility modifications to dwellings for people exiting long term care institutions and moving back into the community of their choice.
- DOH was on the Board of Directors for the “Money Follows the Person” Medicaid Rebalancing Program and is active on its Housing Committee and others as required.
- DOH was on the Boards of Directors for the Long Term Care Planning Committee, Supportive Housing Preservation Committee; Interagency Council on Supportive Housing and Homelessness; and CCEH Homeless Prevention and Rapid Re-housing Task Force.

- DOH awarded \$30,000,000 in state bond funds to rehabilitate a total of seven (7) state public housing projects, preserving 716 units of family, elderly, congregate and limited equity cooperative housing. As a part of the contracting process, submission of an up-to-date Affirmative Fair Housing Marketing Plan (AFHMP) and Tenant Selection Plan (TSP) that are in conformance with state regulations was mandatory.
- DOH has modified all of its contracts for financial assistance and fair housing documents to include gender expression and identity as a protected class as approved by the state legislature.

Objective 2 – Increasing supply of affordable housing.

- DOH awarded \$48,238,580 under the Affordable housing Flex Program and \$26,845,049 under the State Housing Trust Fund to ten housing projects during the SFY of 2015-16.
- 2,312 housing units were completed during SFY 2015-16, of which 1,643 were affordable.

Objective 3 – Begin systematic data collection on fair housing issues.

- DOH collected data on a quarterly basis from its grantees relative to Section 3 practices, affirmative fair housing action steps and activities.
- DOH implemented a “Performance Report on Affirmative Fair Housing Marketing Results” which must be submitted to DOH on an annual basis detailing the percentage of “least likely to apply” residing in the project and currently on the project’s waiting list.

Objective 4 – Increase training of state employees in the area of fair housing.

- The Connecticut State Legislature reaffirmed its commitment to civil rights and fair housing by authorizing \$600,000 for the SFY 2015-16 to the CT Fair Housing Center (“FHC”) to continue its work. As part of its duties the FHC provided training and technical assistance on an on-going basis to state employees from DOH, DSS, CHFA and DMHAS who work on fair housing issues and compliance.
- FHC worked with DOH staff to update the SC/CDBG application, process, and training materials for the SC/CDBG Application Workshop.

Objective 5 – Fair Housing outreach, education, and enforcement activities.

The FHC, with financial assistance from DOH, carried out the following:

- Performed intakes and gave fair housing advice to 543 Connecticut households;
- Investigated 523 complaints of discrimination;
- Requested reasonable accommodations and reasonable modifications for 30 Connecticut residents with disabilities;

- Obtained reasonable accommodations and reasonable modifications for 21 Connecticut households without litigation or court action;
- Performed 37 tests designed to investigate any claims of housing discrimination;
- Provided 1,777 hours of legal assistance to the victims of housing discrimination;
- Opened up more than 364 units of housing to Connecticut residents in the protected classes.
- Provided information on the fair housing laws either orally or in writing to the victims of housing discrimination to ensure that they understand their rights and responsibilities under the fair housing laws educating 403 Connecticut residents;
- Expand the Fair Housing Center’s “Moving Forward” guide to include at least one additional Connecticut County and distribute to 300 Connecticut residents.
- Assisted members of the private bar in representing homeowners in foreclosure about the changes to the mortgage modification process and the new resources available to homeowners by providing legal updates for a total of 21 updates to 200 attorneys;
- Expanded homeowners’ access to legal advice on foreclosure prevention by assisting the Judicial Branch with its foreclosure advice tables in New Haven, Bridgeport, and Stamford, and expanding this service to other courts around the state including Hartford and Waterbury by providing 79 hours of legal advice, training, and outreach support to the Judicial Branch and the volunteer attorneys participating in the program;
- Met with housing counselors and their clients to offer legal advice and information about the mortgage modification process and the resources available to assist with mortgage modification for 118 hours each month;
- Provided more than 2,007 hours of legal advice to homeowners in foreclosure;
- Represented 87 homeowners in foreclosure in an effort to save their home and/or obtain a mortgage modification;
- Met with housing counselors and their clients to offer legal advice and information about the mortgage modification process and the resources available to assist with mortgage modification for 11.1 hours each month;
- Taught 34 (332 people) classes to provide information on the legal foreclosure process to 50 households facing foreclosure;
- Provided legal updates and training on changes in the foreclosure process and in mortgage modification programs to housing counselors by attending 10 meetings with housing counselors reaching more than 100 people;
- Distributed, and reprinted, the Center’s “Representing Yourself in Foreclosure: A Guide for Connecticut Homeowners” to 812 Connecticut residents.

- Expanded the number of attorneys providing legal assistance to homeowners whose homes are underwater or in foreclosure by offering training on the mortgage modifications and the assistance available to homeowners to 28 attorneys.
- Recruited and trained attorneys for the Judicial Branch’s Volunteer Attorney Program for homeowners facing foreclosure, and staff the tables with the Fair Housing Center’s attorneys 78 times.
- Provided training to Small Cities’ applicants on Section 3 and affirmative fair housing marketing plans. This training took place on November 1, with 58 people attending. A training on furthering fair housing and the AFHMP was given on January 21, 2016 with 50 people attending;
- Provided data on cases handled and work done to affirmatively further fair housing to DOH staff;
- Gave input into changes to DOH regulations to ensure they comply with current fair housing laws and policies. A meeting on changes to the regulations took place and was attended by the Fair Housing Center’s Executive Director on August 25, 2015.

Objective 6 - Monitoring and enforcement of fair housing laws and policies.

The CFHC, with financial assistance from DOH, carried out the following:

- Performed 5 home sales tests to determine if households of color are steered in a discriminatory way – Given the number of new complaints received, the Fair Housing Center was not able to get to this during the grant period.
- Performed 5 tests on insurance agencies and/or insurance companies to determine if they are treating landlords who rent to tenants with housing subsidies differently than landlords who do not rent to tenants with housing subsidies – Total to date is four (one remaining) – the results reveal two instances where discrimination is likely and two were the results were inconclusive.
- Ensured compliance with the anti-discrimination laws whenever discrimination was found as the result of any of the Fair Housing Center’s monitoring activities – This project will begin after the systemic testing takes place.

IV. Consumer Loan Programs

A. Energy Conservation Loan Program (“ECL”)

1. Program Summary

The ECL and the Multifamily Energy Conservation Loan Program (“MEL”) provided financing at below market rates to single family and multi-family residential property owners for the purchase and installation of cost-saving energy conservation improvements. The program was administered by the Capital for Change with funding from DOH. Single family (1-4 units) homeowners borrowed up to

\$25,000 and multi-family property owners borrowed up to \$2,000 per unit (a maximum of \$60,000 per building) for a period of 10 years for eligible improvements.

The following are some of the improvements eligible under the ECL/MEL programs:

- Automatic Set-Back Thermostats
- Siding
- Caulking and Weather-Stripping
- Insulation
- Heat Pumps
- Replacement Heating Systems
- Replacement Roofs
- Replacement Windows
- Solar Systems and Passive Solar Additions

2. Application Review Process

Capital for Change receives the majority of applications electronically via the online application. A manual application can also be taken over the phone, mailed to Capital for Change or completed in person. Capital for Change's address is 121 Tremont Street, Hartford 06105. The web site is: capitalforchange.org.

The following steps outline how a Capital for Change Program Administrators process ECL applications:

- Application is received and reviewed to make sure application meets requirements. Staff confirms the applicant meets income requirements and confirms property taxes are current.
- If the applicant income qualifies and property taxes are current a credit report is pulled and reviewed and the applicant's debt to income (DTI) ratio is calculated.
- If applicant meets the requirements of steps 1 and 2 above the applicant is pre-approved and is sent affidavits that describe all the required documentation that is needed to issue final loan approval. If the applicant does not meet the debt to income ratio requirements AND the application is a Health & Safety Issue the application is reviewed for a Program Waiver or Deferred Loan; this is done on a case by case basis.
- Upon receipt of signed affidavits and all supporting requested documentation, the file undergoes final underwriting to verify all supporting documentation and to verify the information provided on the application is correct as well as that the planned improvements meet the program guidelines.

- Final approval and loan closing documents are sent to the applicant.
- After the original signed loan documents have been received by Capital for Change along with the Loan Agreement recording fee, the loan will be processed for disbursement of funds directly to the borrow.
- Work Completion forms must be submitted to Capital for Change within 90 days of the loan closing, unless otherwise approved.

3. FY 2015-16 Activity

Table 18 ECL Program Activity FY 2016		
Loan Type	Number	Investment
ECL	135	\$1,305,766.60
MEL	5	\$ 174,778.00
Deferred	74	\$ 782,401.88
TOTAL	214	\$2,262,946.48

Table 19 Fee Type	
Admin	\$ 2,756.00
Loan Servicing	\$ 151,496.00
Recovered Late Fees	\$ 13,772.92
TOTAL	\$ 168,024.92
Average Days App to Close	48 days
Average Days App to Fund	91 days

Source: DOH

B. Shore Up Connecticut

To assist shoreline owners interested in protecting their homes and businesses from future storms, DOH established a fund, envisioned by Governor Malloy, to provide low-interest loans to property owners in coastal municipalities to finance or refinance property elevations and retrofitting for flood protection. Primary and secondary single family homes, 1-4 unit owner-occupied rentals and businesses with fewer than 100 employees located in flood zones VE or AE in coastal municipalities were eligible for assistance under the Shore Up CT program. The State of CT has allocated \$25.0 million for this program.

The DOH launched the Shore Up CT loan program on July 28, 2014. Following the Housing Development Fund's (HDF) selection as Fund Manager in April 2014, and finalization of contracts in June 2014, the

Housing Development Fund completed all required launch tasks as indicated in the proposed Shore Up CT work plan.

For the period July 1, 2015 to June 30, 2016, DOH had 4 loans closed totaling \$830K. An additional 3 projects totaling \$335K were approved and were waiting for closing. In summary, since its inception, the program has closed on 10 loans totaling \$1,730,000.

V. Resiliency Programs

A. Community Development Block Grant - Disaster Recovery Program

The Disaster Relief Appropriations Act of 2013 (Pub. L. 113-2) allocated Community Development Block Grant disaster recovery (“CDBG-DR”) funds for the purpose of assisting recovery in the most impacted and distressed areas declared a major disaster due to Superstorm Sandy. Governor Dannel P. Malloy has designated DOH as the principal state agency for the allocation and administration of the CDBG-DR program and all associated funding.

The State of Connecticut, through DOH, has received two previous allocations of these federal block grant funds, Tranche 1 - \$71, 820,000 and Tranche 2 - \$66,000,000, Tranche 3 - \$21,459,000 totaling \$159,259,000. Tranche 3 consists of \$11,459,000 in CDBG-DR funds and \$10,000,000 in Rebuild by Design (“RBD”) funds intended to support the Resilient Bridgeport Initiative.

The state’s housing recovery programs are designed to meet the unmet housing needs of communities most impacted by Superstorm Sandy including the costs of repairs, reconstruction and new construction that insurance, FEMA and other sources of funding do not cover. The general objectives of the State’s multifamily housing programs include assisting people directly affected by Superstorm Sandy through:

- Replacing and rehabilitating homes, including identifying opportunities for mitigation enhancement measures;
- Improving the resilience of homes while restoring buildings/residences;
- Assisting owners in completing applications for funding; and
- Directing owners to additional potential sources of funding.

DOH allocated CDBG-DR funds to seven (7) major program areas: Owner Occupied Housing, Multifamily Housing, Economic Revitalization, Infrastructure, Planning, Rebuild by Design, and Public Facilities.

Thru June 30, 2016 DOH had funded \$34,914,235.37 in Owner Occupied Housing; \$194,000 in Economic Revitalization; \$9,474,130.08 in Multi-Family Housing; \$2,212,837.47 in Planning; \$332,668.54 in Infrastructure; \$436,725.67 in Rebuild by Design, and \$3,609,533.62 in Administration.

For the list and description of all funds awarded in the Planning program and for greater details on total investments made in the active portfolio, please see the CDBG-DR Quarterly Report ending June 30, 2016. [CDBG-DR - June 30, 2016 Performance Report](#)

VI. Individual and Family Support Programs

A. Emergency Solutions Grant (“ESG”) Program

Through its Emergency Solutions Grant (“ESG”) Program, DOH provided emergency shelter services, rapid rehousing programs and multi-family or single room residency programs to individuals and /or families who were homeless. DOH allocated Federal and State funds for a combined total of \$15,437,207 for the provision of housing assistance and supportive services to homeless people. ESG Program funding was provided in FFY 15 to seven (7) non-profit organizations for shelter operations, administration, rapid rehousing, and HMIS. Through Competitive procurement for shelter operations, five (5) not-for-profit organizations were allocated funding with one of those also offering rapid re-housing funds. The ESG total allocated for DOH equaled \$2,161,562.

Types of services that were provided include the following:

- Intake, needs assessment and case management services;
- Shelter and housing assistance;
- Rapid rehousing;
- Transportation/provision of bus tokens;
- Outreach; and Workshops on life skills, budgeting, parenting skills, nutrition, etc.

Table 20
FY 2015-16 ESG Allocation Chart

State Recipient	Shelter Operations	Hsng Relocation & Short Term Rental Ass.	Hsng Relocation, Stabilization, Case Mgt, Short to Long Term Rental	HMIS	Admin	Total
Prudence Crandall – New Britain (DV –No HMIS entry)	\$25,000				\$2,015	\$27,015
Community Renewal Team – East Hartford Shelter	\$376,918				\$30,389	\$407,307
Operation Hope of Fairfield	\$170,000				\$13,706	\$183,706
Columbus House – Middletown Family Shelter	\$240,000				\$19,350	\$259,350
TVCCA - Norwich	\$276,000	\$24,000			\$24,187	\$324,187
AIDS CT – Statewide Rental Assistance Fiduciary			\$864,624		\$2,373	\$866,997
CT Coalition to End Homelessness - HMIS				\$87,750	\$5,250	\$93,000
TOTAL	\$1,087,918	\$24,000	\$864,624	\$87,750	\$97,270	\$2,161,562

Source: DOH

B. Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (“HOPWA”)

As a lead agency for the provision of housing assistance and supportive services to persons with AIDS and their families, DOH administers Connecticut’s HOPWA formula grant for the Balance of State, which includes the following Counties: Litchfield, Middlesex and New London. DOH worked collaboratively with AIDS Connecticut (“ACT”) who received a DOH contract to provide technical assistance to all service providers and to perform an annual “Standards of Care” Review, a coordinated effort between DOH staff representatives and the staff of ACT. With the partnership of ACT and the local providers, DOH was able to meet its goal of providing quality supportive housing to persons with HIV/AIDS in the State of Connecticut.

DOH allocated a total of \$4,922,739 Federal (\$974,867) and State (\$3,947,872) funds for the provision of housing assistance and supportive services to persons with HIV/AIDS and their families.

In FY 2016, DOH received \$217,492 in HOPWA funds for the program year, which covered the time period from July 1, 2015 to June 30, 2016. This “Balance of State” program served thirty-six (36) unduplicated persons with HIV/AIDS and their families through agreement between DOH and four (“4”) not-for-profit organizations located in the Middlesex and Litchfield and New London Counties, Connecticut. Funds were allocated to the project sponsors for tenant based rental assistance, Short Term Rent Mortgage and Utility

assistance, case management, life management, operation costs, administration cost and daily support services.

DOH and ACT carried out the following activities during the FY 2016:

- DOH awarded contracts starting July 1, 2015 through a competitive procurement process for FY 15-16. The sub-recipients provided scattered-site apartments, Short-Term Rent, Mortgage, and Utility (“STRMU”) and a range of support services to clients in Litchfield and Middlesex and New London counties during this period;
- DOH and its project sponsors provided tenant-based rental assistance to twenty-five (25) households. Those twenty-five (25) households received supportive services which included the following: case management/client advocacy/access to benefits and services;
- During the reporting period, DOH and its project sponsors provided short term rent, mortgage and utility assistance to eleven (11) unduplicated households.
- Of the households serviced during this reporting period, 1 households obtained employment;
- DOH provided training and technical assistance for Connecticut Homeless Management Information System (“CTHMIS”) utilization to HOPWA funded agencies, utilizing non-HOPWA funds;
- DOH and project sponsors participated in quarterly HOPWA grantee meetings convened by HUD-local; and
- DOH staff participated and completed HOPWA on-Line Financial Management Training.

C. Rental Assistance Program and Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program

I. Connecticut Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program

The Housing Choice Voucher Program (“HCV”) is the federal government’s largest program for assisting very low income families to afford decent, safe and sanitary housing in the private market. The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (“HUD”) contracted with Public Housing Authorities (PHA) to administer the program. DOH was one of 44 PHA’s in Connecticut that administers the HCV program and the only PHA that is allowed to administer the program statewide. DOH funds four main categories: HCV Housing Choice Voucher Tenant Based Rental Assistance Program, Family Unification Program, Veteran’s Affairs Supportive Housing and Project Based Vouchers; as well as the Disability Voucher Main Stream Program. The total amount of Section 8 vouchers awarded in FY 16 was 8,016, totaling \$79,317,111.

a) **Housing Choice Voucher Tenant Based Rental Assistance Program**

HCV Tenant Based Rental Assistance Program provides a portable rental assistance subsidy, which allows a tenant to move from one unit to another provided the unit meets program requirements.

b) **Family Unification Program**

The Family Unification Program (“FUP”) is a partnership between DOH and the Department of Children and Families (“DCF”) that provides a voucher from DOH and a comprehensive array of services from DCF to individuals and families involved in the child welfare system.

c) **Veteran’s Affairs Supportive Housing**

The HUD-Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing (“HUD-VASH”) program combined HCV rental assistance for homeless Veterans with case management and clinical services provided by the Department of Veterans.

d) **Project Based Vouchers**

In contrast to a tenant based rental subsidy, in which a tenant can move from one eligible unit to another, the project based voucher program had the rental subsidy connected to a specific unit in a property.

e) **Disability Voucher Main Stream Program (“DV Main Stream”)**

The Disability Voucher Main Stream Program (“DV Main Stream”) provides vouchers for low-income households that include a person (s) with disabilities. The program is designed to help tenants with disabilities live independently in the community.

2. Connecticut Rental Assistance Programs (“RAP”)

The State of Connecticut Rental Assistance Program (“RAP”) is the primary state-supported program for assisting very-low-income families to afford decent, safe, and sanitary housing in the private market. Much like the federal HCV, RAP provides a portable rental assistance subsidy, which allows tenants to move from one rental unit to another provided the unit meets program requirements.

Participants that are issued a housing voucher are responsible for finding a suitable housing unit of the participant’s choice where the owner agrees to rent under the program. Participants are able to select their own housing, including apartment, townhouses, and single-family homes. Rental units meet minimum standards of quality and safety as defined by the State of Connecticut, which were the Federal Housing Quality Standards (“HQS”) as established by HUD. Participants pay 40% of their adjusted gross income (or 30% of adjusted gross income if the participant is elderly or disabled) toward

the rent and RAP pays the remainder directly to the landlord. The total amount of RAP certificates awarded in FY 15-16 was 6,044, totaling \$54,015,956.

a) Department of Housing and Department of Children and Families Housing Collaborative

1) Family Unification Program (State)

The Family Unification Program (“FUP”) built off the success of the federally funded FUP program. FUP is a collaboration between DOH and DCF designed to reduce the number of children in foster care by providing affordable housing through a rental subsidy and the necessary support services, including intensive case management and behavioral health services, to vulnerable and homeless families.

b) Department of Housing and Department of Developmental Disabilities Housing Collaborative

1) Department of Developmental Disabilities Supportive Housing

In SFY 2014, DDS received 15 RAP certificates for use in covering the rental costs associated with transitioning individuals from 24 hour privately operated group homes to community based living settings. DDS currently supports over 1300 individuals in apartment-type settings throughout the state. Typically DDS has funded rental costs for individuals (in excess of their contributions) through a rental subsidy program managed internally. Starting in 2013 DDS has had the opportunity to utilize the RAP as a new resources alternative to prior practices.

c) Department of Housing and Department of Mental Health Addiction Services Housing Collaborative

1) Permanent Supportive Housing Initiative

The Permanent Supportive Housing Initiative was a collaborative effort between DOH and DMHAS to foster the development of long-term solutions to the housing and service needs of families and individuals, coping with psychiatric disabilities and/or chemical dependency that are facing homelessness.

2) Department of Mental Health and Addiction Service Rental Assistance Program

The Department of Mental Health and Addiction Service Rental Assistance Program provided 110 rental certificates to assist clients in obtaining supportive housing. Specifically, 60 rental assistance certificates were allocated to the DMHAS forensics unit to allow individuals in the criminal justice system with a mental health diagnosis and who would be homeless upon release from prison live independently in the community. An additional 50 rental assistance certificates were allocated to the Enhancing Housing Opportunities Program, which allowed stable tenants living in supportive housing to move into housing with less support services.

3) **Housing First**

DOH and DMHAS launched the Housing First Program in 2009 to offer permanent supportive housing through RAP certificates and supportive services. In FY 2015-16 this program helped 20 individuals with serious mental illness who were being discharged from psychiatric hospitals, or who were homeless and at risk of hospitalization.

4) **Frequent Users' Service Enhancement Program**

The Frequent Users Service Enhancement ("FUSE") Program was a 190 unit permanent supportive housing program that identified and assisted individuals who cycled through homeless service and corrections systems in the state's largest urban centers.

d) **Department of Housing and Department of Social Services Housing Collaborative**

1) **Money Follows the Person**

Money Follows the Person ("MFP") was a Federal Demonstration program funded by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services designed to help states rebalance their long-term care systems by assisting individuals to transition from living in institutional settings to community living. The program provided service funding for elderly and disabled individuals, including those with mental health disorders or developmental disabilities to live independently in the community.

2) **Social Innovation Fund**

The Social Innovation Fund ("SIF"), a program of the Corporation for National and Community Service ("CNCS"), combined public and private resources to grow promising community-based solutions that have evidence of results in any of three priority areas: economic opportunity, healthy futures, and youth development.

VII. Affordable Housing Land Use Appeals – Exempt Municipalities/Non-Exempt Municipalities

Under Chapter 126a of the Connecticut General Statutes ("CGS"), the department is required to annually promulgate a list of municipalities which satisfy the criteria contained in subsection 8-30g (k). Attached is the 2015 Affordable Housing Land Use Appeals List that identifies exempt municipalities.

Exempt municipalities are municipalities in which at least ten per cent of all dwelling units in the municipality are: (1) assisted housing; or (2) currently financed by Connecticut Housing Finance Authority mortgages; or (3) subject to binding recorded deeds containing covenants or restrictions which require that such dwelling units be sold or rented at, or below, prices which will preserve the units as housing for which persons and families pay

thirty per cent or less of income, where such income is less than or equal to eighty per cent of the median income, or (4) mobile manufactured homes located in mobile manufactured home parks or legally-approved accessory apartments, which homes or apartments are subject to binding recorded deeds containing covenants or restrictions which require that such dwelling units be sold or rented at, or below, prices which will preserve the units as housing for which, for a period of not less than ten years, persons and families pay thirty per cent or less of income, where such income is less than or equal to eighty per cent of the median income.

Changes in the number of units counted toward the ten per cent threshold are caused by several factors: (1) the relocation of households using Section 8 vouchers or RAP certificates; (2) the expiration of deed restrictions or refinancing of mortgages; (3) the demolition of buildings; or (4) the addition of units completed during the 2015-16 fiscal year.

Table 21 2016 Affordable Housing Appeals List - Exempt Municipalities							
Town	Total Housing Units 2010 Census	Governmentally Assisted	Tenant Rental Assistance	Single Family CHFA /USDA Mortgages	Deed Restricted Units	Totally Assisted Units	Percent Affordable
Ansonia	8,148	347	658	104	9	1,118	13.72%
Bloomfield	9,019	591	93	292	0	976	10.82%
Bridgeport	57,012	6,279	4102	900	19	11,300	19.82%
Bristol	27,011	1,916	844	931	0	3,691	13.66%
Brooklyn	3,235	231	12	103	0	346	10.70%
Danbury	31,154	1,588	926	468	296	3,278	10.52%
Derby	5,849	274	316	76	0	666	11.39%
East Hartford	21,328	1,576	859	904	0	3,339	15.66%
East Windsor	5,045	559	34	99	14	706	13.99%
Enfield	17,558	1,340	217	540	7	2,104	11.98%
Groton	17,978	3,587	103	326	10	4,026	22.39%
Hartford	51,822	9,972	8439	1428	0	19,839	38.28%
Killingly	7,592	520	112	297	0	929	12.24%
Manchester	25,996	1,850	890	826	34	3,600	13.85%
Mansfield	6,017	417	123	99	2	641	10.65%
Meriden	25,892	2,027	1103	936	11	4,077	15.75%
Middletown	21,223	3,019	1035	445	25	4,524	21.32%
New Britain	31,226	2,901	1478	1054	256	5,689	18.22%
New Haven	54,967	9,055	5709	1029	639	16,432	29.89%
New London	11,840	1,713	449	449	106	2,717	22.95%
Norwalk	35,415	2,224	1277	299	701	4,501	12.71%
Norwich	18,659	2,225	784	484	0	3,493	18.72%
Plainfield	6,229	377	177	283	0	837	13.44%
Putnam	4,299	383	67	112	0	562	13.07%
Stamford	50,573	4,744	1753	332	1274	8,103	16.02%
Torrington	16,761	908	390	495	17	1,810	10.80%
Vernon	13,896	1,440	399	329	12	2,180	15.69%
Waterbury	47,991	5,257	2969	1800	172	10,198	21.25%
West Haven	22,446	1,024	1476	411	0	2,911	12.97%
Winchester	5,613	348	187	121	0	656	11.69%
Windham	9,570	1,747	608	416	0	2,771	28.96%

2016 Affordable Housing Appeals List - Non-Exempt Municipalities							
Town	Total Housing Units 2010 Census	Governmentally Assisted	Tenant Rental Assistance	Single Family CHFA /USDA Mortgages	Deed Restricted Units	Totally Assisted Units	Percent Affordable
Andover	1,317	18	0	22	0	40	3.04%
Ashford	1,903	32	2	33	0	67	3.52%
Avon	7,389	244	8	31	0	283	3.83%
Barkhamsted	1,589	0	7	13	0	20	1.26%
Beacon Falls	2,509	0	3	34	0	37	1.47%
Berlin	8,140	556	47	102	10	715	8.78%
Bethany	2,044	0	2	7	1	10	0.49%
Bethel	7,310	152	22	98	69	341	4.66%
Bethlehem	1,575	24	0	4	0	28	1.78%
Bolton	2,015	0	2	18	0	20	0.99%
Bozrah	1,059	0	3	30	0	33	3.12%
Branford	13,972	243	63	145	0	451	3.23%
Bridgewater	881	0	0	4	0	4	0.45%
Brookfield	6,562	83	22	74	77	256	3.90%
Burlington	3,389	42	0	30	0	72	2.12%
Canaan	779	35	5	15	1	56	7.19%
Canterbury	2,043	76	1	62	0	139	6.80%
Canton	4,339	211	15	46	32	304	7.01%
Chaplin	988	0	0	33	0	33	3.34%
Cheshire	10,424	259	20	69	17	365	3.50%
Chester	1,923	23	3	14	0	40	2.08%
Clinton	6,065	84	16	34	0	134	2.21%
Colchester	6,182	364	40	120	0	524	8.48%
Colebrook	722	0	0	7	1	8	1.11%
Columbia	2,308	40	2	56	0	98	4.25%
Cornwall	1,007	28	2	3	0	33	3.28%
Coventry	5,099	108	4	117	20	249	4.88%
Cromwell	6,001	212	7	161	0	380	6.33%
Darien	7,074	136	6	1	98	241	3.41%
Deep River	2,096	26	3	20	0	49	2.34%
Durham	2,694	36	0	10	0	46	1.71%
East Granby	2,152	72	0	38	0	110	5.11%
East Haddam	4,508	73	3	23	0	99	2.20%
East Hampton	5,485	70	6	75	25	176	3.21%
East Haven	12,533	542	140	266	0	948	7.56%
East Lyme	8,458	396	16	77	19	508	6.01%
Eastford	793	0	0	18	0	18	2.27%
Easton	2,715	0	0	1	15	16	0.59%
Ellington	6,665	260	5	97	0	362	5.43%
Essex	3,261	36	3	10	0	49	1.50%
Fairfield	21,648	218	108	43	112	481	2.22%
Farmington	11,106	470	108	118	155	851	7.66%
Franklin	771	27	0	22	0	49	6.36%
Glastonbury	13,656	582	36	107	2	727	5.32%
Goshen	1,664	1	1	5	0	7	0.42%
Granby	4,360	85	1	41	5	132	3.03%
Greenwich	25,631	856	338	11	54	1,259	4.91%

2016 Affordable Housing Appeals List - Non-Exempt Municipalities							
Town	Total Housing Units 2010 Census	Governmentally Assisted	Tenant Rental Assistance	Single Family CHFA /USDA Mortgages	Deed Restricted Units	Totally Assisted Units	Percent Affordable
Griswold	5,118	148	58	174	0	380	7.42%
Guilford	9,596	186	7	32	0	225	2.34%
Haddam	3,504	22	0	30	0	52	1.48%
Hamden	25,114	935	635	432	4	2,006	7.99%
Hampton	793	0	1	27	0	28	3.53%
Hartland	856	2	0	3	0	5	0.58%
Harwinton	2,282	22	4	29	0	55	2.41%
Hebron	3,567	58	3	33	0	94	2.64%
Kent	1,665	53	5	4	0	62	3.72%
Killingworth	2,598	0	0	18	5	23	0.89%
Lebanon	3,125	26	4	74	0	104	3.33%
Ledyard	5,987	32	8	186	0	226	3.77%
Lisbon	1,730	2	0	49	0	51	2.95%
Litchfield	3,975	140	7	22	19	188	4.73%
Lyme	1,223	0	0	2	8	10	0.82%
Madison	8,049	90	3	8	29	130	1.62%
Marlborough	2,389	24	2	22	0	48	2.01%
Middlebury	2,892	76	4	14	20	114	3.94%
Middlefield	1,863	30	4	11	1	46	2.47%
Milford	23,074	726	220	179	87	1,212	5.25%
Monroe	6,918	32	3	33	1	69	1.00%
Montville	7,407	81	45	223	0	349	4.71%
Morris	1,314	20	3	2	0	25	1.90%
Naugatuck	13,061	493	270	287	0	1,050	8.04%
New Canaan	7,551	163	13	2	23	201	2.66%
New Fairfield	5,593	0	4	47	21	72	1.29%
New Hartford	2,923	12	8	45	15	80	2.74%
New Milford	11,731	268	23	129	16	436	3.72%
Newington	13,011	530	108	384	36	1,058	8.13%
Newtown	10,061	134	6	63	15	218	2.17%
Norfolk	967	28	3	5	0	36	3.72%
North Branford	5,629	62	14	46	4	126	2.24%
North Canaan	1,587	138	0	8	0	146	9.20%
North Haven	9,491	341	47	79	0	467	4.92%
North Stonington	2,306	0	2	28	4	34	1.47%
Old Lyme	5,021	60	2	8	3	73	1.45%
Old Saybrook	5,602	50	9	13	20	92	1.64%
Orange	5,345	46	6	13	6	71	1.33%
Oxford	4,746	36	5	27	0	68	1.43%
Plainville	8,063	205	48	249	22	524	6.50%
Plymouth	5,109	178	11	187	0	376	7.36%
Pomfret	1,684	32	2	14	0	48	2.85%
Portland	4,077	185	84	66	0	335	8.22%
Preston	2,019	40	8	37	0	85	4.21%
Prospect	3,474	0	6	39	0	45	1.30%
Redding	3,811	0	0	9	0	9	0.24%
Ridgefield	9,420	179	5	26	59	269	2.86%
Rocky Hill	8,843	235	31	148	0	414	4.68%

2016 Affordable Housing Appeals List - Non-Exempt Municipalities							
Town	Total Housing Units 2010 Census	Governmentally Assisted	Tenant Rental Assistance	Single Family CHFA /USDA Mortgages	Deed Restricted Units	Totally Assisted Units	Percent Affordable
Roxbury	1,167	19	0	3	0	22	1.89%
Salem	1,635	3	2	23	0	28	1.71%
Salisbury	2,593	16	1	1	13	31	1.20%
Scotland	680	0	0	17	0	17	2.50%
Seymour	6,968	262	23	97	0	382	5.48%
Sharon	1,775	32	3	2	0	37	2.08%
Shelton	16,146	253	38	97	82	470	2.91%
Sherman	1,831	0	2	6	0	8	0.44%
Simsbury	9,123	289	61	59	0	409	4.48%
Somers	3,479	146	7	30	0	183	5.26%
South Windsor	10,243	427	50	185	0	662	6.46%
Southbury	9,091	89	7	29	0	125	1.37%
Southington	17,447	502	49	266	51	868	4.98%
Sprague	1,248	20	14	36	0	70	5.61%
Stafford	5,124	257	13	135	0	405	7.90%
Sterling	1,511	0	7	33	0	40	2.65%
Stonington	9,467	382	16	60	0	458	4.84%
Stratford	21,091	524	421	281	33	1,259	5.97%
Suffield	5,469	212	2	47	15	276	5.05%
Thomaston	3,276	104	6	76	0	186	5.68%
Thompson	4,171	151	16	79	0	246	5.90%
Tolland	5,451	89	3	66	3	161	2.95%
Trumbull	13,157	315	15	58	210	598	4.55%
Union	388	0	0	4	0	4	1.03%
Voluntown	1,127	20	3	26	0	49	4.35%
Wallingford	18,945	354	116	253	35	758	4.00%
Warren	811	0	0	1	0	1	0.12%
Washington	2,124	14	5	10	23	52	2.45%
Waterford	8,634	123	20	219	0	362	4.19%
Watertown	9,096	205	23	159	0	387	4.25%
West Hartford	26,396	587	786	287	268	1,928	7.30%
Westbrook	3,937	140	8	18	29	195	4.95%
Weston	3,674	0	1	2	0	3	0.08%
Westport	10,399	246	54	4	20	324	3.12%
Wethersfield	11,677	615	99	231	0	945	8.09%
Willington	2,637	160	4	33	0	197	7.47%
Wilton	6,475	136	8	10	104	258	3.98%
Windsor	11,767	154	221	368	26	769	6.54%
Windsor Locks	5,429	137	147	176	0	460	8.47%
Wolcott	6,276	312	7	124	0	443	7.06%
Woodbridge	3,478	30	6	5	0	41	1.18%
Woodbury	4,564	59	3	22	0	84	1.84%
Woodstock	3,582	24	1	49	0	74	2.07%
Total	1,487,891	90,687	42,658	26,006	5,647	164,998	

The data for the list comes from a variety of different sources on the federal, state, and local level. Local administrative review of and input on the street addresses of units and projects and information on deed-restricted units are of particular importance to data accuracy. The response to the department for the list varies widely from community to community.

Attachment A

Housing Development Contractual Activity FY 15-16

Applicant Name	Project Name	Municipality	Project Description	Project Type	Total Project Cost	DOH Investment ORIGINAL	Funding Source	S/F
Dakota Partners	390 Capitol Avenue	Hartford	mill conversion	NC	\$ 35,340,732	\$5,000,000	HTF	state
Crescent Crossings LLC	Crescent Crossing	Bridgeport	new construction	NC	\$ 32,190,187	\$5,000,000	HTF	state
CHC	Affordable Hsg Trng Acad	statewide	planning	PD	\$ 197,000	\$197,000	FLEX	state
Wilmington HA	Button Hill Senior Housing	Wilmington	new construction	NC	\$ 11,187,178	\$ 2,319,686	HOME	federal
						\$ 1,135,000	FLEX	state
Hands on Hartford	HOH Community Center	Hartford	new construction	NC	\$ 3,530,000	\$950,000	FLEX	state
CT Children's Hospital	LAMPP Healthy Homes	statewide	rehab program	Rehab	\$ 2,516,971	\$2,000,000	FLEX	state
Chrysalis	Legion Court	Hartford	new construction	NC	\$ 3,476,000	\$2,976,000	FLEX	state
Wethersfield HA	Westfield Heights	Wethersfield	rehab	Rehab	\$ 6,104,654	\$4,045,613	HOME	federal
Brookside Coop	Brookside Coop	Waterbury	rehab	Rehab	\$ 736,372	\$ 736,372	FLEX	state
Enfield HA	Woodside Park/Ella	Enfield	rehab	Rehab	\$ 3,994,000	\$ 3,994,000	FLEX	state
Stafford HA/LLC	Avery Park Redevelopment	Stafford	new construction	NC	\$ 19,204,512	\$6,500,000	FLEX	state
Shelter For the Homeless	Beacon II	Stamford	new construction	NC	\$ 4,332,480	\$1,460,480	FLEX	state
New Charles St LLC	122 Charles Street	Meriden	rehab	Rehab	\$ 12,415,335	\$3,590,049	HTF	state
Deep River HA	Kirtland Commons	Deep River	new construction	NC	\$ 4,857,815	\$4,200,000	FLEX	state
Jonathan Rose Co.	Metro Green 3	Stamford	new construction	NC	\$ 54,327,745	\$5,000,000	HTF	state
Wilton Copmmons LLC	Wilton Commons II Cong	Wilton	new construction	NC	\$ 5,691,764	\$5,691,764	FLEX	state
Bristol HA	Zibikowski Park	Bristol	rehab	Rehab	\$ 1,844,948	\$1,844,948	FLEX	state
No. Grosvernordale Restoration LP	River Mill Village	Thompson	rehab	Rehab	\$ 3,749,114	\$3,749,114	FLEX	state
Dakota Partners	East St Apartments	New Milford	new construction	NC	\$ 11,187,178	\$4,219,274	FLEX	state
VERN LLC	Talcott Brothers Mill	Vernon	historic conversion	NC	\$ 19,434,685	\$4,405,000	HTF	state
Veterans Inc.	New Britain Veterans Hsg	New Britain	new construction	NC	\$ 2,124,395	\$550,000	FLEX	state
Winn Dev	Schoolhouse Apartments	Waterbury	rehab	Rehab	\$ 33,847,322	\$3,850,000	HTF	state
Killingly HA	Maple Court II	Killingly	rehab	Rehab	\$ 1,500,000	\$1,500,000	FLEX	state
Caleb Foundation	Frost Homestead	Waterbury	rehab	Rehab	\$ 7,670,000	\$4,400,000	FLEX	state
Charter Oak Communities	Lawnhill Phase 1	Stamford	new construction	NC	\$ 17,796,163	\$3,000,000	FLEX	state
POAH	Billings Forge Apartments	Hartford	rehab	Rehab	\$ 18,274,541	\$5,000,000	HTF	state
IWSR Owners LLC	Wall St Place Phase 1	Norwalk	new construction	NC	\$ 16,065,797	\$3,500,000	FLEX	state
Total					\$333,596,888	\$90,814,300		

Housing Development Contractual Activity FY 15-16

Applicant Name	Project Name	Municipality	State Grant	State Loan	Federal Loan	Total Housing Units	DOH Asstd Units	DOH Rental Units	DOH Presrvd Units	Elderly Family	Jobs Created/Retained
Dakota Partners	390 Capitol Avenue	Hartford		\$5,000,000		112	23	23		Family	707
Crescent Crossings LLC	Crescent Crossing	Bridgeport		\$5,000,000		93	93	93		Family	644
CHC	Affordable Hsg Trng Acad	statewide	197,000			N/A				N/A	4
Wilmington HA	Button Hill Senior Housing	Wilmington			\$2,319,686	24	20	14		Elderly	224
				\$1,135,000				6			
Hands on Hartford	HOH Community Center	Hartford		\$950,000		13	13	13		Family	71
CT Children's Hospital	LAMPP Healthy Homes	statewide	2,000,000			50	50	50		Family	50
Chrysalis	Legion Court	Hartford		\$2,976,000		12	12	12		Family	70
Wethersfield HA	Westfield Heights	Wethersfield			\$4,045,613	132	118	118	118	Family	122
Brookside Coop	Brookside Coop	Waterbury	\$ 736,372			102	102	102	102	Family	15
Enfield HA	Woodside Park/Ella	Enfield	\$ 3,994,000			120	120	120	120	Family	80
Stafford HA/LLC	Avery Park Redevelopment	Stafford		\$6,500,000		79	71	71		Family	384
Shelter For the Homeless	Beacon II	Stamford		\$1,460,480		32	32	32		Family	87
New Charles St LLC	122 Charles Street	Meriden		\$3,590,049		80	80	80	80	Family	248
Deep River HA	Kirtland Commons	Deep River		\$4,200,000		44	44	44		Elderly	97
Jonathan Rose Co.	Metro Green 3	Stamford		\$5,000,000		155	73	73		Family	1087
Wilton Copmmons LLC	Wilton Commons II Cong	Wilton		\$5,691,764		23	23	23		Elderly	114
Bristol HA	Zibikowski Park	Bristol	1,844,948			90	90	90	90	Family	37
No. Grosvernordale Restoration LP	River Mill Village	Thompson	3,749,114			53	53	53	53	Family	75
Dakota Partners	East St Apartments	New Milford		\$4,219,274		38	30	30		Family	224
VERN LLC	Talcott Brothers Mill	Vernon		\$4,405,000		83	18	18		Family	389
Veterans Inc.	New Britain Veterans Hsg	New Britain		\$550,000		17	17	17		Family	42
Winn Dev	Schoolhouse Apartments	Waterbury		\$3,850,000		213	213	213	213	Elderly	677
Killingly HA	Maple Court II	Killingly	1,500,000			6	6	6		Family	30
Caleb Foundation	Frost Homestead	Waterbury		\$4,400,000		63	63	63	63	Family	153
Charter Oak Communities	Lawnhill Phase 1	Stamford		\$3,000,000		60	60	60		Family	356
POAH	Billings Forge Apartments	Hartford		\$5,000,000		110	99	99	99	Family	365
IWSR Owners LLC	Wall St Place Phase 1	Norwalk		\$3,500,000		36	36	36		Family	321
Total			\$14,021,434	\$70,427,567	\$6,365,299	1840	1559	1559	938		6673

Housing Development Contractual Activity FY 14-15								
Applicant Name	Project Name	Municipality	Project Description	Project Type	Total Project Cost	DOH Investment ORIGINAL	Funding Source	S/F
Spinnaker Real Estate Partners	Bridgeport Historic Ventures	Bridgeport	New Construction	NC	\$ 17,217,840	\$4,000,000	HTF	state
Loom City Lofts LLC	Loom City Lofts	Vernon	New Construction	NC	\$ 17,313,204	\$5,000,000	HTF	state
MHA of Greater Hartford	Park Terrace Mutual Housing	Hartford	Rehabilitation	Rehab	\$ 6,732,033	\$4,131,500	HTF	state
MHA of South Central CT	St Pauls	Bridgeport	New Construction	NC	\$ 11,585,312	\$ 2,500,000	FLEX	state
Sheldon Oak Central	Twin Acres	Hartford	Rehabilitation	Rehab	\$ 11,949,634	\$ 3,230,564	FLEX	state
Horace Bushnell Congregate Homes Inc	Horace Bushnell Apartments	Hartford	Rehabilitation	Rehab	\$ 3,089,800	\$759,930	HOME	fed
MHA of South Central CT	Spruce Meadows	Stonington	New Construction	NC	\$ 9,915,164	\$4,999,618	HTF	state
Omni Development	Warner Gardens	Waterbury	New Construction	NC	\$ 19,438,841	\$5,200,000	FLEX	state
Carroll Partners	Carroll Apartments	Waterbury	New Construction	NC	\$ 9,883,220	\$2,896,825	FLEX	state
MHA of SCC	Gaffney Place	Waterbury	Rehabilitation	Rehab	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,000,000	FLEX	state
East Hartford HA	East Hartford Scattered Site	East Hartford	Rehabilitation	Rehab	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,000	FLEX	state
Access Agency	Access Rehab	Northeast	Rehabilitation	Rehab	\$ 1,500,000	\$1,500,000	FLEX	state
Housing Development Fund	LEAP	Southwest	Rehabilitation	Rehab	\$ 1,000,000	\$1,000,000	FLEX	state
CHIF	Waterbury/East Hartford	Waterbury/ East Hartford	Rehabilitation	Rehab	\$ 1,600,000	\$1,600,000	FLEX	state
CSH	Supportive Housing Fund	statewide	Rehabilitation	Rehab	\$ 1,300,000	\$1,300,000	FLEX	state
Livable Cities Initiative	New Haven Opportunity	New Haven	Rehabilitation	Rehab	\$ 1,500,000	\$1,500,000	FLEX	state
Migani O'Reardon	38 Columbus	Seymour	New Construction	NC	\$ 5,378,606	\$4,750,000	FLEX	state
Metro Realty	Heritage Glen	Farmington	Rehabilitation	Rehab	\$ 3,135,681	\$1,400,000	FLEX	state
Pathways	258 Davis Avenue	Greenwich	New Construction	NC	\$ 1,944,513	\$1,123,412	FLEX	state
The Hill House, Inc.	Hill House 2 Expansion	Greenwich	New Construction	NC	\$ 8,277,053	\$7,456,053	FLEX	state
Threadmill Partners LLC	Threadmill Apartments	Pawcatuck	New Construction	Rehab	\$ 25,337,811	\$5,000,000	FLEX	state
The Community Builders	Northside Terraces	Torrington	pre-development loan	PD	\$ 63,000	\$63,000	FLEX	state
Northeastern Community Development Corporation, Inc.	699 Main Street	Willimantic	pre-development loan	PD	\$ 300,000	\$300,000	FLEX	state
Hamden HA	Hamden Village	Hamden	pre-development loan	PD	\$ 250,000	\$250,000	FLEX	state
Green Haven Inc	Rocky Corner Cohousing	Bethany	pre-development loan	PD	\$ 281,000	\$281,000	FLEX	state
Stuart Farm LLC	Stuart Farm Apts. II	Kent	pre-development loan	PD	\$ 121,000	\$121,000	FLEX	state
The Connection Fund	Stack Street	Middletown	pre-development loan	PD	\$ 300,000	\$300,000	FLEX	state
Waterbury Commons LLC	Waterbury Commons	Waterbury	pre-development loan	PD	\$ 290,000	\$290,000	FLEX	state
West Hartford Housing Corp	New Park WH	West Hartford	pre-development loan	PD	\$ 300,000	\$300,000	FLEX	state
Bristol HA	Various	Bristol	pre-development loan	PD	\$ 213,000	\$213,000	FLEX	state

Housing Development Contractual Activity FY 14-15

Applicant Name	Project Name	Municipality	Project Description	Project Type	Total Project Cost	DOH Investment ORIGINAL	Funding Source	S/F
Middlefield HA	Raspberry Ridge	Middletown	pre-development loan	PD	\$ 65,000	\$65,000	FLEX	state
Enfield HA	Various	Enfield	pre-development loan	PD	\$ 300,000	\$300,000	FLEX	state
Enfield HA	Various	Enfield	pre-development loan	PD	\$ 175,000	\$175,000	FLEX	state
Rose Garden Coop	Rose Garden Coop	Hartford	pre-development loan	PD	\$ 90,000	\$90,000	FLEX	state
Cobbs Mill Crossing Coop	Cobbs Mill Coop	Glastonbury	pre-development loan	PD	\$ 65,000	\$65,000	FLEX	state
TFC Housing Corp	TFC Elderly	Bloomfield	pre-development loan	PD	\$ 93,000	\$93,000	FLEX	state
Norwich HA	Various	Norwich	pre-development loan	PD	\$ 375,000	\$375,000	FLEX	state
Naugatuck HA	Various	Naugatuck	pre-development loan	PD	\$ 250,000	\$250,000	FLEX	state
Griswold HA	McCluggage Manor (SSHP)	Griswold	pre-development loan	PD	\$ 254,710	\$254,710	FLEX	state
Meriden HA	Yale Acres (SSHP)	Meriden	pre-development loan	PD	\$ 175,000	\$175,000	FLEX	state
TFC Housing Corp	TFC Housing Corp. Elderly Housing	Bloomfield	pre-development loan	PD	\$ 290,000	\$290,000	FLEX	state
Vernon HA	Pitkat Congregate Living Center Rehab (SSHP)	Vernon	pre-development loan	PD	\$ 233,506	\$233,506	FLEX	state
Total					\$165,582,928	\$66,832,118		

Housing Development Contractual Activity FY 14-15												
Applicant Name	Project Name	Municipality	State Grant	Federal Grant	State Loan	Total Housing Units	DOH Asstd Units	DOH HO Units	DOH Rental Units	DOH Presrvd Units	Elderly Family	Jobs Created/Retained
Spinnaker Real Estate Partners	Bridgeport Historic Ventures	Bridgeport			\$4,000,000	70	18		18		Family	344
Loom City Lofts LLC	Loom City Lofts	Vernon			\$5,000,000	68	60		60		Family	346
MHA of Greater Hartford	Park Terrace Mutual Housing	Hartford			\$4,131,500	42	42		42	42	Family	135
MHA of South Central CT	St Pauls	Bridgeport			\$2,500,000	56	56		56		Family	232
Sheldon Oak Central	Twin Acres	Hartford			\$3,230,564	50	40		40	40	Family	239
Horace Bushnell Congregate Homes Inc	Horace Bushnell Apartments	Hartford		\$ 759,930		60	60		60	60	Elderly	62
MHA of South Central CT	Spruce Meadows	Stonington			\$4,999,618	43	32		32		Family	198
Omni Development	Warner Gardens	Waterbury			\$5,200,000	58	58		58		Family	389
Carroll Partners	Carroll Apartments	Waterbury			\$2,896,825	35	35		35		Family	198
MHA of SCC	Gaffney Place	Waterbury	\$ 1,000,000			16	16	8	8		Family	20
East Hartford HA	East Hartford Scattered Site	East Hartford	\$ 2,000,000			30	30		30	30	Family	40
Access Agency	Access Rehab	Northeast	\$ 1,500,000			30	30		30	30	Family	30
Housing Development Fund	LEAP	Southwest	\$ 1,000,000			30	30		30	30	Family	20
CHIF	Waterbury/East Hartford	Waterbury/ East Hartford	\$ 1,600,000			30	30		30	30	Family	32
CSH	Supportive Housing Fund	statewide	\$ 1,300,000			30	30		30	30	Family	26
Livable Cities Initiative	New Haven Opportunity	New Haven	\$ 1,500,000			30	30		30	30	Family	30
Migani O'Reardon	38 Columbus	Seymour			\$4,750,000	26	32		32		Elderly	108
Metro Realty	Heritage Glen	Farmington			\$1,400,000	68	58		58	58	Family	63
Pathways	258 Davis Avenue	Greenwich			\$1,123,412	7	7		35		Family	39
The Hill House, Inc.	Hill House 2 Expansion	Greenwich			\$7,456,053	24	24		24		Elderly	166
Threadmill Partners LLC	Threadmill Apartments	Pawcatuck			\$5,000,000	58	18		18		Family	507
The Community Builders	Northside Terraces	Torrington			\$63,000	N/A					Elderly	1
Northeastern Community Development Corporation, Inc.	699 Main Street	Willimantic			\$300,000	N/A					Family	6
Hamden HA	Hamden Village	Hamden			\$250,000	N/A					Elderly	5
Green Haven Inc	Rocky Corner Cohousing	Bethany			\$281,000	N/A					Family	6
Stuart Farm LLC	Stuart Farm Apts. II	Kent			\$121,000	N/A					Family	2
The Connection Fund	Stack Street	Middletown			\$300,000	N/A					Family	6
Waterbury Commons LLC	Waterbury Commons	Waterbury			\$290,000	N/A					Family	6
West Hartford Housing Corp	New Park WH	West Hartford			\$300,000	N/A					Family	6
Bristol HA	Various	Bristol			\$213,000	N/A					Family	4

Housing Development Contractual Activity FY 14-15												
Applicant Name	Project Name	Municipality	State Grant	Federal Grant	State Loan	Total Housing Units	DOH Asstd Units	DOH HO Units	DOH Rental Units	DOH Presrvd Units	Elderly Family	Jobs Created/Retained
Middlefield HA	Raspberry Ridge	Middletown			\$65,000	N/A					Family	1
Enfield HA	Various	Enfield			\$300,000	N/A					Elderly	6
Enfield HA	Various	Enfield			\$175,000	N/A					Elderly	4
Rose Garden Coop	Rose Garden Coop	Hartford			\$90,000	N/A					Family	2
Cobbs Mill Crossing Coop	Cobbs Mill Coop	Glastonbury			\$65,000	N/A					Family	1
TFC Housing Corp	TFC Elderly	Bloomfield			\$93,000	N/A					Elderly	2
Norwich HA	Various	Norwich			\$375,000	N/A					Elderly	8
Naugatuck HA	Various	Naugatuck			\$250,000	N/A					Family	5
Griswold HA	McCluggage Manor (SSHP)	Griswold			\$254,710	N/A					Elderly	5
Meriden HA	Yale Acres (SSHP)	Meriden			\$175,000	N/A					Family	4
TFC Housing Corp	TFC Housing Corp. Elderly Housing	Bloomfield			\$290,000	N/A					Elderly	6
Vernon HA	Pitkat Congregate Living Center Rehab (SSHP)	Vernon			\$233,506	N/A					Elderly	5
Total			\$9,900,000	\$759,930	\$56,172,188	861	736	8	756	380		3315

Housing Development Contractual Activity FY 13-14

Applicant Name	Project Name	Municipality	Project Description	Project Type	Total Project Cost	DOH Investment ORIGINAL	Funding Source	S/F
Dakota Partners	Residences at Laurel Hill	Brookfield	New Construction	NC	\$ 19,151,800	\$5,000,000	HTF	state
The Geer Corp	Beckley House Expansion	Canaan	New Construction	NC	\$ 2,327,550	\$530,000	HOME	fed
Danbury HA	Danbury HA PreDev	Danbury	New Construction	PD	\$ 500,000	\$500,000	FLEX	state
East Hartford HA	East Hartford HA Pre Dev	East Hartford	Rehabilitation	PD	\$ 150,000	\$ 150,000	FLEX	state
Women's Institute Realty of CT Inc.	Essex Aff Hsg Pre-Dev	Essex	Rehabilitation	PD	\$ 250,000	\$ 250,000	FLEX	state
Greenwich HA	Greenwich HA Pre-Dev	Greenwich	Rehabilitation	PD	\$ 250,000	\$250,000	FLEX	state
5CP, LLC	On the Plaza	Hartford	Rehabilitation	Rehab	\$ 25,618,464	\$4,000,000	HTF	state
Tarob LLC	Residence at Riverview Predev	Hartford	New Construction	NC	\$ 300,000	\$300,000	FLEX	state
Becker Development	777 Main St	Hartford	New Construction	NC	\$ 78,889,278	\$5,000,000	FLEX	state
MHA of Greater Hartford	Horace Bushnell Apartments	Hartford	New Construction	NC	\$ 18,464,499	\$ 3,643,152	HTF	state
461 Washington St LP	MD Fox	Hartford	Rehabilitation	Rehab	\$ 17,469,598	\$ 3,300,000	HTF	State
New Samaritan Corporation	Church Hill Woods PreDev	Ledyard	Rehabilitation	PD	\$ 250,000	\$250,000	FLEX	state
Meriden HA	144 West Main Street Predev	Meriden	New Construction	PD	\$ 300,000	\$300,000	FLEX	state
Meriden HA	25 Colony Street Predev	Meriden	New Construction	PD	\$ 300,000	\$300,000	FLEX	state
POAH	Old Middletown High School	Middletown	New Construction	NC	\$ 13,287,000	\$4,561,000	FLEX	state
MHA of Southcentral CT, Inc.	Hill Development 2012	New Haven	Rehabilitation	Rehab	\$ 4,170,858	\$3,462,858	HOME	fed
Rockview 1 Associates, LLC	Rockview Rental Phase 1	New Haven	Rehabilitation	NC	\$ 19,057,271	\$2,350,000	FLEX	state
ForestCity	Winchester Lofts	New Haven	New Construction	NC	\$ 53,101,000	\$4,000,000	HTF	state
NHS of New Haven	Affordable Rental Dev	New Haven	New Construction	NC	\$ 1,105,550	\$425,000	HOME	fed
RGAP2 ARLOW Refinance Project	GNHCLF, Inc.	New Haven	Rehabilitation	Rehab	\$ 642,215	\$642,215	FLEX	state
North Haven Opportunity for Affordable Housing, Inc.	Clintonville Commons Pre-dev	North Haven	Rehabilitation	PD	\$ 169,000	\$136,000	FLEX	state
Norwalk HA	Norwalk HA Pre-Dev	Norwalk	New Construction	PD	\$ 300,000	\$300,000	FLEX	state
Norwich HA	Norwich HA Pre-Dev	Norwich	Rehabilitation	PD	\$ 500,000	\$500,000	FLEX	state
Oxford HA	Crestview Ridge Senior Predev	Oxford	Rehabilitation	PD	\$ 195,360	\$195,360	FLEX	state
Historic Cargill Falls Mill, LLC	Cargill Falls Mill Pre-Dev	Putnam	New Construction	NC	\$ 300,000	\$300,000	FLEX	state
Salisbury Housing Committee, Inc.	Sarum Village Pre-Dev	Salisbury	New Construction	NC	\$ 85,175	\$85,175	FLEX	state
Simsbury Specialty Housing	Ojakian Commons	Simbury	New Construction	NC	\$ 13,483,400	\$1,020,860	FLEX	state
MHA of Southcentral CT, Inc.	Winter Grove Apartments	Southington	New Construction	NC	\$ 8,702,416	\$2,000,000	HTF	state
Stafford HA	Borough Apartments predev	Stafford	New Construction	NC	\$ 321,240	\$247,500	FLEX	state
Access Agency	Parker School Pre-Dev	Tolland	New Construction	NC	\$ 260,000	\$260,000	FLEX	state
POAH	Torrington West	Torrington	New Construction	Rehab	\$ 8,966,500	\$2,519,400	HTF	state
The Community Builders	Park West	Vernon	Rehabilitation	Rehab	\$ 30,886,605	\$2,230,000	FLEX	state
Wallingford HA	Wallingford HA PreDev	Wallingford	Rehabilitation	PD	\$ 250,000	\$250,000	FLEX	state

Housing Development Contractual Activity FY 13-14

Applicant Name	Project Name	Municipality	Project Description	Project Type	Total Project Cost	DOH Investment ORIGINAL	Funding Source	S/F
NHS of Waterbury	885 North Main Street	Waterbury	Rehabilitation	Rehab	\$ 2,923,125	\$1,380,000	FLEX	state
Winn Development	Watertown Crossing	Waterbury	New Construction	NC	\$ 17,826,781	\$4,400,000	HTF	state
Willimantic HA	Willimantic HA PreDev	Willimantic	Rehabilitation	PD	\$ 100,000	\$100,000	FLEX	state
Sprague HA	Shetucket Village Pre-Dev	Sprague	Rehabilitation	PD	\$ 100,000	\$100,000	FLEX	state
Total					\$340,954,685	\$55,238,520		

Housing Development Contractual Activity FY 13-14												
Applicant Name	Project Name	Municipality	State Grant	Federal Grant	State Loan	Federal Loan	Total Housing Units	DOH Asstd Units	DOH Rental Units	DOH Presrvd Units	Elderly Family	Jobs Created/Retained
Dakota Partners	Residences at Laurel Hill	Brookfield			\$5,000,000		72	72	72		Family	383
The Geer Corp	Beckley House Expansion	Canaan				\$530,000	10	10	10		Elderly	47
Danbury HA	Danbury HA PreDev	Danbury			\$500,000		N/A				Family	10
East Hartford HA	East Hartford HA Pre Dev	East Hartford			\$150,000		N/A				Elderly	3
Women's Institute Realty of CT Inc.	Essex Aff Hsg Pre-Dev	Essex			\$250,000		N/A				Family	5
Greenwich HA	Greenwich HA Pre-Dev	Greenwich			\$250,000		N/A				Family	5
5CP, LLC	On the Plaza	Hartford			\$4,000,000		199	40	40		Family	512
Tarob LLC	Residence at Riverview Predev	Hartford			\$300,000		1	1	1		Family	6
Becker Development	777 Main St	Hartford			\$5,000,000		278	40	40		Family	1578
MHA of Greater Hartford	Horace Bushnell Apartments	Hartford			\$3,643,152		74	74	74	74	Family	369
461 Washington St LP	MD Fox	Hartford			\$3,300,000		90	90	90	90	Elderly	349
New Samaritan Corporation	Church Hill Woods PreDev	Ledyard			\$250,000		N/A				Family	5
Meriden HA	144 West Main Street Predev	Meriden			\$300,000		N/A				Family	6
Meriden HA	25 Colony Street Predev	Meriden			\$300,000		N/A				Elderly	6
POAH	Old Middletown High School	Middletown			\$4,561,000		65	65	65	65	Elderly	266
MHA of Southcentral CT, Inc.	Hill Development 2012	New Haven				\$3,462,858	65	65	65	65	Family	83
Rockview 1 Associates, LLC	Rockview Rental Phase 1	New Haven			\$2,350,000		77	77	77		Family	381
ForestCity	Winchester Lofts	New Haven			\$4,000,000		158	32	32		Family	1062
NHS of New Haven	Affordable Rental Dev	New Haven		\$425,000			6	6	6	6	Family	22
RGAP2 ARLOW Refinance Project	GNHCLF, Inc.	New Haven	\$642,215				18	18	18	18	Family	13
North Haven Opportunity for Affordable Housing, Inc.	Clintonville Commons Pre-dev	North Haven			\$136,000		N/A				Family	3
Norwalk HA	Norwalk HA Pre-Dev	Norwalk			\$300,000		N/A				Elderly	6
Norwich HA	Norwich HA Pre-Dev	Norwich			\$500,000		N/A				Elderly	10
Oxford HA	Crestview Ridge Senior Predev	Oxford			\$195,360		N/A				Family	4
Historic Cargill Falls Mill, LLC	Cargill Falls Mill Pre-Dev	Putnam			\$300,000		N/A				Family	6
Salisbury Housing Committee, Inc.	Sarum Village Pre-Dev	Salisbury			\$85,175		N/A				Family	2
Simsbury Specialty Housing	Ojakian Commons	Simbury			\$1,020,860		48	48	47		Family	270
MHA of Southcentral CT, Inc.	Winter Grove Apartments	Southington			\$2,000,000		34	34	34		Elderly	174
Stafford HA	Borough Apartments predev	Stafford			\$247,500		N/A				Family	6
Access Agency	Parker School Pre-Dev	Tolland			\$260,000		N/A				Family	5
POAH	Torrington West	Torrington			\$2,519,400		78	78	78	78	Elderly	179
The Community Builders	Park West	Vernon			\$2,230,000		189	159	159	159	Family	618
Wallingford HA	Wallingford HA PreDev	Wallingford			\$250,000		N/A				Family	5

Housing Development Contractual Activity FY 13-14

Applicant Name	Project Name	Municipality	State Grant	Federal Grant	State Loan	Federal Loan	Total Housing Units	DOH Asstd Units	DOH Rental Units	DOH Presrvd Units	Elderly Family	Jobs Created/Retained
NHS of Waterbury	885 North Main Street	Waterbury			\$1,380,000		10	10	10		Family	58
Winn Development	Watertown Crossing	Waterbury			\$4,400,000		108	97	97	97	Family	357
Willimantic HA	Willimantic HA PreDev	Willimantic			\$100,000		N/A				Family	2
Sprague HA	Shetucket Village Pre-Dev	Sprague			\$100,000		N/A				Elderly	6816
Total			\$642,215	\$425,000	\$50,178,447	\$3,992,858		1016	1015	652		13632