Red, White and Blue Schools Rubric (Grades K-5) 2019-2020: Women's Suffrage and Voting Rights in Connecticut

Criteria	4	3	2	1	Not Present
Women's Suffrage	Four or more	Three innovative	Two innovative	One innovative	No evidence of
and Voting Rights	innovative and/or	approaches and/or	approaches and/or	approach and/or	innovative
are taught in all	student-centered	student-centered	student-centered	student-centered	approaches or
grades on a regular	approaches are	approaches are	approaches are	approach is	student-centered
basis using	demonstrated.	demonstrated.	demonstrated.	demonstrated.	approaches
innovative					demonstrated.
approaches and involving student-					
centered hands on					
learning.					
(40% of school					
evaluation)					
When pursuing their	Four of more	Three examples of	Two examples of	One example of	No evidence
projects, students	examples of	engagement with	engagement with	engagement with	demonstrated of
collaborate with	engagement with	school and/or	school and/or	school and/or	engagement with
school and	school and/or	community partners	community partners	community partners	school and/or
community	community partners	demonstrated.	demonstrated.	demonstrated.	community partners.
partners. (10% of	demonstrated.				
school evaluation)	т 11 1.1	G. 1 . 1	G. 1 1.	C4 1 4 14	W , C CC
Students bridge connections from the	In small and large	Students analyze significant	Students are taught	Students are taught	Women's Suffrage
past to the present,	group settings students carefully	components of the	the topic in detail; students examine	the topic in some detail; students are	and Voting Rights are taught in general
examining historical	analyze significant	topic and examine	major historic events	made aware of	ways; students are
events and analyzing	components of the	the topic.	and their impact on	historic events.	made aware of local
similarities and	topic and discuss the	ine topic.	the community.	installe events.	issues.
differences to their	impact on the				
modern community,	community.				
including family or	, and the second				
personal					
connections.					

(30% of school evaluation)					
Projects are within the scope of student ability, allowing students to complete the projects in an appropriate and timely manner. (10% of school evaluation)	Students present a detailed and manageable plan for the project.	Students present a plan lacking some details for the project.	Students present a relatively unmanageable plan lacking many details.	Students present a plan of an unreasonable scope and/or lacking most details.	Students provide little to no evidence of a plan.