STATE OF CONNECTICUT DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Student v. Guilford Board of Education

Appearing on behalf of the Parent: Phillip Cohn, Esq.

Goldman, Gruder & Woods, LLC

200 Connecticut Avenue Norwalk, CT 06854

Appearing on behalf of the Board: Alyce Alfano, Esq.

Shipman and Goodwin, LLP One Constitution Plaza

Hartford, CT 06103

Appearing before: Sylvia Ho, Esq.

Hearing Officer

FINAL DECISION AND ORDER

ISSUES:

- 1. Did the District fail to provide Olivia with FAPE during the 2015-2016 school year, and extended school year period;
- 2. Did the District fail to provide Olivia with FAPE during the 2016-2017 school year, and extended school year period;
- 3. If not, was Pacific Quest an appropriate placement, where Olivia could access her education and make educational progress;
- 4. If so, should the District should reimburse the Parents for the cost of Pacific Quest from September 8, 2016 to November 24, 2016, including related expenses;
- 5. If 1 or 2 are in the negative, is Chrysalis an appropriate placement, where Olivia can access her education and make educational progress;
- 6. If so, should the District reimburse the Parent for the cost of Chrysalis from November 25, 2016, through the end of the 2016-2017 school year, including the extended school year and related expenses;
- 7. If one or 2 are in the negative, do the circumstances warrant an award of compensatory education?

PROCEDURAL HISTORY/SUMMARY:

The Parent filed the Due Process Complaint and Request for Hearing on February 28, 2017. The Hearing Officer was appointed on the same day and conducted a Prehearing Conference on March 10, 2017. The hearing was scheduled for May 8, 2017. On May 3, 2017, the Parents withdrew the Due Process Complaint with prejudice.

FINAL DECISION AND ORDER:

The matter is **DISMISSED** with prejudice.