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October B, 2000

The Honorable John G. Rowland
Governor of Connecticut
The Honorable Members of the Connecticut General Assembly
The People of Connecticut

In accordance with the Connecticut General Statutes and in keeping with past practice, I am pleased to present the first Office of the Treasurer Annual Report for the new millennium. This also is the first Annual Report which covers a full year of my administration as Treasurer.

I take great pride in the accomplishments which this report represents, especially under the extraordinary circumstances created by the criminal misconduct of the former Treasurer. W hile discharging all of our statutory and constitutional duties, as more fully described herein, this Office:

- withdrew or reduced hundreds of millions of dollars in commitments of pension assets to funds selected by the former Treasurer, thereby restoring balance and integrity to the Connecticut Retirement Plans and Trust Funds (CRPTF);
- designed and implemented protocols - including mandatory disclosure of third party fees, the promulgation of investment policy statements for all funds and bars against doing business with financial firms engaged in misconduct with the former Treasurer - intended to protect against the types of misconduct perpetrated by the former Treasurer;
- proposed and worked with the General Assembly to enact comprehensive Treasury reform legislation which not only codifies the reforms I already have implemented but will protect this Office and its functions against future abuses long after the end of my administration; and
- cooperated (and continues to cooperate) with the numerous state and federal agencies investigating the conduct of this Office prior to my administration.

The preceding list deals only with activities related to the scandal. Those activities consumed enormous amounts of our time and energy. Nevertheless, during the period covered by the following report, we also:

- redesigned the College Higher Education Trust (CHET) program, which encourages college savings, to make the program more accessible and less costly for those trying to provide for loved ones;
- formed the citizen task force which initiated Individual Development Accounts - a tax-advantaged savings program which permits poor families to put money away to make a first-time home purchase, acquire additional job training or start a new business - in Connecticut;
- reformed the financial structure and operations of the Second Injury Fund and, as a result, avoided having to increase the rates charged to Connecticut businesses to operate the fund; and
- revived and revitalized our process at the Treasury for voting the proxy rights associated with securities being held by the CRPTF in a manner which protects our investments and promotes good corporate citizenship.

These are just some of the extraordinary accomplishments that took place during an extraordinary year at the Connecticut Office of the Treasurer. While accomplishing everything on the preceding lists, we also - in the normal course of business - conducted some of the most successful bond sales in Connecticut's history, consistently achieving low rates and wide distribution for Connecticut securities; managed the Short Term Investment Fund to achieve returns significantly greater than our benchmark rates; collected more than $\$ 35$ million in unclaimed property, while returning a record-setting $\$ 9.4$ million to its rightful owners; and reduced the unfunded liability of the Second Injury Fund by $\$ 2.386$ million.

M anaging the investment of the CRPTF, of course, lies at the very center of Treasury activities. The past year witnessed superb accomplishment there as well. As is more completely detailed within the accompanying report, Connecticut's pension funds achieved a rate of return during Fiscal Year 2000 which exceeded the returns at $82 \%$ of other public pension funds with assets greater than $\$ 1$ billion, as reported by Trust Universe Comparison Service (TUCS) peer group public funds survey.

The accomplishments highlighted above deserve special mention and distinction from the many facts and figures provided in the attached report, which covers the fiscal year ended June 30, 2000 and is required under Connecticut General Statutes, Section 3-37.

The report contains quantitative data and explanatory comments on operations regarding the $\$ 219$ billion Combined Investment Fund, $\$ 3.7$ billion Short-Term Investment Fund and $\$ 56.6$ million Connecticut Higher Education Trust, as well as a description of activities and transactions in the administration of Cash Management, Debt Management, Second Injury Fund and the Unclaimed Property Fund. These reports have been prepared in a manner consistent with the requirements of law and generally accepted accounting principles.

As State Treasurer, I am responsible for the prudent management and investment of Connecticut's CRPTF, the largest fund managed by the Office of Treasurer. I am pleased to report that the returns for the Pension Plans and Trusts for 2000 were $13.38 \%$ ( $13.13 \%$ net of management fees). We have achieved these outstanding rates of return while taking on less risk in our investment portfolio than other funds within our peer group which we have outperformed.

The Investment Advisory Council (IAC), working with me and the Treasury's Pension Funds Management Division continues to provide the practical oversight necessary for the diligent investment of CRPTF assets and to evaluate the CRPTF investment returns. Asset allocation and diversification are critical investment strategies requiring indepth market analysis based on fundamentals that are not market timed for investment decisions. I am grateful for the insight and commitment to the State of Connecticut by the IAC members.

Shortly after the conclusion of Fiscal Year 2000, Mr. Thomas Flanigan, formerly Chief Investment Officer of the California State Teachers' Retirement System (CalSTRS), accepted the Chief Investment Officer position over the Funds as provided in the Treasury Reform Act. Mr. Flanigan's appointment continues my agenda of restoring public confidence in Connecticut's Treasury Department.

W hile the level of State debt continues to increase with $\$ 111$ billion outstanding this fiscal year, one of the major credit rating agencies, Moody's Investor Services, moved the State's credit rating outlook from Stable to Positive. This report will help us as we continue to work to improve the future assigned credit risk classification of the State, which should result in lower debt service cost to Connecticut residents.

I am also very pleased to report that all the assets administered by the Office of the Treasurer are safe and secure without any loss of accountability as a result of the computer generated information changeover into calendar year 2000. Treasury Department hardware and software systems are functioning without incident regarding the Y2K issue. Similarly, we have not experienced any significant problems from the investment management or vendor firms with which the Treasury Department conducts business. All Treasury Office computer information systems are Y2K compliant and operating normally.

I hope you will find the 2000 Annual Report of the Office of the Treasurer informative and useful and that you will join me in acknowledging the dedicated efforts of every employee of the Office of the Treasurer in working to overcome past adversity so as to protect the future financial security of all of Connecticut's residents.

Sincerely,


Denise L. Nappier
Treasurer
cc: Steven W. Hart, Chairman
Investment Advisory Council

## M ission Statement

To serve as the premier State Treasurer's Office in the nation through effective management of public resources, high standards of professionalism and integrity, and expansion of opportunity for the citizens and businesses of Connecticut.

## Duties of the Treasury

The duties and authority of the Office of the Treasurer are set out in Article Four, Section 22 of the Connecticut Constitution and in Title 3 of the Connecticut General Statutes. In general, the Treasurer is responsible for the safe custody of the property and money belonging to the State.

The Treasurer receives all money belonging to the State, makes disbursements as directed by Statute, and manages, borrows, and invests all funds for the State.

M ore than $\$ 12$ billion is deposited into the Treasury each year. As caretaker of the public's money, the Treasurer is responsible with safeguarding the more than $\$ 21$ billion in State and custodial funds and more than $\$ 3$ billion in short-term investments. The Treasurer maintains an accurate account of all funds through sophisticated security measures and procedures.

## Boards, Committees, and Commissions

By law, the Treasurer is a member of the following:

| State Bond Commission | Investment Advisory Council |
| :--- | :--- |
| Banking Commission | Finance Advisory Committee |
| Connecticut Lottery Corporation | Connecticut Higher Education Trust <br> Advisory Committee |
| Board of Directors | The Standardization Committee |
| Council of Fiscal Officers | The Private Activity Bond Commission |
| Information and Telecommunication <br> Systems Executive Steering Committee |  |
| Connecticut Development Authority |  |
| Connecticut Health and Educational <br> Facilities Authority <br> Board of Directors | Connecticut Higher Education <br> Supplemental Loan Authority <br> Board of Directors |

Additional information on responsibilities of each is provided on Supplemental pages S36 and S37.

## Office of the State Treasurer Organization

The Office of the Treasurer consists of six divisions, which are as follows:
The Executive Office of the Treasurer has responsibility for policy-setting, investor and corporate relations, legal and legislative affairs, public education and information, business and information services, and special projects. The Executive Office ensures that the Treasury adheres to the highest order of public values, fiscal prudence and ethics in the conduct of the public's business.

The Pension Funds M anagement Division, under the direction of an Assistant Treasurer, manages the State's six multi-billion dollar pension funds and eight State trust funds with a combined market value portfolio in excess of $\$ 22$ billion ranging in investment diversity from domestic and international stocks to real estate and private investment equity. Clients include more than 160,000 teachers, State and municipal employees, as well as academic programs, grants, and initiatives throughout the State. The Teachers' Retirement Fund is the Treasury's largest fund under management containing approximately $\$ 119$ billion, followed by the State Employees' Retirement Fund containing $\$ 8.3$ billion and the Municipal Employees' Retirement Fund with $\$ 14$ billion. The Pension Funds Manage ment Division also serves as staff to the Investment Advisory Council.

The Cash M anagement Division, under the direction of an Assistant Treasurer, has responsibility for cash accounting and reporting, cash positioning and forecasting, bank and fund reconciliation, bank administration and check processing. Over 3 million banking transactions are accounted for and reconciled annually. The division maintains accountability over the State's approximately $\$ 140$ billion internal and external cash flows through the Treasury's 27 bank accounts annually. The Division prudently and productively manages clients' cash, including that of State agencies and authorities, and 200 municipal and local government entities utilizing the Short-Term Investment Fund, which had a market value of $\$ 3.7$ billion as of June 30 .

The Debt M anagement Division, under the direction of a Deputy Assistant Treasurer, administers the State's bond and debt financing program, including the sale of State bonds. Monitoring the bond markets and the financing structures and economic trends that affect interest rates are critical requirements for favorable bond issuances. The Division issues bonds to finance State capital projects, manages debt service payments and cash flow borrowing, provides information and data to private credit rating agencies, and administers the Clean W ater and Drinking Water loan program. Over $\$ 11$ billion of State debt was outstanding as of June 30 .

The Second Injury Fund Division, under the direction of an Assistant Treasurer, is a workers' compensation program for employees with a preexisting condition who sustain an injury on the job that results in a material worsening of the condition. The Division adjudicates qualifying workers' compensation claims in accordance with applicable Connecticut General Statutes and insurance industry standards and practice. Where possible, the Second Injury Division seeks to help injured workers return to gainful employment.

The U nclaimed Property Division, under the direction of an Assistant Treasurer, safeguards all property, real and personal, left unclaimed by its owners until they can be located. The Division publicizes the names of rightful owners in an attempt to return unclaimed property to them.

## 2000 Annual Report Year at a Glance

## COMBINED INVESTMENT FUNDS, JUNE 30

Market Value of Assets Under Management
Net Assets Under Management
Total Investment Returns for the Fiscal Year
Total $M$ anagement Fees for the Fiscal Year

Total Number of Advisors
Decrease in Total Advisors from Prior Year

OneYear Total Return $13.13 \%$
Five-Year Compounded Annual Total Return $14.86 \%$
Ten-Year Compounded Annual Total Return 11.53\%
\$ 22,711,717,228
\$ 21,914,223,998
\$ 2,565,717,499
\$ 85,644,006

## DEBT MANAGEMENT, JUNE 30

```
Total Debt Outstanding
General Obligation Debt included above
Total New Debt Issued During the Fiscal Year
General Obligation Debt Issued included above
Total Debt Retired and Defeased During the Fiscal Year General Obligation Debt Retired and Defeased included above
Total Debt Service Paid on Outstanding Debt During the Fiscal Year Total Interest Paid on Outstanding Debt included above
```

\$11,134,524,730
\$ 6,429,654,759
\$ 1,198,340,000
\$ 845,000,000
\$ 1,170,734,718
\$ 696,455,747
\$ 1,783,711,448
\$ 612,976,730
\$70,366,666,553
\$70,436,787,014
Number of State Bank Accounts at June 30, 2000
Number of State Bank Accounts at June 30, 1999
538

## SHORT-TERM INVESTMENT FUND, JUNE 30

| M arket Value of Assets Under M anagement | $\$ 3,688,311,175$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| OneYear Total Return | $6.01 \%$ |
| FiveYear Compounded Annual Total Return | $5.76 \%$ |
| Ten-Year Compounded Annual Total Return | $5.59 \%$ |
| W eighted Average Maturity | 29.9 days |
| Number of Participant Accounts | 800 |

## SECOND INJURY FUND, JUNE 30

Number of Claims Settled During the Fiscal Year
Total Cost of Claims Settled
Second Injury Fund Reserve
Number of Claims Outstanding

## UNCLAIMED PROPERTY, JUNE 30

Dollar Value of Gross Unclaimed Property Receipts \$ 37,721,525
Dollar Value of Claims Paid \$ 9,475,207
Number of Property Claims Paid $\quad 4,466$

CONNECTICUT HIGHER EDUCATION TRUST, JUNE 30
Number of Participants
Net Asset Value

7,680
345
\$ 24,600,000
\$ 839,000,000
4,631

56,629,128

October 13, 2000

## Honorable John G. Rowland

Governor
State of Connecticut
Hartford, Connecticut

Dear Governor Rowland:

As Chairman of the Investment Advisory Council (IAC), I am pleased to present this report on the performance of the State of Connecticut Retirement Plans and Trust Funds (CRPTF) for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2000.

The CRPTF continued its multiple billion dollar earnings pace for the sixth consecutive year with investment income of $\$ 2.1$ billion, or a net return of 13.13 percent, growing assets eleven percent, from $\$ 19.8$ billion at June 30, 1999 to $\$ 219$ billion at June 30, 2000. For the fiscal year ending June 30, 2000 the CRPTF outperformed 82 percent of the other public funds in the Trust Universe Comparison Service (TUCS), its peer group for Public Funds with assets greater than \$1 billion dollars.

For the fiscal year, the M utual Equity Fund, Mutual Fixed Income Fund, Commercial Mortgage Fund, Mrivate Investment Fund, and Cash Reserve Fund outperformed their benchmarks, while the International Stock Fund and the Real Estate Fund produced below benchmark returns.

During the fiscal year the legislature instituted significant reform with the passage of An Act Concerning Pow ers And Duties Of The Treasurer and The Investment Advisory Council (Public Act No. 00-43). The Office of the Treasurer and IAC have been actively working to implement the new reforms.

Much progress has been made during the last six years in terms of improving the investment performance of the CRPTF and structurally we are well positioned for above average performance. How ever, in light of recent events, I believe we must also look at how the overall fund is managed including the role of the IAC.

As the Chairman of the Investment Advisory Council, I am gratified to be amongst fellow committee members whose care and attentiveness to the IAC's mission demonstrates an unwavering commitment to those whom they represent. It is with this sense of duty and solemn pledge to maintain our commitment to more than 160,000 pension beneficiaries that I submit this brief summary on behalf of the Investment Advisory Council.

Sincerely,

Steven W. H art
Chairman
Investment Advisory Council

The Investment Advisory Council (IAC), created by Public Act 73-594, shall recommend to the State Treasurer investment policies consistent with the law pertaining to the kind or nature of investment, including limitations, conditions or restrictions upon the methods, practices or procedures for investment, reinvestment, purchase, sale or exchange transactions (CGS Sec. 3-13b). The IAC consists of the Secretary of the Office of Policy and Management (ex-officio) and State Treasurer (ex-officio), five public members all of whom shall be experienced in matters relating to investments appointed by the Governor and legislative leadership, and three representatives of the teachers' unions and two representatives of the state employees' unions.

Council members who contributed their time and knowledge to the IAC during fiscal 2000 include:

## STEVEN W.HART, C hairman, as appointed by the Governor

President, H art Capital LLC

CLARE H. BARNETT, (Representative of State Teachers' unions)
Teacher and Social Studies Chair, Danbury school system

## REGINALD U.MARTIN,

(Appointed October 22, 1999) M anaging Partner, Insurance Planning A ssociates
GEORGE H.MASON,
Director, Business A pplications C enter, The Barney School of Business \& Public Administration, University of H artford
JEFFREY H. M OCKLER, (Representative of State Employees' unions)
Staff Representative, AFSCM E Council 4
DENISE L. NAPPIER, Treasurer, State of C onnecticut (Ex-officio member)

SHARON M. PALMER, (Representative of State Teachers' unions)
(Appointed June 30, 2000) First Vice President, CT Federation of Educational and Professional Employees
H EN R Y E. PAR KER, Former Treasurer, State of Connecticut 1975-1986
(Appointed August 5, 1999) Former Senior Vice President, Atalanta/Sosnoff Capital Corporation (Retired January 1998)
JOH N J. Q U IR KE, (Representative of State Teachers' unions)
(Term expired June 30, 2000) Retired teacher, N ew town Public Schools

## CLARENCEL.ROBERTS,JR.

(Appointed August 9, 2000) Former Assistant Treasurer, U nilever U nited States, Inc. (Retired 1994)

```
M ARC S. RYAN, Secretary, State Office of Policy and M anagement (Ex-officio member)
ROSALYN B. SCHOONM AKER, (Representative of State Teachers' unions)
Retired teacher and retirement counselor
CAROL M. THOM AS, (Representative of State Employees' unions)
State Department of M ental Retardation
W ILSON W ILDE,
(Term expired October 12, 1999) Chairman, Executive C ommittee of H artford Steam Boiler Inspection and Insurance C ompany
```

Term expired June 30, 1999 but replaced in fiscal 2000:
SHERRY L. BROW N,
State Director for U.S. Senator Joseph Lieberman

ROBERT K.KILLIAN,JR.,
Judge, Probate Court, H artford

## Division Overview

## Introduction

As principal fiduciary for six State pension and eight trust funds, the Treasurer is responsible for prudently managing approximately $\$ 219$ billion of investment assets on behalf of the more than 160,000 teachers, State and municipal employees as well as trust funds financing academic programs, grants, and initiatives throughout the State. The Pension Funds M anagement Division is responsible for the day to day operations associated with the Connecticut's Retirement Plans and Trust Funds.

Prudent investment management not only affects the retirement security of the beneficiaries, but the size of the State budget as well. Funding of the pension benefit liability is dependent on investment returns, State (taxpayer) contributions and the contribution requirements of retirement plan members. If investment returns fall below the actuarial target return, more tax dollars must be contributed to ensure full payment of benefits. W hen pension investment returns exceed the target return, excess returns are applied against the unfunded liability.

As shown in Figure 1, over the last ten years pension and trust assets have grown from $\$ 8.6$ billion to $\$ 219$ billion, or $155 \%$. The Teachers' Retirement Fund (TERF), with $\$ 119$ billion under management at June 30,2000 , is the largest participating fund, followed by the State Employees' Retirement Fund (SERF) and the Municipal Employees' Retirement Fund (MERF) with $\$ 8.3$ billion and $\$ 14$ billion, respectively. During the last fiscal year ended June 30, 2000, total annual investment returns, com-

Figure1
PENSION ANDTRUST FUNDS Growth in Assets (\$ in millions)


TERF - Teachers' R etirement Fund
SERF - State Employees R etirement Fund
M ERF - Connecticut M unicipal Employees' Retirement Fund
prising interest income, dividends, securities lending income, and net realized and unrealized capital gains, net of Fund operating expenses, were $\$ 2.6$ billion. (See figure 2.)

Figure2


TERF - Teachers' Retirement Fund
SERF - State Employees Retirement Fund
M ERF - C onnecticut M unicipal Employees' Retirement Fund

## Organization/Staff Review

Under the supervision of an Assistant Treasurer, the Division executes and manages the investment programs of the pension and trust funds. The ten-member professional staff are responsible for: analyzing plan liabilities; recommending asset allocation policy; selecting, monitoring, and reporting on the investment advisors retained to invest the State's pension and trust assets; supervising the activities of the custodian bank which retains physical custody of, safeguards, and provides record keeping services for plan assets; reviewing the accounting for the assets to ensure that the earnings of the Funds are properly determined and that each of the pension plans and trust funds receive their correct share of such earnings; analyzing investment valuations for propriety to ensure consistency and integrity in performance presentation; and reporting on the investment performance of the pension and trust assets to the Treasurer and the Investment Advisory Council. The Division's operations are conducted through three units: Pension M anagement, Alternative Investments, and Accounting and Control.

## Operating Expenses

The Division allocates all operating overhead directly to the earnings of the pension and trust fund assets under management. It is therefore incumbent upon the Division to manage assets in a cost-effective manner consistent with maximizing long-term returns.

## Investment Policy

It is an immutable principle of pension fund management that the decision on how fund assets are allocated represents as much as $90 \%$ of the returns. In August, 1999, the Investment Advisory Council (see page 14) endorsed the asset allocation plan, which governs Fund investments today. The Asset Allocation Plan's main objective is to maximize investment returns over the long term at an acceptable level of risk, primarily through asset diversification. Risk, in this context, is defined as volatility of investment returns. (See Investment Performance discussion.)

Diversification across asset classes is a critical component in structuring portfolios to maximize return at a given level of risk. Likewise, asset allocation is used to minimize risk while seeking a specific level of return. In selecting an asset allocation strategy, there is a careful examination of the expected risk/return tradeoffs, corre lation of investment returns, and diversification benefits of the available asset classes (i.e., those not restricted by statute) under different economic scenarios.

As shown in Figure 3, the number and complexity of asset classes comprising the Investment Policy have fluctuated during the last ten years. New asset classes have been introduced to diversify the pension and trust assets while changing economic environments have required different allocation strategies. As of June 30, 2000, multiple asset classes were included in the Investment Policy, including U.S. Equity, International Equity, U.S. Fixed Income, Equity Real Estate, and Alternative Investments.

At fiscal year-end, domestic and international equities comprised the largest asset allocation, at 54\%. Equities have an established record of maximizing investment returns over the long term. Fixed income and alternative

Figure3
PENSION ANDTRUST FUNDS
Asset Class Diversification

investments were also included to allow the Fund both to leverage portfolio returns during highly inflationary or deflationary environments and to mitigate the effects of volatility in the stock market. The actual asset allocations at fiscal year-end differed slightly from the Investment Policy targets as shown in Figure 4.

Figure4
PENSION AND TRUST FUND ASSET ALLOCATION
Actual vs. Policy at June 30, 2000

|  | Actual | Target <br> Policy |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| U.S. EQUITY | $\mathbf{4 0 . 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 . 0 \%}$ |
| Mutual Equity Fund (MEF) | $40.4 \%$ |  |
| INTERNATIONAL EQUITY | $\mathbf{1 3 . 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 0 \%}$ |
| International Stock Fund (ISF) | $13.4 \%$ |  |
| EQUITY COMMERCIAL REAL ESTATE | $\mathbf{2 . 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 0 \%}$ |
| Real Estate Fund (REF) | $2.3 \%$ |  |
| U.S. FIXED INCOME | $\mathbf{3 2 . 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 0 \%}$ |
| Mutual Fixed Income Fund (MFIF) | $29.6 \%$ |  |
| Commercial Mortgage Fund (CMF) | $0.8 \%$ |  |
| Cash Reserve Account (CRA) | $1.8 \%$ |  |
| NON U.S. FIXED INCOME | $\mathbf{n} / \mathbf{a}$ | $\mathbf{n / a}$ |
| ALTERNATIVE INVESTMENTS | $\mathbf{1 1 . 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 0 \%}$ |
| Venture Capital Fund (VCF) | $11.7 \%$ |  |

TOTAL
$100.0 \%$
(1) M FIF's advisors areallowed to invest in non U .S.fixed incomeassets on an opportunistic basis.

To realize the allocations set forth in the Asset Allocation Plan, the Division operates seven Combined Investment Funds ("CIF" or the "Funds") as a series of mutual funds in which the pension and trust funds may invest through the purchase of ownership interests. Each Fund is designed to replicate one or more of the six asset classes outlined in the Policy.

During the 2000 General Assembly, Public Act 0043,A nAct Concerning Powers and Duties of theT reasurer and the I nvestment Advisory Council, was passed and signed into law by the Governor. At the behest of the Treasurer, the requirement that a comprehensive Investment Policy Statement be developed, under which the Connecticut Retirement Pension and Trust Funds would be governed, was included in the Act. The Act requires that the Treasurer develop and submit to the Investment Advisory Council an Investment Policy Statement that includes the following: (A) investment objectives; (B) asset allocation policy and risk tolerance; (C) asset and specific limitation or other considerations governing the investment of any funds; ( $D$ ) investment manager guidelines; (E) investment performance evaluation guidelines; (F) guidelines for the selection and termination of providers of investment related services; and (G) proxy voting guidelines.

## Fund M anagement

The Treasurer employs external advisors to invest each Fund. Advisors are selected based on asset class expertise, investment performance and style and are expected to comply with the parameters, guidelines, and restrictions set forth in the Policy.

As of June 30, 2000, 71 external advisors were employed by the Treasury to invest the pension and trust assets, a decrease of 3 advisors from June 30, 1999.

## Securities Lending

Since November 1973, the Treasury has maintained a securities lending program for the Combined Investment

Figure5
COM BINED INVESTMENT FUNDS Advisor Breakdown

|  | June 30, | June 30, |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Fund | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |
| MEF | 10 | 10 |
| ISF | 6 | 6 |
| PIF | 35 | 35 |
| MFIF | 11 | 11 |
| CMF | 1 | 1 |
| REF | 10 | 7 |
| CRA | 1 | 1 |
| Total $^{(1)}$ | 74 | 71 |

(1) A ctual total advisors was 68 and 66 , respectively when factoring in advisors across multiplefunds.

Funds designed to enhance investment returns. This program involves the lending of securities to broker/dealers secured by collateral valued slightly in excess of the market value of the loaned securities. Typically, the loaned securities are used by broker/dealers as collateral for repurchase agreements and other structured investment products, as well as to cover short sales, customer defaults, dividend recapture, and arbitrage trades. To mitigate the risks of securities lending transactions, the master custodian carefully monitors the credit ratings of each counter-party and overall collateral level. Collateral held is marked-tomarket on a daily basis to ensure adequate coverage.

State Street Bank and Trust Company, the current master custodian for the Funds, is responsible for marketing the program, lending the securities, and obtaining adequate collateral. For the year ended June 30, 2000, securities with a market value of approximately $\$ 184$ billion had been loaned against collateral of approximately $\$ 193$ billion. Income generated by securities lending totaled $\$ 6.6$ million for the fiscal year.

## The Year in Review

## Total Fund Performance

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2000, the Combined Investment Funds achieved an annual total return of $13.13 \%$, net of all expenses. The Funds continued to be well diversified given the long-term risk/return objectives, while adhering to established investment guidelines. For the sixth year in a row, the Funds have outperformed their actuarially determined assumed rate of return of $8.5 \%$. For a detailed explanation of Fund performance, see the 2000 Investment Performance discussion that follows.

## Investment Policy

## Domestic Equity

$M$ anagement of the equity portfolio uses both a pure indexing and enhanced indexing strategy. Enhanced indexing involves identifying, through market analysis and research, those securities in the index which are most likely to under-perform, and discarding them from the portfolio. This is achieved while maintaining industry weightings consistent with the overall index. The goal of enhanced indexing is to generate a return slightly in excess of the selected index. Indexing is a particularly appropriate strategy for the "large-cap" segment of the equity markets, which is defined as the securities of the largest capitalized public companies, typically comprising the major market indices. M oreover, significant research demonstrates that the U.S. equity markets, particularly the large-cap segment, are widely considered the world's most "efficient" markets, and therefore are the most difficult to "beat" with active investment management.

W ithin the "small- and mid-cap" sections of the equity markets, active management continues allow pension funds the opportunity to receive enhance returns. Smalland mid-cap securities are issued by companies that are much smaller and not as closely monitored, researched or analyzed as the larger capitalization companies. Consequently, the small-cap segment of the U.S. equity market is less "efficient." Certain active investment advisors are therefore more likely to outperform the markets over the long term, while earning an acceptable level of return per unit of risk.

As currently structured, the domestic equity portfolio replicates the approximate capitalization of the market as a whole with $77.4 \%$ of the Fund invested in large-cap stocks and $22.6 \%$ in small-cap stocks. M ore than $84 \%$ of the entire domestic equity portfolio adheres to an indexing or enhanced indexing strategy.

## International Equity

During fiscal year 2000, the structure of the International Stock Fund (ISF) was revised to reflect the long-term performance objectives of this asset class. It was determined that the Fund would consist of a series of externally managed equity portfolios which, in aggregate, are structured to achieve long-term performance consistent with nonU.S. equity markets and add diversification of the total portfolio. The ISF's hybrid benchmark is $83 \%$ of the Morgan Stanley Extended Index-ex U.S.-half-hedged and $17 \%$ of the M organ Stanley Emerging M arkets Free Index.

The ISF performance objective is to outperform the hybrid benchmark net of management fees by 100 basis points per annum over rolling five-year time periods. The revised structure and new benchmark will be implemented during fiscal year 2001

## Fixed Income

The M utual Fixed Income Fund serves as an investment tool for the Pension and Trust Funds with the goal of reducing volatility in Fund returns under various economic scenarios. During periods of low inflation, fixed income investments may enhance the overall performance of the Pension and Trust Funds, while in times of moderate inflation and high nominal interest rates, these investments may contribute investment returns. The Fund measures its performance against the Lehman Brothers Aggregate Index, widely considered to be a surrogate for the performance of the U.S. bond market.

The current fixed income structure includes convertible bonds and high yield bonds as security classes. Convertible bonds allow bondholders to exchange bonds for a specified number of shares of common stock in a firm. This gives holders of the bonds an option to share in the price appreciation of the company's stock and is an effective diversification tool for the fixed income portfolio. The high yield asset class allows the fund to take advantage of attractive yields of securities of companies with the potential for improving credit quality.

## Real Estate and Private Equity Investments

During fiscal year 2000, hundreds of millions of dollars in commitments of pension assets were withdrawn or reduced from private equity investments selected by the former Treasurer. In addition, new protocols including mandatory disclosure of third party fees were designed and implemented to protect against the types of misconduct committed by the former Treasurer.

The portion of the Policy governing the structure of the alternative investment portfolios, comprising invest-
ments in real estate and private equity, is under review. The strategic asset allocation that was determined for the real estate asset class was 5\%, and $11 \%$ for Private equity.

## 2000 Investment Performance

## Introduction

This section presents the performance of the Combined Investment Funds and discusses the Treasury's approach to measuring performance, including risk and return.

The charts, tables, and graphs on the following pages represent a synthesis of techniques and formats used by the mutual fund industry, public and private sector pension funds, and recognized investment authorities.

## Understanding Performance

To measure success in achieving the primary objective of the Asset Allocation Plan, the Fund's performance is evaluated in two principal areas: risk and return. The re sults of these reviews, coupled with information on portfolio characteristics, are used to monitor and improve the performance of the Fund's external investment advisors.

To bring accountability and perspective to Fund performance and measurements of risk and return, The Connecticut Retirement Pension and Trust Funds are compared to those of similarly structured peer groups and indices. These comparisons enable plan participants, the Treasurer and the Investment Advisory Council, to determine whether and by how much Fund returns exceeded or fell short of the benchmarks. Each Fund's benchmark is selected on the basis of portfolio composition, investment style, and objectives.

Comparative performance is reviewed over both the near-term and the long-term for two reasons. First, pension management is, by its very nature, a long-term process. W hile both young and old employees comprise the pool of plan beneficiaries, the average age of plan participants is relatively low and requires that plan assets be managed for the long term. Second, as experience has shown, results attained in the short term are not necessarily an indicator of results to be achieved over the long term. Performance must be viewed in a broader context.

Overall performance is measured by calculating monthly returns and linking them to provide one-, three, five and ten-year histories of overall investment perfor-
mance. Short-term performance is measured by total re turn over one-month, quarter-end, and trailing one-year time periods. Risk is also measured over both short- and the long-term periods.

Rısk
The measurement of risk is a critical component in investment management. It is the basis for both strategic decision-making and investment evaluation. As an investment tool, investors assume risk to enhance portfolio re turns. These enhancements, view ed as returns in excess of those available on "risk-free" investments, such as Treasury Bills, vary in magnitude according to the degree of risk assumed. M any investors focus on the negative aspects of risk and in doing so forego substantial upside potential, which can significantly enhance long-term returns. Thus, while risk can never be completely eliminated from a portfolio, the prudent management of risk can maximize investment returns at acceptable levels of risk.

Risk can take several forms and include: market risk, the risk of fluctuations in the overall market for securities; company risk, the risk of investing in any single company's stock or bonds; currency-exchange risk, the risk that a foreign country's currency may appreciate or depreciate relative to the U.S. dollar, thus impacting the value of foreign investments; and political risk, risk incurred through investing in foreign countries with volatile economies and political systems.

W ith respect to fixed income investments, investors also assume: reinvestment risk, the risk that cash flows received from a security will be reinvested at lower rates due to declining interest rates; credit or default risk, the risk that the issuer of a fixed income security may fail to make principal and interest payments on the security; interest rate risk, the risk that prices of fixed coupon bonds will decline in the event of rising market interest rates; and inflation or purchasing power risk the risk that the real value of a security and its cash flows may be reduced by inflation. The level of risk incurred in fixed income investing increases as the investment time horizon is lengthened. This is demonstrated by the comparatively higher yields available on "long bonds," or bonds maturing in 20 to 30 years, versus those available on short-term fixed income securities.

In the alternative investment category, risks are significantly greater than those of publicly traded investments. Assessment of progress is more tenuous and valuation judgments are more complex. The investor assumes not only management, product, market, and operations risk, similar to equity investing, but also assumes liquidity risk, the risk that one's investment cannot be immediately liquidated at other than substantially discounted value.

## Volatility

To measure the effects of risk on the portfolio, the volatility of returns is calculated over time. Volatility, view ed as deviation of returns from an average of these returns over time, is measured statistically by standard deviation. Standard deviation is one of the most widely accepted and descriptive risk measures used by investment professionals today. Funds with high standard deviations are considered riskier than those with low standard deviations.

To evaluate the significance of the Funds' standard deviation, each Fund's relative volatility, or the ratio of the Fund's standard deviation to that of the benchmark is calculated. A relative volatility greater than 10 indicates that the Fund is more volatile than the benchmark while a measure less than 10 indicates less volatility. A relative volatility of 10 signifies an equal degree of volatility betw een the Fund and the benchmark.

As an extension of standard deviation, each Fund's beta, or a measure of the relative price fluctuation of the Fund to its benchmark, is also calculated. The measure ment of beta allows one to evaluate the sensitivity of Fund returns to given movements in the market and/or its benchmark. A beta greater than 10 compared to the selected market benchmark signifies greater price sensitivity while a beta less than 10 indicates less sensitivity.

To measure the degree of correlation betw een Fund returns and the benchmark, the Division calculates the coefficient of determination, or R2. This calculation, which is used in conjunction with beta, allows one to evaluate how much of the volatility in Fund returns is explained by returns in the selected market benchmark. An $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ of 10 indicates that Fund returns are perfectly explained by returns of the benchmark, while a value less than 10 indicates that the returns of the benchmark explain only a portion of the fund return.

Finally, to evaluate how well each of the above measures actually predicted returns of the Fund, a calculation is performed on the Fund's alpha. This calculation measures the absolute difference betw een the Fund's monthly return and that predicted by its beta. Used together, these measures provide a comprehensive view of a Fund's relative risk profile.

## Return

The Pension and Trust Funds are managed for maximum return with minimal risk. Return, view ed in this context, includes realized and unrealized gains in the market value of a security, including those attributable to currency fluctuations, as well as income distributed from a security such as dividends and interest. Return is measured through
two calculations: compounded annual total return and cumulative total return.

Compounded Annual Total Return - This return measure evaluates performance over the short and long-term. Compounded annual total return measures the implicit annual percentage change in value of an investment, assuming reinvestment of dividends, interest, and realized and unrealized capital gains, including those attributable to currency fluctuations. In effect, compounded annual total return "smoothes" fluctuations in long-term investment returns to derive an implied year-to-year annual return.

Cumulative Total Return - This calculation measures the absolute percentage change in value of an investment over a specified period, assuming reinvestment of dividends, interest income, and realized capital gains. W hile this calculation does not "smooth" year-to-year fluctuations in long-term returns to derive implied annual performance, cumulative total return allows one to see on an absolute basis the percentage increase in the total Fund's value over a specified time. Viewed graphically, cumulative total re turn shows one what a $\$ 10$ million investment in the Combined Investment Funds a set number of years ago would be worth today.

## Total Fund Performance

The return of the Combined Investment Funds is evaluated as a whole against two benchmarks: the actuarially determined assumed rate of return of $8.5 \%$, which when combined with scheduled funding will allow the plan to meet future benefits payments as they become due, and a 50/50 blend of the S\& P 500 and the Lehman Brothers Aggregate Index ("blended index"). The actuarially determined assumed rate of return includes normal pension costs and past service amortization payments as well as payments necessary to reduce the unfunded liabilities. Performance, evaluated against the blended indices, is shown graphi-

Figure6
COM BINED INVESTM ENT FUNDS
Periods ending June 30, 2000

|  | $1 Y R$ | $3 Y R S$ | $5 Y R S$ | $10 Y R S$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Compounded, Annual Total Return (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| CIF | $\mathbf{1 3 . 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 4 2}$ |
| S\&P 500 | 7.30 | 19.65 | 23.79 | 17.80 |
| Lehman Agg | 4.56 | 6.03 | 6.25 | 7.82 |
| 50/50 Blend | 6.22 | 13.09 | 15.02 | 12.92 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative Total Return (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| CIF | $\mathbf{1 3 . 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 . 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 . 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 4 . 7 6}$ |
| S\&P 500 | 7.30 | 71.35 | 190.82 | 414.57 |
| Lehman Agg | 4.56 | 19.21 | 35.39 | 112.22 |
| 50/50 Blend | 6.22 | 44.62101 .33 | 237.14 |  |

cally on the basis of net total Fund returns versus those achieved by the benchmarks.

As shown in Figure 6, for the oneyear period ended June 30, 2000, the Combined Investment Funds achieved a net annual total return of $13.13 \%$. This return outperformed the blended index return of $6.22 \%$ by 691 basis points. For the past oneyear and threeyear periods, the Funds outperformed the actuarial rate by 4.6 and 5.1 percentage points, respectively. It should be noted that performance above the actuarially determined assumed rate of return helps further reduce the unfunded pension obligation.

Solid returns produced in the Mutual Equity Fund, International Stock Fund, and Private Investment Fund helped to enhance the CRPTF's return. An explanation of each Fund's performance, including portfolio characteristics and benchmark comparisons, is included in the individual Fund discussion, which follows.

Figure 7
COM BINED INVESTM ENT FUNDS A nnual Total Return


W hile volatility in investment returns is expected in the short-term, the T reasurer's long-term performance w ith respect to managing the Pension and Trust assets is most important. The Combined Investment Funds generated compounded annual total returns of $13.57 \%$, $14.81 \%$, and $1142 \%$, net of all expenses, over the last three-, five-, and ten-year periods, respectively. These returns outperformed those generated by the blended index for three years by 0.48 percentage points, and underperformed by 0.21 , and 150 percentage points for the five- and ten-year periods, respectively. Principal reasons include investment environments and asset allocation strategy in effect over the selected time periods. View ed on a dollar-for-dollar basis, had one invested $\$ 10$ million in the Combined Investment Funds ten years ago, that investment w ould have been w orth $\$ 29.4$ million at June 30,2000 , versus $\$ 33.7$ million for an investment in the blended index.

Figure 8 shows the Combined Investment Funds annualized standard deviation relative to its benchmark, the blended Index and the two Indices that make up the benchmark, the S\& P 500 and the LB Aggregate, over the last three, five, and ten-year periods. As the chart shows, the Funds standard deviation was slightly higher than the blended index. The Fund was 110, 106, and equally as risky as the blended index over the respective time periods.

Figure8

COM BINED INVESTM ENT FUNDS
Annualized Standard Deviation


During fiscal year 2000, the total Pension and T rust net assets under management of the Treasurer grew from $\$ 19.8$ billion to $\$ 219$ billion, an increase of approximately $\$ 2.1$ billion. Of this total, $\$ 2.6$ billion was due primarily to net investment income and realized and unrealized capital gains, offset by $\$ 473$ million in net cash outflows to participating pension plans and trusts.

Figure9
CONNECTICUT PENSION AND TRUST FUNDS TUCS R anking (\%) for Periods ending June 30, 2000

|  | 1YR | 3YRS | 5YRS | 10 YRS |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Public Funds $>\$ 1$ Billion |  |  |  |  |
| Percentile Return | 20.21 | 15.43 | 17.45 | 13.97 |
| 5th | 12.69 | 14.35 | 16.10 | 13.49 |
| 25th | 9.56 | 13.10 | 15.35 | 12.77 |
| 50th | 7.63 | 11.59 | 13.56 | 12.23 |
| 75th | 6.13 | 10.43 | 12.56 | 11.52 |
| 95th |  |  |  |  |
|  | 13.38 | 13.85 | 15.09 | 11.74 |
| CT Pension and Trust Funds | 18 | 42 | 50 | 84 |
| Return |  |  |  |  |
| Public Funds Ranking |  |  |  |  |
|  | 26 | 44 | 60 | 86 |
| Total Master Trusts Ranking |  |  |  |  |

Source: StateStreet Bank
(1) Gross Reurn (nd of fees)


## Combined Investment Funds

 Total Return Analysis (\%)|  | Fiscal Years Ending June 30, |  |  |  |  | Annualized |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Asset Class (\% of Total Fund) | 2000 | 1999 | 1998 | 1997 | 1996 | $3$ <br> Years | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ \text { Years } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ \text { Years } \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Fund (100.0\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Combined Investment Funds | 13.13\% | 10.49\% | 17.19\% | 19.35\% | 14.14\% | 13.57\% | 14.81\% | 11.42\% |
| S\&P 500 | 7.30 | 22.74 | 30.21 | 34.56 | 26.12 | 19.65 | 23.79 | 17.80 |
| LB Aggregate | 4.56 | 3.13 | 10.54 | 8.16 | 5.01 | 6.03 | 6.25 | 7.82 |
| 50/50 Blend | 6.22 | 13.22 | 20.26 | 20.90 | 15.17 | 13.09 | 15.02 | 12.92 |
| U.S. Stocks (46.0\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mutual Equity Fund (46.0\%) | 10.03 | 19.38 | 28.40 | 30.74 | 23.98 | 19.04 | 22.28 | 16.65 |
| Russell 3000 Index | 9.60 | 20.10 | 28.81 | 30.58 | 26.05 | 19.24 | 22.78 | 17.52 |
| Russell 1000 Index | 9.25 | 21.92 | 30.15 | 32.26 | 26.24 | 20.13 | 23.69 | 17.93 |
| International Stocks (12.5\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| International Stock Fund (12.5\%) | 20.13 | 6.77 | 1.52 | 15.67 | 12.58 | 9.20 | 11.14 | 7.62 |
| MSCI EAFE Index (Unhedged) | 17.16 | 7.62 | 6.10 | 12.84 | 13.28 | 10.18 | 11.32 | 7.95 |
| MSCI EAFE Index (Hedged) | 19.93 | 7.83 | 14.89 | 19.94 | 28.74 | 14.11 | 18.06 | 6.22 |
| MSCI Europe Index | 15.10 | (0.84) | 37.06 | 29.99 | 14.67 | 16.08 | 18.45 | 12.86 |
| MSCI Pacific Index | 21.60 | 32.64 | (34.60) | (4.53) | 11.89 | 1.79 | 2.41 | 2.34 |
| Real Estate (2.2\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real Estate Fund | 9.18 | 9.96 | 25.63 | 10.69 | 0.83 | 14.68 | 10.98 | 1.77 |
| Russell NCREIF(1 Qtr. Lag) | 11.10 | 14.32 | 15.48 | 10.21 | 7.85 | 13.82 | 11.88 | 5.82 |
| U.S. Fixed Income (32.3\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mutual Fixed Income Fund (31.1\%) | 5.77 | 2.64 | 10.52 | 10.62 | 5.97 | 6.26 | 7.06 | 8.96 |
| Commercial Mortgage Fund (1.2\%) | 8.26 | 6.10 | 17.71 | 9.82 | 6.46 | 10.58 | 9.59 | 8.72 |
| LB Aggregate | 4.56 | 3.13 | 10.54 | 8.16 | 5.01 | 6.03 | 6.25 | 7.82 |
| Lehman Treasury | 5.33 | 2.97 | 11.32 | 7.30 | 4.47 | 6.48 | 6.24 | 7.78 |
| Lehman Agency | 3.96 | 3.24 | 10.76 | 8.05 | 4.79 | 5.94 | 6.12 | 7.76 |
| Lehman Corporate | 3.02 | 1.90 | 11.39 | 8.79 | 5.11 | 5.35 | 5.98 | 8.18 |
| Lehman Mortgage | 5.04 | 4.01 | 8.92 | 9.09 | 5.86 | 5.97 | 6.56 | 7.78 |
| Lehman Asset Backed | 4.77 | 4.44 | 8.07 | 7.52 | 5.51 | 5.75 | 6.05 | N/A |
| Alternative Assets (6.0\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private Investment Fund (6.0\%) | 53.86 | -0.81 | 18.55 | 5.68 | 43.78 | 21.85 | 21.99 | 13.30 |
| Russell 3000 Index | 9.60 | 20.10 | 28.81 | 30.58 | 26.05 | 19.24 | 22.78 | 17.52 |
| Cash (1.0\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash Reserve Account (1.0\%) | 5.96 | 5.46 | 5.86 | 5.70 | 5.90 | 5.76 | 5.78 | 5.62 |
| Consumer Price Index All Urban | 3.69 | 1.96 | 1.76 | 2.31 | 2.75 | 2.44 | 2.47 | 2.87 |
| Salomon Bros 3 Month T-Bills | 5.31 | 4.71 | 5.23 | 5.26 | 5.44 | 5.08 | 5.19 | 4.93 |
| Salomon Bros 3 Month CD | 6.00 | 5.37 | 5.82 | 5.64 | 5.79 | 5.73 | 5.72 | 5.38 |
| IBC | 5.58 | 5.03 | 5.49 | 5.27 | 5.44 | 5.37 | 5.36 | 4.98 |

## CASH RESERVE ACCOUNT

Fund Facts at June 30, 2000
Investment Strategy/G oals: To serve as a cash management tool for the pension and trust funds by investing in high quality, liquid money market securities.

Performance Objective: An annual total return in excess of the index.

| Benchmark: | IBC Index |
| :--- | :---: |
|  |  |
| Date of Inception: | September 1, 1987 |
| Total Net Assets: | $\$ 1,533,613,137$ |
| N umber of Advisors: | 1 external |
| M anagement Fees: | $\$ 297,695$ |
| Operating Expenses: | $\$ 164,316$ |
| Expense Ratio: | $0.03 \%$ |

CASH RESERVEACCOUNT
Ownership A nalysis at June 30, 2000 ( $\$$ in millions)


CIF - Combined Investment Funds
TERF - Teachers' R etirement Fund
SERF - State Employees R etirement Fund
M ERF - Connecticut M unicipal Employees' Retirement Fund

## Performance Summary

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2000, the Cash Reserve Account (CRA) generated a total return of $6.0 \%$, outperforming the benchmark IBC Index of $5.6 \%$, by 40 basis points. The Fund's return also outperformed the 90 Day Treasury Bill (5.3\%) by 70 basis points.

For the trailing three, five, and ten-year periods, CRA's compounded annual total return was $5.8 \%, 5.8 \%$, and $5.6 \%$, respectively, net of expenses. The returns exceeded those of the IBC Index for all time periods.

## Description of the Fund

The Cash Reserve Account is an externally managed money-market pool investing in high-quality liquid money market securities. It serves as a cash management investment tool for the State's Pension and Trust Funds and is considered a separate asset class in the Combined Investment Funds, offering protection against inflation.

CASH RESERVE ACCOUNT INVESTMENT ADVISOR
June 30, 2000

|  | Net Asset <br> Value | \% of |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Fund |  |  |
| Investment Advisor | $\$ 1,533,613,137$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| State Street Global Advisors | $\underline{\mathbf{1 , 5 3 3 , 6 1 3 , 1 3 7}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}}$ |
| TOTAL |  |  |

The Account uses the basic strategy of buying on market weakness, that is, when interest rates rise, CRA takes advantage by investing in higher fixed yields and by extending the average maturity for the Fund. Conversely, CRA increases exposure in floating rate securities, which perform well in a declining interest rate environment. To ensure sufficient liquidity for unexpected plan withdrawals, CRA maintains adequate investments in extremely short-term assets. CRA continually analyzes potential interest rate movement and change in the shape of the yield curve to ensure the most effective short-term money management for the Pension and Trust Funds. Due to the shortterm investment nature of CRA, it is considered to be lowrisk. Consequently, returns realized by CRA may be lower than those realized by funds with fixed income investments maturing over a longer time horizon.

CRA's performance objective is to exceed the IBC First Tier Institutions Only Rated Money Fund Report Index, an average of rated institutional money market mutual funds that invest primarily in first-tier (securities rated A1, P1) taxable securities.

During the fiscal year, CRA assets under management grew from $\$ 1373$ billion to $\$ 1534$ billion, an increase of $\$ 161$ million or $12 \%$.

## Portfolio Characteristics

Persistent consumer demand and tight labor markets in the U.S. combined with recovering economies abroad led Federal Reserve policy makers to conduct a string of three increases of 25 basis point beginning June 30, 1999 and ending November 16, 1999. During this period, the CRA investment portfolio was shortened from 67 days on June 30, 1999 to 40 days on November 30, 1999 primarily due to an influx of cash to maintain a greater liquid posi-

## PENSION FUNDS M ANAGEM ENT DIVISION

tion than normal throughout the last quarter of 1999 (to hedge against Y2K liquidity concerns). This investment decision resulted in the fund calendar year-end investment maturity of 44 days, slightly lower than IBC benchmark of 48 days. United States Y2K liquidity reserves dried up throughout the first quarter of 2000, and excessive consumer demand along with continued labor market tightness stirred fears of higher inflation with Federal Reserve governors. Prompted by changing economic data, the Federal Reserve tightened monetary policy with additional interest rate increases of 25 basis points on February 2, 2000, followed by 25 basis points on M arch 21, 2000, and finally 50 basis points on $M$ ay 16,2000 . This fairly aggressive 100 basis point tightening in the first half of 2000, combined with the markets perception of the need for further tightening, created a very steep yield curve environment.

CASH RESERVE ACCOUNT
Security M aturity ${ }^{(1)}$ A nal ysis at June 30, 2000

(1) Or interest rate reset period.

During this time, a favorable backdrop for fixed income securities set the tone for an aggressive posture of buying on market weakness and thereby extending CRA average investment maturity to 81 days at year-end, compared to the index benchmark of 52 days. The distribution

CASH RESERVE ACCOUNT Distribution by Yield ${ }^{(\mathbb{1})}$ at June 30, 2000

(1) Represents yidd to maturity.
of investments by maturity at June 30, 2000 included: overnight ( $16 \%$ ), 2-90 days (60\%), over 90 days (24\%). The Fund's three largest security weightings included adjustable and fixed rate Asset-Backed Securities (27.5\%), Certificates of Deposit (24.1\%), and Commercial Paper (29.8\%).

| CASH RESERVE ACCOUNT Q uarterly W eighted Average M aturity |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quarter End | CRA | IBC Index |
| 6/30/00 | 81 days | 52 days |
| 3/31/00 | 80 days | 48 days |
| 12/31/99 | 44 days | 48 days |
| 9/30/99 | 86 days | 49 days |
| 6/ 30/99 | 67 days | 58 days |

Over the last year the Fund's assets ranged from \$10 billion to $\$ 19$ billion, ending the year at $\$ 15$ billion. The average days to maturity ranged as short as 40 days to as long as 86 days. Due to aggressive Federal Reserve posture and market perception of higher future rates, the shortterm yield remained relatively steep throughout the year. The Fund's average days to maturity were permitted to decline in anticipation of Y2K related liquidity risks, and then promptly extended during the first and second quarter of 2000. Exposure was increased in fixed and floating rate Asset Backed Securities to 27.5\% on June 30, 2000 from $26.8 \%$ on June 30, 1999. This increased exposure to secured debt helps to hedge against credit risk, which generally deteriorates in a high interest rate environment. Exposure was also increased in one to three month Asset Backed Se-curities-Commercial Paper, which was priced from 2 basis points to 5 basis points less than the corresponding matu-

CASH RESERVEACCOUNT
Distribution by Security Type at June 30, 2000


Fiscal Year 2000 Annual Report

## PENSION FUNDS M AN AGEM ENT DIVISION

rity of bank and finance Commercial Paper. M anagement continues to look for opportunities to increase the floating rate note exposure, using standard money market indices such as one month LIBOR, three month LIBOR, prime and Federal Reserve funds, which will perform well in a rising interest rate environment.

| CASH RESERVE ACCOUNT Comprehensive Profile |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | Number of Issues | Yield ${ }^{(1)}$ | Average M aturity | Average Quality |
| 2000 | 109 | 5.96\% | 81 days | A-1+/AA+ |
| 1999 | 102 | 5.46\% | 67 days | A-1+/AA + |
| 1998 | 81 | 5.86\% | 60 days | A-1+/AA+ |
| 1997 | 53 | 5.70\% | 71 days | A-1+/ AA+ |
| 1996 | 46 | 5.90\% | 50 days | A-1+/ AA |
| 1995 | 48 | 5.83\% | 32 days | TBW-1/ AAA |

(1) Represents annual total return of the F und for the year ended June 30 .

CASH RESERVE ACCOUNT Quarterly Yield ${ }^{(1)}$ Analysis

| Quarter End | CRA | IBC Index |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $6 / 30 / 00$ | $6.59 \%$ | $5.86 \%$ |
| $3 / 31 / 00$ | $6.26 \%$ | $5.26 \%$ |
| $12 / 31 / 99$ | $5.85 \%$ | $5.08 \%$ |
| $9 / 30 / 99$ | $5.44 \%$ | $4.69 \%$ |
| $6 / 30 / 99$ | $5.16 \%$ | $4.78 \%$ |

(1) A n annualized historical yield based on the preceeding month's level of income earned by the F und.

| CASH RESERVE ACCOUNT Periods ending June 30, 2000 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1YR | 3YRS | 5YRS | 10 YRS |
| Compounded, Annual T otal Return (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| CRA | 5.96 | 5.76 | 5.78 | 5.62 |
| IBC | 5.58 | 5.37 | 5.36 | 4.98 |
| CPI-U rban | 3.69 | 2.44 | 2.47 | 2.87 |
| Salomon 3M onth CD | 6.00 | 5.73 | 5.72 | 5.38 |
| Salomon 3M onth T-Bill | 5.31 | 5.08 | 5.19 | 4.93 |
| CumulativeT otal R eturn (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| CRA | 5.96 | 18.30 | 32.44 | 72.71 |
| IBC | 5.58 | 16.98 | 29.85 | 62.54 |
| CPI-U rban | 3.69 | 7.50 | 13.00 | 32.67 |
| Salomon 3M onth CD | 6.00 | 18.18 | 32.08 | 68.94 |
| Salomon 3M onth T-Bill | 5.31 | 16.03 | 28.77 | 6180 |

## Risk Profile

Due to the short-term nature of CRA, it is generally considered to be low-risk. Consequently, returns realized by CRA may be significantly lower than those realized by funds with fixed income investments maturing over a longer time horizon. Similarly, the investments' short time horizon, along with the quality of the issuing entities, mitigates traditional concerns over interest rate, default and currency exchange risk.

Based on returns over the last five years, the Fund exhibited a higher degree of risk relative to the IBC Index, as evidenced by its relative volatility of 100 . Its standard deviation of .14 suggests comparatively low overall volatility, while its beta of .94 indicates a high overall correlation to returns achieved by the Index. The R2 of .81 indicates a moderate correlation to returns achieved by the Index. In the aggregate, CRA achieved a positive annual alpha, or return in excess of that predicted by returns of its benchmark of 48.

| CASH RESERVE ACCOUNT $^{(\$)}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Risk Profile at June 30, 2000 |  |
|  |  |
| Relative Volatility | 1.00 |
| Standard Deviation | 0.14 |
| $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | 0.81 |
| Beta | 0.94 |
| Alpha | 0.48 |

(1) Based upon returns over thelast fiveyears.

CASH RESERVEACCOUNT Annual Total Return

(1) Represents annual total return of the F und for year ended June 30 .

## MUTUAL EQUITY FUND

Fund Facts at June 30, 2000
Investment Strategy/G oals: To participate in the growth of the U.S. economy through the ownership of domestic equity securities.

Performance $\mathbf{O}$ bjective: An annual total return which is one percentage point greater than that of the Russell 3000 after expenses.

| Benchmark: | Russell 3000 Index |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| Date of Inception: | July 1, 1972 |
| Total N et Assets: | $\$ 8,852,556,642$ |
| Number of Advisors: | 10 external |
| M anagement Fees: | $\$ 19,427,107$ |
| Operating Expenses ${ }^{(\sqrt{2})}:$ | $\$ 766,095$ |
| ExpenseR atio ${ }^{(1)}$ : | $0.22 \%$ |
| Turnover: | $56.2 \%$ |

(1) Excludes securities lending fees and rebates.

MUTUAL EQUITY FUND
Ownership Analysis at June 30,2000 ( $\$$ in millions)


## TERF - Teachers' Retirement Fund

SERF - State Employees Retirement Fund
M ERF - C onnecticut M unicipal Employees'Retirement Fund

## Performance Summary

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2000, the M utual Equity Fund (MEF) generated a total return of $10.0 \%$, net of fees, outperforming the benchmark Russell 3000 index (9.6\%) by 43 basis points. The Fund's superior performance was driven primarily by advantageous stock selection by the managers during the year.

During this same period, MEF's net assets declined from $\$ 9.124$ billion to approximately $\$ 8.853$ billion, a decrease of $\$ 0.271$ billion. Of this net total change, approximately $\$ 0.825$ billion was added due to net investment income including realized and unrealized capital gains, while $\$ 1096$ billion was subtracted due to net cash outflows to participating pension plans and trusts.

W hile volatility in investment returns is expected in the short-term, the Fund's long-term performance is most important. As the table below illustrates, M EF has generated compounded annual total returns, net of all expenses, of $19.0 \%, 22.3 \%$, and $16.7 \%$ over the last three, five, and tenyear periods, respectively. How ever, while investment performance has been impressive, the returns under-performed the Russell 3000 for the same time periods by 20,50 , and 87 basis points, respectively.

```
MUTUAL EQUITY FUND
Periods ending June 30, 2000
```

|  | 1YR | 3YRS | $5 Y R S$ | 10 YRS |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Compounded, Annual Total Return (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| MEF | 10.03 | 19.04 | 22.28 | 16.65 |
| Russell 3000 | 9.60 | 19.24 | 22.78 | 17.52 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative Total Return (\%) | 10.03 | 68.66 | 173.41 | 366.46 |
| MEF | 9.60 | 69.55 | 179.00 | 402.55 |
| Russell 3000 |  |  |  |  |

The MEF's cumulative total returns for the three, five, and ten year periods ending June 30, 2000, were 68.7\%, $173.4 \%$, and $366.5 \%$, respectively.

## Description of the Fund

The Mutual Equity Fund (MEF) is an externally managed fund investing in domestic equity securities. It serves as an investment vehicle for the Pension and Trust Funds with the goal of earning prudent returns while participating in the growth of the U.S. economy.

MEF's performance objective is an annual total return, net of management fees and Division operating expenses, which exceeds that of the Russell 3000 Index by 100 basis points per annum. The Russell 3000 Index is a broad stock market index of the securities from the largest 3,000 publicly traded U.S. companies.

At the close of the fiscal year, MEF consisted of 10 externally managed equity portfolios structured to approximate the composition of the Russell 3000 Index. Five advisors actively managed approximately $15 \%$ of the portfolio in small to mid-capitalization stocks. Two advisors invested a total of $7 \%$ of the portfolio in small to mid-capitalization stocks using an enhanced indexing strategy. Three advisors in large capitalization stocks (of which $53 \%$ was invested using enhanced indexing strategies and $25 \%$ was invested using a passive strategy) managed the balance of the portfolio, or approximately $78 \%$. At fiscal year end, approximately $\$ 7.5$ billion, or $86 \%$, of the Fund's net assets were invested in indexed or enhanced index portfolios.

| MUTUAL EQUITY FUND INVESTMENT ADVISORS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Investment Advisor | Net Asset Value | \% of Fund |
| Large Cap \$ | \$6,849,223,561 | 77.4\% |
| (Enhanced/Risk Controlled) |  |  |
| J.P. Morgan Investment Management, Inc. | 2,476,874,063 | 28.0 |
| BGI Barclays Global Investors, N.A. <br> (Index Passive) | 2,199,400,548 | 24.8 |
| State Street Global Advisors | 2,172,948,950 | 24.6 |
| Small/Mid Cap \$ | \$1,351,525,119 | 15.3\% |
| (Active Management) |  |  |
| Brown Capital Management, Inc. | 357,587,492 | 4.0 |
| ValueQuest Ltd. | 237,476,167 | 2.7 |
| Cowen Asset Management | 225,115,171 | 2.6 |
| Dresdner RCM Global Investors LLC | 360,683,506 | 4.1 |
| Alliance Capital | 170,662,783 | 1.9 |
| Small/Mid Cap | \$ 648,189,749 | 7.3\% |
| (Enhanced/Risk Controlled) |  |  |
| The Travelers Investment Management Co | o. 329,488,679 | 3.7 |
| AXA Rosenberg Investment Management | 318,701,070 | 3.6 |
| Other (1) | \$ 3,618,213 | 0.0\% |

(1) Other represents funds earmarked for distribution to participants, reinvestment, and expenses.

## Portfolio Characteristics

At fiscal year-end, MEF was 99.3\% invested, primarily in domestic stocks, reflecting the Fund's policy that it be fully invested. The largest industry weighting at June 30, 2000 was technology (33.2\%), followed by financials ( $14.5 \%$ ) and health care ( $113 \%$ ).

The MEF's ten largest holdings, aggregating to $214 \%$ of Fund investments, included a variety of blue chip companies.

| Company | Industry | Market Value | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| General Electric | Technology | $\$ 309,429,900$ | 3.5 |
| Intel | Technology | $280,837,331$ | 3.2 |
| Cisco Systems | Technology | $275,238,338$ | 3.1 |
| Microsoft | Technology | $249,056,000$ | 2.8 |
| Nortel Networks | Technology | $158,770,248$ | 1.8 |
| Wal Mart Stores | Consumer Non-Durables | $150,072,788$ | 1.7 |
| Citigroup | Finance | $140,677,424$ | 1.6 |
| Oracle | Technology | $112,557,502$ | 1.3 |
| Exxon Mobil | Energy | $111,964,864$ | 1.3 |
| Sun Microsystems | Technology | $\mathbf{1 1 0 , 1 7 0 , 7 8 1}$ | 1.2 |
| TOTAL |  | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 8 9 8 , 7 7 5 , 1 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 4 \%}$ |
|  |  |  |  |

## Risk Profile

Based on returns over the last five years, the Fund has exhibited a similar degree of risk as that of its benchmark, the Russell 3000 Index. W ith a realized tracking error of $12 \%$, the M EF's returns have almost equal volatility to those of the Index and reflect a strong degree of correlation, 0.99, to those of the Index. However, MEF's annual alpha during the period, or re turn relative to that achieved by the benchmark, was a negative 0.27 .

(1) Based upon returns over the last five years.

MUTUALEQUITYFUND
Annual Total Return


## CHARTS PROVIDED FOR ILLUSTRATION OF FINANCIAL INFORMATION.



${ }^{(1)}$ Excludes theC ash Reserve Account.
(2) Sector Breakdow n changed during fiscal 2000 and prior fiscal datanot available.

| MUTUALEQUIT Comprehensive | Y FU Profi $\qquad$ | for Fis <br> 0 | $\begin{gathered} \text { cal Years Endin } \\ \hline 1999 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { g June 30, } \\ \quad 998 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | 1997 |  | 1996 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M EF | Russell | M EF | Russell | M EF | Russell | M EF | Russell | M EF | Russell |
| N umber of Issues | 2,325 | 3,000 | 2,370 | 3,000 | 1,568 | 3,000 | 2,397 | 3,000 | 1498 | 3,000 |
| Cap (\$ Bil) | \$118.2 | \$121.3 | \$85.4 | \$86.6 | \$54.0 | \$56.0 | \$37.4 | \$39.5 | \$25.4 | \$26.7 |
| P/E | 26.8x | 30.2x | 314 x | $32.9 x$ | 28.3x | 26.9 x | 23.2x | 22.3 x | 20.2x | 18.4x |
| DivYield | 110\% | 110\% | 120\% | 120\% | 130\% | 130\% | 150\% | 160\% | 189\% | 2.00\% |
| ROE | 19.4\% | 20.5\% | 20.2\% | 20.4\% | 20.2\% | 20.6\% | 19.4\% | 20.0\% | 20.2\% | 18.7\% |
| P/B | 9.8x | 10.7x | 7.5x | 7.8x | 7.6x | 7.9x | 5.9x | 5.9x | 5.00x | 3.03 x |
| Cash \& Equivalents | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 11\% | 0.0\% | 14\% | 0.0\% | 2.16\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% |

## INTERNATIONALSTOCK FUND

Fund Facts at June 30, 2000
Investment Strategy/G oals: To participate in the growth of the global economy through the ownership of foreign equity securities.

Performance $\mathbf{O}$ bjective: An annual total return which is one percentage point greater than the MSCI- EAFE index after expenses.

Benchmark: M organ Stanley Europe Australasia Far East Index (MSCI-EAFE).

| Date of Inception: | January 1, 1988 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Total Net Assets: | $\$ 2,929,966,291$ |
| Number of Advisors: | 6 external |
| M anagement Fees: | $\$ 8,736,651$ |
| Operating Expenses ${ }^{(\lambda)}:$ | $\$ 933,072$ |
| Expense R atio ${ }^{(\lambda)}$ : | $0.36 \%$ |
| Turnover: | $61.30 \%$ |

(1) Excludes securities lending fees and rebates.


TERF - Teachers' R etirement Fund SERF - StateEmployees Retirement Fund M ERF - Connecticut M unicipal Employees'R etirement Fund

## Performance Summary

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2000, the International Stock Fund (ISF) generated a total return of $20.1 \%$, net of fees, but under-performed the hybrid benchmark index ( $20.7 \%$ ) by 54 basis points. The principal reason for the Fund's below-benchmark performance was the relative w eakness of several of the program's active managers.

During fiscal year 2000, ISF increased from $\$ 2.469$ billion to approximately $\$ 2.930$ billion. This included net investment income of $\$ 35$ million and realized and unrealized net capital gains of $\$ 460$ million, which was partially offset by $\$ 34$ million due to net cash outflows to participating pension plans and trusts.

The Fund has under-performed relative to its benchmark over the last three, five and ten year periods, as illustrated below. For the trailing ten-year period, ISF's compounded annual total return fell short of the hybrid index by 66 basis points, and was behind the Morgan Stanley Capital International Europe, Australasia, Far East Index (MSCI-EAFE) by 33 basis points over the same time period. The three and five-year results lagged those of the index due primarily to the Fund's significant underperformance in 1998.

For an additional perspective on long-term performance, (see below), which shows that ISF's cumulative total return over the three, five and ten-year periods ending June 30,2000 was $30.2 \%, 69.6 \%$, and $108.4 \%$, respectively.

| INTERNATIONAL STOCK FUND |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Periods ending June 30, 2000 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 YR | 3 YRS | 5 YRS | 10 YRS |
| Compounded, Annual Total Return (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| ISF | 20.13 | 9.20 | 11.14 | 7.62 |
| MSCI-EAFE | 17.16 | 10.18 | 1132 | 7.95 |
| CumulativeTotal Return (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| ISF | 20.13 | 30.21 | 69.59 | 108.41 |
| MSCI-EAFE | 17.16 | 33.77 | 70.99 | 114.99 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## Description of the Fund

The International Stock Fund is an externally managed fund which invests in foreign equity securities. It serves as an investment tool for the Pension and Trust Funds, with the goal of participating in the grow th of international economies. It is used to reduce short-term volatility in the Pension and Trust Funds returns by providing an additional layer of asset and currency diversification. In environments where the value of the U.S. dollar is declining relative to other currencies, international stocks are expected to enhance total Pension and Trust Funds returns.

Established in 1988, ISF's performance objective was an annual total return, net of management fees and Division operating expenses, which exceeds that of the MSCI EAFE index, a measure of the returns of developed, non-U.S. stock markets, by 100 basis points. During the structure review in fiscal year 2000, the objective was changed to reflect the Fund's strategic exposure to emerging markets, as well as an exposure to stocks of smaller companies in the developed markets. The new objective is for the net of fees return of the Fund to exceed the return of a hybrid index comprising $83 \%$ of the MSCI EAFE Extended ( $50 \%$ Hedged) and $17 \%$ of the M organ Stanley Capital International Emerging Market Free Index (MSCI EMF) by 100 basis points.

## INTERNATIONAL STOCK FUND INVESTMENT ADVISOR

 June 30, 2000| Investment Advisor <br> Portfolio benchmark countries | Net Asset <br> Value | \% of <br> Fund |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| (Core Management) <br> Morgan Stanley Asset Management <br> (Active Management) | $726,141,347$ | 24.8 |
| Grantham, Mayo, Van Otterloo \& Co. | $594,587,545$ | 20.3 |
| Smith Barney Capital Management <br> (Enhanced Risk Controlled) | $248,201,259$ | 8.5 |
| DSI International Management | $198,075,790$ | 6.8 |
| European (MSIC-EAFE) <br> (Index Passive) | $\mathbf{\$ 6 8 0 , 9 6 3 , 2 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 2 \%}$ |
| State Street Global Advisors | $\mathbf{6 8 0 , 9 6 3 , 2 5 2}$ | 23.2 |
| Emerging Markets <br> (Active Management) <br> Morgan Stanley Asset Management <br> Pictet International Management <br> Other ${ }^{(1)}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 8 , 6 2 7 , 5 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 0 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{l n , 5 3 4 , 3 6 5}$ | 10.0 |  |

(1)Other represents funds earmarked for distribution to participants, reinvestment, and expenses.

At the end of fiscal year 2000, the Fund had six external advisors, selected on the basis of expected future performance and investment style: One advisor managed both an emerging market and a core portfolio. Based on the Fund's holdings as of June 30, 2000, approximately $60 \%$ of the portfolio was managed by four advisors in countries comprising the Fund's benchmark, $16 \%$ was actively managed by two advisors within the emerging markets, and $23 \%$ was allocated to one advisor for passive management against the European portion of the MSCI EAFE Index.

Near the end of the fiscal year, the Office of the Treasurer issued a Request For Proposal (RFP) for Fund managers, as part of its continuing implementation of the Asset Allocation Plan for the Connecticut Retirement Plans and Trust Funds (CRPTF).

## Portfolio Composition

At fiscal year-end, ISF was $97.6 \%$ invested in international securities. Japanese equity securities continued to be the largest percentage of Fund assets, at $16.9 \%$. The United Kingdom accounted for $14.8 \%$ and German securities, $10.6 \%$ of investments. The Fund's allocation to nonEAFE countries, including the emerging markets, stood at $15.2 \%$ of investment in securities at the end of fiscal year 2000. These geographic concentrations differed from those comprising the EAFE index, reflecting the Fund's allocation to active management strategies.

| INTERNATION AL STOCK FUND Comprehensive Profile at June 30, 2000 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ISF | EAFE |
| Number of Issues | 2,507 | 953 |
| European Allocation (\%) | 57.7 | 67.3 |
| Pacific Allocation (\%) | 22.9 | 32.7 |
| Other(\%) | 19.4 | 0.0 |
| Annual Total R eturn (\%) | 20.13 | 17.16 |
| Represents securities for which theM acaulay D uration could not be calculated. |  |  |
| (2) Represents funds invested inth | ash Reserv |  |

The ISF was well diversified at year-end, holding more than 2,507 securities in the portfolio. The ISF's ten largest holdings, not including cash, included a variety of companies located throughout Europe and the Far East. The Fund's largest investment, comprising $15 \%$ of investment securities, was Deutsche Telekom of Germany.

In the aggregate, these ten holdings accounted for $10.0 \%$ of the Fund's investments at June 30, 2000.

|  |  |  | Net Asset <br> Value | \% of <br> Fund |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Company | Country | $43,357,515$ | 1.5 |  |
| Deutsche Telekom NPV(REGD) | Germany | $\$$ | 43 |  |
| Vodafone Airtouch Ord USDO.10 | United Kingdom | $42,703,702$ | 1.5 |  |
| Total Fina ELF Eur10 | France | $32,116,921$ | 1.1 |  |
| Telefonica CA EUR 1 | Spain | $31,403,008$ | 1.1 |  |
| Nokia AB OY Euro 0.06 | Japan | $31,069,042$ | 1.1 |  |
| Telecom Italia SPA ITL 1000 | Italy | $23,256,718$ | 0.8 |  |
| BP Amoco Ord USDO.25 | United Kingdom | $22,614,333$ | 0.8 |  |
| Aventis SA EUR 3.82 | France | $22,194,904$ | 0.7 |  |
| Samsung Electronics KRW 5000 | Korea | $21,939,350$ | 0.7 |  |
| Canon Inc. JPY50 | Japan | $\mathbf{2 1 , 9 0 8 , 1 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7}$ |  |
| TOTAL |  | $\mathbf{\$ 2 9 2 , 5 6 3 , 6 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 0 \%}$ |  |

## INTERNATIONAL STOCK FUND

Diversification by Benchmark C ountry with Return (\%) at June 30, $2000{ }^{(1)}$

|  | ISF |  |  | EAFE INDEX |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% of <br> Net Assets <br> $6 / 30 / 99$ | $\%$ of Net Assets $6 / 30 / 00$ | Total <br> Return | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \text { of } \\ \text { Net Assets } \\ 6 / 30 / 99 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\%$ of Net Assets $6 / 30 / 00$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Return } \end{gathered}$ |
| Japan | 15.8 | 16.9 | 30.9 | 24.0 | 26.8 | 26.6 |
| United Kingdom | 12.9 | 14.8 | 5.9 | 22.1 | 19.5 | -3.3 |
| Germany | 10.5 | 10.6 | 11.7 | 9.8 | 8.6 | 16.0 |
| France | 9.2 | 8.8 | 35.5 | 9.7 | 11.4 | 35.5 |
| Italy | 6.5 | 5.9 | 25.8 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 19.2 |
| Switzerland | 3.7 | 3.0 | 18.6 | 6.8 | 5.8 | 6.3 |
| Netherlands | 3.4 | 3.2 | 12.1 | 5.7 | 5.4 | 15.7 |
| Spain | 3.3 | 3.4 | 9.1 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 5.1 |
| Hong Kong | 2.4 | 3.0 | 20.8 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 5.5 |
| Sweden | 1.8 | 2.2 | 28.7 | 2.3 | 3.3 | 64.9 |
| Australia | 1.7 | 1.7 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 3.1 |
| Finland | 1.6 | 1.3 | 73.5 | 1.9 | 3.1 | 101.1 |
| Belgium | 1.2 | 1.1 | -8.5 | 1.3 | 0.8 | -10.7 |
| Singapore | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 1.0 | -3.9 |
| Denmark | 0.9 | 0.5 | 20.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 27.3 |
| Ireland | 0.8 | 0.5 | -19.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | -19.0 |
| Norway | 0.8 | 1.3 | 13.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 17.6 |
| Malaysia | 0.7 | 0.9 | 17.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.4 |
| Austria | 0.5 | 0.6 | -13.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | -12.8 |
| New Zealand | 0.5 | 0.5 | -11.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | -12.2 |
| Portugal | 0.5 | 0.5 | 9.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 9.0 |
| Other | 20.2 | 18.5 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total | $\overline{100.0}$ | $\overline{100.0}$ |  | $\overline{100.0}$ | $\overline{100.0}$ |  |

(1) Includes Cash Reserve A ccount and cash equivalents at each country level.

## Risk Profile

Given ISF's investment policies and objectives, the Fund is exposed to several forms of risk. These include, but are not limited to, political and economic risk, currency exchange risk, market risk, and individual company risk. Effective February 1,1998 , the three developed market managers were given $100 \%$ hedged benchmarks, and the benchmark for the three core managers was changed to a $100 \%$ hedged benchmark from an unhedged benchmark. This was the result of an analysis which determined that although the historical long-term effects of currency returns sum to zero, the short-term effects could be dramatic given the market volatility. As part of the implementation of the current Asset Allocation Plan, it was decided that the most efficient and cost effective method of mitigating this shortterm volatility was to change the benchmark to a completely hedged one.


The Treasurer determined that $100 \%$ hedging may reduce some of the potential short-term benefits of currency movements as well as increase the risk of the international investments, and that a $50 \%$ hedge ratio would provide the greatest reduction in portfolio risk over time. It has also been decided to implement the currency hedging strategy by hiring a dedicated currency overlay manager, who would ensure that the Fund's foreign currency exposure is always $50 \%$ hedged. The outstanding RFP includes this currency mandate, and once all of the new managers are in place, hedging will not be part of any of the equity managers' mandates.

Based on returns over the last five years, the Fund's risk profile is similar to that of the M SCI-EAFE index. The Fund's active risk relative to its benchmark over the five-year period ending June 30,2000 has been $105 \%$, while its high $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ of 0.83 demonstrates a relatively strong overall correlation. In the aggregate, ISF's annual al pha over the five-year period, or return in excess of that predicted by the benchmark, was 0.27.

## PENSION FUNDSMANAGEM ENT DIVISION




INTERNATIONAL STOCK FUND
C omponents of Total R eturn ( $\$$ in M illions)


## PENSION FUNDS M ANAGEM ENT DIVISION

## REAL ESTATE FUND

Fund Facts at June 30, 2000

Investment Strategy/G oals: To hedge against inflation, reduce volatility of returns, and provide a long-term rate of return similar to equity investments by investing in equity commercial real estate.

Performance Objective: An annual total return which is one percentage point greater than the index.

Benchmark: National Council of Real Estate Investment Fiduciaries Index (NCREIF) 1 quarter lag.

| Date of Inception: | July 1,1982 |
| :--- | :--- |
| T otal N et A ssets: | $\$ 510,123,003$ |
| N umber of Advisors: | 7 external |
| M anagement Fees ${ }^{(1)}:$ | $\$ 3,741,055$ |
| Operating Expenses: | $\$ 116,227$ |
| Expense Ratio: | $0.17 \%$ |

(1) SeeN ote 1 to the Financial Statements for a discussion of similar fees incurred at theinvestment level.


TERF - Teachers' Retirement Fund
SERF - State Employees R etirement Fund
M ERF - Connecticut M unicipal Employees' Retirement Fund

## Performance Summary

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2000, the Real Estate Fund (REF) generated a total return of $9.2 \%$, but fell short of the National Council of Real Estate Investment

Fiduciaries Index (NCREIF), (111\%), by 192 basis points. This underperformance is primarily attributable to the "J curve" effect of the four opportunity fund investments. Such funds were recently invested in and are at a point where significant investment returns are just beginning to be evident. These returns are not yet enough to overcome the negative impact of fees and other investment expenses incurred to date.

During the fiscal year, the value of REF's portfolio grew from $\$ 428$ million to $\$ 510$ million, an increase of $\$ 82$ million. The increase was primarily due to net funds generated by operations in the amount of $\$ 42$ million and the net funding of commitments to existing investment funds totaling $\$ 72$ million. These were offset by the net distributions to participating pension plans of $\$ 32$ million.

For the trailing three, five, and ten-year periods, REF's compounded annual total return was $14.7 \%, 110 \%$, and $18 \%$, respectively, net of all expenses. For the three year period, the REF return exceeded the NCREIF index by 86 basis points. The REF returns significantly under performed the benchmark in each of the other corresponding time periods. Principal reasons for this underperformance include adverse asset selection and asset sales in a weak domestic real estate market in the early and mid 1990's. Management fees, operating expenses and significant writedowns taken in the mid 1990's have also contributed to the Fund's below-benchmark performance.

## REAL ESTATE FUND

Periods ending June 30, 2000

|  | 1YR | 3YRS | 5 YRS | 10 YRS |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Compounded, Annual Total Return (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| R EF | 9.18 | 14.68 | 10.98 | 1.77 |
| NCREIF Property | 11.10 | 13.82 | 1188 | 5.82 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative Total R eturn (\%) | 9.18 | 50.83 | 68.33 | 19.15 |
| R EF | 11.10 | 47.45 | 75.29 | 76.04 |
| NCREIF Property |  |  |  |  |

## Description of the Fund

The Real Estate Fund is an externally managed fund which invests in real estate properties and mortgages. It serves as a long-term investment tool for the pension funds and is designed to dampen volatility of overall returns through diversification and to provide long-term rates of return similar to the M utual Equity Fund. Over the shortterm, REF is expected to provide a real rate of return above the rate of inflation during most economic conditions. In

## PENSION FUNDSM ANAGEM ENT DIVISION

periods of rising inflation, REF is expected to add substantially to the performance of the pension funds.

REF's performance objective is an annual total return, net of management fees and operating expenses, which exceeds that of the NCREIF index by 100 basis points, or one percentage point, per annum.

| REAL ESTATE FUND INVESTMENT ADVISORS |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| June 30, $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |  |  |
|  | Net Asset <br> Investment Advisor | \% of |
| AEW Capital Management, LP | Fund |  |
| Apollo Real Estate | $201,312,626$ | 39.5 |
| Walton Street Real Estate Fund II, LP | $85,823,060$ | 16.8 |
| Westport Senior Living | $69,677,100$ | 13.7 |
| Tishman | $46,291,546$ | 9.1 |
| Wachovia Bank of Georgia, N.A. | $45,789,637$ | 9.0 |
| TimeSquare Realty Investors | $15,477,072$ | 3.0 |
| Other (1) | $14,595,292$ | 2.9 |
|  | $31,156,670$ | 6.0 |

(1) Other represents funds earmarked for distribution to participants, reinvestment, and expenses.

## Portfolio Activity

The Connecticut Pension and Trust Fund (CRPTF) continues to maintain an investment strategy, which focuses on investing with strong real estate operators who offer significant co-investment opportunities. In addition, staff has overseen the successful disposition of many of the portfolio assets as REF continues the transition from older single-asset real estate investments.

| REAL ESTATE FUND <br> Investment Analysis <br>  <br> ( 17 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| At | No. of REF <br> Investments | REF <br> BookValue | REF <br> MarketValue |
| $6 / 30 / 00$ | 11 | $434,881,420$ | $478,966,334$ |
| $6 / 30 / 99$ | 14 | $395,221,763$ | $380,769,286$ |
| $6 / 30 / 98$ | 20 | $407,989,996$ | $379,124,673$ |
| $6 / 30 / 97$ | 24 | $540,133,490$ | $475,213,540$ |
| $6 / 30 / 96$ | 41 | $1,111,459,897$ | $924,414,185$ |
| $6 / 30 / 95$ | 51 | $1,185,277,530$ | $1,055,418,296$ |
| $6 / 30 / 94$ | 46 | $1,362,061,563$ | $1,031,355,740$ |
| $6 / 30 / 93$ | 46 | $1,325,161,790$ | $993,261,272$ |

(1) Number of investments in annuities, partnerships, corporations, and trusts, excluding the Cash Reserve A ccount.

During the fiscal year, the REF pursued new real estate investment opportunities by funding existing commitments to commingled funds. The major focus of these funds is opportunistic investing with private operators and ow ners. During the fiscal year, REF funded $\$ 97$ million associated with four commitments to such funds, totaling
$\$ 350$ million, leaving an unfunded balance of $\$ 70$ million. The Fund achieved asset reductions through the sales of separate account real estate investments. Two such positions were sold for net sales proceeds of approximately \$60 million. Another investment, a commingled fund, made its final disposition of funds. REF continues to position the remaining single-asset investments for sale. The focus during this process is on maximizing returns.

(1) Cash Reserve A ccount.

The portfolio has benefited from both healthy real estate markets and consistently strong investor interest in this asset class. M arket value increases were recognized on many investments.

During the reporting period, real estate property market conditions paralleled the favorable conditions in the overall economy, with moderate new construction and solid demand growth across most markets. Risk relative to the overall health of the property markets still appears to lie more on the demand side than on the supply side.

Looking ahead, demand appears to be moderating. In fact, new supply is starting to outpace demand in most sectors. Accordingly, it appears vacancies will rise. The extent to which this trend impacts property values is de pendent on the economy's reaction to a new and somewhat unsettled financial environment. Interest rates and fuel prices are up and the labor market is tight. These circumstances could adversely impact continued economic growth. Significant negative impacts to the economy as a result of these factors would prove detrimental to returns.

## Portfolio Characteristics

Real estate investment is a complex and intensive asset management process. REF's investments are restricted by policy to the purchase of shares in group annuities, limited partnerships, group trusts, corporations, and other indirect ownership structures managed by professional commercial real estate investment firms. At June 30, 2000, the portfolio consisted of 11 externally managed portfolios, with $22 \%$ of the Fund's net assets invested in real estate separate accounts, $13 \%$ invested in commingled funds, $59 \%$ invested in opportunity funds and 6\% invested in cash and other net assets.

The Funds ten largest holdings, aggregating 93.6\% of REF investments, include:

| REAL ESTATE FUND |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distribution by Geographic Location at June30,2000 |  |  |  |
| Based on Investments in Securities, at Fair V alue |  |  |  |
|  | REF | NCREIF | Variance |
| Northeast | 26.0\% | 14.4\% | 11.6\% |
| Mideast | 11.3\% | 12.8\% | -1.5\% |
| East North Central | 4.5\% | 12.4\% | -7.9\% |
| West North Central | 0.9\% | 4.2\% | -3.3\% |
| Southeast | 14.5\% | 12.5\% | 2.0\% |
| Southwest | 6.9\% | 10.4\% | -3.5\% |
| Pacific | 13.9\% | 25.2\% | -11.3\% |
| Mountain | 1.2\% | 8.1\% | -6.9\% |
| Other Assets ${ }^{(1)}$ | 14.7\% | 0.0\% | 14.7\% |
| Cash ${ }^{(2)}$ | 6.1\% | 0.0\% | 6.1\% |
|  | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |  |

(1) Includes properties held in a commingled fund and other properties located in various U S. and non-U .S. geographic locations.
(2) Cash Reserve A ccount.

|  | Location |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Property Name | Property Type | $\$$ | $\%$ |  |
| AEW Partners III | Various | Various | $\$ 100,784,007$ | 19.8 |
| Apollo RE Fund III | Various | Various | $85,823,060$ | 16.8 |
| Walton St. RE II LP | Various | Various | $69,677,100$ | 13.7 |
| Connecticut Storage Fund | Various | Storage Facilities | $48,750,000$ | 9.5 |
| Westport Senior Living | Various | Senior Living | $46,291,546$ | 9.1 |
| Goodwin Square | Hartford, CT | Mixed Use | $45,789,637$ | 9.0 |
| Union Station | Washington, DC | Mixed Use | $33,653,322$ | 6.6 |
| AEW 221 Trust | Various | Various | $18,125,297$ | 3.5 |
| Worcester Center | Worcester, MA | Mixed Use | $14,595,292$ | 2.9 |
| Wachovia Timber Fund | Various | Timber | $\mathbf{1 3 , 8 8 7 , 5 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 7}$ |
| TOTAL |  |  | $\$ 477,376,838$ | $\mathbf{9 3 . 6 \%}$ |

As currently structured, office properties constitute the single largest component of REF's portfolio at $22 \%$ with industrial $18 \%$, retail $11 \%$, apartments $14 \%$, and hotel $16 \%$ comprising $81 \%$ of the Fund. The "other" category, $19 \%$ of net assets, includes mixed-use, land, timberland and storage facilities.

| REAL ESTATE FUND |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Diversification by Property Typevs. Index at June30,2000 Based on Investments in Securities, at Fair Value |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | REF | NCREIF | Variance |
| Apartment | 13.7\% | 17.8\% | -4.1\% |
| Industrial | 17.5 | 16.4 | 1.1 |
| Office | 22.0 | 41.2 | -19.2 |
| Retail | 11.4 | 23.2 | -11.8 |
| Hotel | 16.2 | 1.4 | 14.9 |
| Cash and Other Assets ${ }^{(1)}$ | 19.2 | 0.0 | 19.2 |
|  | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |  |

(1) Other includes mixed use, land, timberland, public storage, and cash an dother assets.

The portfolio is reasonably well diversified geographically with $34 \%$ of its assets invested in the East, $17 \%$ in the W est, $16 \%$ in the South, and $12 \%$ in the Midwest. The vestments. year time period.
remaining $21 \%$ comprise "other" and include investments distributed nationally across the U.S. (7\%), and internationally ( $8 \%$ ), while cash and other net assets account for the remainder ( $6 \%$ ).

## Risk Profile

Given REF's investment policy and objectives, the Fund is exposed to several forms of risk. These include risks attendant to alternative investments, such as management, operations, market, and liquidity risk, but also include geographic, financing, and construction risks specific to real estate in-

As shown below, based on returns over the last five years, the Fund has exhibited substantially more volatility than its benchmark. The Fund's statistics are consistent with its extraordinarily low $R^{(2)}$ of 0.03 which signifies almost no correlation betw een Fund returns and those of the benchmark, and its beta of -0.27 , which indicates little sensitivity to overall fluctuations in the benchmark. In the aggregate, the Fund's monthly alpha, or return relative to that achieved by the benchmark, was a -0.34 over the five-

| REAL ESTATE FUND |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Risk Profile at June 30, |  |
|  |  |
| Relative Volatility |  |
| Standard Deviation | 1.48 |
| R $^{2}$ | 7.38 |
| Beta | 0.03 |
| Alpha | -0.27 |
|  | -0.34 |

(1) Based upon returns over thelast five years.


```
REAL ESTATE FUND
Components of Total Return
```




## MUTUAL FIXED INCOME FUND

Fund Facts at June 30, 2000
Investment Strategy/G oals: To provide diversification in different economic environments.

Performance Objective: An annual total return which is 0.5 percentage points greater than that of the index.

Benchmark: Lehman Brothers Aggregate Index (LB Aggregate)

Date of Inception:
July 1,1972
Total Net Assets: $\quad \$ 6,496,139,181$
Number of Advisors:
M anagement Fees ${ }^{(1)}$ :
Operating Expenses:
Expense Ratio ${ }^{(2)}$ :
Turnover:
11 external
\$9,469,542
\$478,314
0.16 \%
315.90 \%
(1) Seenote 1 to the Financial Statement for a discussion of similar fees incurred at the investment level.
(2) Excludes securities lending fees and rebates.


TERF - Teachers' Retirement $F$ und
SERF - State Employees R etirement Fund
M ERF - Connecticut M unicipal Employees'R etirement Fund

## Performance Summary

For the fiscal year ended June 30,2000 , the Mutual Fixed Income Fund (MFIF) generated a total return of $5.8 \%$, slightly better than the hybrid benchmark, (5.5\%), and the Lehman Brothers Aggregate Index, (4.6\%) (LB Aggregate).

Principal reasons for the Fund's favorable returns are the strength of the high yield managers in the Fund (despite a decline in the overall high yield market), as well as an allocation to convertibles, which posted strong gains over the year.

Comparative returns from other indexes include: The Salomon High Yield Market Index ( $-14 \%$ ), LB Aggregate (19\%), and JP M organ Emerging Markets Bond Index+ (23.2\%) (JP EMBIH).

During the fiscal year, the Fund increased from $\$ 6.169$ billion to $\$ 6.496$ billion. Of this total, approximately $\$ 430$ million resulted from net investment income, partially offset by $\$ 77$ million from realized and unrealized losses, and $\$ 26$ million due to net cash outflows to participating Pension and Trust Funds.

For the trailing three, five and ten-year periods, MFIF's compounded annual total return was $6.3 \%$, $7.1 \%$ and $9.0 \%$, respectively, net of all expenses. These returns exceeded those of the Fund's benchmark for the five and ten year periods, but were slightly behind the index for the three year period. Principal reasons for this long-term success included effective management of the Fund's duration in response to changing market interest rates and strong security analysis, which enabled advisors to identify undervalued credits offering comparatively higher yields.

The cumulative total returns for the three, five, and ten-year periods ending June 30, 2000, were $20.0 \%, 40.6 \%$ and $135.8 \%$ respectively.

| M UTU AL FIXED INC OM E FUN D |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Periods ending June 30, 2000 |

## Description of the Fund

The Mutual Fixed Income Fund is an externally managed fund investing primarily in domestic fixed income securities. The Fund serves as an investment tool for the Pension and Trust Funds with the goal of reducing volatility in returns under various economic scenarios. Fixed income securities represent fixed, variable, and zero coupon bonds issued by the federal and state governments, foreign governments, domestic and international corpora-
tions, and municipalities. During periods of low inflation, fixed income investments may enhance the overall performance of the Pension and Trust Funds, while in times of moderate inflation and high nominal interest rates, these investments may contribute satisfactory investment returns.

As a consequence of the restructuring of the liquid portfolios in fiscal year 1996, including the termination of the International Bond Fund, MFIF's mandate was expanded to include investments in international fixed income securities. Investments in these types of securities are permitted when, in the opinion of the Fund's advisors, there is opportunity to increase return with no, or nominal, increase in relative risk. M FIF's mandate was also expanded to include both convertible and high-yield bonds. Convertible bonds allow bondholders to exchange a company's bond for a specified number of shares of common stock in the company, giving holders of the bonds an option to share in the price appreciation of the company's stock.

At June 30, 2000, eleven advisors managed investments in the Fund.

MUTUAL FIXED INCOME FUND INVESTMENT ADVISORS June 30, 2000

| Investment Advisor | Net Asset Value | \% of Fund |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Core | \$5,039,188,557 | 77.6\% |
| (Active Management) |  |  |
| BlackRock Financial Management, Inc. | 1,039,954,165 | 16.0 |
| Western Asset Management Co. | 734,031,906 | 11.3 |
| Wellington | 703,638,814 | 10.8 |
| J.P. Morgan Investment Management Inc | 618,938,006 | 9.5 |
| Phoenix | 296,411,626 | 4.6 |
| Mitchell Hutchins | 290,455,613 | 4.5 |
| (Index Passive) |  |  |
| State Street Global Advisors | 1,355,758,427 | 20.9 |
| High Yield | \$1,079,289,028 | 16.6\% |
| (Active Management) |  |  |
| Loomis Sayles \& Co., Inc. | 355,534,523 | 5.5 |
| W.R. Huff Asset Management | 289,967,580 | 4.4 |
| Oaktree Capital Management, L.L.C. | 234,566,525 | 3.6 |
| Triumph II LP | 199,220,400 | 3.1 |
| Convertibles | \$ 349,224,546 | 5.4\% |
| (Active Management) |  |  |
| Oaktree Capital Management, L.L.C. | 349,224,546 | 5.4 |
| Other (1) | \$ 28,437,050 | 0.4\% |

(1) Other represents funds earmarked for distribution to participants, reinvestment, and expenses.

The Fund's investments were allocated to seven advisors investing $78 \%$ of the portfolio in core/coreplus strate gies, one advisor with $5 \%$ in a convertible bond mandate, and four advisors actively investing $17 \%$ of the portfolio in domestic high yield products. A few managers were al-
lowed to expand their investment opportunity set to include below investment grade bonds and/or international bonds, these mandates have been classified as core-plus strategies. One of the Fund's emerging debt mangers was terminated near the end of fiscal year 1999, although their holdings had not been liquidated as of June 30, 2000, and still accounted for over $3 \%$ of the portfolio at the end of the fiscal year. ( $N$ ote that one advisor manages both a convertible and high yield portfolio.)

Since inception, the M FIF's objective was an annual return, net of management fees and operating expenses, of 50 basis points in excess of the LB Aggregate, which is widely considered to be parallel to the performance of the U.S. bond market.

During fiscal year 2000, the performance measure ment benchmark for the MFIF was changed to reflect the Fund's strategic allocation to other fixed income markets, such as high yield securities and emerging market debt. The new benchmark is a hybrid comprising 73\% LB Aggregate, 17\% Salomon High Yield M arket Index, and 10\% JP $\mathrm{EM} \mathrm{BI}+$, and the Fund's goal is to exceed the return of the hybrid index by 50 basis points annually.

MUTUAL FIXED INCOME FUND Distribution by Coupon at June 30,2000 Based on Investments in Securities, at Fair V alue

(1) Represents securities for which the M acaulay D uration could not becalculated by the Custodian..
MUTUAL FIXED INCOME FUND
M accaulay Duration Distribution at June 30, 2000 Based on Investment in Securities, at Fair Value

| $0-3$ Years | 13.8 |
| :--- | ---: |
| $3-5$ Years | 29.4 |
| 5-7 Years | 22.9 |
| $7-10$ Years | 7.0 |
| 10+ Years | 10.5 |
| Unknown $^{(1)}$ | 3.4 |
| Cash $^{(2)}$ | 13.0 |
| Total | 100.0 |

[^0]
## Portfolio Characteristics

M FIF continues to be well diversified across the spectrum of available fixed income securities. The Fund maintained a strong concentration in corporate securities, comprising approximately $27.3 \%$ of the Fund's investment securities at fiscal year-end. Government securities were slightly above the benchmark at $42.3 \%$ of the Fund, compared to $412 \%$ for the benchmark. Sector concentrations differed from those comprising the LB Aggregate, reflecting the collective allocations of the Fund's active investment advisors. The Fund's average quality rating was A-1, as judged by Moody's Investor Services, supported by its 50.1\% concentration in mortgage-backed U.S. Treasury and Agency securities. Relative to the Index, M FIF held a greater degree of below investment grade securities including emerging market debt.

## MUTUAL FIXED INCOME FUND

Distribution by Sector at June 30, 2000
Based on Investments in Securities, at Fair Value

|  | MFIF | LB A ggregate | Variance |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Treasury | $16.3 \%$ | $33.3 \%$ | $-17.0 \%$ |
| A gency | $26.0 \%$ | $7.9 \%$ | $18.1 \%$ |
| Corporate | $27.3 \%$ | $17.4 \%$ | $9.9 \%$ |
| M ortgage-Backed | $7.8 \%$ | $34.2 \%$ | $-26.4 \%$ |
| Asset-Backed | $2.3 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ |
| Other $^{1}$ | $20.3 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ | $14.5 \%$ |

${ }^{1)}$ Other category indudes non fixed-income securities such as common and preferred stock and convertible securities, cash and other assets.

At fiscal year end, $87 \%$ of Fund investments were in fixed income securities with the balance held in cash.

## Risk Profile

Given M FIF's investment policies and objectives, the Fund is exposed to several forms of risk. These include, but are not limited to, purchasing power risk, default risk, reinvestment risk, and market risk. In addition, the Fund is occasionally exposed to political and economic risk and currency risk resulting from investments in international fixed income se-

## MUTUAL FIXED INCOMEFUND (I)

 Risk Profile at June 30, 2000| Relative Volatility | 1.09 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Standard Deviation | 3.67 |
| $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | 0.60 |
| Beta | 0.86 |
| Alpha | 0.98 |

(1) Based upon returns over thelast five years. curities.

In fixed income investing, returns are extremely sensitive to changes in market interest rates. As such, the longer the time to maturity of a fixed income investment (and the resultant increase in time during which interest rates may change), the greater the level of risk assumed. To measure the degree of M FIF's price sensitivity to changes in market interest rates, the Fund's duration, or the weighted average time period over which cash flows are received by the investor, is monitored. At June 30, 2000, the Fund's duration was at 5.4 years versus 4.9 years for the hybrid index. W hile often viewed as an indicator of risk, duration can, if managed effectively, contribute significantly to total Fund returns.

The Fund's ten largest holdings, not including cash, included the following:

| Security Name | Coupon | Maturity | Security Type | Net <br> Asset Value | \% of <br> Fund |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Triumph Connecticut II LLP | NA | NA | NA | $\$ 199,220,400$ | 2.7 |
| FNMA TBA Jul 30 Single | FAM 6.5\% | $10 / 1 / 2030$ | U.S. Govt.Agency | $128,680,366$ | 1.8 |
| FNMA TBA Jul 30 Single | FAM 7.5\% | $10 / 1 / 2030$ | U.S. Govt. Agency | $102,343,680$ | 1.4 |
| FHLMC TBA Jul 30 Gold | Single 6.5\% | $10 / 1 / 2030$ | U.S. Govt. Agency | $95,340,987$ | 1.3 |
| FNMA TBA Jul 30 Single | FAM 7.0\% | $10 / 1 / 2030$ | U.S. Govt. Agency | $74,148,096$ | 1.0 |
| U. S. Treasury Bonds | FAM $3.625 \% 4 / 15 / 2028$ | U.S. Govt. Agency | $67,612,318$ | 0.9 |  |
| U.S. Treasury Bonds | $12.00 \%$ | $8 / 15 / 2013$ | U.S. Govt. Treasury | $62,647,424$ | 0.9 |
| FHLMC TBA Jul 30 Gold | Single 7.5\% | $10 / 1 / 2030$ | U.S. Govt. Agency | $58,823,148$ | 0.8 |
| FNMA TBA Jul 15 Single | FAM 6.5\% | $10 / 1 / 2030$ | U.S. Govt. Agency | $56,561,526$ | 0.8 |
| FNMA TBA Jul 30 Single | FAM $8.0 \%$ | $10 / 1 / 2030$ | U.S. Govt. Agency | $56,070,624$ | $\mathbf{0 . 8}$ |
| TOTAL |  |  | $\mathbf{\$ 9 0 1 , 4 4 8 , 5 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 4 \%}$ |  |

CHARTS PROVIDED FOR ILLUSTRATION OF FINANCIAL INFORMATION.

${ }^{(1)}$ Computed without the effect of Cash and other Net A ssets.



| MUTUAL FIXED INCOME FUND Q uarterly C urrent Yield ${ }^{(\mathbb{Z})}$ vs. Indices (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6/30/00 | 3/31/00 | 12/31/99 | 9/30/99 | 6/30/99 |
| M FIF | 6.41 | 6.54 | 6.51 | 6.57 | 6.02 |
| LB Aggregate | 6.68 | 6.55 | 6.49 | 6.48 | 6.68 |
| Salomon 3 M onth T-Bill | 4.64 | 4.67 | 4.52 | 4.89 | 4.64 |
| Lehman Treasury | 6.35 | 6.23 | 6.09 | 6.07 | 6.35 |
| Lehman A gency | 5.96 | 5.93 | 5.92 | 5.93 | 5.96 |
| Lehman M ortgage | 6.91 | 6.83 | 6.89 | 6.93 | 6.91 |
| Lehman Corporate | 7.15 | 6.96 | 6.82 | 6.82 | 7.15 |
| Lehman Asset Backed | 6.21 | 6.16 | 6.25 | 6.06 | 6.21 |

${ }^{(1)}$ Current Y ield represents annual coupon interest divided by the market value of securities.

| MUTUAL FIXED INCOME FUND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comprehensive Profile for Fiscal Years Ending June 30, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | M FIF | LBAgg | M FIF | LBAgg | M FIF | LBAgg | M FIF | LBAgg | MFIF | LB Agg |
| Number of Issues | 3,226 | 5,974 | 2,689 | 5,381 | 2,086 | 6,860 | 1,631 | 6,055 | 1,365 | 5,492 |
| Average Coupon | 7.00\% | 7.00\% | 6.60\% | 6.90\% | 7.00\% | 7.20\% | 7.18\% | 7.34\% | 7.20\% | 7.23\% |
| Yield M aturity | 8.20\% | 7.20\% | 7.60\% | 6.50\% | 6.80\% | 6.10\% | 7.50\% | 6.87\% | 7.80\% | NA |
| Average M aturity | 9.70 | 8.50 | 10.30 | 8.90 | 9.70 | 7.90 | 8.40 | 8.09 | 8.60 | 8.76 |
| M odified Duration ${ }^{(2)}$ | 5.40 | 4.90 | 6.20 | 5.10 | 5.70 | 4.60 | 5.06 | 4.62 | 5.30 | 4.76 |
| Average Quality | AA-3 | AAA | A1 | AAA | A1 | AAA | A1 | AA1 | AA1 | AAA |
| Cash ${ }^{(1)}$ | 13.0\% | 0.0\% | 13.1\% | 0.0\% | 10.1\% | 0.0\% | 12.9\% | 0.0\% | 7.70\% | 0.0\% |

[^1]
## COMM ERCIAL MORTGAGE FUND <br> Fund Facts at June 30, 2000

Investment Strategy/G oals: To achieve yields in excess of those available on domestic fixed income securities by investing in mortgages on income producing property or in commercial mortgage backed securities (CMBS).

Performance Objective: An annual total return which is one percentage point greater than that of the LB Aggregate after expenses.

Benchmark: Lehman Brothers Aggregate Index.

| Date of Inception: | November 2, 1987 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Total Net Assets: | $\$ 176,059,423$ |
| Number of Advisors: | 1 external |
| M anagement Fees: | $\$ 763,333$ |
| Operating Expenses: | $\$ 50,325$ |
| Expense R atio: | $0.39 \%$ |

## COMM ERCIAL M ORTGAGE FUND

 Ow nership Analysis at June 30,2000 ( $\$$ in millions)

TERF - Teachers' Retirement Fund
SERF - StateEmployees R etirement Fund
M ERF - Connecticut M unicipal Employees' R etirement Fund

## Performance Summary

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2000, the Commercial M ortgage Fund (CMF) generated a total return of $8.3 \%$, net of management fees and operating expenses, outperforming the Lehman Brothers Aggregate Index (4.6\%) by 370 basis points.

The Fund's favorable performance is attributable to the receipt of prepayment penalties, high weighted-average coupon, current yield advantages relative to the benchmark and shorter duration than the benchmark in a rising interest rate environment.

| COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE FUND Q uarterly C urrent ${ }^{(\mathbb{Z}}$ Yield A nalysis |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CMF | LB Agg |
| 6/30/00 | 9.48\% | 6.68\% |
| 3/31/00 | 10.43 | 6.55 |
| 12/31/99 | 10.51 | 6.49 |
| 9/30/99 | 11.00 | 6.48 |
| 6/ 30/99 | 7.25 | 6.68 |

(1) Current yield represents annual coupon interest divided by themarket value of securities.

During the fiscal year, CM F declined from $\$ 237$ million to $\$ 176$ million, a decrease of approximately $\$ 61 \mathrm{mil}-$ lion. The majority of this decrease was due to $\$ 56$ million of net cash outflows to the participating pension plans resulting from prepayments and normal loan amortization and $\$ 20$ million relating to income distributions to these participants. These outflows were partially offset by a $\$ 15$ million increase in net assets from operations.

For the trailing three, five, and ten-year periods, CM F's compounded annual portfolio total return was $10.6 \%$, $9.6 \%$ and $8.7 \%$, net of all expenses and exceeded the index over the three, five, and ten-year periods by 455 basis points, 334 basis points and 90 basis points, respectively.

| COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE FUND Periods ending June 30, 2000 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1YR | 3YRS | 5 YRS | 10 YRS |
| Compounded, Annual Total Return (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| CMF | 8.26 | 10.58 | 9.59 | 8.72 |
| LB Aggregate | 4.56 | 6.03 | 6.25 | 7.82 |
| CumulativeTotal R eturn (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| CMF | 8.26 | 35.21 | 58.06 | 130.81 |
| LB Aggregate | 4.56 | 19.21 | 35.39 | 112.22 |

## Description of the Fund

The Commercial Mortgage Fund is an externally managed fund which invests in mortgages on income-producing commercial property. Established in 1987, it serves as a fixed income investment tool for the pension plans with the goal of realizing yields in excess of those available from traditional domestic fixed income securities, while accepting slightly greater credit risk.

CM F's assets consist of an externally managed portfolio of commercial real estate mortgage loans and commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS), and an interest in a number of mortgage-backed securities, which were created through a residential mortgage program known as Yankee Mac.

COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE FUND INVESTMENT ADVISOR June 30, 2000

| Investment Advisor | Net Asset <br> Value | \% of <br> Fund |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| AEW Capital Management, LP | $145,376,373$ | 82.6 |
| Other (1) | $30,683,050$ | 17.4 |

(1) Other also includes residential mortgage backed securities and funds ear-marked for distributions to participants and expenses.

CM F's performance objective is an annual total return, net of management fees and operating expenses, which exceeds that of LB Aggregate Index by 100 basis points.

## M ortgage M arket

Last year the economic environment resulted in two concerns for investors. The first was whether economic growth predicated on wealth induced spending was sustainable. It appears to have been sustainable, but continuance of this trend is in doubt due to tightening by the Federal Reserve Bank. The other concern was whether above trend Gross Domestic Product (GDP) (6.0\%) would result in the inflationary pressures often associated with tight labor markets and high labor utilization rates. So far in 2000, this fear is somew hat more evident as the Consumer Price Index (CPI) rose at an annual rate of $3.7 \%$. The impact of higher fuel and food costs account for the difference betw een this rate and the more benign core rate of $2.4 \%$. Looking forward, the impact of rising prices, especially energy prices, could have a significant effect on economic growth.

Although core inflation remains less than 2.5\%, tight labor markets and increased economic activity abroad have contributed to the Federal Reserve Board's continuing inflationary fears. Over the past year the Fed has raised the Federal Funds Rate four times. These actions have resulted in an increase in the Ten Year Treasury yield of more than 190 basis points. The resulting increase in mortgage rates has had the anticipated affect of slowing the rate of refinancing and new construction activity. To a lesser extent, this has also impacted asset sales. Commercial mortgage rate spreads have widened to yield about 225 to 250 basis points over Treasury securities.

## Portfolio Characteristics

During fiscal year 2000, the Fund did not close any new commercial mortgage loan investments. Two mort-gage-backed securities and five portfolio loans were paid off during the fiscal year. The loans payoffs resulted in the receipt of prepayment penalties totaling $\$ 3.5$ million.

Consistent with its goal of broad diversification, the largest portion of the Fund's net assets, 34\%, was invested in retail properties at fiscal year-end, followed by a $26 \%$ investment in the residential sector and $14 \%$ in the hotel sector. The Fund continues to be well diversified across geographic regions with $23 \%$ of investments located in the Northeast, $19 \%$ in the Pacific, $12 \%$ in the M ountain and 9\% in both the North Central and Southwest regions.

(1) M ortgageBacked Securities includecertain mortgageloans classified as trusts and common stock on the balanceshect.
(2) Includes loans secured by self storagefacilities and senior ground lease.

(1) Other represents mortgage backed security holdings which include certain mortgage loans classified as trusts and common stock on the balance sheet.

The CM F's ten largest holdings, aggregating to $83.6 \%$ of Fund investments, included the following:

| Property Name | Location | Property Type | Market Value | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SASCO | Various | Other | \$ 55,824,299 | 31.9 |
| Vancouver Mall | Vancouver, WA | Retail | 31,375,244 | 17.9 |
| 57 Park Plaza | Boston, MA | Hotel | 17,278,612 | 9.9 |
| Green Hill Apts. | Detroit, MI | Residential | 16,255,447 | 9.3 |
| Sheraton Denver West | Lakewood, CO | Hotel | 7,887,668 | 4.5 |
| North Haven Crossing | No. Haven, CT | Retail | 7,425,098 | 4.2 |
| Bidderman | Secaucus, NJ | Warehouse | 6,552,358 | 3.7 |
| 1992 LBM I Class B | Various | CMBS | 1,571,916 | 0.9 |
| Upland Commerce Center | Upland, CA | Retail | 1,205,731 | 0.7 |
| Yankee Mac | Various | Residential | 1,065,076 | 0.6 |
| TOTAL |  |  | \$ 146,441,449 | $\overline{83.6 \%}$ |

To measure the Fund's price sensitivity to changes in market interest rates, the Fund's duration, or weighted average time period over which cash flows are received by the investor, is monitored. At June 30, 2000, the Fund's duration was 3.1 years versus 4.9 years for the LB Aggregate Index. Therefore, the Fund is less sensitive to interest rate changes than the LB Aggregate Index.

Based on returns over the last five years, the Fund's risk profile is similar to that of the LB Aggregate Index. W ith a relative volatility of 169 , its returns are slightly more volatile than the index; how ever, its returns show very little correlation to those achieved

The portfolio is healthy with no delinquent or nonperforming loans at fiscal year end. Over the next 12 months, none of the Fund's investments are scheduled to mature.
COMM ERCIAL MORTGAGE FUND
M aturity ${ }^{\text {a Dollar Value( } \$ \text { in millions)/N umber of Loansper }}$
C alendar Year 20--.

(1) Or interest rate rest period.

## Risk Profile

Given CM F's investment policies and objectives, the Fund is exposed to several forms of risk. These include risks specific to fixed income investing, such as purchasing power risk, market risk, and default risk. Moreover, falling interest rates subject commercial mortgages to the risk of prepayment, thereby shortening investors' assumed time horizon and exposing them to reinvestment risk. However, yield maintenancebased prepayment penalties, which are included in the majority of the Fund's commercial mortgage investments, help minimize this risk.
by the benchmark. W hile the Fund's beta of 0.24 signifies low sensitivity to movements in the index as a whole, CM F's five-year monthly alpha at June 30,2000 , or return in excess of that predicted by returns in the overall market, was 3.72 .

| COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE FUND ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Risk Profile at June 30, 2000 |  |
| Relative Volatility |  |
| Standard Deviation | 1.69 |
| R $^{2}$ | 5.79 |
| Beta | 0.02 |
| Alpha | 0.24 |
|  | 3.72 |

(1) Based upon returns over the last fiveyears.

| PENSION FUNDS MANAGEM ENT DIVISION |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE FUND Annual T otal Return |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| 44 | Fiscal Year 2000 A nnual Report |

## PRIVATE INVESTMENT FUND

Fund Facts at June 30, 2000
Investment Strategy/G oals: To participate in the fastest growing segments of the domestic and international economies, including emerging industries and technologies, by investing in private equity partnerships.

Performance Objective: To outperform the Russell 3000 Index by 500 basis points at the end of ten years.

| Benchmark: | Russell 3000 Index |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| Date of Inception: | July 1, 1987 |
| Total Net Assets: | $\$ 2,564,787,182$ |
| N umber of Advisors: | 35 external |
| M anagement Fees ${ }^{(1)}:$ | $\$ 43,428,630$ |
| Operating Expenses: | $\$ 735,626$ |
| Expense Ratio: | $0.48 \%$ |

(1) SeeN ote1 to the F inancial Statements for a discussion of similar fees incurred at theinvestment level.


TERF - Teachers' R etirement F und
SERF - StateEmployees R etirement Fund
MERF - Connecticut M unicipal Employees' R etirement Fund

## Performance Summary

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2000, the Private Investment Fund (PIF) generated a total return of $53.9 \%$. The positive performance is an indication that many of the Fund's investments are emerging from the "J-Curve effect". The J-Curve effect often results in negative performance during the early stages of an investment's life cycle as a
result of investments being valued at cost, while manage rial and organizational expenses are incurred. Investments are expected to have positive performance during the later stages of the life cycle, as investments appreciate and/or are liquidated through strategic sales or initial public offerings. As investments exit this stage, significant returns become evident. The PIF is currently benefiting from the fact that a majority of invested assets are with partnerships whose vintage years (initial years of investment) are 1997 or the early part of 1998 . This, combined with the continued economic expansion has greatly benefited Fund returns.

During fiscal year 2000, PIF's assets increased from $\$ 1186$ billion to $\$ 2.565$ billion, an increase of $\$ 1379$ billion. The increase was due to $\$ 813$ million of net investment income, including realized and unrealized capital gains and $\$ 566$ million of net cash inflow from participating pension plans and trusts.

The Fund significantly outperformed the assigned benchmark, which had a return of 9.60 percent for the fiscal year. W hile staff monitors and evaluates short-term performance, the Fund has a long-term perspective in evaluating performance, in that it measures the performance over a 10 -year time period. This long-term perspective reflects the illiquid nature of the Fund's underlying partnership holdings that require a meaningful length of time to progress through specific developmental periods. As an additional check on long-term performance, the table be low shows PIF's cumulative total return over the three, five and ten-year periods. These returns are consistent with those achieved on an annualized basis over the same time periods.

| PRIVATE INVESTMENT FUND Periods ending June 30, 2000 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1YR | 3YRS | 5 YRS | 10 YRS |
| Compounded, Annual Total Return (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| PIF | 53.86 | 2185 | 2199 | 13.30 |
| Russell 3000 | 9.60 | 19.24 | 22.78 | 17.52 |
| Cumulative Total R eturn (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| PIF | 53.86 |  | 170.14 | 248.70 |
| Russell 3000 | 9.60 | 69.55 | 179.00 | 402.55 |

In reporting values for PIF, private market valuations are often imprecise. Accordingly, the PIF advisors adopt a conservative valuation policy, carrying the investments at cost unless and until there is concrete evidence to write the values up, or reasonable doubt, which indicates that they should be written down. One cause for a writeup would be a successful initial public offering of stock in a private company where the value is determined in an arms-length, public transaction. Likewise, consistently missing impor-
tant milestones in a company's business plan signifying a reversal in the company's fortunes is considered a reason to write-down the value of an investment. These determinations are made on an on-going basis.

PIF's earliest tranche of committed capital is now ten years old and should continue, along with mezzanine debt, to provide the Fund with positive cash flow from its investments.

## Description of the Fund

The Private Investment Fund (PIF) is an externally managed fund which invests in venture capital, corporate buyout, mezzanine, fund of funds, and international financing opportunities. It serves as a long-term investment tool for the Pension and Trust Funds, with the goal of participating in the fastest growing segments of domestic and international economies, including emerging industries and technologies. PIF also invests in selected opportunities in mature industries.

At fiscal year-end, thirty-five advisors were responsible for investing the PIF's $\$ 3.2$ billion in committed capital in the outlined strategic investment areas.

The strategic focus of the PIF is divided among four specific areas: fifteen partnerships focus on corporate buyout strategies, ten on venture capital strategies, six on mezzanine debt strategies, and five on international strategies. Five advisors each managed two separate partnerships for the Fund.

Four of the limited partnerships are in "fund of funds" arrangements whereby advisors are responsible for investor's committed capital across a number of selected private equity limited partnerships, which subsequently invest in underlying companies.

This Fund structure allows for experienced industry professionals to manage PIF's assets while allowing the Fund to realize the benefits of a diversified private market portfolio. The performance objective of the Fund is to outperform, net of management fees and Division operating expenses over a ten-year period, the Russell 3000 Index by 500 basis points, or five percentage points, per annum.

PRIVATE INVESTMENT FUND INVESTMENT ADVISORS June 30, 2000

| Investment Advisor | Net Asset Value | \% of Fund |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Corporate Buyout | \$795,828,287 | 31.0\% |
| Hicks, Muse Tate \& Furst Equity Fund III | 210,449,050 | 8.2 |
| SCP Private Equity Partners | 90,774,965 | 3.5 |
| Thomas H. Lee Equity Fund IV | 78,347,895 | 3.0 |
| Welsh Carson Anderson \& Stowe VIII | 60,423,689 | 2.4 |
| DLJ Merchant Banking Fund II | 56,856,485 | 2.2 |
| Conning Insurance Capital Fund V | 56,083,357 | 2.2 |
| Veritas Capital Fund | 56,282,309 | 2.2 |
| KKR 1996 Fund | 38,764,853 | 1.5 |
| Greenwich Street Capital Partners II | 37,963,562 | 1.5 |
| Wellspring Capital Partners II | 25,841,247 | 1.0 |
| Thayer Equity Investors IV | 22,109,822 | 0.9 |
| Kelso Investment Associates VI | 18,646,905 | 0.7 |
| Forstmann Little Equity Fund VI (4) | 18,095,296 | 0.7 |
| Blackstone Capital Partners III | 14,972,969 | 0.6 |
| Green Equity Investors III | 10,215,883 | 0.4 |
| Fund of Funds | \$707,804,267 | 27.6\% |
| Crossroads Constitution Fund | 579,000,910 | 22.6 |
| Landmark Private Equity Fund VIII | 69,254,924 | 2.7 |
| Goldman Sachs Private Equity Fund | 51,567,871 | 2.0 |
| Lexington Capital Partners II | 7,980,562 | 0.3 |
| Venture Capital | \$399,337,624 | 15.6\% |
| Crescendo World Fund | 158,972,651 | 6.2 |
| Grotech Partners V | 59,117,076 | 2.3 |
| Crescendo Venture Fund III | 46,947,698 | 1.8 |
| Pioneer Ventures Associates | 37,964,558 | 1.5 |
| Shawmut Equity Partners | 29,159,641 | 1.1 |
| Keystone Ventures V | 25,137,922 | 1.0 |
| CT Financial Development Fund | 18,426,060 | 0.7 |
| Connecticut Futures Fund | 15,351,244 | 0.6 |
| Connecticut Greene Ventures | 3,845,408 | 0.2 |
| RFE Investment Partners VI | 4,415,366 | 0.2 |
| Mezzanine Debt | \$364,161,698 | 14.2\% |
| Triumph Capital Partners III | 99,529,585 | 3.9 |
| Welsh Carson Anderson \& Stowe III | 87,349,821 | 3.4 |
| Forstmann Little MBO VII (4) | 69,091,241 | 2.7 |
| Triumph CT Partners | 65,183,217 | 2.5 |
| GarMark Partners | 29,129,904 | 1.2 |
| SW Pelham Fund | 13,877,930 | 0.5 |
| International Private Equity | \$202,636,375 | 7.9\% |
| Gilbert Global Equity Fund | 75,313,136 | 2.9 |
| Compass European Partners | 50,354,343 | 2.0 |
| Carlyle European Fund | 35,123,033 | 1.4 |
| AIG Global Emerging Markets Fund | 33,196,223 | 1.3 |
| Carlyle Asia Partners | 8,649,640 | 0.3 |
| Other ${ }^{(1)}$ | \$ 95,018,931 | 3.7 \% |

(1) Other represents funds earmarked for distri bution to participants, reinvestment, and expenses.

## Portfolio Characteristics

The Private Investment Fund consists of private equity investments, which include five primary areas of strate gic focus: venture capital, corporate buyout, mezzanine debt, fund of funds, and international private equity strategies.

Corporate Buyout focused investments can be defined as controlling or majority investments in private equity or equity-like securities of more established companies on the basis of the company's asset values and/or cash flow. Corporate buyout investors usually target two types of companies: special situations and turnaround opportunities.

F und of Funds investments are investment funds which may have multiple areas of strategic focus. These funds invest in multiple partnerships that invest in underlying companies.

Venture Capital focused investments can be narrowly defined as investments in the private equity or equity-like securities of developing companies in need of growth or expansion capital. These investments can range from earlystage financing, where the principals have little more than a marketable idea, to expansion financing, where a company has a marketable product but requires additional capital to bring the product to market.

M ezzanine Debt focused investments can be defined as investments in securities located between equity and senior debt in the company's capital structure. M ezzanine debt investments offer higher current income than senior debt securities and often offer equity participation features that may take the form of warrants, convertibility features, or common stock.

International Private Equity focused investments can be defined as controlling or majority investments in private equity or equity-like securities in companies located outside the continental United States. International Private Equity investment opportunities often offer more attractive return/risk characteristics as a result of the above average
rates of growth available in select international economies.
In order to protect the Fund from various risks associated with this asset class, the PIF is diversified by investment type, strategic focus, industry type and geographic region. This strategy allows for experienced industry professionals to manage a portion of PIF's assets while realizing additional benefits from broad market diversification.

Through June 30, 2000, the PIF had aggregate capital commitments in the amount of $\$ 3.2$ billion to 40 partnerships of which approximately 66 percent, or $\$ 2.1$ billion has been "drawn down" for investment purposes while the balance of approximately $\$ 11$ billion or 34 percent is committed but uninvested. The amount committed but uninvested has increased significantly from the prior year as a number of new partnerships were closed during fiscal year 2000.

## Risk Profile

Given PIF's investment policy and objectives, the Fund is exposed to several forms of risk. These include, but are not limited to, risks attendant with alternative investments, such as management, operations, and product risk, as well as overall liquidity risk. Assuming these risks as part of a prudent, total portfolio strategy enables the Private Investment Fund to participate in the possibility of substantial long-term investment returns.

Due to the Fund's focus on alternative investments, PIF's risk profile relative to its benchmark is very difficult to evaluate. Its relative volatility of 107 indicates comparable volatility to the Russell 3000 Index: However, the Fund's risk profile is more complex given the valuation judgments and Ii quidity constraints placed on it due to its alternative investment strategy. In the aggregate, the Fund shows almost zero correlation with returns achieved by the benchmark, and has returned an annual alpha, or return relative to that predicted by its benchmark, of negative .04 .

CHARTS PROVIDED FOR ILLUSTRATION OF FINANCIAL INFORMATION.


PRIVATE IN VEST MENT FUND
Components of Total Return


## PRIVATE INVESTMENT FUND

Distributed by Committed and Invested C apital As of June 30, 2000 (\$ in Millions)


(1) Includes the Cash Reserve A ccount and cash and other assets at the partnership level.

| PRIVATE INVESTMENT FUND |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Distribution by Geographic Location at June 30, $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |  |
| Based on Investments in Securities, at Fair Value |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  | \% of Market |
| Region | Value |
| Mid-Atlantic | $16.5 \%$ |
| West Coast | 21.8 |
| Northeast | 8.5 |
| Southeast | 12.7 |
| Southwest | 6.1 |
| MidWest | 12.1 |
| International | 15.9 |
| Cash/Other Assets \& Liabilities ${ }^{(1)}$ | 3.7 |
| Unclassified ${ }^{(2)}$ | 2.7 |
| TOTAL | $100.0 \%$ |

(1) Includes the C ash ReserveA ccount and cash and other assets at the partner ship level.

## CHARTS PROVIDED FOR ILLUSTRATION OF FINANCIAL INFORMATION.

| PRIVATE INVESTMENT FUND |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N ew Investments M ade in Fiscal Year $2000{ }^{(1)}$ (in Excess of \$3 M illion) |  |  |  |  |
| Description | Industry | Investment | PIF | Investment |
| NextLink Communications | Communications | January-00 | \$52,964,308 | Buyout |
| McLeod USA | Communications | September-99 | 32,215,055 | Mezzanine |
| Electrolux Zanussi Vending | Manufacturing | September-99 | 24,738,601 | International |
| Internet Capital Group, Inc. | Computer Related | May-00 | 19,896,324 | Buyout |
| VeloCom, Inc. | Communications | March-00 | 19,491,531 | Venture Capital |
| Phone.com, Inc. | Communications | January-00 | 18,435,010 | Venture Capital |
| Integrated Defense Technologies | Electronics | October-99 | 17,422,918 | Buyout |
| Optical Capital Group, Inc. | Communications | June-00 | 16,730,842 | Buyout |
| Amkor Technology, Inc. | Electronics | April-00 | 15,771,992 | Buyout |
| Fitness Holdings Worldwide | Medical/Health Related | October-99 | 15,756,565 | Mezzanine |
| Alteon Websystems, Inc. | Communications | December-99 | 13,614,730 | Venture Capital |
| Paragon Trade Brands, Inc. | Consumer | January-00 | 12,649,731 | Buyout |
| Conseco, Inc. | Finance | October-99 | 12,498,900 | Buyout |
| Ionex Telecommunications | Communications | November-99 | 11,250,049 | Buyout |
| BancTec | Computer Related | July-99 | 10,586,586 | Mezzanine |
| BORN Information Services, Inc. | Computer Related | March-00 | 10,171,707 | Mezzanine |
| Algety | Communications | March-00 | 9,042,927 | Venture Capital |
| Big Flower Holdings, Inc. | Services | November-99 | 8,048,127 | Buyout |
| Nuspeed | Communications | January-00 | 7,786,813 | Venture Capital |
| Advertising.com, Inc. | Computer Related | September-99 | 7,640,731 | Venture Capital |
| Transworld Healthcare | Medical/Health Related | December-99 | 7,486,341 | Mezzanine |
| Rite Aid Corporation | Services | October-99 | 6,446,208 | Buyout |
| Citation Corporation | Manufacturing | December-99 | 6,375,905 | Buyout |
| SHPS, Inc. | Medical/Health Related | June-00 | 5,573,537 | Mezzanine |
| Ouintus Corporation | Computer Related | January-00 | 5,521,078 | Venture Capital |
| Le Figaro | Communications | July-99 | 5,292,589 | International |
| CapeSuccess | Computer Related | December-99 | 5,272,337 | Buyout |
| Corvis Corporation | Communications | December-99 | 5,235,225 | Buyout |
| Yorkshire Global Restaurants, Inc. | Services | September-99 | 5,145,825 | Venture Capital |
| LifeMasters Supported Selfcare, Inc. | Medical/Health Related | June-00 | 5,088,977 | Buyout |
| RealPulse.com, Inc. | Services | March-00 | 5,088,977 | Buyout |
| Tessera Enterprise Systems, Inc. | Computer Related | February-00 | 5,088,977 | Buyout |
| World Access | Communications | December-99 | 4,740,209 | Buyout |
| Brook Mays Music Co. | Consumer | April-00 | 4,711,260 | Buyout |
| Diasan B.V. | Services | January-00 | 4,466,992 | International |
| Event Zero, Inc. | Services | November-99 | 4,129,953 | Venture Capital |
| OneCoast Network Corporation | Manufacturing | August-99 | 3,966,363 | Buyout |
| IASIS Healthcare | Medical/Health Related | October-99 | 3,939,141 | Mezzanine |
| Allied Waste Industries, Inc. | Industrial Products | July-99 | 3,890,810 | Buyout |
| 360networks, Inc. | Communications | July-99 | 3,791,210 | Buyout |
| Dayton Power \& Light | Energy Related | February-00 | 3,757,990 | Buyout |
| Unilab Corporation | Biotechnology | November-99 | 3,623,312 | Buyout |
| Intersections, Inc. | Services | January-00 | 3,570,293 | Buyout |
| IconixGroup | Computer Related | August-99 | 3,554,221 | Buyout |
| Concentra Managed Care | Medical/Health Related | October-99 | 3,524,260 | Mezzanine |
| Politzer \& Hanley, Inc. | Finance | February-00 | 3,459,583 | Venture Capital |
| Lease Forum, Inc. | Services | March-00 | 3,441,627 | Venture Capital |
| NexClaim Technologies | Computer Related | September-99 | 3,441,627 | Venture Capital |
| BTI Telecom Corporation | Communications | December-99 | 3,355,872 | Buyout |
| Concur Technologies | Computer Related | November-99 | 3,285,871 | Venture Capital |
| VirtualRelocation.com, Inc. | Services | November-99 | 3,249,201 | Buyout |
| Impresse Corporation | Services | November-99 | 3,160,139 | Buyout |
| B2 | Communications | July-99 | 3,150,683 | International |
| LogistiCare, Inc. | Services | August-99 | 3,119,800 | Mezzanine |
| TOTAL |  |  | \$485,659,840 |  |

(1) These holdings represent underlying portfolio companies that wereinvested in by theF und during fiscal year 2000 through one or more of its 40 partnerships. The investments listed in this chart each had a cost basis in excess of $\$ 3.0$ million at June 30,2000 . A dditional investments of less than $\$ 3.0$ million weremade in 518 companies totaling $\$ 271.9$ million.

## Division Overview

The Debt $M$ anagement Division is responsible for the cost-effective issuance and management of the State of Connecticut's bonded debt. The State's strategic investment in roads, bridges, airports, higher education, clean water and economic development are the foundation of Connecticut's physical and social infrastructure. Under the supervision of the Treasurer, the Debt M anagement Division acts with the Governor and State Legislators to utilize the latest financial instruments available in the public financing market when issuing new debt. The Debt $M$ anagement Division consists of ten professionals under the direction of the Deputy Assistant Treasurer.

The Division maintains close relationships with institutional and retail investors who have shown confidence in the State's improving economy by purchasing bonds and notes at the low est rates in recent history. The continuing improvement in the State's credit rating is critical to obtaining low rates in the future. Debt M anagement actively participates in rating presentations and is in constant contact with the three major rating agencies, Fitch Investors Service, Moody's Investor Services and Standard and Poor's Ratings Group.

Over the last several Legislative sessions, the Division has been integral in the drafting of new law by providing financial advice on new legislative initiatives. This has resulted in the integration of new bonding programs into the existing Treasury portfolio, at the low est cost while maintaining exemption from Federal and State taxes. Specific examples of these programs include bonding for electric deregulation, Second Injury, unemployment, school construction, open space and urban economic development in Bridgeport, Hartford and New Haven.

The Division also manages all financing programs for the State and coordinates the issuance of bonds with State quasi-public authorities, including the Connecticut De velopment Authority, the Connecticut Health and Education Facilities Authority, the Connecticut Housing Finance Authority, the Connecticut Resource Recovery Authority, and the Connecticut Higher Education Supplemental Loan Authority.

CHARTS PROVIDED FOR ILLUSTRATION OF FINANCIAL INFORMATION.

## BOND ISSU ANCE INCLUDING REFUNDING BONDS <br> Fiscal Years Ended June 30, (\$ in millions)



TOTAL DEBT OUTSTANDING June 30, 2000 (\$ in millions)


The active municipal financing programs for the State include:

## GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS

Amount<br>Outstanding<br>June 30, 2000<br>\$6,429,654,759

General Obligation bonds are paid out of the revenues of the State General Fund and are supported by the full faith and credit of the State of Connecticut. General Obligation bonds are issued for construction of State buildings, grants and loans for housing, local school construction, economic development, community care facilities, State parks and open space.

GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATION DEBT
\$545,992,147
The State has committed to pay interest and principal on these bonds by appropriation from the State's General Fund. This debt consists of the following programs.

The U niversity of Connecticut pays U CONN 2000 bonds from a debt service commitment appropriated from the State General Fund. Established under P.A. 95-230, up to $\$ 962$ million of Debt Service Commitment bonds will be issued under a ten-year $\$ 125$ billion capital program to rebuild and refurbish the U niversity of Connecticut. (\$468,717,147)

Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority special obligation bonds were assumed by the State for CHEFA's child care facilities program and the State has committed to pay interest and principal on these bonds by appropriation from the State's General Fund. $(\$ 34,160,000)$

Other appropriated debt includes CDA Tax Incremental Financings and lease revenue bonds for a State facility. (\$43,115,000)

## SPECIAL TAX OBLIGATION BONDS

Transportation related bonds are paid out of revenues pledged in the State Transportation Fund. Special Tax Obligation bonds are issued for the State's portion of highway and bridge construction, maintenance and capital needs of mass transit systems, state pier and general aviation airports and limited grants to tow ns for local road improvement.

## CLEAN W ATER FUND REVENUE BONDS

Clean water fund bonds are paid out of resources secured by loan repayments from Connecticut municipalities and a debt service reserve fund of $\$ 269$ million as of June 30, 2000. The reserve fund is funded with State General Obligation Bonds and Federal Capitalization Grants.

The Clean W ater Fund is the State's water pollution control revolving fund. The revenue bonds provide below market loans to Connecticut municipalities for planning, design and construction of water quality improvement projects. A subsidy is provided from earnings on the reserve fund and from State general obligation subsidy bonds. The State also provides grants and some loans for the program through its general obligation bond program.

## SECOND INJURY FUND REVENUE BONDS

Second Injury revenue bonds are issued to reduce long-term liabilities of the fund by settling claims on a one-time lump sum basis and will be repaid by special assessments on workers' compensation insurers and self- insured employers.

## UNEM PLOYMENT COMPENSATION REVENUE BONDS

\$86,080,000

Special Assessment Unemployment Compensation Advance Fund bonds of approximately $\$ 10$ billion were issued in 1993 to repay loans made by the U nited States Treasury for payment of unemployment benefits, and to pay for some unemployment benefits in 1994. These bonds are financed through assessments on Connecticut employers.

BRADLEY INTERNATIONAL AIR PORT REVENUE BONDS
The airport revenue bonds are payable solely from gross operating revenues from the operation of Bradley International Airport and were used for capital improvements at the airport.

BRADLEY PARKING GARAGE REVENUE BONDS
\$53,800,000
Parking garage bonds are payable from garage parking revenues and by a guarantee from the project developer/lessee. The bonds financed the design and construction of a new parking garage at Bradley International Airport with approximately 3,450 parking spaces on five levels.

## DEBT M ANAGEM ENT DIVISION

The Debt Management Division managed the sale of approximately $\$ 12$ billion in bonds issued to fund new capital projects and the UCONN 2000 program. The following table summarizes the bonds issued during the last fiscal year.

| NEW MONEY ISSUES |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BOND TYPE | PAR AMOUNT | TRUE INTEREST COST ${ }^{(1)}$ | AVERAGE <br> LIFE <br> (YEARS) | ISSUE DATE |
| GENERAL OBLIGATION |  |  |  |  |
| - 1999 Series B | \$245,000,000 | 5.42\% | 10.4 | November 1, 1999 |
| - 2000 Series A | 150,000,000 | 5.47\% | 10.5 | A pril 15, 2000 |
| - 2000 Series B | 450,000,000 | 5.32\% | 10.5 | June 15, 2000 |
| SPECIAL TAX OBLIGATION |  |  |  | November 15, 1999 |
| UCONN 2000 SERIES A | 130,850,000 | 5.42\% | 10.2 | March 1, 2000 |
| REVENUE BONDS |  |  |  |  |
| Bradley Parking Garage ${ }^{(2)}$ | 53,800,000 | 6.91\% | 16.1 | M arch 15, 2000 |
| DEBT ISSUE ${ }^{(3)}$ |  |  |  |  |
| CHEFA Daycare Bonds | 18,690,000 |  |  | September 1, 1999 |
| Total | \$1,198,340,000 |  |  |  |

(1) A $n$ industry defined term representing a compositeoverall present-value based interest rateon all maturities in a bond issue
(2)Includes $\$ 6,135,000$ of TaxableBradley International Airport Special Obligation Parking RevenueBonds, Series 2000 B dated A pril 1, 2000.
(3) On July 1,1999 , theTreasure's officeassumed theresponsibility for theCHEFA Childcaredebt serviceappropriation per Public Act 97-259. A s of that dateCHEFA had issued $\$ 16,315,000$ in bonds and another $\$ 18,690,000$ was issued on September 1, 1999.

## TOTAL DEBT SERVICE COSTS

June 30, $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ (\$in millions)


## The Year in Review

Highlights of the Division's accomplishments and important initiatives in fiscal year 2000 include:

- Cash defeased $\$ 127.5$ million of State General Obligation Bonds and $\$ 75.5$ million of State Special Tax Obligation Bonds by using State budget surplus funds, thereby reducing future debt service by $\$ 293.3$ million through 2014 and providing the State greater flexibility in the disposition of certain facilities financed with tax-exempt bonds;
- Recommended improvements to Connecticut Light \& Power's financing order application to ensure a financing structure for securitization of electric utility stranded costs that will provide the greatest savings for Connecticut residents;
- Assisted the University of Connecticut in the fifth successful sale of UCONN 2000 debt service commitment bonds for $\$ 130$ million, which earned a credit rating upgrade from Moody's Investors Services from A1 to A 23 resulting in a record favorable price versus benchmarks;
- Assisted with the development of a new University of Connecticut Student Fee Revenue Bond issue which did not require a State guarantee or debt service reserve fund and earned a high quality credit ratings of AAfrom Standard \& Poor's Rating Service and A1 from Moody's Investors Services;
- Successfully executed a $\$ 450$ million General Obligation bond sale, which attracted the largest number of individual retail investor orders in State history. Prior to the sale, Moody's Investor Services upgraded the State's credit rating outlook from stable to positive;
- Assisted the Second Injury Fund in developing a multiyear budget and financial plan that allowed the Treasurer to hold the rate on assessments paid into the Fund by Connecticut businesses at current levels;
- Managed the sale of $\$ 53.8$ million of stand-alone Bradley International Airport Parking Revenues Bonds for the first phase of an extensive improvement plan for the airport, and began planning the financing of the airport's terminal expansion;
- Continued the development of the Clean W ater Program and the new Drinking $W$ ater Program closing on eleven loans for $\$ 64.4$ million thereby preserving the available federal funding for the programs;
- Completed several debt management information technology projects with assistance of Information Services, including:
- The development of reporting capabilities and implementation of the new debt management computer system;
- The establishment of an interface with the Department of Environmental Protection computer systems related to administration of the State's Clean W ater Fund;
- The development of system evaluations and contingency plans for the Year 2000 (Y2K) date change with no problems encountered;
- Completed Requests for Proposals for bond counsel, verification agent and Rate Reduction Bond program financial advisor;
- W orked with the Connecticut Health and Education Facilities Authority (CHEFA), the Department of Social Services and the Department of Education to set up a program to transfer the administration of the CHEFA debt service for childcare facilities to the Treasury pursuant to recent legislation;
- Assisted a State municipality in the review of its plans to issue pension obligation bonds to fund a pension plan deficit in accordance with legislation developed last year; and
- Undertook several investor relations initiatives over the last year that included:
- Participation in three sets of rating agency meetings during the year;
- Conducting four institutional investor conference calls;
- Developing plans for increased use of the Internet for investor communications;
- Assisting with development of investor brochures and radio announcements to market the UCONN 2000 bond sale.


## Plans for 2001

For fiscal year 2001, the State is projected to issue $\$ 165$ billion in new debt including General Obligation, Special Tax Obligation, Clean W ater Fund, Bradley Airport, Second Injury Fund and UCONN 2000 programs. In addition, the State expects to establish a trust to issue up to $\$ 146$ billion of Rate Reduction Bonds ${ }^{(1)}$ to securitize electric utility stranded costs pursuant to the electric utility deregulation legislation.

Key objectives for the Debt $M$ anagement Division during fiscal year 2001 include:

- Completing a program to finance the electric utility stranded costs eligible for financing under electric deregulation legislation enacted by Connecticut in 1998 and introducing this new credit to the financial markets;
- Completing a Second Injury Fund bond financing in order to lock in the program's fixed costs, thereby stabilizing assessments;
- Planning and structuring the issuance of Bradley International Airport Revenue Bonds to provide for the expansion of Bradley International Airport and related facilities;
- Continuing to work with Connecticut's cities and towns as required to assist with pension fund bonding and funding of qualified Clean W ater Fund and Drinking W ater Fund loans;
- Developing a plan for implementing the State's 2000 fiscal year General Fund surplus which provided over $\$ 300$ million to fund school construction in lieu of new bonding;
- Coordinating a plan with the State Department of Labor to close out the Connecticut Unemployment Revenue Bond Program on or before the final payment date of November 15, 2001;
- Continuing to promote the improvements in the Connecticut economy to the three major credit rating agencies with the objective of further improving credit ratings;
- Continuing to identify debt service savings opportunities including evaluating the benefits of variable rate bonds and interest rate hedge programs;
- Developing additional methods of using the Internet to communicate with investors and the financial community and improving the presentation of information in various Division publications;
- W orking with the Office of the Comptroller on the implementation of the new GASB-34 Financial Re porting Model as it relates to bonding programs;
- Assisting the University of Connecticut in assessing financial needs and supporting the UCONN 2000 program in achieving its goals; and
- Developing and/or acquiring additional analytical tools and skills to assist with managing the current debt portfolio and the structuring of new issues in the future.
(1) PublicAct 98-28 provided comprehensivelegislation to transition theStateto full electric competition. It mandates the State's electric utilities exit thegeneration business and provides consumers theopportunity to choosetheir electric supplier. Aspart of thetransition, thelaw allows theutilities to recover approved generation related stranded costs and commitments from ratepayers through anon-bypassablecompetitive transition adjustment (CTA) charge on customer bills. N on-nuclear stranded costs arefurther eligibleto be securitized through theissuance of rate reduction bonds, which utilizes a large portion of the CTA to refinance these costs at a savings to ratepayers. Public Act 98-28 provided that the rate reduction bonds will be issued by a finance entity, which is the State Treasurer or a special purpose trust or entity designated by the Treasurer, pursuant to such terms and conditions as the State Treasurer may specify.


## Division Overview

The Cash Management Division is responsible for managing the State's cash movements, banking relationships, Short-Term Investment Fund (STIF) and Community Reinvestment Initiative (CRI). Under the administration of an Assistant Treasurer, the 23 employees of the Division are organized into four Treasury units.

## Bank Control and Reconciliation

The Bank Control and Reconciliation unit maintains accountability of the State's $\$ 140$ billion annual internal and external cash flow. The unit records and tracks the flow of funds through 27 Treasury bank accounts and by authorizing the release of State payroll, retirement and vendor checks. M ore than three million transactions are accounted for and reconciled annually. The unit also processes stop payments and check reissues.

## Cash C ontrol

The Cash Control unit, on a daily basis, forecasts available cash, funds disbursement accounts, concentrates cash from depository banks and sweeps available cash into short-term investment vehicles to maximize investment balances, and executes electronic transfers. The unit also prepares annual cash flow projections for various State and bond rating agencies, and monitors actual cash receipts and disbursements. During fiscal year 2000, the unit controlled movement of over $\$ 30$ billion between banks and investment vehicles.

## Short-Term Investments

The Short-Term Investment unit invests STIF assets, monitors custodian activity, and prepares quarterly and annual performance reports on the Fund. During fiscal year 2000 , the unit invested an average of $\$ 3.6$ billion in shortterm money market instruments. As of June 30,2000 , the unit administered 800 STIF accounts for 60 State agencies and authorities and 226 municipalities and local entities. In addition, the unit manages the Grant Express program that enables municipalities to deposit certain grant payments directly into their STIF accounts. The unit also provides market data used in negotiating CRI investment interest rates.

## Client Services

The Client Services unit works with State agencies to speed the deposit of funds and identify mechanisms to reduce banking costs. The unit also reviews State agencies' requests to open new bank accounts, maintains records of the State's bank accounts held by individual banks, man-
ages CRI records, and reviews bank invoices and compensation. The Client Services unit also manages the insurance collateral program in conjunction with the Department of Insurance, which requires companies writing insurance policies in the State to deposit securities and funds totaling a fixed percentage of the policies' value. At June 30,2000 , approximately $\$ 490$ million in securities was pledged to the program and $\$ 50$ million was deposited in STIF.

## The Year In Review

The Cash M anagement Division's goals for 2000 included:

- Maintaining maximum investment balances by ensuring more timely deposits, controlling disbursements, minimizing banking costs and balances, and providing accurate cash forecasts;
- Earning the highest current income level in STIF consistent with the safety of principal and the provision of liquidity;
- Providing responsive services to STIF investors;
- Prudently investing more stable fund balances for longer periods and higher yields, including banks that meet standards for financial strength and community support;
- Protecting State deposits through well controlled internal operations and use of high quality banks;
- Improving operating efficiency by more use of electronic data communication and processing; and
- Providing State agencies technical assistance with banking issues.


## Administrative/Organizational Initiatives

To achieve the Treasurer's Office objectives and goals identified for 2000, the Division undertook several initiatives, as highlighted below:

- Implemented and placed into operation with the State Comptroller's Office a system for making electronic payments to municipalities and vendors;
- Assisted the State Comptroller's Office in developing new control procedures for processing of payroll, re tirement and vendor checks by using a more efficient laser printing system that will reduce material and administrative costs, and improve security;
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- Continued to consolidate separate State agency checking accounts;
- Developed comprehensive division-wide business disruption contingency plans to allow critical operations to continue through potential disasters or other disruptions;
- Developed an automated database of Civil List investment transaction records to reduce manual data entry tasks;
- Continued the Treasurer's Community Reinvestment Initiative (CRI) in which State funds are invested for up to one year in financially qualified banks that have an outstanding Community Reinvestment Act (CRA) rating or that are participating in Connecticut Development Authority programs;
- Began rolling out the Treasury's electronic data system for transmitting bank data to State agencies in order to improve the efficiency and accuracy of their reporting of bank deposits to the Treasury;
- Implemented a new and more efficient system for drawing federal funds;
- Received STIF's AAAm rating for 2000 by Standard \& Poor's (S\&P), the leading rating agency of money market funds and local government investment pools. This rating by S\&P signifies that safety of invested principal is excellent and a superior capacity to maintain a $\$ 1$ per share net asset value exists at all times;
- Continued to hold annual STIF meetings, with the fifth annual meeting attended by 70 investors;
- Implemented Clean W ater Fund Express, in which participating towns have Clean W ater Program payments deducted from their STIF accounts by the program trustee;
- Developed and implemented Debt Service Express, in which participating towns have debt service payments deducted from their STIF accounts by their bond paying agent;
- Assisted two state agencies in implementing systems to process payments over the Internet;
- Initiated a competitive bid and negotiation process for a variety of other banking services that annually cost the State over $\$ 600,000$;
- Increased State employee participation in direct deposit of payroll to $68 \%$ from $64 \%$ in 1999 ;
- Expanded, with the State Comptroller and Department of Administrative Services, procurement cards for small purchases to include 31 State agencies; and
- Implemented a new electronic database of State bank accounts designed for easier and faster monitoring and information retrieval.


## 2000 Division Performance

As a result of these initiatives, the Cash $M$ anagement Division successfully realized many achievements throughout the 2000 fiscal year including:

- Total annual return of 6.01 percent in STIF. This exceeded its primary benchmark by 43 basis points, re sulting in $\$ 16$ million in additional interest income for Connecticut governments and their taxpayers. (For a detailed discussion of STIF performance, please see the STIF Performance discussion which follows.);
- Total annual return of 6.02 percent in CRI investments which exceeded STIF's benchmark by 44 basis points, resulting in $\$ 207,000$ in additional interest income for the State;
- STIF's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) was awarded the Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting for 1999 by the Government Finance Officers Association (GFOA);
- The addition of 59 participant STIF accounts with $\$ 41$ million of assets, an increase of $16 \%$ from 1999;
- Total CRI investments reached $\$ 55$ million;
- Closed 36 State bank accounts bringing total number of closed accounts to 369 over the past eight years, thereby saving on servicing fees;
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- The recapture of more than $\$ 300,000$ in annualized bank overcharges;
- Expansion of Grant Express program, in which certain State grants are deposited directly into municipal STIF accounts, now totaling 84 municipalities. Since the inception of this program, $\$ 5.9$ billion has been deposited into municipal STIF accounts, thereby accelerating the availability of municipal funds;
- Reduced idle bank balances by 10 percent, resulting in an additional $\$ 75,000$ in investment earnings; and
- Increased electronic reporting of agency bank deposits from 89 to $92 \%$.


## CASH MANAGEMENT ADVISORY BOARD

MR.J.VICTOR THOMPSON
State Street Global Advisors
MS. BARBARA CZAPLICKI
Assistant Comptroller, Town of Bristol
MR.W ILLIAM DESAUTELLE,JR.

## SHORT TERM INVESTMENT FUND Fund Facts at June 30, 2000

Investment Strategy/G oals: To provide a safe, liquid and effective investment vehicle for the operating cash of the State, municipalities and other Connecticut political subdivisions.

Date of Inception: 1972
Total Net Assets: \$3.7 billion
Benchmarks: IBC First Tier Instistutions-Only Rated M oney Fund Report Index (IBC), Federal Reserve Three M onth CD, Federal Reserve ThreeM onth T-Bill.

Performance $\mathbf{O}$ bjective: As high a level of current income as is consistent with, first, the safety of principal and, second, the provision of liquidity.

## Internally M anaged

External M anagement Fees: None
Expense R atio: Less than 3 basis points (includes internal management and personnel salaries).

## Description of the Fund

The Treasurer's Short-Term Investment Fund ("STIF" or the "Fund") is a Standard \& Poor's AAAm rated investment pool of high-quality, short-term money market instruments managed by the Cash Management Division. Created in 1972, STIF serves as an investment vehicle for the operating cash of the State Treasury, State agencies and authorities, State municipalities, and other political subdivisions of the State. STIF's investment objective is to provide the greatest income within the parameters of first, ensuring the preservation and safety of principal and, second, providing immediate liquidity to meet participants' daily cash flow requirements. During the fiscal year 2000, STIF's portfolio averaged $\$ 3.6$ billion.

STIF employs the basic investment strategy of buying on market weakness. For example, when interest rates rise, STIF is in the market taking advantage of higher yields. To ensure sufficient liquidity due to any unexpected participant withdrawals, STIF closely monitors the portfolio's "average maturity" (time to maturity or interest rate reset date of all securities in the portfolio). STIF continually analyzes future expectations of interest rate movements and changes in the shape of the yield curve to ensure the most prudent and effective short-term money management for
its clients. Ongoing credit analysis enables STIF to enhance yield by identifying high-quality credits in undervalued sectors of the economy.

STIF pays interest monthly based on the daily earnings of the Fund less expenses and an allocation to the Fund's Designated Surplus Reserve. The reserve allocation equals onetenth of one percent of the Fund's daily balances divided by the number of days in the year. The total Designated Surplus Reserve is limited to one percent of the Fund's daily balance. This reserve, currently $\$ 33.7$ million, contributes significantly to STIF's low risk profile.

The Fund evaluates its performance by comparing its returns to three indices. The first index, the IBC First Tier Institutions-Only Rated Money Fund Report Index ("IBC Index") represents an average of institutional money market mutual funds rated AAA or AA investing primarily in first-tier (securities rated A-1, P-1) taxable securities. W hile STIF's investment policy allows for greater flexibility than the SEC-registered funds, the IBC Index is considered the most appropriate benchmark against which to evaluate STIF's performance.

STIF's returns are also compared to the Federal Reserve three-month T-Bill rate and the Federal Reserve three month CD rate. The T-Bill rate index is used to measure STIF's effectiveness in achieving returns in excess of a "riskfree" investment. The CD rate index is show $n$ for the benefit of STIF investors, many of whom invest in bank certificates of deposit. In viewing these indices, it is important to keep in mind that yields of the CD index will exceed those of the T-Bill index due to a CD's slightly higher risk profile and comparatively lower liquidity. Furthermore, indices
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are "unmanaged" and are not affected by management fees or operating expenses.

Among the Fund's achievements during the 2000 fiscal year was the continuation of an AAAm rating by Standard \& Poor's in December 1999. This is S\& P's highest rating for money market funds and investment pools and signifies that the Fund's safety of investors' principal is excellent and that superior capacity exists to maintain a $\$ 1$ per share net asset value at all times.

## Risk Profile

STIF is considered very low risk for several reasons. First, STIF's portfolio is comprised of high-quality, very liquid securities, which insulate the Fund from default and liquidity risk. Second, STIF's relatively short average maturity reduces the Fund's price sensitivity to changes in market interest rates. Third, strong asset diversification by security type and issuer, as required by STIF's investment policy, strengthens the overall risk profile. Finally, STIF's Designated Surplus Reserve, which totals approximately one percent of Fund assets, is available to protect against security defaults or the erosion of security values due to any significant unforeseen market changes. Although the reserve has never been drawn upon during STIF's 28-year history, it provides additional security to preserve the Fund's low risk profile. As the short-term investment vehicle for the operating cash of the State, STIF reflects the ultimate confidence of the State government.

W hereas STIF is professionally managed to protect against losses from credit and market changes, the Fund is not insured or guaranteed by any government. Therefore, the loss of capital cannot be fully assured.

## Portfolio Composition

Throughout the year, STIF monitored the activities of the Federal Reserve and economic indicators, adjusting the Fund's portfolio and characteristics as required. At the beginning of the fiscal year, STIF's weighted average maturity equaled 52 days. During the year the Fund's average maturity ranged from 27 to 64 days as market rates fluctuated. At the end of the 2000 fiscal year, the average maturity was 30 days.

STIF's assets continued to be well diversified by utilizing quality short-term securities during the year. The Fund ended the year with a 77 percent concentration in investments rated A-1+ or AAA (the highest ratings of Standard \& Poor's) or overnight repurchase agreements. The Fund's yield distribution was concentrated in the 6 percent range, with 85 percent of the Fund invested in securities with maturities, or interest rate reset dates for adjustable rate securities, of less than 30 days, versus 52 percent at
previous year end. The Fund's three largest security weightings included securities-backed commercial paper ( 27.5 percent), bank notes ( 19.5 percent) and overnight repurchase agreements ( 12.6 percent). Asset-backed commercial paper reported in the distribution by security type pie chart includes receivable-backed, loan-backed, securities-backed, and multi-backed commercial paper.

## Performance Summary

For the one-year period ended June 30, 2000, STIF reported an annual total return of 6.01 percent, net of operating expenses and allocations to Designated Surplus Reserve. The annual total return is a computation of the total investment income a participant would earn with monthly compounding at the Fund's monthly net earned rate during the year. This figure exceeded STIF's benchmark, the IBC index, which equaled 5.58 percent, by 43 basis points, as well as three-month T-Bills, which yielded 5.37 percent and threemonth CDs which yielded 5.99 percent. (Yields for T-Bills and CDs have not been compounded.)

Principal reasons for STIF's strong performance include low expenses, effective security selection and fluctuating the Fund's average maturity in response to the changing interest rate environment.

Over the long-term, STIF has performed exceptionally well. For the trailing three, five, seven and ten-year periods, STIF's compounded annual total return was 5.73 percent, 5.76 percent, 5.44 percent, and 5.59 percent, respectively, net of operating expenses and contributions to the Designated Surplus Reserve, exceeding returns of each

SHORT-TERM INVESTMENT FUND
Distribution by Quality Rating at June 30, 2000


## CASH MANAGEM ENT DIVISION

of its benchmarks for all time periods. Viewed on a dollar-for-dollar basis, had one invested $\$ 10$ million in STIF ten years ago, that investment w ould have been w orth $\$ 17.2$ million at June 30, 2000, versus $\$ 16.3$ million for the same hypothetical investment in the IBC Index.

In addition to management's effective security selection, STIF's unique low cost structure contributed significantly to these returns. Compared to STIF's operating expenses of 3 basis points, or 0.03 percent of average net assets, the average rated institutional money market mutual fund charges investors approximately 36 basis points. Re ducing costs is the most prudent and safest way to increase
yield. Thus, STIF has a risk-free advantage of 33 basis points, or a net of 23 basis points after deducting the annual 10 basis point allocation to the Fund's Designated Surplus Reserve.

During the fiscal year, STIF assets under management of approximately $\$ 3.6$ billion increased to $\$ 3.7$ billion, an increase of approximately $\$ 100$ million. The principal reason for this growth was an increase in municipal and local customers cash balances of about \$200 million, offset by a $\$ 100$ million reduction in the State's cash balances.

## CHARTS PROVIDED FOR ILLUSTRATION OF FINANCIAL INFORMATION.
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## SHORT-TERM INVESTM ENT FUND Quarterly Yield versus Benchmark



| SHORT-TERM INVESTM ENT FUND |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Periods Ended June 30, 2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 <br> Year | 3 <br> Years | 5 <br> Years | Years | Years |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compounded Annual | 6.01 | 5.73 | 5.76 | 5.44 | 5.59 |  |
| Total Return (\%) | 5.58 | 5.37 | 5.36 | 5.03 | 4.98 |  |
| STIF | 5.37 | 5.04 | 5.12 | 4.91 | 4.86 |  |
| IBC Index* | 5.99 | 5.59 | 5.61 | 5.29 | 5.23 |  |
| Fed. Three-Month T-Bill |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fed. Three-Month CD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6.01 | 18.20 | 32.33 | 44.85 | 72.20 |  |
| Cumulative | 5.58 | 16.98 | 29.85 | 40.97 | 62.55 |  |
| Total Return (\%) | 5.37 | 15.90 | 28.34 | 39.86 | 60.77 |  |
| STIF | 5.99 | 17.72 | 31.39 | 43.50 | 66.44 |  |
| IBC Index* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fed. Three-Month T-Bill | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fed. Three-Month CD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*R epresents IBC First Tier Institutions-Only Index prior to D ecember 31, 1995 and IBC First Tier Institutions-O nly IR ated Index through June 30, 2000.

## Division Overview

The Unclaimed Property Division has responsibility to reunite rightful owners with their missing property. To do that, the Division performs two essential outreach functions. It helps businesses comply with their obligation to report unclaimed property in their possession to the State by promoting positive relationships between State government and the business community. And the Division reaches out through every available channel of communication to the rightful owners of unclaimed property so that they may claim what is law fully theirs. All activities of the Division support its principal mission, which is to function as a consumer protection service, safeguarding property and overseeing its return to the rightful ow ners, therby safeguarding the ownership rights of all Connecticut citizens.

In fiscal year 2000, the Unclaimed Property Division collected $\$ 35.2$ million, net of fees. Unclaimed financial assets are received from banks, insurance companies and businesses, which are required to relinquish this property to the State Treasurer following a loss of contact with the owner of record.

## Organization

Under the administration of an Assistant Treasurer, the 27 employees of the Division of Unclaimed Property are organized into three units consisting of Holder Reporting and Database $M$ anagement, Claims/Securities Processing and Field Examination/Auditing.

H older Reporting and D atabase M anagement maintains theunclaimed property owner and holder database. This unit records all property received for the current year reporting cycle and maintains all holder data for property which has not been claimed in previous reporting years.

Claims/Securities Processing reunites owners with their unclaimed property held in the State Treasurer's custody. Claims staff respond to inquiries, research claims, download claim forms for ow ner filing, and complete the claims processing and approval process. All property types are returned through the Claims/Securities Processing, including stocks and mutual funds.

Field Examination and Auditing, consisting of six staff auditors, is responsible for general ledger examinations of the records of banks, insurance companies, hospitals, universities, and other business entities to determine whether all unclaimed property is being reported.

Auditing works closely with two contract vendors who deliver abandoned property held by companies in other states belonging to Connecticut residents.

## The Year in Review

In fiscal year 2000, the Division created and began to launch an intensive outreach campaign to claimants through various initiatives of its N ame It and Claim It Campaign including:

- Preparation of the bi-annual publication of the Official List of N ames, a list of new ow ners distributed statew ide through new spapers;
- Creation of a dedicated web site, www.nameitandclaimit.org, containing a database of more than a half million names of owners, as well as online inquiry forms and instructions which ow ners can use to initiate claims;
- Year-around exhibits and presentations at public events to increase aw areness and research inquiries of unclaimed property held by the State.

The H older O utreach program, a marketing campaign targeted to businesses and organizations both incorporated and/ or doing business within the State, promotes compliance with the statutory obligation to report and remit unclaimed property to the State Treasurer's Office by the annual $M$ arch $31^{\text {st }}$ deadline. A completely revised Holder Reporting $M$ anual provides complete instructions and forms for reporting and remitting property and is available in print and online (w w w.state.ct.us/ott).

During Fiscal Year 2000, the Division:

- Paid $\$ 9.4$ million in claims and issued 4,466 checks to claimants;
- Received $\$ 33,630,167$ in unclaimed property receipts voluntarily reported by holders;
- Collected $\$ 1,527,714$ as a result of the UCPD compliance examinations of holders;
- Increased the owner database by 148, 223 records;
- Launched Name It and Claim It, an outreach program designed to locate new owners, in order to increase aw areness of the Treasurer's Office custody of unclaimed property and to reunite ow ners with their property; and
- Administered the Amnesty Program, enabling holders of abandoned property to become compliant with the unclaimed property laws of all participating States with no penalties or interest.

The Unclaimed Property Division, during Fiscal Year 2000, undertook a major initiative to update its owner database, beginning with all historical corporate actions since 1985. The update assures that all claimants receive full credit for all accruals on their securities, thus expediting operations that previously relied on manual processing. W hen completed, the update will streamline internal securities processing for all future claims.

## Division Overview

The Second Injury Fund ("the Fund") pays lost wages and medical benefits to qualified injured workers as a staterun workers' compensation insurance program.

The Fund has a staff of 58 employees who work under the supervision of an Assistant Treasurer. It is organized into four areas:

## Organization

A dministrative Services. The A ssistant Treasurer maintains general oversight over the division as well as administrative support functions such as the Return-to-W ork program, M edical Case Management, the management team and clerical support.

Attorneys and support staff in the Office of the Attorney General, who represent the Fund before the State's W orkers' Compensation Commission, fall within administrative services. In addition, the Fund works closely with the W orkers' Compensation Commission, the Chief State's Attorney's office and other state agencies in the fulfillment of its mission.

Claims and Specialty Claims Reimbursement. The claims units, supervised by two claim managers, are responsible for adjudicating approximately 4,631 open claims. These include "second injury" claims, widow and dependent benefit claims, uninsured employer claims and permanent total disability claims. The specialty reimbursement unit also processes reimbursement to employers for concurrent employment claims. Both units actively negotiate stipulated settlements of these claims.

A ccounting. The accounting unit, under the supervision of a controller, provides all aspects of service inherent in an accounting operation, processes the benefit payroll, oversees the collection of assessments and performs desk and site audits of insurance companies and self-insured employers.

Investigations. The investigations unit, under the supervision of a director, conducts investigations for assets, fraudulent receipt of benefits, gathers data for collection of receivables, assists in the litigation process and monitors employer compliance with workers' compensation insurance coverage.

## Description of the Second Injury Fund

The Fund was established in 1945 by the State of Connecticut to discourage discrimination against veteran and encourage the assimilation of workers with a preex-
isting injury in the workforce. The Fund's responsibilities were expanded over the years through judicial and legislative reform resulting in annual claim growth in excess of $20 \%$ for the period 1970 to 1995 . After 55 years, it had become the largest disburser of workers' compensation benefits in the State. Second Injury Fund operations, which are financed by assessments on Connecticut employers, reached a dollar value high of $\$ 146.5$ million in 1996.

Prior to July 1,1995 , the Fund provided relief to employers where a worker, who already had a pre-existing injury or condition, was hurt on the job and that second injury was made "materially and substantially" worse by the first injury. Such employers transferred liability for these w orkers' compensation claims to the Fund after 104 weeks, if certain criteria were met under the Connecticut W orkers' Compensation Act (thus the term "Second Injury Fund").

In 1995 the Connecticut General Assembly closed the Fund to new "second injury" claims sustained on or after July 1, 1995. How ever, the Fund will continue to be liable for payment of claims which involve an uninsured employer and, on a pro rata basis, be liable for reimbursement claims to employers of any worker who had more than one employer at the time of injury.

In addition, the Fund will continue to be liable and make payments with respect to:

- dependent death benefits for any employee who was injured on or after January 1, 1974 and who died not later than November 1, 1991;
- dependent death benefits for any employee who was injured before January 1952 and who died after October 1991;
- reimbursement to insurers and self-insured employers for cost of living adjustments paid to totally disabled employees with injuries occurring prior to October 1969;
- reimbursement to insurers and self-insured employers for adjustments they paid to totally disabled employees suffering a relapse from an injury occurring prior to October 1969 after returning to work;
- reimbursement to insurers and self-insured employers for cost of living adjustments paid to totally incapacitated employees who received a total incapacity award prior to October 1953;
- injured workers who become permanently and totally disabled; and
- second injury claims transferred to the Fund prior to July 1999.


## Assessments

Insured employers pay a surcharge on their workers' compensation insurance policies based on annual standard premiums. Currently an assessment surcharge of $10 \%$ is applied to all insured employers for policies with effective dates betw een January $\mathrm{F}^{\text {t }}$ and June $30^{\text {th }}$ of the current year.

The assessment for self-insured employers is a flat rate of $14.5 \%$ and is based on workers' compensation loss costs for medical and indemnity benefits incurred in the prior calendar year. The Treasurer establishes the assessment rate on or before M ay $\mathrm{I}^{t}$ of each year and has kept rates level for the second consecutive year.

Despite its statutory closure to "second injury" claims, the Fund will continue to assess Connecticut employers and their insurers for its ongoing responsibilities and expenses (as previously noted). Furthermore, levying assessments will continue to be necessary to pay off principal and interest payments on bonds and commercial paper issued for full and final settlements.

## The Year in Review

M ajor Reforms Reust in No A ssessment Rate Increase: W hen presented late in fiscal year 1999 with financial forecasts of future deficits in the Fund, the Treasurer rejected a recommendation from outgoing staff that assessment rates paid by Connecticut businesses be increased. Instead, she froze assessment rates and launched a series of initiatives designed to identify, analyze and overcome any problems contributing to the deficit forecast. W ith the assistance of a Blue Ribbon Commission of accounting, financial and insurance experts, these initiatives produced a financially stronger Second Injury Fund and enabled the Treasurer to hold assessment rates constant for a second consecutive year.

M easures taken by the Treasurer in the current year brought the fiscal problem under control and restored sound management and fiscal integrity to the Fund. Those measures included:

- Placing tighter controls on the claims settlement process, thereby reducing the cost of settlements and borrowing;
- Ordering a complete fiscal audit of the Fund;
- Establishing a Blue Ribbon Commission made up of actuarial, financial and insurance experts to oversee the audit;
- Appointing the first controller in the Fund's history to strengthen internal financial management controls;
- Developing an alternative financing structure which will lower the total debt burden;
- Instituting an audit program to ensure that self-insured employers and insurance companies accurately report paid losses and premiums, and correctly pay assessments; and
- Implementing improvements to claims management and the management information system in order to reduce operating expenses in future years.

Lower Operating Expenses: The Fund's year-end financial statistics reflect the impact of recent administrative reforms. As a result of fewer claims transferred, tighter controls on the settlement process and an alternative financing structure we anticipate a savings of $\$ 485.3$ million over the life of the Fund and $\$ 19.5$ million in FY 2001 alone. The alternative financing program utilizes commercial paper to a greater degree with less reliance on long term borrowing than as previously planned. The Fund's debt will be paid off in 15 years.

Declining A ssessments: Assessments levied in fiscal year 2000 were $\$ 82,567,047$, a decline from $\$ 85,516,460$ in the preceding year. This continues a trend begun in 1995, as enhanced by reforms which became effective in this fiscal year. Since the 1995 legislative act, assessment revenue collected from Connecticut employers has decreased by $\$ 43,579,069$ (or $34.8 \%$ ) reflecting the positive impact of legislative reforms which reduce the expenses of the Fund through stipulated settlements as well as the recent management improvements. Projected assessments for fiscal year 2001 is $\$ 75,576,958$, a decrease of $\$ 7$ million.

Reduction of C ommercial Paper Debt: During fiscal year 2000, the Fund utilized $\$ 21,088,392$ in excess reserves to pay off commercial paper debt ( $\$ 10,000,000$ ) and stipulated settlements ( $\$ 11088,392$ ) thereby reducing long-term bond debt requirements.

Savings from U se of Full and Final Settlements: During fiscal year 2000, stipulated settlements were negotiated on 345 claims at a cost of $\$ 24,600,000$. Since January 1, 1995, a total of 5,262 settlements have been negotiated and settled at a cost of $\$ 391,640,000$. The strategy of full and final settlements to reduce the Fund's long-term liabilities can be considered a success since the reserve value for these claims was $\$ 1528$ billion.

Second Injury Fund U nfunded Liability (expressed as reserves): The estimated unfunded liability (expressed as reserves), as of July 1,2000 is $\$ 838,717,000$, a $\$ 2,386,000$ net reduction from July 1, 1999. W hile the Fund settled 345 claims in fiscal year 2000 with a reduction on reserves of $\$ 35$ million, the Fund established reserves for the first time on 21 claims that involve lifetime payment of death benefits at a value of $\$ 18$ million. The Fund is halfw ay through a reserve adjustment project on active payroll cases which so far has resulted in an increase reserve value of $\$ 17$ million on 40 claims. How ever, the Fund anticipates significant decreases in total reserve value after the non-payroll claims are adjusted.

The reserves are based on an estimate of expenses over the life of a claim as calculated by internal staff. A new actuarial analysis of Fund liabilities will be done in fiscal year 2001 and will likely result in lower reserves.

## 2000 Division Performance

The new program to audit insurance companies and self-insured employers to ensure they are correctly paying assessments has brought in nearly $\$ 4$ million in fiscal year 2000. In addition, new internal audit controls implemented by the controller saved the Fund $\$ 19,309$ from duplicate billing and overcharges.

The assignment of two dedicated staff members to collection efforts has generated recoveries in the amount of \$539,000.

The Fund continues to work closely with the State's Attorney's Office on the investigation and prosecution of claimants alleged to be fraudulently receiving benefits from the Fund. During fiscal year 2000, three fraud cases were referred to that office.

As compared to 1995 estimates, open reserved claims have dropped from 5,700 to 4,631 During fiscal year 2000 alone, active payroll cases have been reduced from 1,100 to 753 or $315 \%$. Medical and indemnity payments to injured workers were reduced by $\$ 8.9$ million or $21 \%$.

The Fund projects 150 new claims for fiscal year 2001 in the categories of uninsured employers and claimants' concurrent employment. In addition a dedicated Reimbursement unit was formed to process reimbursement re quests from carriers, self-insured employers and third party administrators.

The Return-to-W ork Program provided 65 individuals with employment opportunities, 43 of whom are now participating in vocational rehabilitation service programs. The Fund continues to pursue quality medical care and rehabilitative services for injured workers. Program initiatives include internal medical bill review and increased
oversight of medical case management vendors.
The performance of the Second Injury Fund is measured by its success rate in achieving the basic tenets of its mission. That success can best be summarized in the following areas:

- Assessment rates kept level for the second consecutive year;
- Alternative financing structure developed to lower total debt burden;
- Assessment audits and recoveries yielded approximately $\$ 4.0$ million dollars in additional revenue;
- Tighter controls placed on the settlement process;
- Controller appointed for the first time in Fund history;
- Appointment of a Blue Ribbon Commission and independent audit of operations completed;
- $\$ 21,088,392$ in excess reserves used to pay off commercial paper and stipulated settlements;
- 345 claims settled at a cost of $\$ 24,600,000$, a $\$ 2,386,000$ reduction in the unfunded liability (expressed as reserves);
- Claim count dropped from 5,700 to 4,631;
- Active payroll cases were reduced from 1,100 to 753;
- Medical and indemnity payments reduced by $\$ 8.9$ million or $21 \%$;
- Three claims referred to State's Attorney office for fraud; and
- 65 individuals provided with employment opportunities.


## Plans for 2001

- Implementation of Blue Ribbon Commission's recommendations for operational and risk management information system improvements will begin in Fiscal Year 2001 and have a potential operational cost savings of $10-30 \%$ after being fully implemented. Once implemented, these improvements will also bring the Fund's operations up to industry standards.
- New actuarial review and analysis of Fund claims to determine current and future liabilities will be done in Fiscal Year 2001
- Audits of the five largest insurance companies for assessment payment compliance.

CHARTS PROVIDED FOR ILLUSTRATION OF FINANCIAL INFORMATION.
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## Description of the Trust

The Connecticut Higher Education Trust ("CHET" or "Trust") is a Qualified State Tuition Program pursuant to Section 529 of the Internal Revenue Code which was unanimously approved by the Connecticut General Assembly in Public Act No. 97-224 (the "Act") and signed into law by the Governor in July 1997. The program began operating on January 1, 1998. W hile the Trust is considered an instrumentality of the State, the assets of the Trust do not constitute property of the State, and the Trust shall not be construed to be a department, institution or agency of the State.

CHET is a trust, available for families to save and invest for higher education expenses, that is privately managed under the supervision of the State Treasurer. Current Internal Revenue Service regulations provide that total contributions may not exceed the amount determined by actuarial estimates as is necessary to pay tuition, required fees, and room and board expenses of the designated beneficiary for five years of undergraduate enrollment at the highest cost institution allowed by the program. W hile money is invested in CHET, there are no taxes (either federal or State) on earnings. Amounts can be withdrawn to pay for tuition, room and board, fees, books, supplies, and equipment required by the beneficiary for enrollment or attendance at any eligible public or private educational institution. Earnings are taxed after qualified withdraw als for higher education expenses from the Trust at the beneficiary's federal tax rate. The State of Connecticut does not tax the earnings after withdraw al. Earnings withdrawn for non-qualified expenses incur a penalty tax of 10\%.

CHET consists of ten individual Trust Funds ("Funds"). The Funds are open-ended, unitized portfolios consisting of investments in various mutual funds and trusts. The units of the Funds are directly owned by the participants. Each Fund represents a different asset allocation based on the age of the child ("Beneficiary") for whom the account has been established. As the child (beneficiary) grows older and approaches college age, each Fund's assets will be moved from more aggressive to more conservative investments in accordance with the Trust's investment policy.

During fiscal year 1999-2000, the Trust changed program managers from Collegiate Capital Group (CCG) to TIAA-CREF Tuition Financing, Inc. (TFI). This change was accompanied by program enhancements, including:

- Fees charged to participants were reduced from $155 \%$ of assets to $0.79 \%$ of assets. The $\$ 15$ annual administrative fee was eliminated.
- The minimum for opening an account was reduced from $\$ 500$ to $\$ 25$ ( $\$ 15$ if payroll deduction is used).
- The number of Funds was increased from five to ten. Assets were moved from five mutual funds managed by four different asset managers, to four TIAA-CREF institutional mutual funds.
- New program disclosure documentation was written and sent to all CHET participants. This provides CHET participants with more detailed information about the program and all of its components.

The Act also establishes a CHET Advisory Committee, which meets annually. The Committee met on December 20, 1999.

## CONNECTICUT HIGHER EDUCATION TRUST

There is established a [Family College Savings Plan] CONNECTICUT HIGHER EDUCATION TRUST Advisory Committee which shall consist of the State Treasurer, the Commissioner of Higher Education, the Secretary of the Office of Policy and Management and the cochairpersons and ranking members of the joint standing committees of the General Assembly having cognizance of matters relating to education and finance, revenue and bonding, or their designees, and one student financial aid officer and one finance officer at a public institution of higher education in the state, each appointed by the Board of Governors of Higher Education, and one student financial aid officer and one finance officer at an independent institution of higher education in the state, each appointed by the Connecticut Conference of Independent Colleges.

The statutory members of the CHET Advisory Committee are:
DENISE L. NAPPIER, State Treasurer

MARC S. RYAN,
Secretary Office of Policy and $M$ anagement
VALERIE F.LEW IS,
Interim Commissioner, Department of Higher Education
MR.ROBERT LOCKERT,
Designee of Sen. Thomas Gaffey, Chair, Education Committee
REP CAMERON C.STAPLES,
Chairman, Education Committee
SEN.JUDITH G.FREEDMAN,
Ranking M ember, Education Committee
REP. BRIAN E.MATTIELLO,
Ranking M ember, Education Committee
SEN. MARTIN M.LOONEY,
Chair, Finance Committee
REP. ANNE B. MCDONALD,
Chair, Finance Committee
SEN. W ILLIAM H. NICKERSON,
Ranking M ember, Finance C ommittee
REP. RICHARD BELDEN,
Ranking M ember, Finance C ommittee
CHRIST OPHER SIM SIK,
Student Financial Aid Officer, Central Connecticut State University
FRANK RESNICK,
Chief Financial Officer, Central C onnecticut State U niversity
W ILLIAM LUCAS,
Vice President Finance, Fairfield U niversity
JULIE SAVINO,
Dean of Student Financial Assistance, Sacred Heart U niversity


## AUDITORS OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

## CERTIFICATION BY AUDITORS OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS AND STATE COMPTROLLER

We have audited the accompanying statement of net assets of the Combined Investment Funds, as of June 30, 2000, the related statement of operations for the fiscal year then ended and the statement of changes in net assets for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2000, and 1999. We have audited the accompanying statement of net assets of the Short Term Investment Fund as of June 30, 2000 and the statements of changes in net assets for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2000, and 1999. We have audited the balance sheet of the Second Injury Fund and the statements of condition of the other NonCivil List Trust Funds as of June 30, 2000, together with the related statements of revenue and expenditures and statements of changes in fund balance for each and the statement of cash flows for the other Non-Civil List Trust Funds, for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2000. We have also examined the schedules of Civil List Funds investments, and debt outstanding, as of June 30, 2000, and changes in debt outstanding during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2000. These financial statements and schedules are the responsibility of the management of the State Treasury. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statements and schedules based on our audit.

We did not audit the accompanying financial statements of the Tax Exempt Proceeds Fund or the Connecticut Higher Education Trust. These financial statements were audited by other auditors whose reports thereon have been included with the accompanying financial statements.

We conducted our audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements and schedules are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements and schedules.

Our procedures included confirmation of securities owned as of June 30, 2000, by correspondence with the custodians. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Combined Investment Funds, Short Term Investment Fund, Second Injury Fund and other Non-Civil List Trust Funds as of June 30, 2000, and the results of their operations, the changes in net assets for the Combined Investment Funds and the Short Term Investment Fund, changes in fund balance for the Second Injury Fund and other Non-Civil List Trust Funds and cash flows for the other Non-Civil List Trust Funds for the year then ended, in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

In our opinion, the schedules referred to above present fairly, in all material respects the investments of the Civil List Funds as of June 30, 2000, and the balance of bonds outstanding as of June 30, 2000, and bonds issued, retired and refunded, and bond interest payments made during the year ended on that date, all in accordance with the modified cash basis of accounting, a comprehensive basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles.

As explained in Note lB to the financial statements of the Combined Investment Funds, the State Treasurer's policy is to present investments at fair value. The fair value of most of the assets of the Real Estate Fund, the Commercial Mortgage Fund and the Private Investment Fund and the limited partnership investment of the Mutual Fixed Income Fund are estimated by investment advisors in the absence of readily ascertainable market values, and reviewed and adjusted, when appropnate, by the State Treasurer. The fair value of most of the assets of the Real Estate Fund and the Private Investment Fund and the limited partnership investment of the Mutual Fixed Income Fund are presented at the cash adjusted fair values, which utilize the investment advisors' March 31, 2000, quarter ending estimated values adjusted for cash flows of the Funds during the subsequent quarter that affect the value at the Funds' level. Adjustments are made for underlying investments that experienced significant changes in value during the quarter, if deemed appropriate. We have reviewed the investment advisors' values, the relevant cash flows and the procedures used by the State Treasurer in reviewing the estimated values and have read underlying documentation and, in the circumstances, we believe the procedures to be reasonable and the documentation appropnate. However, because of the inherent uncertainty of valuation, those estimated values may differ significantly from the values that would have been used had a ready market for the investments existed, and the differences could be material.

Our audit was made for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements of the Combined Investment Funds taken as a whole. The Combined Investment Funds Total Net Asset Value by Pension Plans and Trust Funds and the Statements of Investment Activity by Pension Plan and by Trust Fund, contained within the Supplemental Information Section of this document, are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the financial statements of the Combined Investment Funds. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements of the Combined Investment Funds and, in our opinion, is fairly presented in all material respects in relation to the financial statements of the Combined Investment Funds taken as a whole. The introduction section, supplemental information section and the statutory appendix have not been audited except as specifically noted in this auditors' opinion.

At the present time, separate auditors' reports are being prepared by the Auditors of Public Accounts covering the State-Wide operations of the State Treasury and the Investment Advisory Council. These auditors' reports, consisting of comments, recommendations, and certifications, will be maintained on file in the offices of the Auditors of Public Accounts.

This particular certification is issued by the Auditors of Public Accounts and the State Comptroller in accordance with Section 2-90 of the General Statutes.

Auditor of Public Accounts


Robert G. Jaekle
Auditor of Public Accounts



October B, 2000

The Honorable John G. Rowland
Governor of Connecticut
The Honorable Denise L. Nappier
Treasurer of Connecticut
The Honorable Members of the Connecticut General Assembly
The People of Connecticut

This report was prepared by the Department of Treasury, which is responsible for the accuracy of the data, the completeness and fairness of the presentation and all disclosures. We present the financial statements and data as being accurate in all material respects and prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles and such financial statements are audited annually by the State of Connecticut Auditors of Public Accounts.

To carry out this responsibility, the Treasury Department maintains financial policies, procedures, accounting systems and internal controls that management believes provide reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that accurate financial records are maintained and investments and other assets are safeguarded.

It is our belief that the contents of this Annual Report make evident the State of Connecticut Treasury Department support of the safe custody and conscientious stewardship of the State's property and money including Trusts and Custodial accounts held by the State Treasurer. In addition, the Treasury Department has sought to maximize earnings on the assets held by the State Treasurer within the boundaries of prudent investment guidelines authorized by Article Four, Section 22 of the Connecticut Constitution and in Title 3 of the Connecticut General Statutes, thereby stabilizing taxpayer costs and securing the safety of benefit commitments established under various General Statutes regarding the State retirement systems and other retirement systems administered by the State.

The State of Connecticut also issues a Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (the "CAFR") available from the State Comptroller's Office. The material presented herein is intended to expand on, but not to conflict with, the State's CAFR.

In management's opinion, the internal control structure of the State Treasury is adequate to ensure that the financial information is this report presents fairly the financial condition and results of operations of the funds that follow.

Sincerely,


Howard G. Rifkin
Deputy Treasurer

## COM BINED INVESTMENT FUNDS

## STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS

JUNE 30, 2000

| CASH | MUTUAL | FIXED | INTER- | REAL | COMMERCIAL | PRIVATE | ELIMI- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RESERVE | EQUITY | INCOME | NATIONAL | ESTATE | MORTGAGE | INVESTMENT | NATION |  |
| FUND | FUND | FUND | STOCK FUND | FUND | FUND | FUND | ENTRY | TOTAL |

ASSETS

| Investments in Securities, at Fair Value |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash Reserve Fund | \$ | \$ 78,886,019\$ | 847,227,707 | \$ 79,417,683\$ | 29,828,197\$ | 27,496,107 \$ | \$ 86,165,136 | \$(1,149,020,849) | \$ - |
| Cash Equivalents | 953,245,946 |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 953,245,946 |
| Asset Backed Securities | 341,806,886 | - | 165,155,478 | - | - | - |  | - | 506,962,364 |
| Government Securities |  |  | 1,190,490,402 | - | - | - | - | - | 1,190,490,402 |
| Government Agency Securities | 28,513,421 |  | 1,892,619,352 | - | - | - |  | - | 1,921,132,773 |
| Mortgage Backed Securities | 57,170,766 |  | 569,633,594 | - | - | 2,343,728 | - | - | 629,148,088 |
| Corporate Debt | 146,967,316 |  | 1,984,533,560 | 2,340,766 | - | - |  | - | 2,133,841,642 |
| Convertible Securities |  |  | 290,775,777 |  | - | - | - | - | 290,775,777 |
| Common Stock | - | 8,764,317,380 | 16,366,287 | 2,795,458,179 | 61,266,709 | 143,804,457 | 5,108,845 | - | 11,786,321,857 |
| Preferred Stock |  | 631,250 | 88,974,946 | 45,946,878 | - | - | - | - | 135,553,074 |
| Real Estate Investment Trust |  | 32,233,501 | 517,300 | - | - | - | - | - | 32,750,801 |
| Mutual Fund |  | - | 36,488,020 | 5,529,840 | - | - | - | - | 42,017,860 |
| Limited Liability Corporation |  | - | - | - | - | - | 72,788,985 | - | 72,788,985 |
| Trusts |  |  | - | - | 52,995,032 | 1,571,916 |  | - | 54,566,948 |
| Limited Partnerships |  |  | 199,220,400 | - | 351,325,713 | - 2 | 2,396,979,306 | - | 2,947,525,419 |
| Partnerships |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Annuities | - | - | - | - | 14,595,292 | - | - | - | 14,595,292 |
| Total Investments in Securities, at Fair Value | 1,527,704,335 | 8,876,068,150 | 7,282,002,823 | 2,928,693,346 | 510,010,943 | 175,216,208 | 2,561,042,272 | (1,149,020,849) | 22,711,717,228 |
| Cash |  | 1,441,657 | 113,577 | 21,372,333 | - | - |  |  | 22,927,567 |
| Receivables |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Foreign Exchange Contracts |  | - | 23,878,858 | 1,647,105,232 | - | - | - | (6,76, - | 1,670,984,090 |
| Interest Receivable | 14,866,286 | 396,615 | 83,858,537 | 562,397 | 158,061 | 148,953 | 650,003 | (6,764,491) | 93,876,361 |
| Dividends Receivable |  | 6,989,267 | 345,118 | 2,096,981 | - | 667,295 | - | - | 10,098,661 |
| Due from Brokers |  | 109,560,767 | 174,485,296 | 67,317,473 | - | - | - | - | 351,363,536 |
| Management Fee Receivable | - |  | - | 6,605,917 | - | - | - | - | 6,605,917 |
| Foreign Taxes |  | 2,699 | 7,500 | 1,673,145 | - | - | - | - | 1,683,344 |
| Securities Lending Receivable |  | 151,207 | 135,791 | 348,217 | - | - | - | - | 635,215 |
| Reserve for Doubtful Receivable |  | (165) | $(1,579,102)$ | $(420,627)$ | - | - | - | - | (1,999,894) |
| Total Receivables | 14,866,286 | 117,100,390 | 281,131,998 | 1,725,288,735 | 158,061 | 816,248 | 650,003 | (6,764,491) | 2,133,247,230 |



LIABILITIES
Payables

| Foreign Exchange Contracts | - | - | 23,762,583 | 1,665,553,211 | - |  |  | - - | 1,689,315,794 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Due to Brokers | - | 124,856,950 | 1,039,566,952 | 74,135,253 |  |  |  |  | 1,238,559,155 |
| Income Distribution | 8,660,724 | - |  | - |  |  |  | $(6,619,426)$ | 2,041,298 |
| Other Payables | 95,055 | - |  |  |  |  |  | $(95,055)$ |  |
| Total Payables | 8,755,779 | 124,856,950 | 1,063,329,535 | 1,739,688,464 |  |  |  | (6,714,481) | 2,929,916,247 |
| Securities Lending Collateral |  | 322,292,768 | 736,407,541 | 607,418,957 |  |  |  | - - | 1,666,119,266 |
| Accrued Expenses | 201,705 | 17,196,605 | 3,779,682 | 5,699,659 | 46,001 | 4,290 | 400,874 | $(49,998)$ | 27,278,818 |
| Total Liabilities | 8,957,484 | 464,346,323 | 1,803,516,758 | 2,352,807,080 | 46,001 | 4,290 | 400,874 | $(6,764,479)$ | 4,623,314,331 |
| NET ASSETS | \$1,533,613,137 | 8,852,556,642 | \$6,496,139,181 | \$2,929,966,291 | \$510,123,003 | 76,059,423 | \$2,564,787,182 | \$(1,149,020,861) | \$21,914,223,998 |
| Units Outstanding | 1,533,613,137 | 9,736,955 | 59,940,364 | 10,784,603 | 8,424,019 | 2,406,274 | 22,301,491 |  |  |

Net Asset Value and Redemption
Price per Unit

| $\$ 1.00$ | $\$ 909.17$ | $\$ 108.38$ | $\$ 271.68$ | $\$ 60.56$ | $\$ 73.17$ | $\$ 115.01$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

## COMBINED INVESTMENT FUNDS

STATEMENTSOF OPERATIONS
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2000

|  | $\qquad$ | MUTUAL EQUITY FUND | FIXED INCOME FUND | $\begin{gathered} \text { INTER- } \\ \text { NATIONAL } \\ \text { STOCK FUND } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | REAL ESTATE FUND | $\begin{gathered} \text { COMMERCIAI } \\ \text { MORTGAGE } \\ \text { FUND } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { INVESTMENT } \\ \text { FUND } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | ELIMI- <br> NATION <br> ENTRY | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Investment Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dividends | \$ - | \$103,706,517 | \$ 27,991,679 | \$38,905,715 | \$17,446,577 | \$ 19,101,486 | \$162,666,058 | \$ - | \$ 369,818,036 |
| Interest | 86,748,226 | 4,748,676 | 410,315,952 | 3,107,410 | 1,796,643 | 738,905 | 6,090,497 | $(64,109,888)$ | 449,436,421 |
| Other Income | - | 386,572 |  | 271,609 | 133,882 | 1,795 | - | - | 793,858 |
| Securities Lending |  | 20,960,254 | 36,834,238 | 32,340,718 |  | - | - |  | 90,135,210 |
| Total Income | 86,748,226 | 129,802,019 | 475,141,869 | 74,625,452 | 19,377,102 | 19,842,186 | 168,756,555 | (64,109,888) | $\mathbf{9 1 0 , 1 8 3 , 5 2 5}$ |
| Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investment Advisory Fees | 297,695 | 19,427,107 | 9,469,542 | 8,736,651 | 703,555 | 763,333 | 8,191,141 | $(220,007)$ | 47,369,017 |
| Salary and Fringe Benefits | 79,557 | 466,562 | 283,628 | 142,975 | 71,535 | 30,433 | 251,519 | $(58,795)$ | 1,267,414 |
| Custody and Transfer Agent Fees | 64,859 | 179,283 | 123,180 | 808,161 | 38,396 | 8,380 | 202,876 | $(47,933)$ | 1,377,202 |
| Professional Fees | 19,900 | 120,250 | 71,506 | 41,936 | 6,296 | 2,164 | 281,231 | $(14,707)$ | 528,576 |
| Security Lending Fees |  | 578,059 | 800,702 | 1,155,577 | - | - | - | - | 2,534,338 |
| SecurityLendingRebates | - | 18,299,477 | 34,174,927 | 28,531,517 | - | - | - | - | 81,005,921 |
| Investment Expenses |  |  |  |  |  | 9.348 | - | - | 9.348 |
| Total Expenses | 462,011 | 39,070,738 | 44,923,485 | 39,416,817 | 819,782 | 813,658 | 8,926,767 | $(341,442)$ | 134,091,816 |
| Net Investment Income | 86,286,215 | 90,731,281 | 430,218,384 | 35,208,635 | 18,557,320 | 19,028,528 | 159,829,788 | $(63,768,446)$ | 776,091,709 |
| Net Realized Gain (Loss) | $(2,242)$ | 1,252,402,094 | $(76,770,587)$ | 378,895,174 | (35,932,863) | ) (6,729,482) | 15,305,220 | 1,657 | 1,527,168,971 |
| Net Change in Unrealized Gain(Loss) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Net Increase (Decrease) in Net

Assets Resulting from Operations $\mathbf{\$ 8 6 , 2 8 3 , 9 7 3 \$ 8 2 4 , 5 8 3 , 0 6 4 \$ 3 5 2 , 8 0 0 , 3 9 1} \$ 495,476,519 \quad \$ 42,378,258 \quad \$ 15,532,281 \quad \$ 812,429,797 \quad \$(63,766,789) \$ 2,565,717,498$

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements

## COM BINED INVESTMENT FUNDS

## STATEM ENT OF CHANGESIN NET ASSETS

FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2000

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CASH } \\ \text { RESERVE } \\ \text { FUND } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | MUTUAL EQUITY FUND | $\begin{array}{lc} \text { L } & \text { FIXED } \\ Z & \text { INCOME } \\ & \text { FUND } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { INTER- } \\ \text { NATIONAL } \\ \text { STOCK FUNI } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{lc}  & \text { REAL } \\ \text { L } & \text { ESTATE } \\ \text { ND } & \text { FUND } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { COMMERCIAI } \\ \text { MORTGAGE } \\ \text { FUND } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | PRIVATE INVESTMEN FUND | $\begin{array}{ll}  & \text { ELIMI- } \\ \text { NT } & \text { NATION } \\ & \text { ENTRY } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net Increase in Net Assets Resulting from Operations | \$ 86,283,973 | \$824,583,064 | \$352,800,391 | \$495,476,519 | \$ 42,378,258 | \$ 15,532,281 \$ | \$812,429,797 \$ | \$ (63,766,789) | \$ 2,565,717,498 |
| Distributions to Unit Owners: Income Distributed | $(86,283,973)$ | $(96,128,150)$ | $(394,172,680)$ | $(34,388,207)$ | $(32,632,888)$ | $(20,350,724)$ | $(158,887,290)$ | 63,766,789 | (759,077,123) |
| Unit Transactions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purchase of Units by |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Redemption of Units by Participants | $(3,311,065,052)$ | $(1,000,000,000)$ | ( $233,000,000$ ) | $(400,000)$ | $(25,000,000)$ | $(56,000,000)$ |  | 2,475,378,423 | (2,150,086,629) |
| Net Inc. (Dec.) in Net Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resulting from Unit Transaction | ns 160,350,500 ( | 1,000,000,000) | 368,000,000 | $(400,000)$ | 72,000,000 | $(56,000,000)$ | 725,000,000 | 17,673,639 | 286,624,139 |
| Total Inc.(Dec.)in Net Assets | 160,350,500 | $(271,545,086)$ | 326,627,711 | 460,688,312 | 81,745,370 | $(60,818,443) 1$, | 1,378,542,507 | 17,673,639 | 2,093,264,514 |
| Net Assets- Beginning of Period | 1,373,262,637 | 9,124,101,728 6 | 6,169,511,470 2 | 2,469,277,979 | 428,377,633 | 236,877,866 1, | 1,186,244,675 (1, | 1,166,694,500) | 19,820,959,484 |
| Net Assets- End of Period | \$1,533,613,137 \$ | \$8,852,556,642 \$ | \$6,496,139,181 | \$2,929,966,291 | \$510,123,003 | \$176,059,423 \$2 | 2,564,787,182 \$( | \$(1,149,020,861 | \$21,914,223,998 |
| Change in Units Outstanding: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purchased | 3,471,415,552 | - | 5,549,908 | - | 1,641,244 | - | 7,729,045 |  |  |
| Redeemed | (3,311,065,052) | $(1,183,953)$ | (2,140,771) | $(1,748)$ | $(419,738)$ | $(753,330)$ | - |  |  |
| Net Increase (Decrease) | 160,350,500 | (1,183,953) | 3,409,137 | $(1,748)$ | 1,221,506 | $(753,330)$ | 7,729,045 |  |  |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements

## COMBINED INVESTMENT FUNDS

## STATEMENT OF CHANGESIN NET ASSETS

FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 1999


The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

## NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The Combined Investment Funds (the "Funds") are separate le gally defined funds which have been created by the Treasurer of the State of Connecticut (the "Treasurer") under the authority of the Connecticut General Statutes (CGS) Section 3-31b. The Funds are open-end, unitized portfolios consisting of the Cash Reserve Fund, Mutual Equity Fund, Mutual Fixed Income Fund, International Stock Fund, Real Estate Fund, Commercial Mortgage Fund and the Private Investment Fund. The Funds were established to provide a means for investing pension and other trust fund assets entrusted to the Treasurer in a variety of investment classes. The units of the Funds are owned by these pension and trust funds. For financial reporting purposes of the State of Connecticut, the Funds are considered to be internal investment pools and are not reported in the State's combined financial statements. Instead, each fund type's investment in the fund is reported as "equity in combined investment funds" in the State's combined balance sheet.

The Treasurer, as sole fiduciary of the Funds, is authorized to invest in a broad range of fixed income and equity securities, as well as real estate properties, mortgages and private equity. This authority is restricted only by statute. Such limitations include prohibitions against investment in companies doing business in Iran, and those doing business in Northern Ireland but who have failed to implement the MacBride principles (CGS Section 313h). Other legislation restricts the maximum aggregate investment in equity securities to $55 \%$ of the fair value of the Trust Funds.

The Funds of the Treasurer are proprietary in nature; the activities in these funds are similar to those found in the private sector where the determination of net income is necessary or useful to sound financial administration. The generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") used for such funds are generally those applicable to similar businesses in the private sector. In accordance with Government Accounting Standards Board ("GASB") Statement No. 20, the Treasurer has elected to apply all GASB pronouncements, as well as all Financial Accounting Standards Board Statements, Interpretations, Accounting Principles Board Opinions and Accounting Research Bulletins, except those that conflict with GASB pronouncements. The Funds are not subject to regulatory oversight and are not registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission as an investment company.

The following is a summary of significant accounting policies consistently followed by the Funds in the preparation of their financial statements.

## A. New Pronouncements

There were no relevant new pronouncements for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2000.

## B. Security V aluation

Investments are stated at fair value for each of the Funds as described below. For the Commercial Mortgage Fund, the investments listed on the Statement of Net Assets, other than the amounts invested in the Cash Reserve Fund, are shown at fair values provided to the Fund by the investment advisor, and adjusted, when appropriate, by the Treasurer's staff. For the Real Estate and Private Investment Funds and one limited partnership in the Mutual Fixed Income Fund, substantially all of the investments, other than those in the Cash Reserve Fund, are shown at values that are estimated by the Treasurer's staff. Such estimations utilize the investment advisors' prior quarter end estimated fair value, plus or minus the appropriate related cash flows as de scribed later in this section. The Treasurer's staff review s the valuations for all investments in these alternative asset classes (Commercial M ortgage, Real Estate, and Private Investment Funds) to see that they are reasonable and consistent. Due to the inherent uncertainty of valuation, those estimated values may differ significantly from the values that would have been used had a ready market for the securities existed and the differences could be material.

## Cash Reserve Fund

Investments are valued at amortized cost which approximates fair value. Repurchase Agreements held are collateralized at 102 percent of the securities' value. Such transactions are only entered into with primary government securities dealers who report directly to the Federal Reserve Bank of New York. The collateral is evaluated daily to ensure its fair value exceeds the current fair value of the repurchase agreements including accrued interest.

## Mutual Equity Fund

Securities traded on securities exchanges are valued at the last reported sales price on the last business day of the fiscal year. Corporate bonds and certain over-thecounter stocks are valued at the mean of bid and asked prices as furnished by broker-dealers.

## Mutual Fixed Income Fund

Investments are valued based on quoted market prices when available. For securities that have no quoted market value, fair
value is estimated based on yields currently available on comparable securities of issuers with similar credit ratings.

An investment with a market value of $\$ 199,220,400$ and a cost value of $\$ 201,614,206$ is held through a limited partnership. The fair value of the underlying securities is based on quoted market prices when available. W hen quoted market prices are not available, the underlying securities are valued by the General Partner at the fair value as determined in good faith under consistently applied procedures.
W hen-issued securities held are fully collateralized by U.S Government securities and such collateral is in the possession of the Fund's custodian. The collateral is evaluated daily to ensure its market value exceeds the current market value of the instruments including accrued interest.
The M utual Fixed Income Fund invests in Mortgage Backed Se curities (MBSs) and Asset Backed Securities (ABSs), which are included in the Statement of $N$ et Assets. These are bonds issued by a special purpose trust that collects payments on an underlying collateral pool of mortgage or other loans and remits payments to bondholders. The bonds are structured in a series of classes or tranches, each with a different coupon rate and stated maturity date. Interest payments to the bondholders are made in accordance with the trust indentures and amounts received from borrowers in excess of interest payments and expenses are used to amortize the principal on the bonds. Such principal payments are made to retire the tranches of bonds in order of their stated maturity. Because mortgage prepayments are largely dependent on market interest rates, the ultimate maturity date of the bonds is unpredictable and is sensitive to changes in market interest rates, but is generally prior to the stated maturity date. At June 30, 2000, the Fund held MBSs of $\$ 569,633,594$ and ABSs of $\$ 165,155,478$.

Interest-only stripped mortgage backed securities (IOs), a spe cialized type of Collateralized Mortgage Obligation (CMO), are included as Mortgaged Backed Securities on the statement of Net Assets. The cash flow on these investments is derived from the interest payments on the underlying mortgage loans. Prepayments on the underlying loans curtail these interest payments, reducing the value of the IOs and, as such, these instruments are extremely sensitive to changes in interest rates, which encourage or discourage such prepayments. At June 30,2000 the Fund's holdings had a fair value of $\$ 7.7$ million and a cost of $\$ 9.6$ million. The valuations were provided by the custodian.
Investments in non-U.S. fixed income securities are utilized on an opportunistic basis. Certain advisors within the Mutual Fixed Income Fund are authorized to invest in global fixed income se curities.

## International Stock Fund

Investments in securities listed on security exchanges are valued at the last reported sales price on the last business day of the fiscal year; securities traded in the over-thecounter market and listed securities for which no sale was reported on that date are valued at the mean of the last reported bid and asked prices.
Certain cash held in non-U.S. dollar denominated trading accounts is non-interest bearing.

## Real Estate Fund

Investments in securities not listed on security exchanges and investments in trusts, limited partnerships, and annuities, which comprise substantially all of the Fund's investments, are carried at the cash adjusted fair value. The cash adjusted fair value utilizes the prior calendar quarter end fair value as estimated by the investment advisor, (i) plus cash flows relating to capitalized expenses and principal contributions disbursed from and (ii) minus amounts received by the Real Estate Fund, to estimate the current fair value. The Treasurer's staff reviews the prior quarter estimated fair values provided by the investment advisors for reasonableness. In those instances where an advisor's value appears to be overstated, this estimated fair value is adjusted accordingly. Additionally, the staff monitors the estimated cash adjusted fair values against the estimated values subsequently reported by the investment advisors. In the event of significant total Fund-level differences between the cash adjusted estimates and the investment advisors' estimated values, adjustments to the reported cash adjusted fair values are made to prevent overstatement. At June 30, 2000, the estimated investment values provided by the investment advisors, net of the adjustments noted above, exceeded cash adjusted fair values reported on the State ment of Net Assets by approximately $\$ 11$ million. Consistent with the cash adjusted fair value presentation this increase will be considered for the next quarter's adjustment.

## Commercial M ortgage Fund

This Fund invests in commercial mortgage loans and mortgage backed securities generally through indirect ownership vehicles such as trusts and corporations. The value of the Fund's interest in these entities is based on the fair value of the underlying commercial loan portfolio or securities held. Fair value for the mortgage portfolio is computed by discounting the expected cash flows of the loans at a rate commensurate with the risk inherent in the loans. The discount rate is determined using the yield on U.S. Treasury securities of comparable remaining maturities plus an appropriate market spread for credit and liquidity risk. The Fund does not record fair values in excess of amounts at which the borrower could settle the obligation, giving effect to any pre
payment premiums. In the event that the fair value of the loan collateral, based on an appraisal, is less than the outstanding principal balance, the collateral value is used as fair value. These calculations are performed by the investment advisor and reviewed by Treasury personnel.

The Fund has one commercial mortgage backed security. This security is included on the Statement of $N$ et Assets under Trusts. The M ortgage Backed Securities on the Statement of Net Assets consist of certificates of beneficial interest in a collateralized mortgage obligation (CMO) created pursuant to a securitization of a residential mortgage pool.

## Private Investment Fund

The Private Investment Fund is comprised of investments in various limited partnerships and limited liability companies. The general partner or managing member is the investment advisor and is compensated on a fee basis for management services in addition to its participation in partnership profits and losses. These investments are carried at their cash adjusted fair values. The cash adjusted fair value utilizes the prior quarter fair value as estimated by the investment advisor, (i) plus cash flows relating to capitalized expenses and principal contributions disbursed from and (ii) minus amounts received by the Private Investment Fund, to estimate the current fair value. The Treasurer's staff reviews the prior quarter estimated fair values provided by the investment advisors for reasonableness. In those instances where an advisor's value appears to be overstated, the estimated fair value is adjusted accordingly. Additionally, the staff monitors the estimated cash adjusted fair values against the estimated values subsequently reported by the investment advisors. In the event of significant total Fund-level differences betw een the cash adjusted estimates and the investment advisors' estimated values, adjustments of reported cash adjusted values are made to prevent overstatement. At June 30, 2000, the estimated investment values provided by the investment advisors, net of the adjustments noted above, exceeded the cash adjusted fair value re ported on the Statement of $N$ et Assets by approximately $\$ 38$ million. Consistent with the cash adjusted fair value presentation this increase will be considered for the next quarter's adjustment.

Fair values of the underlying investments are generally represented by cost unless there has been an additional arms-length indication of value, such as a public offering or a new investment by a third party.

## C. Investment Transactions and Related Income

Investment transactions are accounted for on a trade date basis. Dividend income is recognized as earned on the ex-dividend date. Interest income is recorded on the accrual basis as earned. Realized gains and losses are computed on the basis of the average cost
of investments sold. Such amounts are calculated independent of and are presented separately from the Net Change in Unrealized Gains and Losses on the Statement of Operations and the Statement of Changes in Net Assets. Realized gains and losses on investments held more than one fiscal year and sold in the current year were included as a change in the fair value of investments reported in the prior year(s) and the current year. Unrealized gains and losses represent the difference betw een the fair value and the cost of investments. The increase (decrease) in such difference is accounted for as a change in unrealized gain (loss). In the Funds' cost basis records, premiums are amortized using the straight-line method which approximates the interest method.

Dividends earned by the Private Investment, Real Estate, Commercial Mortgage Funds and one limited partnership in the Mutual Fixed Income Fund relate to investments that are not listed on security exchanges. Such dividends are recognized as income when received, generally net of advisory fees.

## D. Foreign C urrency Translation

The value of investments, assets and liabilities denominated in currencies other than U.S. dollars are translated into U.S.dollars based upon appropriate fiscal year end foreign exchange rates. Purchases and sales of foreign investments and income and expenses are converted into U.S. dollars based on currency exchange rates prevailing on the respective dates of such transactions. The Funds do not isolate that portion of the results of operations arising from changes in the exchange rates from that portion arising from changes in the market prices of securities.

## E. Share Transactions and Pricing

All unit prices are determined at the end of each month based on the net asset value of each fund divided by the number of units outstanding. Purchases and redemptions of units are based on the prior month end price and are generally processed on the first business day of the month.

## F. Expenses

Expenses of the funds are recognized on the accrual basis and are deducted in calculating net investment income and net asset value on a monthly basis. Fees and expenses of the Real Estate Fund are generally recognized when paid, by netting them against dividends received. Each of the funds bears its direct expenses, such as investment advisory fees, and, in addition, each of the funds is allocated a portion of the overhead expenses of the Pension Funds M anagement Division of the Office of the State Treasurer, which services the funds. These expenses include salary and fringe benefit costs and other administrative expenses. Certain of these costs are allocated among the Funds based on relative net asset values. Other costs are charged directly based on the specific duties of personnel.

## NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## G. Distributions

Net investment income earned by the Combined Investment Funds is distributed monthly to the unit ow ners of the funds, generally in the following month.

## H. Derivative Financial Instruments

GASB Technical Bulletin Number 94-1 states that derivatives are generally defined as contracts whose value depends on, or de rives from, the value of an underlying asset, reference rate, or index. For the fiscal year ended June 30,2000 , the funds maintained positions in a variety of such securities. The Cash Reserve Fund held adjustable rate and asset backed securities. The Mutual Fixed Income Fund held CMOs, including IOs, and other asset backed securities, indexed Treasury securities and option contracts. The International Stock and Mutual Fixed Income Funds were invested in foreign exchange contracts and the Commercial Mortgage Fund held CMOs and CMO residuals. The specific nature of these investments is discussed more fully in the accounting policy note for each respective fund, where appropriate. These financial instruments are utilized for trading and other purposes. Those that are used for other than trading purposes are foreign exchange contracts, which can be used to facilitate trade settlements, and may serve as foreign currency hedges. The credit exposure resulting from such contracts is limited to the recorded fair value of the contracts on the Statement of $N$ et Assets.

The remaining such securities are utilized for trading purposes and are intended to enhance investment returns. All positions are reported at fair value and changes in fair value are reflected in income as they occur. The funds' credit exposure resulting from such investments is limited to the recorded fair value of the derivative financial instruments.

The Mutual Fixed Income and International Stock Funds also utilize derivatives indirectly through participation in mutual funds and a limited partnership. These mutual funds may hold derivatives from time to time. Such derivatives may be used for hedging, investment and risk management purposes. These transactions subject the investor to credit and market risk.

## I. Combination/Elimination Entry

The financial statements depict a full presentation of each of the Combined Investment Funds. However, one of these funds, the Cash Reserve Fund, is owned both directly by the pension plans and trust funds which have accounts in the Fund, and also indirectly because each of the other Combined Investment Funds has an account with the Cash Reserve Fund. As a result, elimination entries are presented for the purpose of netting out balances and
transactions relating to the ownership of the Cash Reserve Fund by the other Combined Investment Funds. The combined presentation totals to the overall net assets owned by the pension plans and trust funds.

## J. Fees and Realized Gains

Investment advisory fees incurred for the Private Investment Fund are generally charged to the entity in which the Fund has been invested. In such cases, these amounts are either capitalized in the cost basis of the investment and become a component of unrealized gain (loss) or are netted against the corresponding income generated. Certain other fees are incurred directly by the Funds. These amounts are expensed and are reflected as Investment Advisory Fees on the Statement of Operations. The appropriate treatment is determined depending on the terms of the investment agreement. Capitalized fees are not separately presented on the Statement of Operations. These fees are borne by the partners in their respective shares. The following is a listing of the Fund's total fees for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2000:

PrivateInvestment Fund $\begin{array}{ccc}\text { Netted } & \text { Capitalized } & \text { Expensed } \\ & \$ 19,606,869 & \$ 8,191,141 \\ \$ 43,428,630\end{array}$
In addition, realized gains and losses are not reported at the level of the Fund's investment since these relate to realized gains and losses on the underlying securities held by the Funds' investment vehicles. The following is the Fund's share of such realized gains for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2000:

Private Investment Fund $\$ 42,536,455$
Past practice of partnerships was to distribute realized gains on a consistent basis. Not included in the above realized gain is approximately $\$ 202$ million which has not been distributed by one of the general partners.

Periodically the Private Investment Fund may receive stock distribution in lieu of cash. These securities are included as common stock on the Statement of Net Assets. W hen one of these individual securities is sold the realized gain or loss is presented on the Statement of Operations. Relaized gains for such transactions for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2000 were $\$ 15,305,220$.
The Mutual Fixed Income Fund includes an investment in a mutual fund and a limited partnership interest. Fees incurred are deducted from the operations of the fund and are not separately presented on the Statement of Operations. The corresponding fees incurred for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2000 totaled $\$ 2.2$ million.

Investment advisory fees incurred for certain investments in the Real Estate Fund are generally charged to the entity in which the Fund has been invested. In such cases, these amounts are either capitalized in the cost basis of the investment and become a component of unrealized gain (loss) or are netted against the corre sponding income generated. Certain other fees are incurred directly by the Funds. These amounts are expensed and are reflected as Investment Advisory Fees on the Statement of Operations. The appropriate treatment is determined depending on the terms of the investment agreement. Capitalized fees are not separately presented on the Statement of Operations. These fees are borne by the partners in their respective shares. The following is a listing of the Fund's total fees for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2000:

Real Estate Fund

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { Netted } & \text { Capitalized } & \text { Expensed } & \text { Total } \\
\hline \$ 753,839 & \$ 2,283,661 & \$ 703,555 & \$ 3,741,055
\end{array}
$$

Additionally, the Real Estate Fund incurred disposition fees of $\$ 2,783,390$ on investments sold with a Net Asset Value of $\$ 12,687,396$. Such amounts are netted against the proceeds re alized upon the disposition. These amounts are reflected in the Realized Gain (Loss) on the Statement of Operations.
Investment advisory fees for the Cash Reserve, M utual Equity, Mutual Fixed Income (except as noted above) and International Stock Funds are estimated monthly based on periodic reviews of asset values and performance results. Accordingly, the amounts listed as Investment Advisory Fees on the Statement of Operations represent estimates of annual management fee expenses.

## K. Reclassifications

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

## L. Related Party and Other Transactions

There were no related party transactions during the fiscal year. Additionally, there were no "soft dollar" transactions. Soft dollar transactions result from arrangements whereby firms doing business with organizations such as the Treasury arrange for third parties to provide other services in lieu of cash payment. These arrangements tend to obscure the true cost of operations and can result in potential overpayment for services. Such transactions have been prohibited by the Treasurer.

## M. Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported
amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

## NOTE 2: CASH,INVESTMENTSAND SECURITIES LENDING

Investments in the alternative asset classes generally utilize investment vehicles such as annuity contracts, common stocks, limited partnerships and trusts to comply with investment guidelines against direct ownership of such investment assets.

The investments of the Cash Reserve, Mutual Equity, Mutual Fixed Income and the International Stock Funds were securities registered under the State Street Bank and Trust Co. nominee name Pondwave \& Co. and held by a designated agency of the Pension Plans and Trust Funds of the State of Connecticut, or bearer and held by a designated agency of the Pension Plans and Trust Funds of the State of Connecticut. Investments, as de fined by GASB Statement No. 3, are categorized to give an indication of the credit risk assumed by the Treasurer at year-end. Category 1 includes investments which are insured or registered or for which securities are held by the Treasurer or its agent in the Treasurer's name. Category 2 includes uninsured and unregistered investments for which the securities are held by the counterparty's trust department or agent in the Treasurer's name. Category 3 includes uninsured or unregistered investments for which the securities are held by the counterparty's trust department or agent, but not in the Treasurer's name.

All registered securities, as noted above, are classified under GASB risk category 1 , except as follows:

Amounts listed as Due to Brokers are for securities purchased which are held by broker-dealers and not classified as to credit risk. Amounts listed as Due from Brokers are for securities sold and would have been classified under category 1 of credit risk if they were included in Investments in Securities, at Fair Value on the Statement of $N$ et Assets.

Investments of cash collateral received under securities lending arrangements are registered in the master custodian's name and are invested in a fund maintained by the master custodian exclusively for the Funds. Accordingly, these investments are classified under GASB risk category 3. In circumstances where securities or letters of credit are received as collateral under securities lending arrangements, the collateral is held by the master custodian in a commingled pool in the master custodian's name, as trustee. W hen "tri-party" collateral is received, the collateral consists of cash, letters of credit or securities but is held in a commingled pool by a third party master custodian in the Funds' master custodian's name. The collateral received is unable to be pledged or sold without borrower default. The underlying securities are classified under GASB risk category 3.

## Private Investment Fund

Investments in the form of limited partnership and limited liability corporation interests are not evidenced by securities existing in physical or book entry form and therefore are not classified as to credit risk.

## Commercial M ortgage Fund

At June 30,2000 , investments with a cost of $\$ 137,173,790$ and a fair value of $\$ 143,804,457$ in the form of common stock certificates are classified as category 1 Other Funds on Deposit represent portfolio level net assets consisting of escrow accounts. These are maintained by the portfolio manager and are not classified, as they are not investments.

Investments in the form of trusts are not evidenced by securities existing in physical or book entry form and are not classified as to credit risks as defined in GASB Statement No. 3.

The composition of the Fund's investment portfolio by the underlying assets in which the investee corporations and trusts are invested in are as follows at June 30, 2000:

| Investment | FairValue | Cost |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Cash Reserve | $\$ 27,496,106$ | $\$ 27,496,107$ |
| Commercial M ortgage loans | $143,804,457$ | $137,179,990$ |
| CM O's | $3,915,645$ | $3,593,992$ |
| Total | $\$ 175,216,208$ | $\$ 168,263,689$ |

## Real Estate Fund

Certain investments in the form of common stock certificates with a cost of $\$ 32,562,540$ and a fair value of $\$ 61,266,709$ are classified under risk category 1
The remaining investments, which comprise the substantial majority of the Fund's investments, are in the form of trusts, annuities and limited partnerships and are not evidenced by securities existing in physical or book entry form and are therefore not classified as to credit risk.

## Summary of Credit Risk Categories

Breakdown by investment type of the GASB 3 credit risk categories is as follows:


Investments not categorized because they are not evidenced by securities that exist in physical or book entry form:

| Real Estate Investment Trusts | $31,908,165$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| M utual Funds | $42,017,860$ |
| Limited Liability Corporation | $72,788,985$ |
| Trusts | $54,566,948$ |
| Limited Partnerships | $2,947,525,419$ |
| Annuities | $14,595,292$ |
| Investments held by broker-dealers under securities loans: |  |
| U.S.G overnment and A gency | $607,879,981$ |
| U.S. Corporate Stock | $378,132,102$ |
| International Equity | $525,141,937$ |
| Domestic Fixed | $\mathbf{1 0 2 , 0 1 1 , 6 8 8}$ |
| International Fixed | $\mathbf{9 , 0 2 9 , 7 6 0}$ |
| Total Investments | $\mathbf{\$ 2 3 , 4 8 9 , 2 5 5 , 2 9 1}$ |

Cash balances included on the Statement of Net Assets of $\$ 22,927,567$ are fully insured by the FDIC and are, therefore, classified as Category 1

Cash Equivalents listed on the breakdown by investment type under Category 1 consist of corporate debt. Cash Equivalents reported under Category 3 consist of certificates of deposit of $\$ 138,830,260$ and time deposits of $\$ 264,755,418$.

## Securities Lending

Certain of the Combined Investment Funds engage in securities lending transactions to provide incremental returns to the Funds. The Funds are permitted to enter into securities lending transactions pursuant to Section 3-13d of the Connecticut General Statutes. The Funds' master custodian is authorized to lend available securities to authorized broker-dealers and banks subject to a form loan agreement.

During the period ended June 30,2000 , the master custodian lent, at the direction of the Funds, securities and received cash (in both U.S. and foreign currency), U.S. government securities, sovereign debt rated A or better, convertible bonds, and irrevocable bank letters of credit as collateral. The master custodian did not have the ability to pledge or sell collateral securities delivered therefore absent a borrower default. Borrowers were required to deliver collateral for each loan equal to: (i) in the case of loaned securities denominated in United States dollars or whose primary trading market was located in the United States or sovereign debt issued by foreign governments, $102 \%$ of the market value of the loaned securities; and (ii) in the case of loaned securities not denominated in United States dollars or whose primary trading market was not located in the United States, $105 \%$ of the market value of the loaned securities.

The Funds did not impose any restrictions during the fiscal year on the amount of the loans that the master custodian made on its behalf and the master custodian indemnified the Funds by agree ing to purchase replacement securities, or return the cash collateral thereof in the event any borrower failed to return the loaned securities or pay distributions thereon. There were no such failures by any borrowers to return loaned securities or pay distributions thereon during the fiscal year. Moreover, there were no losses during the fiscal year resulting from a default of the borrowers of the master custodian. During the fiscal year, the Funds and the borrowers maintained the right to terminate all securities lending transactions on demand. The cash collateral received on each loan was invested in an individual account known as the State of Connecticut Collateral Investment Trust. On June 30, 2000, the Funds had no credit risk exposure to borrowers. The value of collateral held and the market value of securities on loan for the Funds as of June 30, 2000 were $\$ 1922,690,204$ and $\$ 1838,999,170$, respectively.
Under ordinary circumstances, the average effective duration of the security lending operations will be managed such that it will not exceed 120 days, or fall below 1 day. Under such ordinary circumstances, the net duration, as defined by the duration of assets less the duration of liabilities, will not exceed 45 days. In the event that the average effective duration does exceed 120 days, or the net duration does exceed 45 days for any 3 -day period, the Trustee shall, (i) notify the Funds within 5 business days and (ii) take appropriate action as is reasonable to return an average effective duration below 120 days or a net duration below 45 days. The average effective duration is calculated using the weighted average effective duration of holdings. The average effective duration of the security lending program at June 30,2000 was 44 days.

The average effective duration is managed to be within 45 days due to the inability to monitor the weighted average duration of liabilities. The weighted average duration of liabilities is assumed
to remain at 1 day.
The fair value of collateral held and the fair value of securities on loan are as follows for the Funds as of June 30, 2000:

| Fund | Fair Value of <br> Collateral | Fair Value of <br> SecuritiesLent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| M utual Equity | $\$ 532,949,365$ | $\$ 488,339,124$ |
| International Stock | 631046,493 | $600,580,311$ |
| M utual Fixed Income | $767,166,454$ | $750,079,735$ |
| Total | $\$ 1,931,162,312$ | $\$ 1,838,999,170$ |

Investments made using the cash collateral received from security loans were included in the Statement of Net Assets. The fair value of these amounts is as follows:

| Fund | Cash <br> Equivalents | Corporate <br> Debt | Total <br> Investments |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| M utual Equity | $\$ 78,069,288$ | $\$ 243,955,926$ | $\$ 322,025,214$ |
| International Stock | $147,135,680$ | $459,777,786$ | $606,913,466$ |
| M utual Fixed Income | $178,30,710$ | $557,414,295$ | $735,995,005$ |
| Total | $\$ 403,585,678$ | $\$ 1261,148,007$ | $\$ 1,664,733,685$ |

These amounts are categorized in the Summary of Credit Risk Categories as Category 3 in that they are invested in a pool which is maintained solely on behalf of the Funds, but whose investments are held in the master custodian's name. The above total amounts were included on the Statement of Net Assets in "Invested Securities Lending Collateral".

## NOTE 3: PURCHASES AND SALES OF INVESTMENT SECURITIES

For the period ended June 30,2000 , the aggregate cost of purchases and proceeds from sales of investment securities (excluding all U.S. Government securities and short-term securities) were as follows:

| Fund | Purchases | Sales |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| M utual Equity | $\$ 4,803,691,599$ | $\$ 5,794,844,510$ |
| M utual Fixed Income | $18,696,250,009$ | $17,859,343,030$ |
| International Stock | $1896,752,004$ | $1,838,484,421$ |
| Real Estate | $154,079,738$ | $114,393,543$ |
| Commercial M ortgage |  | $82,640,080$ |
| Private Investment | $827,535,134$ | $100,380,890$ |

The above amounts include the effect of cost adjustments processed during the year.

## NOTE 4: UNREALIZED APPRECIATION AND DEPRECIATION ON INVESTMENTSAND FOREIGN EXCHANGE CONTRACTS

At June 30, 2000, the gross appreciation of investment securities and foreign currency in which there was an excess of fair value over cost, the gross depreciation of investment securities and for-

## COMBINED IN VESTMENT FUNDS

## NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEM ENTS (C ontinued)

eign currency in which there was an excess of cost over fair value and the resulting net appreciation (depreciation) by fund were as follows:

| Fund | Gross <br> Appreciation | $c$ <br> Gepross | N et <br> Appreciation <br> Depreciation) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| M utual Equity | $\$ 2,892,567,225$ | $\$ 594,760,137$ | $\$ 2,297,807,088$ |
| M utual Fixed Income | $87,487,597$ | $268,948,523$ | $(181,460,926)$ |
| International Stock | $777,961,938$ | $165,045,481$ | $61,916,457$ |
| R eal Estate | $76,154,331$ | $30,853,005$ | $45,301,326$ |
| Commercial M ortgage | $7,389,366$ | 436,847 | $6,952,519$ |
| Private Investment | $617,530,996$ | $107,545,716$ | $509,985,280$ |

## NOTE 5: FOREIGN EXCHANGECONTRACTS

From time to time, the International Stock, M utual Fixed Income, and Private Investment Funds utilize foreign currency contracts to facilitate transactions in foreign securities and to manage the Funds' currency exposure. Contracts to buy are used to acquire exposure to foreign currencies, while contracts to sell are used to hedge the Funds' investments against currency fluctuations. Also, a contract to buy or sell can offset a previous contract. Losses may arise from changes in the value of the foreign currency or failure of the counterparties to perform under the contracts' terms.

The U.S. dollar value of forward foreign currency contracts is de termined using forward currency exchange rates supplied by a quotation service.

Investing in forward currency contracts may increase the volatility of the Funds' performance. Price movements of currency contracts are influenced by, among other things, international trade, fiscal, monetary, and exchange control programs and policies; national and international political and economic events; and changes in worldw ide interest rates. Governments from time to time intervene in the currency markets with the specific intent of influencing currency prices. Such intervention may cause certain currency prices to move rapidly. Additionally, the currency markets may be particularly sensitive to interest rate fluctuations.

At June 30, 2000, the Funds had recorded unrealized gains (losses) from open forward currency contracts as follows:

| International Stock Fund: <br> ForeignC urrency | Value | Unrealized <br> Gain/(Loss) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Contractsto Buy: | $\$$ |  |
| Australian Dollar | 219,706 | $\$$ |
| Danish K rone | $1,847,496$ | 10,944 |
| Euro Currency | $37,567,181$ | 10,653 |
| Greek Drachma | 404,593 | 176,202 |
| Hong Kong Dollar | $1,570,243$ | 2,396 |
| Japanese Yen | $17,001,171$ | 374 |
| New Zealand Dollar | 6,550 | 154,695 |
| Norwegian K rone | $1,900,000$ | 1,833 |
| Pound Sterling | $23,550,854$ | 1898 |
| SingaporeDollar | 553,212 | 709 |
|  |  | 180 |


| Swedish Krona | 4,703,662 | $(2,693)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Swiss Franc | 2,774,925 | 31,129 |
| Thailand Baht | 25,034 | (47) |
|  | 92,182,627 | 388,273 |
| Contractsto Sell: |  |  |
| Australian Dollar | 38,828,561 | $(448,076)$ |
| Danish Krone | 10,867,046 | $(332,998)$ |
| Euro Currency | 582,906,358 | $(18,535,092)$ |
| Hong K ong Dollar | 15,224,690 | 1,244 |
| Indonesian Rupiah | 26,315 | 92 |
| Japanese Yen | 533,337,245 | 894,729 |
| M exican Peso | 85,465 | 28 |
| New Zealand Dollar | 2,442,146 | 50,712 |
| N orw egian Krone | 6,117,403 | (168,544) |
| Pound Sterling | 248,956,909 | 1,533,233 |
| Singapore Dollar | 4,752,391 | 54,731 |
| Swedish Krona | 52,702,635 | $(510,960)$ |
| Swiss Franc | 58,245,501 | $(1,375,434)$ |
| Thailand Baht | 41,667 | 83 |
|  | 1,554,534,332 | (18,836,252) |
| Total | \$1,646,716,959 | \$ (18,447,979) |

Financial Statement Amounts:

| Receivable | Payable | Net |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1,646,716,959$ | $\$ 1,646,716,959$ | $\$$ |
| 388,273 | $(18,836,252)$ | $(18,447,979)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |


| M utual Fixed IncomeFund: <br> Foreign Currency | Value | Unrealized <br> Gain/(Loss) |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Contractsto Buy: | $\$ 4,333,569$ | $\$ 24,820$ |
| Euro Currency | $3,244,640$ | $(49,605)$ |
| New Zealand Dollar | $4,265,988$ | 22,151 |
| South A frican Rand | 11844,197 | $(2,634)$ |
| Contractsto Sell: | $3,379,763$ | 184,728 |
| New Zealand Dollar | $8,657,532$ | $(65,819)$ |
| South African Rand | 12,037,295 | 18,909 |
| Total | $\underline{\$ 23,881,492}$ | $\$ 116,275$ |

Financial Statement A mounts:
Amount In US Dollars Unrealized Gain(Loss) Net

| Receivable | Payable | Net |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 23,881,492$ | $\$ 23,881,492$ | $\$$ | - |
| $(2,634)$ | 118,909 | 116,275 |  |
| $23,878,858$ | $\$ 23,762,583$ | $\$ 116,275$ |  |

The net unrealized gain has been included in the Statement of Operations as a component of Net Change in Unrealized Gain (Loss) on Investments.
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## NOTE 6:COMMITMENTS

In accordance with the terms of the individual investment agree ments, the Private Investment Fund and the R eal Estate Fund have outstanding commitments to make additional investments. These commitments will be fulfilled as suitable investment opportunities become available. Unfunded commitments at June 30, 2000, were as follows:

| Fund | Cumulative |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Amounts | Unfunded |
|  | Commitment | Funded | Commitment |
| R eal Estate | \$ 365,000,000 | \$ 294,570,877 | \$ 70,429,123 |
| PrivateInvestment | 3,184,378,765 | 2,101,948,470 | 1,082,430,295 |

## NOTE 7: SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Subsequent to June 30, 2000, net proceeds of $\$ 67.1$ million were received on the sale of one Real Estate Fund investment. The cost basis of this investment at the time of the sale was $\$ 50.7$ million, resulting in a realized gain of $\$ 16.4$ million. The proceeds of this sale exceeded the fair value of the investment included on the Statement of Net Assets by approximately $\$ 18.4$ million. This sale was negotiated after June 30, 2000. No adjustments were made to the financial statements.

One of the Private Investment Fund partnerships indicated that realized gains not distributed during the current fiscal year were recommitted prior to June 30, 2000. The Funds' Private Investment consultant is review ing this matter.

On October 10, 2000 a temporary receiver was appointed for an investment in a limited partnership in the M utual Fixed Income Fund. This investment has a market value of \$199.2 million as reported on the Statement of N et Assets.

## NOTE 8: COST BASIS OF INVESTMENTS.

The aggregate cost values of investments in the Funds are as follows at June 30, 2000:

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CASH } \\ \text { RESERVE } \\ \text { FUND } \end{gathered}$ | MUTUAL EQUITY FUND | MUTUAL <br> FIXED <br> INCOME <br> FUND | INTERNATIONAL STOCK FUND | REAL ESTATE FUND | COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE FUND | PRIVATE INVESTMENT FUND |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Investments, at Cost |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash R eserve F und | \$ | \$ 78,886,019 | \$ 847,227,707 | \$ 79,417,683 | \$ 29,828,197 | \$ 27,496,107 | \$ 86,165,136 |
| Cash Equivalents | 953,245,946 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asset Backed Securities | 341806,886 | - | 166,498,749 | - |  |  |  |
| Government Securities | - | - | 1,198,382,027 | - |  |  |  |
| Government Agency Securities | - 28,513,421 | - | 1,910,120,302 | - |  | - | - |
| M ortgage Backed Securities | 57,170,766 | - | 579,931,183 | - |  | 2,343,728 |  |
| CorporateDebt | 146,967,316 | - | 2,110,143,289 | 2,606,501 |  | - |  |
| ConvertibleSecurities |  | - | 289,104,570 | - | - | - | - |
| Common Stock | - | 6,468,338,416 | 29,401,050 | 2,185,890,846 | 32,562,540 | 137,173,790 | 414,317 |
| Preferred Stock | - | 256,260 | 89,565,801 | 42,780,353 | - | - |  |
| Real Estate Investment Trust |  | 30,780,367 | 1,502,763 | 5081507 | - | - |  |
| M utual Fund | - |  | 39,972,101 | 5,081,507 |  | - |  |
| Limited Liability Corporation | - | - | - | - | - | - | 59,372,102 |
| Trusts | - | - | - | - | 34,722,394 | 1,250,064 |  |
| Limited Partnerships | - | - | 201,614,206 | - | 327,269,149 | - | 1,733,149,377 |
| Partnerships | - | - | - | - | -7, | - | - |
| Annuities | - | - | - | - | 40,327,336 | - | - |
| Total Investments, at Cost \$ | \$ 1,527,704,335 | \$6,578,261,062 | \$7,463,463,748 | \$ 2,315,776,890 | \$ 464,709,616 | \$ 168,263,689 | \$ 1,879,100,932 |

Note: Cash Reserve Fund is presented gross of the $\$ 1,149,020,849$ elimination entry which is included in the other funds.

COM BINED INVESTMENT FUNDS
SUPPLEM ENTAL SCHEDULE OF FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

## PER SHARE DATA

Net Asset Value- Beginning of Period
INCOME FROM INVESTMENT OPERATIONS
Net Investment Income (Loss)
Net Gains or (Losses) on Securities
(Both Realized and Unrealized)
Total from Investment Operations
LESS DISTRIBUTIONS
Dividends from Net Investment Income
Net Asset Value - End of Period
TOTAL RETURN

## RATIOS

Net Assets - End of Period (\$000,000 Omitted)
Ratio of Expenses to Average Net Assets (excl. sec. lending fees \& rebates)
Ratio of Expenses to Average Net Assets
Ratio of Net Investment Income
( Loss) to Average Net Assets

| MUTUAL EQUITY |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 835.47$ | $\$ 708.74$ | $\$ 558.77$ | $\$ 434.79$ | $\$ 356.79$ |


| 8.87 | 8.46 | 8.84 | 8.32 | 7.47 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 74.23 | 127.32 | 148.87 | 123.88 | 77.60 |
| 83.10 | 135.78 | 157.71 | 132.20 | 85.07 |


| $(9.40)$ | $(9.05)$ | $(7.74)$ | $(8.22)$ | $(7.07)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| $\$ 909.17$ | $\$ 835.47$ | $\$ 708.74$ | $\$ 558.77$ | $\$ 434.79$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0 . 0 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 3 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 . 4 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 7 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 9 8 \%}$ |


| $\$ 8,853$ | $\$ 9,124$ | $\$ 7,736$ | $\$ 7,340$ | $\$ 5,715$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $0.22 \%$ | $0.27 \%$ | $0.17 \%$ | $0.13 \%$ | $0.20 \%$ |
| $0.43 \%$ | $0.57 \%$ | $0.56 \%$ | $0.63 \%$ | $0.28 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $1.01 \%$ | $1.10 \%$ | $1.42 \%$ | $1.74 \%$ | $1.88 \%$ |

PRIVATE INVESTMENT

| FISCAL |  |  |  | YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 | 1999 | 1998 | 1997 | 1996 |


| $\$ 81.40$ | $\$ 87.28$ | $\$ 86.79$ | $\$ 102.98$ | $\$ 86.82$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 18.12 | 4.23 | 11.84 | 17.96 | 26.08 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 24.17 | $(5.30)$ | 4.29 | $(14.09)$ | 15.88 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 42.29 | $(1.07)$ | 16.13 | 3.87 | 41.96 |


| $(8.68)$ | $(4.81)$ | $(15.64)$ | $(20.06)$ | $(25.80)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| $\$ 115.01$ | $\$ 81.40$ | $\$ 87.28$ | $\$ 86.79$ | $\$ 102.98$ |
| $\mathbf{5 3 . 8 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{- 0 . 8 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 5 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 6 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 . 7 8 \%}$ |


| $\$ 2,565$ | $\$ 1,186$ | $\$ 795$ | $\$ 542$ | $\$ 303$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $0.48 \%$ | $1.21 \%$ | $0.55 \%$ | $0.06 \%$ | $0.03 \%$ |
| na | na | na | na | na |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $17.91 \%$ | $5.28 \%$ | $14.25 \%$ | $17.65 \%$ | $31.87 \%$ |

INTERNATIONAL STOCK

| FISCAL |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 | 1999 | 1998 | 1997 | 1996 |


| $\$ 228.93$ | $\$ 217.03$ | $\$ 216.52$ | $\$ 189.26$ | $\$ 170.57$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| 3.26 | 5.29 | 3.54 | 2.78 | 3.05 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 42.68 | 9.34 | $(0.17)$ | 26.76 | 18.39 |
| 45.94 | 14.63 | 3.37 | 29.54 | 21.44 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $(3.19)$ | $(2.73)$ | $(2.86)$ | $(2.28)$ | $(2.75)$ |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| $\$ 271.68$ | $\$ 228.93$ | $\$ 217.03$ | $\$ 216.52$ | $\$ 189.26$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 . 1 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 7 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 5 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 6 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 5 8 \%}$ |


| $\$ 109.13$ | $\$ 113.15$ | $\$ 108.04$ | $\$ 104.20$ | $\$ 104.94$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 8.01 | 6.79 | 8.65 | 7.47 | 8.20 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $(1.44)$ | $(4.44)$ | 3.21 | 3.26 | $(1.80)$ |
| 6.57 | 2.35 | 11.86 | 10.73 | 6.40 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $(7.32)$ | $(6.37)$ | $(6.75)$ | $(6.89)$ | $(6.63)$ |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | $(0.51)$ |
| $\$ 108.38$ | $\$ 109.13$ | $\$ 113.15$ | $\$ 108.04$ | $\$ 104.20$ |
| $\mathbf{5 . 7 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 6 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 5 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 6 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 9 7 \%}$ |

## RATIOS

Net Assets - End of Period (\$000,000 Omitted)
Ratio of Expenses to Average Net Assets (excl. sec. lending fees \& rebates)
Ratio of Expenses to Average Net Assets
Ratio of Net Investment Income
(Loss) to Average Net Assets

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 2,930$ | $\$ 2,469$ | $\$ 2,438$ | $\$ 2,440$ | $\$ 2,133$ |
| $0.36 \%$ | $0.24 \%$ | $0.52 \%$ | $0.65 \%$ | $0.39 \%$ |
| $1.46 \%$ | $1.27 \%$ | $1.71 \%$ | $1.62 \%$ | $0.70 \%$ |
| $1.30 \%$ | $1.98 \%$ | $1.69 \%$ | $1.45 \%$ | $1.70 \%$ |


|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 6,496$ | $\$ 6,170$ | $\$ 6,302$ | $\$ 4,250$ | $\$ 3,696$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $0.16 \%$ | $0.17 \%$ | $0.16 \%$ | $0.23 \%$ | $0.19 \%$ |
| $0.71 \%$ | $0.69 \%$ | $0.61 \%$ | $0.67 \%$ | $0.40 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $6.79 \%$ | $6.83 \%$ | $7.09 \%$ | $7.06 \%$ | $6.90 \%$ |

## PER SHARE DATA

Net Asset Value- Beginning of Period INCOME FROM INVESTMENT OPERATIONS Net Investment Income (Loss)
Net Gains or (Losses) on Securities
(Both Realized and Unrealized)
Total from Investment Operations
LESS DISTRIBUTIONS
Dividends from Net Investment Income
Net Asset Value - End of Period
TOTAL RETURN

## RATIOS

Net Assets - End of Period (\$000,000 Omitted)
Ratio of Expenses to Average Net Assets
(excl. sec. lending fees \& rebates)
Ratio of Expenses to Average Net Assets
Ratio of Net Investment Income
( Loss) to Average Net Assets

COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE
FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30

| $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 74.97$ | $\$ 77.12$ | $\$ 72.87$ | $\$ 71.67$ | $\$ 72.93$ |
| 6.98 | 6.24 | 8.33 | 6.70 | 5.66 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $(1.29)$ | $(1.78)$ | 5.01 | 0.66 | $(1.20)$ |
| 5.69 | 4.46 | 13.34 | 7.36 | 4.46 |
| $(7.49)$ | $(6.61)$ | $(9.09)$ | $(6.16)$ | $(5.72)$ |
| $\$ 73.17$ | $\$ 74.97$ | $\$ 77.12$ | $\$ 72.87$ | $\$ 71.67$ |
| $8.26 \%$ | $6.10 \%$ | $17.71 \%$ | $9.82 \%$ | $6.46 \%$ |


| $\$ 176$ | $\$ 237$ | $\$ 275$ | $\$ 328$ | $\$ 449$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $0.39 \%$ | $0.35 \%$ | $0.32 \%$ | $0.44 \%$ | $0.39 \%$ |
| na | na | na | na | na |
| $9.22 \%$ | $8.64 \%$ | $9.43 \%$ | $8.91 \%$ | $11.13 \%$ |

REAL ESTATE
FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30,

| FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 59.48$ | $\$ 58.53$ | $\$ 54.06$ | $\$ 51.91$ | $\$ 55.48$ |


| 2.34 | 1.71 | 6.33 | 3.58 | 3.89 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.00 | 0.03 | 8.60 | 1.62 | $(3.34)$ |
| 5.34 | 1.74 | 14.93 | 5.20 | 0.55 |


| $(4.26)$ | $(0.79)$ | $(10.46)$ | $(3.05)$ | $(4.12)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 60.56$ | $\$ 59.48$ | $\$ 58.53$ | $\$ 54.06$ | $\$ 51.91$ |
| $9.18 \%$ | $9.96 \%$ | $25.63 \%$ | $10.69 \%$ | $0.83 \%$ |


| $\$ 510$ | $\$ 428$ | $\$ 417$ | $\$ 488$ | $\$ 986$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $0.17 \%$ | $0.42 \%$ | $0.70 \%$ | $0.70 \%$ | $0.94 \%$ |
| na | na | na | na | na |
| $3.95 \%$ | $6.65 \%$ | $6.75 \%$ | $5.86 \%$ | $6.99 \%$ |

## SHORT-TERM INVESTMENT FUND

STATEM ENT OF NET ASSETS
JUNE 30, 2000

|  | June 30, 2000 |
| :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS |  |
| Investment in Securities, at Amortized Cost (Note 7) | \$ 3,687,905,501 |
| Accrued Interest and Other Receivables | 13,780,673 |
| Prepaid Assets | 13,601 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | 3,701,699,775 |
| LIABILITIES |  |
| Distribution Payable | 17,784,976 |
| Payable to Transfer Agent (Note 6) | 322,695 |
| Other Liabilities | 2,630 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES | 18,110,301 |
| NET ASSETS | \$ 3,683,589,474 |
| NET ASSETS CONSIST OF: |  |
| Participant Units Outstanding(\$100 Par) | \$ 3,649,928,733 |
| Designated Surplus Reserve ( Note 1) | 33,660,741 |
| TOTAL NET ASSETS | \$ 3,683,589,474 |
| Participant Net Asset Value, Offering Price and Redemption |  |
| Price per share ( $\$ 3,649,928,733$ in Net Assets divided by | \$ 1.00 |

## See accompanying Notes to the Financial Statements.

## STATEM ENTSOF CHANGESIN NET ASSETS

FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2000 AND JUNE 30, 1999

|  | For the Year Ended June 30,20001999 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operating R evenue |  |  |  |  |
| Interest Income | \$ | 213,761,049 |  | \$ 198,420,882 |
| Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |
| Interest Expense on Reverse Repurchase Agreements |  | - |  | $(141,000)$ |
| Administrative Expenses |  | $(951,648)$ |  | $(812,558)$ |
| Net Investment Income |  | 212,809,401 |  | 197,467,324 |
| Net Realized Gains |  | 493,776 |  | 59,690 |
| N et Increase in $\mathbf{N}$ et A ssets R esulting from Operations |  | 213,303,177 |  | 197,527,014 |
| Distribution to Participants ( (otes 1\& 6) |  |  |  |  |
| Distributions to Participants |  | $(210,142,470)$ |  | (193,792,259) |
| Total Distributions Paid and Payable |  | $(210,142,470)$ |  | $(193,792,259)$ |
| Share Transactions at $\mathbf{N}$ et Asset V alue of \$100 per Share |  |  |  |  |
| Purchase of Units |  | 10,664,235,963 |  | 10,090,642,966 |
| Redemption of Units |  | $(10,615,616,297)$ |  | 9,636,639,596) |
| Net Increase in N et Assets and Shares |  |  |  |  |
| R esulting from Share Transactions |  | 48,619,666 |  | 454,003,370 |
| Total Increase in N et Assets |  | 51,780,373 |  | 457,738,125 |
| N et Assets |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning of Year |  | 3,631,809,101 |  | 3,174,070,976 |
| End of Year | \$ | 3,683,589,474 |  | 3,631,809,101 |

See accompanying $N$ otes to the Financial Statements.

## NOTE 1: INTRODUCTION AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The Short-Term Investment Fund ("STIF" or the "Fund") is a money market investment pool managed by the Trea surer of the State of Connecticut. Sec. 3-27 of the Connecticut General Statutes (CGS) created STIF. Pursuant to CGS 3-27a - 3-27f, the State, municipal entities, and political subdivisions of the State are eligible to invest in the Fund. The State Treasurer is authorized to invest monies of STIF in United States government and agency obligations, certificates of deposit, commercial paper, corporate bonds, saving accounts, bankers' acceptances, re purchase agreements, asset-backed securities, and student loans. STIF is authorized to issue an unlimited number of units.

For State of Connecticut financial reporting purposes, STIF is considered to be a mixed investment pool - a pool having external and internal portions. The internal portion (i.e., the portion that belongs to participants that are part of the State's financial reporting entity) is not displayed in the State's combined financial statements. Instead, each fund type's investment in STIF is reported as "cash equivalents" in the combined balance sheet. The external portion (i.e., the portion that belongs to participants which are not part of the State's financial reporting entity) is recorded in an investment trust fund in the combined financial statements.

The Fund is considered a "2a7-like" pool and, as such, re ports its investments at amortized cost (which approximates fair value). A 2a7-like pool is not necessarily registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) as an investment company, but nevertheless has a policy that it will, and does, operate in a manner consistent with the SEC's Rule 2a7 of the Investment Company Act of 1940 that allows money market mutual funds to use amortized cost to report net assets.

## Related Party Transactions.

STIF had no related party transactions during the fiscal year with the State of Connecticut and it's component units including leasing arrangements, the performance of administrative services and the execution of securities transactions.

## NOTE 2: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES:

## Financial Reporting Entity.

The Fund is a proprietary fund type. A proprietary fund is used to account for governmental activities that are simi-
lar to those found in the private sector where the determination of net income is necessary or useful to sound financial administration. The generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") used for proprietary funds are generally those applicable to similar businesses in the private sector. The Fund uses the accrual basis of accounting.

In accordance with Government Accounting Standards Board ("GASB") Statement Number 20 "Accounting and Financial Reporting for Proprietary Funds and other Governmental Entities that use Proprietary Fund Accounting", the Treasurer has elected to apply all GASB pronouncements as well as all Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statements and Interpretations, Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinions and Accounting Research Bulletins (ARB's), except those that conflict with GASB pronouncements. During fiscal year 1998, the Fund adopted the financial statement presentation and disclosure requirements of GASB 31, "Accounting and Financial Reporting for Certain Investments and for External Investment Pools".

## Security Valuation of Financial Instruments.

The assets of the Fund are carried at amortized cost (which approximates fair value). All premiums and discounts on securities are amortized or accreted on a straight line basis.

## Security Transactions.

Purchases and sales of investments are recorded on a trade date basis. Gains and losses on investments are realized at the time of the sales and are calculated on the basis of an identified block or blocks of securities having an identified amortized cost. Bond cost is determined by identified lot.

## Interest Income.

Interest income, which includes amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts, is accrued as earned.

## Expenses.

Operating and interest expenses of STIF are accrued as incurred.

## Fiscal Year.

The fiscal year of STIF ends on June 30. Prior to fiscal year 1997, STIF's fiscal year ended on May 31

## Distributions to Participants.

Distributions to participants are earned on units outstanding from date of purchase to date of redemption. Income is calculated daily based upon the actual earnings of the Fund net of administrative expenses and, if applicable, an allocation to the Designated Surplus Reserve. Distributions are paid monthly within two business days of the end of the month, and are based upon actual number of
days in a year. Shares are sold and redeemed at a constant $\$ 100$ net asset value per share, which is consistent with the per share net asset value of the Fund, excluding the Designated Surplus Reserve. Prior to December 1, 1996 distributions were based upon the actual number of days in the year at an interest rate established by the Treasurer on or before the first date of the month ("the guaranteed rate"). The interest distributions earned by participants were distributed within fifteen business days after the close of the periods ending on the last day of August and November.

## Earnings Subject to Special Distribution.

In December 1996, a special distribution was paid to participants based upon net earnings of STIF less previously distributed quarterly payments and an allocation to the Designated Surplus Reserve. This special distribution was paid out in proportion to the total interest paid on the guaranteed rates since June 1, 1996. Following this spe cial distribution, the method for computing distributions to participants after November 30, 1996 was changed, thereby eliminating future special distributions.

## Designated Surplus Reserve.

W hile STIF is managed prudently to protect against losses from credit and market changes, the Fund is not insured or guaranteed by any government. Therefore, the maintenance of capital cannot be fully assured. In order to provide some protection to the shareholders of STIF from potential credit and market risks, the Treasurer has designated that a portion of each day's net earnings be transferred to the Designated Surplus Reserve (Reserve). Such amounts are restricted in nature and are not available for distribution to shareholders. In December 1996, a transfer was made to the Designated Surplus Reserve at the annualized rate of 0.1 percent of the average month-end investment balances for June through November 1996. Beginning December 1, 1996, the amount transferred daily to the Designated Surplus Reserve is equal to 0.1 percent of end of day investment balance divided by the actual number of days in the year. No transfer is to be made if the reserve account is equal to or greater than 10 percent of the daily investment balance. If net losses significant to the aggregate portfolio are realized, the Treasurer is authorized to transfer funds from the Reserve to Participant Units Outstanding.

As of Jine 30, 2000, the balance in the Designated Surplus Reserve was $\$ 33,660,741$, an increase of $\$ 3,160,707$ from the June 30, 1999 balance of $\$ 30,500,034$.

## Estimates.

The preparation of the financial statements in conformity with (GAAP) requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities in the financial statements as well as the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

## Accounting Pronouncements.

In June 1998, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. B3, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities," which establishes accounting and reporting standards for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts, and for hedging activities. As issued, SFAS No. 133 was effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15,1999 . It requires that an entity recognize all derivatives as either assets or liabilities in the statement of financial position and measure those instruments at fair value. STIF does not designate a derivative as a hedging instrument and recognizes gains in the earnings period of change.
Although the effective implementation date of SFAS No. 133 was deferred by SFAS No. 137 until fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2000, and was again amended in June 2000 by the FASB issuing SFAS No. 138, STIF continues to meet the compliance requirement.

## NOTE 3: INVESTMENT RISK CLASSIFICATION

STIF's investment practice is to invest all cash balances; as such, there was no uninvested cash at June 30, 2000. All certificates of deposit within the portfolio are considered negotiable instruments. The certificates of deposit and all other securities of STIF are registered under the State Street Bank nominee name, Pond, Tide \& Co., for the State of Connecticut nominee name, Conn. STIF \& Co., and held by a designated agent of the State.

All investments of STIF are classified in category 1 of the custodial credit risk defined by GASB Statement No. 3 "Deposits with Financial Institutions, Investments (including Repurchase Agreements), and Reverse Repurchase A greements". Classification in category 1 means that the exposure of deposits or investments to potential custodial credit risk is low.

Category 1 includes investments which are insured or registered or for which the Treasurer or his agent in the Treasurer's name holds securities.

## NOTE 4: CUSTODIAN

State Street Bank was appointed as custodian for STIF effective February 1 , 1996. STIF pays fees to the custodian for transactions and accounting services at a fixed annual rate of $\$ 35,000$.

## NOTE 5: ADMINISTRATION

STIF is managed and administered by employees of the State of Connecticut Treasury. Salaries and fringe benefit costs as well as operating expenses are charged directly to the Fund.

## NOTE 6: RECEIVABLE FROM OR PAYABLE TO TRANSFER AGENT

In an effort to invest all cash balances each day, estimates of participant purchase and sale activity are made. Occasionally, the timing of cash movements by participants may not exactly match the net activity of purchases and sales, resulting in a difference between investments held in securities relative to participants' net account value. As of June 30,2000 , STIF recorded a liability of $\$ 322,695$, payable to the transfer agent, for investments purchased which did not match actual movements of cash by participants into the Fund.

## NOTE 7: DISTRIBUTIONSTO PARTICIPANTS

The components of the distributions to participants are as follows for the income earned during the twelve months ended June 30 :

| Distributions: | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 0 0}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{1 9 9 9}}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| July | $\$ 15,369,550$ | $\$ 14,869,926$ |
| August | $18,626,337$ | $17,980,323$ |
| September | $18,327,219$ | $17,448,280$ |
| October | $17,962,446$ | $17,360,524$ |
| N ovember | $16,080,280$ | $16,212,546$ |
| December | $16,350,536$ | $15,438,709$ |
| January | $17,113,994$ | $16,389,685$ |
| February | $18,204,877$ | $16,298,605$ |
| M arch | $18,276,157$ | $16,745,275$ |
| April | $17,371,981$ | $15,542,275$ |
| M ay | $18,674,115$ | $15,817,450$ |
| June(Payableat June30) | $\underline{\mathbf{1 7 , 7 8 4 , 9 7 6}}$ | $\underline{13,688,661}$ |
| Total Distribution Paid \& Payable | $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 , 1 4 2 , 4 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{\underline { \mathbf { 1 9 3 } , 7 9 2 , 2 5 9 }}$ |

## NOTE 8: INVESTMENTSIN SECURITIES

The following is a summary of investments in securities, at amortized cost and fair value as of June 30, 2000:

Investment
Securities-Backed Commercial Paper

## Bank Notes

RepurchaseAgreements
Receivable-Backed Commercial Paper
Corporate N otes
Loan-Backed Commercial Paper
Federal Agency Securities
Certificates of Deposit
Multi-Backed Commercial Paper
Commercial Paper
Bankers A cceptances
State of Israel Bonds
Liquidity M anagement C ontrol System
TOTAL

| Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
| ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1,013,093,398$ | $\$ 1,013,093,398$ |
| $719,640,870$ | $720,635,424$ |
| $464,865,000$ | $464,865,000$ |
| $451,541,348$ | $451,541,348$ |
| $369,896,875$ | $369,896,875$ |
| $246,283,133$ | $246,283,132$ |
| $170,190,332$ | $169,743,516$ |
| $132,228,503$ | $132,128,525$ |
| $47,565,248$ | $47,565,248$ |
| $37,083,044$ | $37,013,882$ |
| $34,017,500$ | $34,044,577$ |
| $1,500,000$ | $1,500,000$ |
| 250 | 250 |
| $\underline{\$ 3,687,905,501}$ | $\$ 3,688,311,175$ |

Repurchase agreements are agreements to purchase securities from an entity for a specified amount of cash and to resell the securities to the entity at an agreed upon price and time. They are used to enhance returns with minimal risk on overnight cash deposits of the Fund. Such transactions are only entered into with primary government securities dealers who report directly to the Federal Reserve Bank of New York and commercial banks that meet certain quality standards. All repurchase agreements are collateralized at between 100 percent and 102 percent of the securities' value.

GASB Technical Bulletin Number 94-1 "Disclosures about Derivatives and Similar Debt and Investment Transactions" states that derivatives are generally defined as contracts whose value depends on, or derives from, the value of an underlying asset, reference rate, or index. At June 30, 2000, STIF held adjustablerate federal agency, bank notes, and State of Israel securities whose interest rates vary directly with short-term money market indices and are reset daily, weekly, monthly, quarterly or semi-annually. According to GASB Technical Bulletin Number 94-1, these securities are derivatives. Such securities allow the Fund to earn higher interest rates as market rates increase, thereby increasing fund yields and protecting against the erosion of market values from rising interest rates. The adjustable-rate federal agency securities are rated AAA by a nationally-recognized credit rating agency. The bank notes are rated either A-1+ or A-1 All of the adjustable rate securities have similar exposures to credit and legal risks as fixed-rate securities from the same issuers.

## NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## NOTE 9: CREDIT RATING OF THE FUND

Throughout the year ended June 30,2000 , STIF was rated AAAm, its highest rating, by Standard and Poor's Corporation ("S\& P"). In December 1999, following a review of the portfolio and STIF's investment policies, management and procedures, S\&P reaffirmed STIF's AAAm rating. In order to maintain an AAAm rating, STIF is subject to certain requirements which include:

- W eekly portfolio and market value calculations;
- Maintenance of credit quality standards for portfolio securities with at least $75 \%$ of such securities rated A-1+ or invested in overnight repurchase agreements with dealers or banks rated A-I;
- Ensuring adequate portfolio diversification standards with no more than $5 \%$ of the portfolio invested in an individual security and no more than $10 \%$ invested in an individual issuer, excluding one and two day re purchase agreements and U.S. government agency securities; and
- A limit on the overall portfolio weighted average maturity, (currently no more than 60 days).

It is the Treasurer's intention to take any and all such actions as are needed from time to time to maintain the AAAm rating.

SHORT-TERM INVESTMENT FUND
LIST OF INVEST MENTSAT JUNE 30, 2000

| ParValue | Security (C oupon, M aturity or Reset Date) | Yield \% |  | Amortized Cost |  | Fair Value | Asset ID | Quality Rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BANKER S ACCEPTANCES ( $0.95 \%$ oftotal investments) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$ 10,000,000 | Fleet Bank,6.75,11/27/00 | 6.95 | \$ | 9,720,625 | \$ | 9,727,022 | 339998007 | A-1 |
| 25,000,000 | Fleet Bank,6.75, 11/28/00 | 6.95 |  | 24,296,875 |  | 24,317,555 | 339998007 | A-1 |
| \$ 35,000,000 | Total |  | \$ | 34,017,500 | \$ | 34,044,577 |  |  |
| CERTIFICATES OF DEPOSITS ( $3.58 \%$ oftotal investments) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$ 10,000,000 | ABN Amro, 5.91, 8/9/00 | 5.94 | \$ | 9,999,591 | \$ | 9,999,591 | 00077X006 | A-1+ |
| 10,000,000 | Banc One, 5.93, 10/2/00 | 6.72 |  | 9,994,039 |  | 9,972,000 | 064205008 | A-1 |
| 2,250,000 | Canadian Imperial Bank, 6.20, 8/1/00 | 5.94 |  | 2,250,526 |  | 2,250,526 | 13606Q 004 | A-1+ |
| 10,000,000 | Commerzbank, 6.53, 1/8/01 | 6.93 |  | 9,997,514 |  | 9,968,824 | 20259V 008 | A-1+ |
| 10,000,000 | DeutscheBank, 5.95, 8/10/00 | 5.95 |  | 9,999,476 |  | 9,999,476 | 25152 Y 009 | A-1+ |
| 10,000,000 | First Union, 7.10, 5/15/01 | 7.10 |  | 10,000,000 |  | 10,000,000 | 33799J005 | A-1 |
| 10,000,000 | First U nion, 7.26,6/1/01 | 7.26 |  | 10,000,000 |  | 10,000,000 | 33799M 008 | A-1 |
| 10,000,000 | FirstU nion, 7.06,6/5/01 | 7.06 |  | 10,000,000 |  | 10,000,000 | 3209959 H 8 | A-1 |
| 10,000,000 | Rabobank, 5.755,7/5/00 | 5.76 |  | 9,999,953 |  | 9,999,953 | 74977D006 | A-1+ |
| 10,000,000 | Rabobank, 7.16,5/10/01 | 7.13 |  | 9,999,189 |  | 10,003,063 | 74977D006 | A-1+ |
| 10,000,000 | Union Bank of Switzerland, 5.80, 8/2/00 | 5.94 |  | 9,999,581 |  | 9,999,581 | 90261E005 | A-1+ |
| 10,000,000 | Union Bank of Sw itzerland, 5.93, 10/2/00 | 6.72 |  | 9,995,279 |  | 9,979,727 | 90261F005 | A-1+ |
| 10,000,000 | Union Bank of Switzerland, 5.93, 10/2/00 | 6.72 |  | 9,995,765 |  | 9,979,727 | 90261F005 | A-1+ |
| 10,000,000 | Union Bank of Switzerland, 6.45, 1/2/01 | 6.93 |  | 9,997,589 |  | 9,976,057 | 90261F005 | A-1+ |
| \$ 132,250,000 | Total |  | \$ | 132,228,503 | \$ | 132,128,525 |  |  |

BANK NOTES ( $\mathbf{1 9 . 4 8 \%}$ oftotal investments)

| $\$ 10,000,000$ | Bank of N ew York, $7.22,5 / 9 / 01$ | 6.90 | $\$$ | $9,997,578$ | $\$$ | $10,020,300$ | 06406KJA1 |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | A-1+
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SHORT-TERM INVESTMENT FUND
LIST OF INVESTM ENTS AT JUNE 30, 2000 (Continued)

| ParValue | Security <br> (C oupon, M aturity or R eset Date) | Yield \% | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Asset ID | Quality <br> Rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10,000,000 | Fleet Bank, 6.64, 8/2/02 | 6.54 | 10,000,000 | 10,016,700 | 33901M EP3 | A-1 |
| 6,666,667 | Key Bank, 6.64, 11/2/00 | 6.37 | 6,665,560 | 6,670,866 | 49306BGY3 | A-1 |
| 6,666,667 | Key Bank, 6.64, 11/2/00 | 6.37 | 6,665,668 | 6,670,866 | 49306BGY3 | A-1 |
| 1,666,667 | Key Bank, 6.64, 11/2/00 | 6.37 | 1,666,525 | 1,667,716 | 49306BGY3 | A-1 |
| 6,275,000 | Key Bank, 5.03, 12/11/00 | 6.80 | 6,265,513 | 6,226,306 | 49306BHA4 | A-1 |
| 25,000,000 | Key Bank, $7.06,1 / 25 / 01$ | 6.98 | 24,996,932 | 25,009,750 | 49306BH K2 | A-1 |
| 25,000,000 | Key Bank, 7.06, $1 / 25 / 01$ | 6.98 | 24,994,177 | 25,009,750 | 49306BHK2 | A-1 |
| 50,000,000 | Key Bank, 7.18,7/20/01 | 7.01 | 50,000,000 | 50,083,500 | 49306BJS3 | A-1 |
| 1,000,000 | US Bank, 6.66, 6/20/01 | 6.70 | 998,405 | 999,630 | 90332W AC3 | A-1 |
| 4,000,000 | W achovia Bank, 6.30, 3/15/01 | 6.90 | 4,000,000 | 3,983,720 | 92976QFQ7 | A-1+ |
| \$ 719,775,000 | Total |  | \$ 719,640,870 | \$ 720,635,424 |  |  |

COR PORATE NOTES ( $\mathbf{1 0 . 0 2 \%}$ oftotal investments)

| $\$$ | $175,000,000$ | General Electric Capital Corp.,6.95,7/3/00 | 6.95 | $\$$ | $174,932,431$ | $\$$ | $174,932,431$ | 36959 H 008 | A-1+ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $175,000,000$ | General Electric Capital Corp. ,6.95,7/3/00 | 6.95 |  | $175,000,000$ |  | $175,000,000$ | 369622006 | A-1+ |
|  | $20,000,000$ | General Electric Capital Int. ,6.40,7/11/00 | 6.41 |  | $19,964,444$ |  | $19,964,444$ | 361573005 | A-1+ |
| $\$$ | $\mathbf{3 7 0 , 0 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ | Total |  | $\$$ | $\mathbf{3 6 9 , 8 9 6 , 8 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{\$}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 9 , 8 9 6 , 8 7 5}$ |  |  |

LOAN - BACKED COM M ERCIAL PAPER (6.69\% oftotal investments)

| \$ | 5,027,000 | Atlantis OneFunding, 6.61,7/13/00 | 6.63 | \$ | 5,015,924 | \$ | 5,015,924 | $04915 T 003$ | A-1+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 25,000,000 | Centric Capital Corp., 6.75, 7/7/00 | 6.76 |  | 24,971,875 |  | 24,971,875 | 1563X0000 | A-1+ |
|  | 39,175,000 | Fountain Square, 7.20,7/3/00 | 7.20 |  | 39,159,330 |  | 39,159,330 | $35075 R 007$ | A-1+ |
|  | 30,721,000 | Fountain Square, 6.65,7/3/00 | 6.65 |  | 30,709,650 |  | 30,709,650 | $35075 R 007$ | A-1+ |
|  | 18,947,000 | Fountain Square, 6.62,7/3/00 | 6.62 |  | 18,940,032 |  | 18,940,032 | $35075 R 007$ | A-1+ |
|  | 1,822,000 | Fountain Square, 6.90, 7/3/00 | 6.90 |  | 1,821,302 |  | 1,821,302 | $35075 R 007$ | A-1+ |
|  | 8,205,000 | Fountain Square, 6.60,7/5/00 | 6.61 |  | 8,198,983 |  | 8,198,983 | 35075R 007 | A-1+ |
|  | 6,017,000 | Fountain Square, 6.63,7/5/00 | 6.64 |  | 6,012,567 |  | 6,012,567 | $35075 R 007$ | A-1+ |
|  | 3,421,000 | Fountain Square, 6.62,7/5/00 | 6.63 |  | 3,418,484 |  | 3,418,484 | 35075 R 007 | A-1+ |
|  | 1,078,000 | Fountain Square, 6.58, 7/5/00 | 6.59 |  | 1,077,212 |  | 1,077,212 | $35075 R 007$ | A-1+ |
|  | 4,487,000 | Fountain Square, 6.58, 7/7/00 | 6.59 |  | 4,482,079 |  | 4,482,079 | 35075 R 007 | A-1+ |
|  | 1,434,000 | Fountain Square, 6.58, 7/10/00 | 6.59 |  | 1,431,641 |  | 1,431,641 | 35075R 007 | A-1+ |
|  | 4,559,000 | Fountain Square, 6.57, 7/11/00 | 6.58 |  | 4,550,680 |  | 4,550,680 | 35075R 007 | A-1+ |
|  | 19,199,000 | Fountain Square, 6.58, 7/12/00 | 6.59 |  | 19,160,399 |  | 19,160,399 | 35075 R 007 | A-1+ |
|  | 2,843,000 | Fountain Square, 6.80, 7/14/00 | 6.82 |  | 2,836,019 |  | 2,836,019 | 35075 R 007 | A-1+ |
|  | 1,857,000 | Fountain Square, 6.06, 7/28/00 | 6.09 |  | 1,848,560 |  | 1848,560 | 35075R 007 | A-1+ |
|  | 15,020,000 | Fountain Square, 6.44,7/31/00 | 6.48 |  | 14,939,393 |  | 14,939,393 | 35075R 007 | A-1+ |
|  | 5,186,000 | Fountain Square, 6.45, 8/1/00 | 6.49 |  | 5,157,196 |  | 5,157,196 | 35075R 007 | A-1+ |
|  | 3,612,000 | Fountain Square, 6.67,8/1/00 | 6.71 |  | 3,591,254 |  | 3,591,254 | 35075R 007 | A-1+ |
|  | 3,612,000 | Fountain Square, 6.63, 8/8/00 | 6.68 |  | 3,586,722 |  | 3,586,722 | 35075R 007 | A-1+ |
|  | 20,635,000 | Fountain Square, 6.15, 8/14/00 | 6.20 |  | 20,479,894 |  | 20,479,894 | 35075 R 007 | A-1+ |
|  | 10,192,000 | Fountain Square, 6.67, 9/15/00 | 6.77 |  | 10,048,485 |  | 10,048,485 | 35075R 007 | A-1+ |
|  | 1,000,000 | Govco Incorporated, 6.72, 8/2/00 | 6.76 |  | 994,027 |  | 994,027 | 38362 V 004 | A-1+ |
|  | 2,000,000 | Govco Incorporated, 6.72, 8/10/00 | 6.77 |  | 1,985,067 |  | 1,985,067 | 38362 V 004 | A-1+ |
|  | 3,954,000 | Peacock Funding, 6.60, 7/18/00 | 6.62 |  | 3,941,677 |  | 3,941,677 | 70467L003 | A-1+ |
|  | 7,951,000 | Sw eetw ater Capital, 6.62,7/19/00 | 6.64 |  | 7,924,682 |  | 7,924,682 | 87047 N 002 | A-1+ |
| \$ | 246,954,000 | Total |  | \$ | 246,283,133 | \$ | 246,283,132 |  |  |

M ULTI BACKED COM M ERCIAL PAPER (129\%oftotal investments)

| $\$ 10,000,000$ | Compass Securitization, $6.60,7 / 5 / 00$ | 6.61 | $\$$ | $9,992,667$ | $\$$ | $9,992,667$ | 2044 W | C005 | A-1+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2,300,000$ | Compass Securitization, $6.61,7 / 13 / 00$ | 6.63 |  | $2,294,932$ |  | $2,294,932$ | 2044 W | C005 | A-1+ |
|  | OfFICE OF THE STATE TREASURER, DENISE L. NAPPIER |  |  |  | F-27 |  |  |  |  |

SHORT-TERM INVESTMENT FUND
LIST OF INVESTM ENTS AT JUNE 30, 2000 (Continued)

|  | Par Value | Security <br> (Coupon, M aturity or Reset Date) | Yield <br> $\%$ | Amortized <br> Cost | Fair <br> Value | Asset ID | Quality <br> Rating |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $10,431,000$ | Compass Securitization, $6.61,7 / 18 / 00$ | 6.63 | $10,398,441$ | $10,398,441$ | 2044W C005 | A-1+ |
|  | $25,000,000$ | Compass Securitization, $6.69,7 / 27 / 00$ | 6.73 | $24,879,208$ | $24,879,208$ | 2044W C005 | A-1+ |
| $\$$ | $\mathbf{4 7 , 7 3 1 , 0 0 0}$ | Total |  | $\mathbf{\$}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 , 5 6 5 , 2 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{\$}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 , 5 6 5 , 2 4 8}$ |

RECEIVABLE BACKED COMMERCIAL PAPER ( $\mathbf{1 2} \mathbf{2} \mathbf{2 5 \%}$ oftotal investments)

| \$ | 3,737,000 | Barton Capital Corp.,6.70, 7/7/00 | 6.71 | \$ | 3,732,827 | \$ | 3,732,827 | 06945F002 | A-1+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 16,356,000 | Barton Capital Corp., 6.85, 7/10/00 | 6.85 |  | 16,327,990 |  | 16,327,990 | 06945F002 | A-1+ |
|  | 25,000,000 | Edison Asset Securitization, 6.42,7/17/00 | 6.44 |  | 24,928,667 |  | 24,928,667 | 28100L004 | A-1+ |
|  | 25,466,000 | Kittyhaw k Funding, 6.80, 7/10/00 | 6.81 |  | 25,422,708 |  | 25,422,708 | 49833M 002 | A-1+ |
|  | 25,000,000 | Kittyhaw k Funding, 6.81, 7/13/00 | 6.83 |  | 24,943,250 |  | 24,943,250 | 49833M 002 | A-1+ |
|  | 25,000,000 | M adison Funding, 6.48, 7/5/00 | 6.49 |  | 24,982,000 |  | 24,982,000 | 557990009 | A-1 |
|  | 25,000,000 | M adison Funding, 6.58,7/10/00 | 6.59 |  | 24,958,875 |  | 24,958,875 | 557990009 | A-1 |
|  | 25,000,000 | M adison Funding, 6.63,7/10/00 | 6.64 |  | 24,958,563 |  | 24,958,563 | 557990009 | A-1 |
|  | 19,515,000 | M adison Funding, 6.64,7/10/00 | 6.65 |  | 19,482,605 |  | 19,482,605 | 557990009 | A-1 |
|  | 25,000,000 | M adison Funding,6.60,7/27/00 | 6.63 |  | 24,880,833 |  | 24,880,833 | 557990009 | A-1 |
|  | 10,550,000 | M adison Funding,6.64,7/27/00 | 6.67 |  | 10,499,407 |  | 10,499,407 | 557990009 | A-1 |
|  | 25,000,000 | M onte R osa Capital Corp., 6.53, 7/10/00 | 6.54 |  | 24,959,188 |  | 24,959,188 | $61224 T 009$ | A-1+ |
|  | 25,000,000 | M onteR osa Capital Corp., 6.50, 7/17/00 | 6.52 |  | 24,927,778 |  | 24,927,778 | $61224 T 009$ | A-1+ |
|  | 25,000,000 | Pooled Accounts R eceivable, 6.70, 7/7/00 | 6.71 |  | 24,972,083 |  | 24,972,083 | 73278 Y 008 | A-1+ |
|  | 9,772,000 | Quincy Capital Corp., 6.82, 7/14/00 | 6.84 |  | 9,747,934 |  | 9,747,934 | $74838 \cup 007$ | A-1+ |
|  | 1,102,000 | Receivables Capital C orp., 6.61, 7/19/00 | 6.63 |  | 1,098,358 |  | 1,098,358 | 7561V5009 | A-1+ |
|  | 25,000,000 | Tulip Funding Corp., 6.57,7/10/00 | 6.58 |  | 24,958,938 |  | 24,958,938 | 89929 T008 | A-1+ |
|  | 25,000,000 | Tulip Funding Corp., 6.61,7/17/00 | 6.63 |  | 24,926,556 |  | 24,926,556 | 899297008 | A-1+ |
|  | 25,000,000 | Tulip Funding Corp., 6.62,7/17/00 | 6.64 |  | 24,926,444 |  | 24,926,444 | 899297008 | A-1+ |
|  | 25,000,000 | Tulip Funding Corp., 6.30,7/27/00 | 6.33 |  | 24,886,250 |  | 24,886,250 | 899297008 | A-1+ |
|  | 7,500,000 | TwinTowers, 6.62,7/14/00 | 6.64 |  | 7,482,071 |  | 7,482,071 | 901993006 | A-1+ |
|  | 11,996,000 | Twin Towers, 6.62,7/17/00 | 6.64 |  | 11,960,705 |  | 11,960,705 | 901993006 | A-1+ |
|  | 21,600,000 | W indmill Funding, 6.30,7/7/00 | 6.31 |  | 21,577,320 |  | 21,577,320 | 973993009 | A-1+ |

451,541,348 451,541,348
SECURITIES BACKED COM M ERCIAL PAPER ( $\mathbf{2 7 . 5 0 \%}$ oftotal investments)

| 12,500,000 | Aeltus CBO V,6.75,8/4/00 | 6.80 | \$ | 12,420,313 | \$ | 12,420,313 | 0076A 3003 | A-1+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21,000,000 | Aeltus CBO V, 6.75, 8/11/00 | 6.80 |  | 20,838,563 |  | 20,838,563 | 0076A 3003 | A-1+ |
| 7,900,000 | AeltusCBO V, 6.75, 8/18/00 | 6.81 |  | 7,828,900 |  | 7,828,900 | 0076A 3003 | A-1+ |
| 175,000,000 | Aquinas Funding, 7.04,7/5/00 | 7.05 |  | 174,863,111 |  | 174,863,111 | $03839 \cup 005$ | A-1+ |
| 35,435,000 | Exelsior Inc., 6.58, 7/10/00 | 6.59 |  | 35,376,709 |  | 35,376,709 | $3016 T 1009$ | A-1+ |
| 5,489,000 | Giro M ulti Funding, 6.92,7/6/00 | 6.93 |  | 5,483,724 |  | 5,483,724 | 35075R 007 | A-1+ |
| 15,785,000 | GiroM ulti Funding, 6.61, 7/10/00 | 6.62 |  | 15,758,915 |  | 15,758,915 | 3763K 3002 | A-1+ |
| 1,827,000 | GiroM ulti Funding, 6.61,7/10/00 | 6.62 |  | 1823,981 |  | 1823,981 | 3763K 3002 | A-1+ |
| 3,387,000 | Grand Funding, 6.62, 7/5/00 | 6.63 |  | 3,384,509 |  | 3,384,509 | 385511007 | A-1+ |
| 25,000,000 | Grand Funding, 6.85, 7/6/00 | 6.86 |  | 24,976,215 |  | 24,976,215 | 385511007 | A-1+ |
| 25,000,000 | Grand Funding, 6.90, 7/6/00 | 6.91 |  | 24,976,042 |  | 24,976,042 | 385511007 | A-1+ |
| 22,000,000 | Grand Funding, 6.64,777/00 | 6.65 |  | 21,975,653 |  | 21,975,653 | 385511007 | A-1+ |
| 8,000,000 | Grand Funding, 6.57,7/1/00 | 6.58 |  | 7,985,400 |  | 7,985,400 | 385511007 | A-1+ |
| 25,000,000 | Grand Funding, 6.80,7/12/00 | 6.82 |  | 24,948,056 |  | 24,948,056 | 385511007 | A-1+ |
| 1,499,000 | Grand Funding, 6.80,7/12/00 | 6.82 |  | 1,495,885 |  | 1,495,885 | 385511007 | A-1+ |
| 25,000,000 | Grand Funding, 6.57,7/17/00 | 6.59 |  | 24,927,000 |  | 24,927,000 | 385511007 | A-1+ |
| 8,000,000 | Grand Funding, 6.57, 7/17/00 | 6.59 |  | 7,976,640 |  | 7,976,640 | 385511007 | A-1+ |
| 8,850,000 | Grand Funding, 6.64, 7/18/00 | 6.66 |  | 8,822,250 |  | 8,822,250 | 385511007 | A-1+ |

SHORT-TERM INVESTMENT FUND
LIST OF INVESTM ENTS AT JUNE 30, 2000 (Continued)

| ParValue | Security (C oupon, M aturity or Reset Date) | Yield \% | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Asset ID | Quality Rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 46,400,000 | Grand Funding, 6.59, 7/19/00 | 6.61 | 46,247,112 | 46,247,112 | 385511007 | A-1+ |
| 25,000,000 | Grand Funding, 6.56, 7/19/00 | 6.58 | 24,918,000 | 24,918,000 | 385511007 | A-1+ |
| 50,000,000 | Grand Funding, 6.59, 7/20/00 | 6.61 | 49,826,097 | 49,826,097 | 385511007 | A-1+ |
| 25,000,000 | Grand Funding, 6.75,7/21/00 | 6.78 | 24,906,250 | 24,906,250 | 385511007 | A-1+ |
| 3,292,000 | Grand Funding, 6.70,7/26/00 | 6.73 | 3,276,683 | 3,276,683 | 385511007 | A-1+ |
| 5,000,000 | KM S Corporation, 6.62, 7/14/00 | 6.64 | 4,988,047 | 4,988,047 | 4827 U 3003 | A-1+ |
| 30,284,000 | KM S Corporation, 6.61, 7/17/00 | 6.63 | 30,195,032 | 30,195,032 | 4827U 3003 | A-1+ |
| 1,622,000 | KM S Corporation, 6.80, 7/21/00 | 6.83 | 1,615,872 | 1,615,872 | 4827 U 3003 | A-1+ |
| 25,000,000 | Steamboat F unding Corp., 6.55, 7/7/00 | 6.56 | 24,972,708 | 24,972,708 | 85788L003 | A-1+ |
| 25,000,000 | Steamboat Funding Corp., 6.56, 7/7/00 | 6.57 | 24,972,667 | 24,972,667 | 85788L003 | A-1+ |
| 25,000,000 | Steamboat Funding C orp., 6.70, 7/28/00 | 6.74 | 24,874,375 | 24,874,375 | 85788L003 | A-1+ |
| 26,172,000 | Superior Funding, 6.56,7/5/00 | 6.57 | 26,152,924 | 26,152,924 | 86816K 009 | A-1+ |
| 25,000,000 | Superior Funding, 6.60,7/7/00 | 6.61 | 24,972,500 | 24,972,500 | 86816 K 009 | A-1+ |
| 2,036,000 | Superior Funding, 6.60,7/7/00 | 6.61 | 2,033,760 | 2,033,760 | 86816 K 009 | A-1+ |
| 15,458,000 | Superior Funding, 6.56, 7/10/00 | 6.57 | 15,432,649 | 15,432,649 | 86816K 009 | A-1+ |
| 7,590,000 | Superior Funding, 6.56, 7/10/00 | 6.57 | 7,577,552 | 7,577,552 | 86816 K 009 | A-1+ |
| 14,983,000 | Superior Funding, 6.62,7/17/00 | 6.64 | 14,938,917 | 14,938,917 | 86816 K 009 | A-1+ |
| 20,743,000 | Superior Funding, 6.60, 7/19/00 | 6.62 | 20,674,548 | 20,674,548 | 86816 K 009 | A-1+ |
| 8,796,000 | Superior Funding, 6.65, 7/24/00 | 6.68 | 8,758,629 | 8,758,629 | 86816K 009 | A-1+ |
| 11,144,000 | Superior Funding, 6.70, 7/26/00 | 6.73 | 11,092,149 | 11,092,149 | 86816 K 009 | A-1+ |
| 20,821,000 | Superior Funding, 6.73, 8/11/00 | 6.78 | 20,661,413 | 20,661,413 | 86816 K 009 | A-1+ |
| 25,000,000 | Trainer W ortham, 6.43,7/10/00 | 6.44 | 24,959,812 | 24,959,812 | 89288L000 | A-1+ |
| 25,000,000 | Trainer W ortham, 6.52,7/13/00 | 6.54 | 24,945,667 | 24,945,667 | 89288L000 | A-1+ |
| 25,000,000 | Trainer W ortham, 6.63,7/21/00 | 6.66 | 24,907,917 | 24,907,917 | 89288L000 | A-1+ |
| 50,000,000 | Trainer W ortham, 6.67,9/5/00 | 6.75 | 49,388,583 | 49,388,583 | 89288L000 | A-1+ |
| 50,000,000 | Trident Capital Financing, 7.00,7/7/00 | 7.01 | 49,941,667 | 49,941,667 | 896112001 | A-1+ |
| \$ 1,016,013,000 | Total |  | 1,013,093,398 | ,013,093,398 |  |  |

FEDERAL AGEN CIESSEC URITIES(4.61\% of total investments)

| \$ | 5,000,000 | Federal Farm Credit Bank,5.75,8/20/01 | 6.81 | \$ | 5,000,000 | \$ | 4,942,500 | 31331RYQ2 | AAA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15,000,000 | Federal H omeLoan Bank, 5.015, 8/11/00 | 6.66 |  | 14,999,888 |  | 14,970,000 | 3133M 7FL5 | AAA |
|  | 10,000,000 | Federal H omeLoan Bank, 5.015, 8/11/00 | 6.66 |  | 9,999,925 |  | 9,980,000 | 3133M 7FL5 | AAA |
|  | 1,000,000 | Federal HomeL oan Bank, 5.76, 8/20/01 | 6.81 |  | 1,000,000 |  | 988,600 | 3133M 5AK 6 | AAA |
|  | 10,000,000 | Federal H omeLoan Bank, 7.21, 11/16/01 | 7.22 |  | 9,999,084 |  | 9,991,000 | 3133M BFR 3 | AAA |
|  | 10,000,000 | Federal H ome Loan M ortgage Corp, 7.40, 11/30/01 | 7.35 |  | 9,999,058 |  | 10,001,000 | $312902 \times U 8$ | AAA |
|  | 7,035,000 | Federal Home Loan M ortgage C orp, 6.00, 1/6/03 | 7.21 |  | 7,035,000 |  | 6,841,538 | 3134A2L22 | AAA |
|  | 25,000,000 | FannieM ae, 6.37, 3/7/01 | 6.34 |  | 25,000,000 |  | 25,003,000 | 31364KPS3 | AAA |
|  | 18,000,000 | FannieM ae, 6.37, 3/7/01 | 6.34 |  | 17,999,179 |  | 18,002,160 | 31364KPS3 | AAA |
|  | 14,394,000 | FannieM ae, 6.50,7/29/02 | 7.18 |  | 14,394,000 |  | 14,206,878 | 31359M CP6 | AAA |
|  | 25,000,000 | SallieM ae, 6.54,8/10/00 | 6.29 |  | 24,999,454 |  | 25,004,500 | 86387R2Q4 | AAA |
|  | 25,000,000 | SallieM ae, 6.54, 11/13/00 | 6.29 |  | 24,995,451 |  | 25,020,500 | 86387R 4M 1 | AAA |
|  | 4,800,000 | Sallie M ae, 6.10, 3/7/01 | 6.34 |  | 4,769,293 |  | 4,791,840 | 863871SD2 | AAA |
| \$ | 170,229,000 | Total |  | \$ | 170,190,332 | \$ | 169,743,516 |  |  |

COMM ERCIALPAPER ( $\mathbf{1 . 0 1 \%}$ of total investments)


SHORT-TERM INVESTMENT FUND
LIST OF INVESTM ENTS AT JUNE 30, 2000 (Continued)

| ParV alue | Security <br> (Coupon, M aturity or Reset Date) | Yield <br> $\%$ | Amortized <br> Cost | Fair <br> Value | Asset ID | Quality <br> Rating |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $6,000,000$ | General Electric Cap Corp, 6.02, 5/4/01 | 6.85 | $5,959,416$ | $5,959,140$ | $36962 \mathrm{GNC4}$ | A-1+ |
| $1,500,000$ | General Electric Cap Corp, 5.89,5/11/01 | 6.85 | $1,504,211$ | $1,488,090$ | $36962 \mathrm{GNP5}$ | A-1+ |
| $2,750,000$ | General Electric Cap Corp,5.96,5/14/01 | 6.85 | $2,748,786$ | $2,729,568$ | 36962 GNQ | A-1+ |
| $37,175,000$ | Total |  | $\$$ | $37,083,044$ | $\$$ | $37,013,882$ |

REPURCHASE AGREEM ENTS ( $\mathbf{1 2 . 5 8 \%}$ oftotal investments)

| $\$$ | $100,000,000$ | Lehman Brothers, $7.05,7 / 3 / 00$ | 7.05 | $\$$ | $100,000,000$ | $\$$ | $100,000,000$ | 524995008 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $347,225,000$ | BankAmerica, $6.88,7 / 3 / 00$ | 6.88 |  | $347,225,000$ | $347,225,000$ | 064998008 | $\mathrm{~A}-1+$ |
|  | $17,640,000$ | Banc One, $7.06,7 / 3 / 00$ | 7.06 |  | $17,640,000$ | $17,640,000$ | $05999 \cup 006$ | A-1 |
| $\$$ | $\mathbf{4 6 4 , 8 6 5 , 0 0 0}$ | Total |  | $\mathbf{\$}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 4 , 8 6 5 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 4 , 8 6 5 , 0 0 0}$ |  |

STATE OF ISRAEL BON DS ( $0.04 \%$ oftotal investments)

| $\$$ | $1,500,000$ | Stateof Israel, $9.00,5 / 1 / 01$ | 9.00 | $\$$ | $1,500,000$ | $\$$ | $1,500,000$ | $465137 Q 91$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\$$ | $1,500,000$ | Total |  | $\$$ | $1,500,000$ | $\$$ | $1,500,000$ |  |

LIQUIDTYMANAGEM ENTCONTROLSYSTEM ( $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 \%}$ oftotal investments)

| \$ | 250 | LMCS, $5.25,7 / 3 / 00$ | 5.25 | \$ | 250 | \$ | 250 | 536991003 | A-1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 250 | Total |  | \$ | 250 | \$ | 250 |  |  |
|  | ,250 | Total Investment in Securities |  | \$ | 3,687,905,501 | \$ | 1,176 |  |  |
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## INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT

Treasurer of the State of Connecticut
Hartford, Connecticut

We have examined the accompanying Schedules of Rates of Return for the Connecticut State Treasurer's Short-Term Investment Fund ( the "Schedules") managed by the Treasurer of the State of Connecticut for each of the quarterly and annual investment periods from July 1, 1992 through June 30, 2000. The Schedules are the responsibility of the Connecticut State Treasurer's Short-Term Investment Fund's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Schedules based on our examinations.

Our examinations were conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and, accordingly, included examining on a test basis, evidence supporting the Schedules and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances (for a Level II verification as defined under the AIMR-PPS). We believe that our examinations provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such Schedules present fairly the rates of return for the composite of the Connecticut State Treasurer's Short-Term Investment Fund managed by the Treasurer of the State of Connecticut for each of the quarterly and annual investment periods from July 1, 1992 to June 30, 2000, in all material respects, in accordance with the measurement and disclosure criteria set forth in Notes 2 and 3 to the Schedules.

We did not examine the rates of return for the Connecticut State Treasurer's Short-Term Investment Fund for each of the quarterly and annual investment period from July 1, 1991 to June 30, 1992, which are included in the Schedules and, accordingly, we express no opinion or any other form of assurance on them.
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|  | Year Ended June30, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2000 | 1999 | 1998 | 1997 | 1996 | 1995 | 1994 | 1993 | 1992* | 1991* |
| STIF T otal R ate of R eturn (\%) | 6.01 | 5.37 | 5.82 | 5.66 | 5.95 | 5.62 | 3.63 | 3.95 | 5.74 | 8.16 |
| IBC First Tier Institutions-Only M oney Fund R eport Index (\%) (1) | 5.58 | 5.04 | 5.49 | 5.27 | 5.44 | 5.31 | 3.08 | 3.03 | 4.62 | 6.97 |
| Total Assets in STIF, End of Period (\$ - M illions) | 3.701 | 3,646 | 3,190 | 2,527 | 2,014 | 1,495 | 1,830 | 1,787 | 1,835 | 1,070 |
| Percent of Firm Assets | 71 | 71 | 70 | 73 | 68 | 58 | 67 | 66 | 73 | 56 |
| Number of Participant Accounts in Composite, End of Year | 800 | 782 | 654 | 644 | 590 | 563 | 510 | 424 | 390 | 366 |

* R ates of return for fiscal years ended June30, 1991-1992 have not been examined by Deloitte\& ToucheLLP.
(1)Represents IBC First Tier Institutions-O nly M oney Fund Report Index prior to December 31, 1995 and IBC First Tier Institutions-Only Rated M oney Fund Report Index for the period January 1, 1996 through June 30, 2000. These Index rates have been taken from published sources and have not been examined by Deloitte\& ToucheLLP.


## See N otes to Schedules of $R$ ates of $R$ eturn.

## SHORT-TERM INVESTMENT FUND

SCHEDULE OF QUARTERLY RATES OF RETURN

| FISCAL <br> YEAR | Rateof Return(\%) | IBC First Tier Institutions-Only R ated M oney Fund ReportIndex (\%) ${ }^{(1)}$ | FISCAL YEAR | Rateof Return(\%) | IBC FirstTier Institutions-Only R ated M oney Fund ReportIndex(\%) ${ }^{(1)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 |  |  | 1995 |  |  |
| Sep-99 | 133 | 123 | Sep-94 | 1.16 | 107 |
| Dec-99 | 146 | 133 | Dec-94 | 131 | 125 |
| M ar-00 | 148 | 140 | Mar-95 | 158 | 143 |
| Jun-00 | 160 | 151 | Jun-95(2) | 146 | 146 |
| YEAR | 6.01 | 558 | YEAR | 5.62 | 5.31 |
| 1999 |  |  | 1994 |  |  |
| Sep-98 | 142 | 134 | Sep-93 | 0.86 | 0.71 |
| Dec-98 | 137 | 126 | Dec-93 | 0.90 | 0.72 |
| M ar-99 | 124 | 1.19 | M ar-94 | 0.95 | 0.74 |
| Jun-99 | 123 | 1.16 | Jun-94(2) | 0.87 | 0.88 |
| YEAR | 5.37 | 5.04 | YEAR | 3.63 | 3.08 |
| 1998 |  |  | 1993 |  |  |
| Sep-97 | 143 | 134 | Sep-92 | 109 | 0.81 |
| Dec-97 | 145 | 136 | Dec-92 | 0.97 | 0.75 |
| M ar-98 | 141 | 135 | M ar-93 | 0.96 | 0.73 |
| Jun-98 | 140 | 134 | Jun-93(2) | 0.87 | 0.70 |
| YEAR | 5.82 | 5.49 | YEAR | 3.95 | 3.03 |
| 1997 |  |  | 1992* |  |  |
| Sep-96 | 140 | 128 | Sep-91 | 162 | 137 |
| Dec-96 | 136 | 128 | Dec-91 | 160 | 123 |
| M ar-97 | 137 | 128 | Mar-92 | 123 | 101 |
| Jun-97 | 140 | 133 | Jun-92(2) | 117 | 0.93 |
| YEAR | 5.66 | 5.27 | YEAR | 5.74 | 4.62 |
| 1996 |  |  | 1997* |  |  |
| Sep-95 | 154 | 140 | Sep-90 | 2.09 | 189 |
| Dec-95 | 154 | 138 | Dec-90 | 2.25 | 184 |
| M ar-96 | 142 | 129 | M ar-91 | 189 | 163 |
| Jun-96(2) | 133 | 126 | Jun-91 ${ }^{(2)}$ | 170 | 144 |
| YEAR | 5.95 | 5.44 | YEAR | 8.16 | 6.97 |

* R ates of return for fiscal years ended June30, 1991-1992 havenot been examined by Deloitte \& Touche LLP.
(1) Represents IBC First Tier Institutions-O nly M oney Fund Report Index prior to December 31, 1995 and IBC First Tier Institu-tions-O nly R ated M oney Fund Report Index for the period January 1, 1996 through June 30, 2000. These Index rates have been taken from published sources and have not been examined by Deloitte\& ToucheLLP.
(2) Includes theannual allocation to theDesignated Surplus Reserve(SeeN ote4).


## Seetheaccompanying $N$ otes to the Schedules of $R$ ates of $R$ eturn.

## NOTES TO SCHEDULES OF RATES OF RETURN

FOR THE 10 YEAR INVESTMENT PERIOD JULY 11990 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2000

## NOTE I: ORGANIZATION

The Connecticut State Treasury Short-Term Investment Fund ("STIF" or the "Fund") was created by Sec. 3-27 of the Connecticut General Statutes as an investment vehicle restricted for use by the State, State agencies and authorities, State municipalities and other political subdivisions of the State only. STIF is a fully discretionary money market investment pool managed by the Connecticut State Treasury (Treasury) as a single composite. STIF's objective is to provide as high a level of current income as is consistent with safety of principal and liquidity to meet participants' daily cash flow requirements. During the 2000 fiscal year, STIF's portfolio averaged $\$ 3.6$ billion.

## NOTE 2: PERFORMANCE RESULTS

STIF has prepared and presented this report in compliance with the Performance Presentation Standards of the Association for Investment Management and Research (AIM R-PPS) for the period July 1,1990 through June 30, 2000. AIMR has not been involved with the preparation or review of this report. The performance presentation for the period July 1, 1992 through June 30, 2000 has been subject to a Level II verification in accordance with AIMR standards by an independent public accounting firm whose report is included herein. The performance presentation for all other periods presented is not included within the scope of the independent accountants' report. For the purposes of compliance with AIMR performance presentation standards, the Treasury has defined the "Firm" as the funds under the control of the State Treasurer, State agencies and State authorities for which the Treasury has direct investment management responsibilities.

Results are net of all operating expenses, and as STIF is managed by employees of the Treasury, no advisory fees are paid.

## NOTE 3: CALCULATION OF RATES OF RETURN

STIF uses a time-weighted linked rate of return formula to calculate rates of return. This method is in accordance with the acceptable methods set forth by the Association for Investment $M$ anagement and Research. Other methods may produce different results and the results for indi-
vidual participants and different periods may vary. The current rates of return may not be indicative of future rates of return.

The timeweighted linked rate of return formula used by STIF is as follows: After December 1, 1996 monthly returns were calculated by taking the sum of daily income earned on an accrual basis, after deduction for all operating expenses and a transfer to the Designated Surplus Reserve, divided by the average daily participant balance for the month. From July through November 1996, monthly income earned on an accrual basis, after deduction for all operating expenses, was divided by the average daily participant balance for the month multiplied by the actual number of days in the year divided by the actual number of days in the month. In addition, income reported in November was reduced by a transfer to the Designated Surplus Reserve which impacted November's monthly return. The monthly returns for the entire quarter and fiscal year were linked to calculate the respective rates of return.

Prior to July 1, 1996, the same methodology as described for July through November 1996 was used with the exception of the transfer to the Designated Surplus Reserve, which affected May returns. Prior to fiscal year ended June 30, 1995, 360 days rather than actual days in the year was used. Each of the monthly composite rates of returns were then linked to compute the quarterly rate of return and the quarterly rates of return were linked to calculate the annual rate of return.

The changes, as described above, had no significant impact on the quarterly and annual rates of return reported herein.

The rates of return presented herein are those earned by the Fund during the periods presented as described above. Actual distributions to participants through November 30, 1996 were made on a quarterly basis based upon specific guaranteed rates as periodically determined by the Treasury. Any excess earnings over the previously distributed amounts and the required allocation to the Designated Surplus Reserve were distributed as a special distribution (See Earnings Subject to Special Distribution in STIF Notes to Financial Statements).

## NOTE 4: DESIGNATED SURPLUSRESERVE

In order to provide some protection to the shareholders of STIF from potential credit and market risks, the Treasurer has designated that a portion of each day's net earnings be transferred to the Designated Surplus Reserve (Reserve). Such amounts are restricted in nature and are not available for current distribution to shareholders. In De cember 1996, a transfer was made to the Designated Surplus Reserve at the annualized rate of 0.1 percent of the average month-end investment balances for June through November 1996. Beginning December 1, 1996, the amount transferred daily to the Designated Surplus Reserve is equal to 0.1 percent of end of day investment balance divided by the actual number of days in the year. As of June 30, 2000, the balance in the Designated Surplus Reserve was $\$ 33,660,741$ an increase of $\$ 3,160,707$ from the June 30, 1999 balance of $\$ 30,500,034$.

No transfer is to be made if the reserve account is equal to or greater than 10 percent of the daily investment balance. If net losses significant to the aggregate portfolio are realized, the Treasurer is authorized to transfer funds from the Reserve to Participant Units Outstanding. There have been no charges against this reserve during the 28 year history of the Fund.

## NOTE 5: ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURES

These results solely reflect the performance of STIF. The Fund's principal investment officer has been the portfolio manager since 1983 encompassing the entire investment period presented.
Benchmark information presented in the Schedules of Rates of Return was obtained from published sources which are believed to be reliable. Such information is supplemental and is not covered by the independent accountants' report.

STIF does not make significant use of leverage. The only leverage employed by the Fund is the occasional use of reverse repurchase agreements. These agreements, which are limited by policy to no more than 5 percent of total Fund assets, are used only to meet temporary liquidity re quirements of the Fund.

STIF had accounted for security purchases and sales on a settlement date basis for periods prior to February 1, 1996.
Since that date, the Fund has accounted for all security purchases and sales on a trade date basis. Because the Fund purchases short term investments whose market values do not fluctuate significantly and since all investments are accounted for on an amortized cost basis, the difference between the trade and settlement date bases has no significant impact on the performance reported herein.


 Cash and Investments at July 1, 1999
Shares Purchased (Excluding Cash Reserve Fund)
Schedule of Activity:
Cash and Investments
Income Receivable
Interest in Investment Funds
Total Cash and Investments
Shares Redeemed (Excluding Cash Reserve Fund)
Net Purchase and Redemptions of Cash Reserve Fund
Net Investment Income
Realized Gain (Loss) from Sale of Investments
Change in Unrealized Gain/(Loss) on Investment Funds
Change in Unrealized Gain/(Loss) on Investment Funds
Increase (Decrease) in Receivables - Net (1) Distributions
Cash and Inv

[^2]|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { SCHOOL } \\ \text { FUND } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AGRICUL- } \\ & \text { TURAL } \\ & \text { COLLEGE } \\ & \text { FUND } \end{aligned}$ | IDA EATON COTTON FUND | ANDREW C. CLARK FUND | HOPEMEAD STATE PARK TRUST FUND | $\begin{gathered} \text { MISC. } \\ \text { AGENCY } \\ \text { TRUST } \\ \text { FUNDS } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATEMENT OF CONDITION, at Market ASSETS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash \& Cash Equivalents | \$ | \$ - \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$49,953,878 |
| Interest \& Dividends Receivable | 3,376 | 228 | 638 | 244 | 4,063 | - |
| Investments in Combined Investment Funds, at Fair Value | 9,464,923 | 622,247 | 2,116,446 | 994,214 | 1,754,903 | - |
| Total Assets | \$9,468,299 | \$622,475 | \$2,117,084 | \$994,458 | \$1,758,966 | \$49,953,878 |
| LIABILITIES \& FUND BALANCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Due to Other Funds | \$ 112,853 | \$ 20,247 \$ | \$ 68,971 | \$ 32,291 | \$ | \$ - |
| Fund Balance | 9,355,446 | 602,228 | 2,048,113 | 962,167 | 1,758,966 | 49,953,878 |
| Total Liabilities \& Fund Balance | \$ 9,468,299 | \$622,475 | \$2,117,084 | \$994,458 | \$1,758,966 | \$49,953,878 |

See Notes to Civil and Non-Civil List Trust Fund Financial Statements.

## STATEMENT OF REVENUE AND EXPENDITURES

REVENUE

|  | $\$ 307,240$ | $\$ 20,237$ | $\$ 68,938$ | $\$ 32,275$ | $\$ 63,276$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Net Investment Income | 243,852 | 16,298 | 48,774 | 36,532 | - |  |
| Realized Gain on Investments | 171,507 | 9,914 | 40,448 | 5,326 | 60,577 |  |
| Change in Unrealized Gain (Loss) on Investments | 809 | 63 | 184 | 73 | 1,128 |  |
| Increase (Decrease) in Cash Reserve Fund Income Receivables | $(1)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Revenue | $\mathbf{\$ 7 2 3 , 4 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 4 6 , 5 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 5 8 , 3 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 7 4 , 2 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 2 4 , 9 8 1}$ |  |
| EXPENDITURES | $\mathbf{\$}$ | - | $\mathbf{\$}$ | - | $\mathbf{\$}$ | - |
| Excess of Revenue over Expenditures | $\mathbf{\$ 7 2 3 , 4 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 4 6 , 5 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 5 8 , 3 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 7 4 , 2 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 2 4 , 9 8 1}$ |  |

See Notes to Civil and Non-Civil List Trust Fund Financial Statements.
(1) Reflects timing differences in the recognition of income by the Plans and Trusts.

## STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

| Fund Balance at July 1, 1999 | $\mathbf{\$ 8 , 9 4 2 , 8 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 5 7 5 , 9 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 9 5 8 , 7 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 9 2 0 , 2 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 6 3 3 , 9 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 5 0 , 0 3 7 , 5 0 5}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Excess of Revenue over Expenditures | 723,409 | 46,513 | 158,343 | 74,207 | 124,981 |  |
| Net Cash Transactions | - | - | - | - | - | $(3,028,622)$ |
| Transfer from Other Funds | 27,773 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Transfer to Other Funds | $(317,606)$ | $(17,017)$ | $(58,488)$ | $(27,773)$ | - | - |
| Increase in Due to Other Funds | $(20,965)$ | $(3,230)$ | $(10,483)$ | $(4,518)$ | - |  |
| Fund Balance at June 30, 2000 | $\underline{\$ 9,355,446}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 6 0 2 , 2 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 , 0 4 8 , 1 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 9 6 2 , 1 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 7 5 8 , 9 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 4 9 , 9 5 3 , 8 7 8}$ |

See Notes to Civil and Non-Civil List Trust Fund Financial Statements.

Cash Flows from Operating Activities:
Excess of Revenues over Expenditures
Realized Gain on Investments
Change in Unrealized (Gain) Loss on Investments
(Increase) Decrease in Cash Reserve Fund Income Receivables Net Cash Provided by Operations

| $\begin{gathered} \text { SCHOOL } \\ \text { FUND } \end{gathered}$ | AGRICUL <br> TURAL <br> COLLEGE <br> FUND | $\begin{gathered} \text { IDA } \\ \text { EATON } \\ \text { COTTON } \\ \text { FUND } \end{gathered}$ | ANDREW C. CLARK FUND | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOPEMEAD } \\ \text { STATE } \\ \text { PARK } \\ \text { TRUST FUND } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$723,409 | \$46,513 | \$158,343 | \$74,207 | \$124,981 |
| $(243,852)$ | $(16,298)$ | $(48,774)$ | $(36,532)$ |  |
| $(171,507)$ | $(9,914)$ | $(40,448)$ | $(5,326)$ | $(60,577)$ |
| (809) | (63) | (184) | (73) | $(1,128)$ |
| \$307,240 | \$20,237 | \$ 68,938 | \$32,275 | \$ 63,276 |

Cash Flows from Non Capital Financing Activities: Operating Transfers - Out to Other Funds
Operating Transfers - In from Other Funds
Net cash used for Non-Capital Financing Activities

| $(317,606)$ | $(17,017)$ | $(58,488)$ | $(27,773)$ | - |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 27,773 | - | - | - | - |
| $(289,833)$ | $(17,017)$ | $(58,488)$ | $(27,773)$ | - |

Cash Flows from Investing Activities:
Net Purchase and Redemptions of Cash Reserve Fund
Purchase of Investments
Proceeds from Sale of Investment
Net Cash Provided by (Used for) Investing Activities

| $(17,409)$ | $(3,220)$ | $(10,449)$ | $(4,502)$ | $(63,276)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(300,000)$ | $(20,000)$ | $(60,000)$ | $(45,000)$ | - |
| 300,000 | 20,000 | 60,000 | 45,000 | - |
| $(17,409)$ | $(3,220)$ | $(10,449)$ | $(4,502)$ | $(63,276)$ |

Net Increase (Decrease) In Cash
Cash June 30, 1999
Cash June 30, 2000


See Notes to Civil and Non-Civil List Trust Fund Financial Statements.

|  | AGRICUL- | IDA | ANDREW | HOPEMEAD | MISC. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TURAL | EATON | C. | STATE | AGENCY |
| SCHOOL | COLLEGE | COTTON | CLARK | PARK | TRUST |
| FUND | FUND | FUND | FUND | TRUST FUND | FUNDS |

## ASSETS

Cash \& Cash Equivalents
Interest \& Dividends Receivable
Investments in Combined Investment Funds
Total Assets

| $\$$ | - | $\$$ | - | $\$$ | - | $\$$ | - |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3,376 | 228 | 638 | 244 | 4,063 | $\$ 49,953,878$ |  |  |
| $4,864,073$ | 329,247 | $1,108,696$ | 544,676 | $1,085,324$ | - |  |  |
| $\$ 4,867,449$ | $\$ 329,475$ | $\$ 1,109,334$ | $\$ 544,920$ | $\$ 1,089,387$ | $\$ 49,953,878$ |  |  |

## LIABILITIES

Due to Other Funds
Fund Balance
Total Liabilities \& Fund Balance

| $\$ 112,853$ | $\$ 20,247$ | $\$ 68,971$ | $\$ 32,291$ | $\$ 1,089,387$ |  | $49,953,878$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $4,754,596$ | 309,228 | $1,040,363$ | 512,629 | 1,009 | - |  |
| $\$ 4,867,449$ | $\$ 329,475$ | $\$ 1,109,334$ | $\$ 544,920$ | $\$ 1,089,387$ | $\$ 49,953,878$ |  |

See Notes to Civil and Non-Civil List Trust Fund Financial Statements.

## CIVIL AND NON-CIVIL LIST TRUST FUNDS

## NOTESTO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## NOTE 1 SUMMARYOF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Civil List and Non-Civil list trust funds (the "trust funds") are entrusted to the Treasurer for investment purposes. Civil List trust funds are mandated by the State Legislature and are administered by the Office of the State Comptroller. Accordingly, the presentation of the Civil List funds in the Treasurer's Annual Report (see Civil List trust funds cash and investments schedules in the Supplemental Information section of these document) is intended to present only the cash and investments under the Treasurer's care and does not depict a full financial statement presentation. The Non-Civil List Trust funds are not administered by the Office of the Comptroller. Accordingly, the financial statements presented for the Non-Civil List funds are designed to provide a full set of financial statements for the trusts' investment assets and provide the necessary detail for the respective Boards that administer these trust funds.

Significant account policies of the trust funds are as follows:
Basis of Presentation: The foregoing Non-Civil List trust fund financial statements represent the financial position, results of operations and cash flows of the investment trust assets of the funds in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. These financial statements present all of the financial statements of the Non-Civil List funds except for the Second Injury Fund which, due to the unique nature of its operation, is presented separately in this Annual Report. The financial statements do not include a Statement of Revenue and Expenditures for the M iscellaneous Agency and Trust Funds because agency funds do not report operations. These statements were prepared on the fair value basis. A Statement of Condition on a cost basis is also presented for informational purposes.

Valuation of Combined Investment Fund Shares: All unit prices are determined at the end of each month based on the fair value of the applicable investment fund.

Expenses: The Non-Civil List trust funds are not charged with any expenses for administration of the trust funds. Investment expenses of the Combined Investment Funds are deducted in calculating net investment income.

Distribution of Net Investment Income: Net investment income earned by the Combined Investment Funds is generally distributed in the following month. Net investment income is comprised of dividends and interest less investment expense.

Purchases and Redemptions of Units: Purchases and redemptions of units are generally processed on the first day of the month based on the prior month end price. Purchases represent cash that has been allocated to a particular investment fund in accordance with directions from the Treasurer's office. Redemptions represent the return of principal back to the plan. In the case of certain funds, 申 portion of the redemption can also include a distribution of income.

## NOTE 2. STATEMENT OF CASH FLOW S

A statement of cash flows is presented for the non-expendable N onCivil List trust funds. This presentation is in accordance with Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 9. No such statement of cash flows is presented for the M iscellaneous Agency and Trust Funds as none is required.

## NOTE 3. MISCELLANEOUSAGENCY AND TRUST FUND TRANSFERS

These transactions comprise principal and income transfers to trustees as well as transfers and expenditure payments made on their behalf. Certain of these transfers are made to the General Fund and other Civil List funds as well as various state agencies.

## COMBINING BALANCE SHEET

EXPENDABLE TRUST FUND AND RELATED PORTION OF LONG-TERM DEBT ACCOUNT GROUP JUNE 30, 2000

|  |  | Second | Long-Term Debt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS |  | Injury Fund | Account Group |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents |  | \$33,796,450 |  |
| Trustee Accounts |  | 22,205,647 |  |
| Accounts Receivable: |  |  |  |
| Non Compliance | \$34,169,781 |  |  |
| Assessments | 18,031,551 |  |  |
| Appeal Benefits | 1,579,812 |  |  |
| Other Receivables | 1,993,912 | 55,775,056 |  |
| Less: Allowance for Uncollectible Accounts |  | $(39,956,508)$ |  |
| Net Assessments and Accounts Receivable |  | 15,818,548 |  |
| Prepaid Expenses |  | 12,222 |  |
| InterestReceivable |  | 185,840 |  |
| OtherDebits: |  |  |  |
| Amount available in Second Injury Fund |  |  | \$17,754,233 |
| Amount to be provided for debt service and |  |  |  |
| associated costs |  |  | 223,325,767 |
| TOTAL ASSETS |  | \$72,018,707 | \$241,080,000 |
| LIABILITIES |  |  |  |
| Deferred Revenue |  | \$14,304,584 |  |
| Stipulations Payable |  | 3,752,494 |  |
| Accrued Interest Payable |  | 3,203,750 |  |
| Claimant Benefits Payable |  | 1,545,994 |  |
| Other Accounts Payable |  | 1,807,026 |  |
| Bonds Payable |  |  | \$86,080,000 |
| Bond Anticipation Notes (BANs) Payable |  |  | 155,000,000 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES |  | 24,613,848 | 241,080,000 |
| FUND BALANCE |  |  |  |
| Reserve for Fund Activities |  | 24,957,216 | 0 |
| Unreserved |  | 22,447,643 | 0 |
| TOTAL FUND BALANCE |  | 47,404,859 | 0 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND |  |  |  |
| FUND BALANCE |  | \$72,018,707 | \$241,080,000 |

See accompanying Notes to the Financial Statements.

## STATEMENT OF REVENUESAND EXPENDITURES FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2000

## REVENUES

Assessments
Fund Recoveries
FY 2000
\$81,653,816
539,333
Interest Income
3,137,420
OtherIncome:
Late Charge Income
\$1,047,243
Notification Fee Income
387,500
Miscellaneous
Total Other Income
180,519
1,615,262
TOTAL REVENUES

EXPENDITURES
Claims:

| Stipulations | $27,406,851$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Indemnity | $24,990,672$ |
| Medical | $6,644,362$ |
|  | $59,041,885$ |
| Tinistrative Expenses | $7,062,722$ |
| estExpense | $10,646,053$ |
| d and BAN Expense | 738,365 |
| TAL EXPENDITURES | $\mathbf{7 7 , 4 8 9 , 0 2 5}$ |

EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF REVENUE OVER EXPENDITURES
9,456,806

## OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)

Proceeds from Issuance of BANs
20,000,000
Retirement of Bonds and BANs
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)
$(30,100,000)$ $\underline{(10,100,000)}$

EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF REVENUES AND OTHER
SOURCES OVER EXPENDITURES AND OTHER USES
(\$643,194)
See accompanying Notes to the Financial Statements.

STATEMENT OF CHANGESIN FUND BALANCE
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2000

See accompanying Notes to the Financial Statements.

## NOTE 1: INTRODUCTION AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION:

The Second Injury Fund ("SIF" or the "Fund") is an extension of the W orkers' Compensation Act managed by the Treasurer of the State of Connecticut and operates under Chapter 568, of the Connecticut General Statutes (CGS). The Fund is used to pay claimants whose injuries are made more severe because of a preexisting condition, and in cases where an injured worker subsequently undergoes an incapacitating relapse. SIF is responsible for the payment of compensation, medical expenses, dependency benefits, cost-of-living adjustments, and insurance coverage to eligible and qualified workers in Connecticut.

SIF also provides Connecticut workers benefits if employers are uninsured or fail to pay benefits and health insurance coverage to workers' compensation recipients or when the employer ceases doing business in Connecticut.

For State of Connecticut financial reporting purposes, SIF, an Expendable Trust Fund, is grouped with Fiduciary Funds, which are used to account for assets held by the State in a trustee capacity or as an agent for individuals, private organizations, other government units, and other funds.
Also, for State of Connecticut financial reporting purposes, SIF Special Assessment Revenue Bonds (Note 3) and Bond Anticipation Notes (Note 4) are included under State of Connecticut Account Groups as General Long-Term Debt Account Group that includes all long-term obligations that are to be financed from government funds.
Accordingly, these financial statements do not intend to present the financial position and result of operations of the Fiduciary Funds or the Expendable Trust Funds of the State (other than the Second Injury Fund) in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

## NOTE 2: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

## Financial Reporting Entity

The Fund is an expendable trust fund type. Expendable trust funds are accounted for using a current financial re sources measurement focus and a modified accrual basis of accounting. Under the current financial resources measurement focus, only current assets and liabilities are normally included in the balance sheet. Fund balance repre
sents a measure of "available spendable resources". Under the modified accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recorded when they are susceptible to accrual (i.e., both measurable and available). The word "available" means that the revenue is collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay current period liabilities. Expenditures are recorded when the related fund liability is incurred. Principal and interest on general longterm debt are recorded as expenditures when due.

The accompanying financial statements of SIF have been prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles as prescribed in pronouncements of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB).

## Assets and Liabilities

Cash and Cash Equivalents consist of funds in bank checking accounts and deposits held by the State General Fund in the Treasury Business Office account. Cash equivalents include investments in the State of Connecticut Short-Term Investment Fund (STIF).

## Funds Held by Trustee

Consist of funds in various accounts held by the United States Trust Company of New York in conjunction with the Indenture of Trust dated October 1, 1996 covering 1996 Series A Special Assessment Second Injury Fund Revenue Bonds (See Note 3). During the fiscal year, these accounts included a Special Pledged Account, Cost of Issuance Account, Operating Reserve Account, Redemption SubSubaccount, Debt Service Reserve Sub-Subaccount, Principal Sub-Sub-Sub account and Interest Sub-Sub-Sub account.

## Accounts Receivable for Assessments

Assessment receivables are recorded inclusive of interest due, and which result from amounts billed in accordance with the following statute:
C.G.S. 31-354 Assessments: SIF's primary source of revenue is from the levying of assessments against self-insured and insured Connecticut employers. Insurance carriers who insure Connecticut employers are responsible to collect the assessments from employers and submit the revenue to SIF. (See Note 6).

## Other Accounts Receivable

Other receivables are recorded inclusive of interest due, and result from amounts paid in accordance with the following statutes:
C.G.S. 31-301 Appeal Cases: This statute provides for the payment of indemnity (loss wages) and medical benefits to a claimant while their claims are under appeal. U pon a de-
cision in the appeal, the claimant (in cases of denial of compensation) or insurer (in cases of aw ard of compensation) must reimburse the Second Injury Fund for monies expended during the appeal process. This statute w as repealed with passage of P.A. 95-277 for appeals filed on injuries occurring after July 1, 1995. During fiscal 2000, there were no benefits paid for appeals cases.
C.G.S. $31-355$ N on Compliance: This statute mandates that SIF pay indemnity and medical benefits for claimants whose employers fail to or are unable to pay the compensation, most common examples of which are where employers did not carry worker's compensation insurance or are bankrupt.

Appeal Cases and Non Compliance transactions are re corded as claims expenses when paid by the Fund. Concurrently, the Fund seeks recovery of the amounts paid from the party statutorily responsible and a receivable is established. Recoveries are recorded as revenue when cash is received.

The Fund records other receivables for penalties and citations and certain other payments made under other statutes where the Fund has a right to seek reimbursement. Revenue is recorded for these receivables when cash is received.

## Allow ance for Uncollectible Accounts

The allow ance for uncollectible receivable accounts represents those amounts not available to fund current liabilities.

The Allowance for Uncollectible Accounts is detailed as follows:

| - Non Compliance | $\$ 34,136,613$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| - Appeal | $1,579,812$ |
| - Assessments | $2,943,363$ |
| - Other | $1,296,720$ |
| Total | $\$ 39,956,508$ |

## Prepaid Expenses

Prepaid expenses represent funds drawn by the Attorney General's Office from SIF funds for which expenditures have not yet been reported.

## Stipulations

Stipulations are negotiated settlements of future liability charged to claims paid expense when checks are issued. An accrual is made for stipulations committed as of the balance sheet date and paid within sixty days thereafter. (See Note 7)

## Claimant Benefits Payable

Represents indemnity and medical payments charged to claims paid expense when checks are issued. An accrual is made for claimant benefits incurred as of the balance sheet date and paid within sixty days thereafter.

## NOTE 3: SPECIAL ASSESSM ENT REVENUE BONDS

On November 7, 1996, the State issued $\$ 100$ million of Second Injury Fund Special Assessment Revenue Bonds. The bonds were issued in an effort to reduce long-term liabilities by settling claims on a one-time lump sum basis (referred to as "Stipulation"), The bonds will be payable entirely from SIF future assessment revenue, and the State of Connecticut has no contingent obligation either directly or indirectly for the payment of such bonds. Issuance costs are reported as expenditures of the period in which incurred.

A portion of the remaining bonds outstanding mature on January 1 of each year through 2012 and bear fixed interest rates ranging from $5.00 \%$ to $6.00 \%$. On January 1,2000 , $\$ 5,100,000$ of bonds was retired. At June 30, 2000, amounts needed to pay principal and the respective interest rates payable on those amounts were as follows:

| January 1, | Principal | Interest Rate |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2001 | $5,330,000$ | $5.00 \%$ |
| 2002 | $5,595,000$ | $5.00 \%$ |
| 2003 | $5,875,000$ | $5.50 \%$ |
| 2004 | $6,195,000$ | $5.00 \%$ |
| 2005 | $6,505,000$ | $5.50 \%$ |
| $2006-2012$ | $\underline{56,580,000}$ | $5.10-6.00 \%$ |
|  | $\underline{\underline{\$ 86,080,000}}$ |  |

The Trustee for these bonds is United States Trust Company of New York who holds the accounts as required by the bond indenture. Assessment income is wired to the trustee monthly. Quarterly, the trustee wires operating funds to the Second Injury Fund based on the operating fund budget.

At June 30, 2000, the Trustee Accounts included the following:

| Debt Service Reserve Sub-Subaccount | $\$ 10,000,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Principal Sub-Sub-Sub account | $3,997,500$ |
| Interest Sub-Sub-Sub account | $3,404,902$ |
| Special Pledged Account | 351,831 |
| Amount Available for Debt Service | $17,754,233$ |
| Operating Reserve Account | $\underline{4,451,414}$ |
| Total Restricted | $\underline{\$ 22,205,647}$ |

## NOTE 4: BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES (BANS)

Pursuant to authorization by the State Bond Commission, the Bond Indenture allows for periodic issuance of subordinated Bond Anticipation Notes (BANs) in the form of commercial paper. The State intends on replacing these BANs with long term bonds in the first half of fiscal year 2001, and has entered into a Revolving Credit Agreement that ensures that the BANs can be refinanced on a longterm basis. Interest expense is accrued on the outstanding BANs to June 30, 2000 if the maturity date of the BAN is within sixty days after year-end. Issuance costs are reported as expenditures of the period in which incurred. The following table details the activity during the fiscal year:

| Balance as of June 30, 1999 | $\$ 160,000,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| New issues | $20,000,000$ |
| Sub-total | $180,000,000$ |
| Rollovers | $874,400,000$ |
| Maturities | $\underline{(899,400,000)}$ |
| Net Retirements | $\underline{\underline{\$ 155,000,000)}}$ |
| Balance as of June 30, 2000,000 |  |

The following table provides information regarding the outstanding BANs at June 30, 2000:

| Issue Date | Interest <br> Rate |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Amount | Maturity Date |  |  |
| May 2, 2000 | $\$$ | 500,000 | $4.15 \%$ |
| May 2, 2000 | $3,000,000$ | $4.15 \%$ | July 05, 2000 |
| June 9, 2000 | $10,000,000$ | $4.05 \%$ | July 11, 20000 |
| June 01, 2000 | $3,000,000$ | $4.05 \%$ | July 12, 2000 |
| June 7, 2000 | $5,500,000$ | $3.90 \%$ | August 14, 2000 |
| May 2, 2000 | $11,000,000$ | $4.20 \%$ | September 12, 2000 |
| April 11, 2000 | $11,500,000$ | $3.95 \%$ | September 13, 2000 |
| May 2, 2000 | $22,800,000$ | $4.20 \%$ | September 13, 2000 |
| May 9, 2000 | $3,000,000$ | $4.60 \%$ | September 13, 2000 |
| May 10, 2000 | $3,000,000$ | $4.50 \%$ | September 13, 2000 |
| May 12, 2000 | $8,400,000$ | $4.55 \%$ | September 13, 2000 |
| May 16, 2000 | $5,000,000$ | $4.50 \%$ | September 13, 2000 |
| May 17, 2000 | $7,000,000$ | $4.45 \%$ | September 13, 2000 |
| May 19, 2000 | $8,200,000$ | $4.45 \%$ | September 13, 2000 |
| May 25, 2000 | $14,900,000$ | $4.45 \%$ | September 13, 2000 |
| April 10, 2000 | $14,700,000$ | $3.90 \%$ | September 14, 2000 |
| May 1, 2000 | $6,000,000$ | $4.10 \%$ | September 14, 2000 |
| May 9, 2000 | $7,000,000$ | $4.60 \%$ | September 14, 2000 |
| May 10, 2000 | $2,000,000$ | $4.60 \%$ | September 14, 2000 |
| May 15, 2000 | $6,500,000$ | $4.55 \%$ | September 14, 2000 |
| May 16, 2000 | $2,000,000$ | $4.55 \%$ | September 14, 2000 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | $\$ 155,000,000$ |  |  |

## NOTE 5: NOTIFICATION FEES

P.A. 95-277 mandated that a $\$ 2,000$ notification fee accompany any new claims presented to the Second Injury Fund for transfer into the Fund. If the W orker's Compensation Commission approves the claim for transfer to the Fund, $\$ 1,500$ of that fee is refunded to the carrier or self-insured employer seeking transfer of the claim. If the claim is not transferred, no part of the fee is refunded.

W hen received, $\$ 1,500$ of the notification fee is recorded as a liability (deferred income) with the remaining $\$ 500$ (which represents the Fund's minimum fee income per claim) recorded as income. W hen the claim is either transferred or denied transfer by the Commission, the $\$ 1,500$ is both refunded and recorded as a decrease to deferred income or recorded as income with a corresponding decrease to deferred income respectively.

## NOTE 6: ASSESSMENTS

The assessment method for carriers paying on behalf of insured employers is on an actual premium basis. The premium surcharge, which is paid by insured employers through their worker's compensation insurance carrier within 45 days of the close of a quarter, is the premium surcharge rate multiplied by the employer's standard premium on all policies with an effective date for that quarter. The premium surcharge is set yearly based on the Fund's budgetary needs prior to the start of the fiscal year. During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2000, quarterly assessments were received from insured employers as follow s:

| Assessment <br> Date | Amount | Assessment <br> Rate | Annualized <br> Period Covered |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $08 / 15 / 99$ | $14,189,948$ | $10.0 \%$ | $04 / 01 / 99-06 / 30 / 99$ |
| $11 / 15 / 99$ | $18,173,823$ | $10.0 \%$ | $07 / 01 / 99-09 / 30 / 99$ |
| $02 / 15 / 00$ | $11,430,121$ | $10.0 \%$ | $10 / 01 / 99-12 / 31 / 99$ |
| $05 / 15 / 00$ | $19,306,234$ | $10.0 \%$ | $01 / 01 / 00-03 / 31 / 00$ |
|  | $\underline{\underline{63,100,126}}$ |  |  |

The Fund implemented Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 33, "Accounting and Financial Reporting for Nonexchange Transactions", early for reporting purposes which affected recording of insurance company assessment revenue. An asset (assessment receivable) is recognized in the period in which the assessment was imposed on policyholders and the revenue (assessment revenue) of $\$ 14,304,584$ under the modified accrual basis of accounting has been deferred.

The Fund has started a formal auditing program to examine the assessment information provided by the insurance companies and self-insured employers. Audits of insurance companies conducted in the fiscal year 2000 resulted in the recovery of additional assessment revenue plus interest totaling $\$ 2,560,580$.

The initial annual assessment rate for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2001 has been set at $10.0 \%$.

The method of assessment for self-insured employers is a quarterly billing based on the previous year's paid losses.

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2000, self-insured employers were assessed in the following quarterly amounts:

| Assessment | Annualized <br> Assessment |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | Amount | Rate | Period Covered |
| $07 / 01 / 99$ | $\$ 3,982,380$ | $14.5 \%$ | $07 / 01 / 99-09 / 30 / 99$ |
| $10 / 01 / 99$ | $3,988,765$ | $14.5 \%$ | $10 / 01 / 99-12 / 31 / 99$ |
| $01 / 01 / 00$ | $3,966,040$ | $14.5 \%$ | $01 / 01 / 00-03 / 31 / 00$ |
| $04 / 01 / 00$ | $4,232,370$ | $14.5 \%$ | $04 / 01 / 00-06 / 31 / 00$ |
|  | $\$ 16,169,555$ |  |  |

The initial annual assessment rate for self-insured employers for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2001 has been set at $14.5 \%$.

## NOTE 7: STIPULATIONS

Some stipulation negotiations include agreements to pay the stipulation in a future period. At June 30, 2000, negotiations were at various stages of completion for stipulations valued at $\$ 5,836,345$. A total of $\$ 3,752,494$ of those stipulations was paid during the months of July and August 2000. Accordingly, an accrual was made to reflect this liability at June 30, 2000.

## NOTE 8: FUTURE CLAIM SAND ASSESSMENTS

Claims are paid as presented, and there is no reserve account maintained for future claim liabilities. Similarly, there is no accrual of assessments not yet made which will ultimately fund such obligations as they arise.

## NOTE 9: SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

By the end of October 2000 the State of Connecticut will issue Special Assessment Second Injury Fund Revenue Bonds, 2000 Series A. The 2000 Series A Bonds will be issued for $\$ 124,100,000$ for the purpose of retiring a portion of the Special Assessment Second Injury Fund Commercial Paper Notes issued for the purpose of funding Stipulations.
ASSETS
Investments, at value (cost \$56,793,999) \$56,862,927
Cash 32,777
Dividends receivable 156,085
Receivable for Program shares sold 83,362
Receivable due from former Program M anager 33,179
TOTAL ASSETS $\quad \overline{\$ 57,168,330}$
LIABILITIES
Due to custodian
Accrued management fee
Accrued management fee
Payable for investments purchased 225,148
Payable for Program shares redeemed
TOTAL LIABILITIES
3,738
PROGRAM EQUITY
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND PROGRAM EQUITY
56,899,146
\$57,168,330

## Seenotesto financial statements.

## CONNECTICUT HIGHER EDUCATION TRUST

## STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS

## FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2000

INVESTMENT INCOME
Income:
Interest \$ 27,530
Dividends
1,378,716
TOTALINCOME
1,406,246

Expenses-Note 3:
$M$ anagement fee
Administration fee
TOTALEXPENSES
Less expenses waived by the advisor
NET EXPENSES
497,866

NET INVESTMENT INCOME
59,186
557,052
$(117,960)$
439,092
967,154

REALIZED AND UNREALIZED GAIN ON INVESTMENTS-Note 4
Net realized gain on investments 4,662,159
Net change in unrealized appreciation on investments
NET REALIZED AND UNREALIZED GAIN ON INVESTMENTS
$\frac{(2,374,721)}{\mathbf{2 , 2 8 7 , 4 3 8}}$

OTHER INCOME
Penalty fees on non-qualified withdrawals
1,270
NET INCREASE IN PROGRAM EQUITY RESULTING FROM OPERATIONS
\$3,255,862

See notes to financial statements.

## FROM OPERATIONS

Net investment income \$ 967,154
Net realized gain on investments 4,662,159
Net change in unrealized appreciation on investments $\quad(2,374,721)$
Penalty fees on non-qualified withdrawals
1,270
3,255,862

FROM ACCOUNT OW NER TRANSACTIONS
Subscriptions
26,394,768
Redemptions
NET INCREASE IN PROGRAM EQUITY RESULTING FROM ACCOUNT OW NER TRANSACTIONS

22,345,827
OTHER TRANSACTIONS- NOTES 3 AND 5
Excess escrow payment from Program Manager 95,497
M anagement and administration fees returned by former Program M anager 105,021
Other fees returned by former Program Manager
NET INCREASE IN PROGRAM EQUITY RESULTING FROM OTHER TRANSACTIONS 263,871

NET INCREASE IN PROGRAM EQUITY
PROGRAM EQUITY:
Beginning of year
End of year

31,033,586
\$56,899,146

Seenotesto financial statements.

## NOTE 1- ORGANIZATION

The Connecticut Higher Education Trust Program (the "Program") was formed on July 1,1997 by Connecticut law, to help people save for the costs of education after high school. The Program is administered by the Treasurer of the State of Connecticut, as trustee of the Connecticut Higher Education Trust (the "Trust"). The Trustee has the authority to enter into contracts for program management services, adopt regulations for the administration of the Program and establish investment policies for the Program. TIAA-CREF Tuition Financing, Inc. ("TFI"), an indirect subsidiary of Teachers Insurance and Annuity Association of America ("TIAA"), and the Trustee have entered into a M anagement Agreement under which TFI serves as Program M anager. The Program is operated in a manner such that it is exempt from registration as an investment company under the Investment Company Act of 1940. Prior to December 17, 1999, Collegiate Capital Group, Inc. ("Collegiate Capital") was the Program Manager.

An individual participating in the Program establishes an ACcount in the name of a Beneficiary. Contributions are allocated among ten age bands based on the age of the beneficiary. Each age band invests in varying percentages, as determined by the Trustee, in the Equity Index, International Equity, Bond and Money Market Funds of the TIAA-CREF Institutional Mutual Funds.

Teachers Advisors, Inc. ("Advisors"), an affiliate of TFI, is registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") as an investment adviser and provides investment advisory services to the TIAA-CREF Institutional Mutual Funds. Teachers Personal Investor Services, Inc. ("TPIS"), an affiliate of TFI, and TIAA-CREF Individual \& Institutional Services, Inc. ("Services"), also an affiliate of TFI, both of which are registered with the Commission as broker-dealers and are members of the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc., provide the telephone counseling, marketing and information services required of TFI.

Prior to March 13, 2000, the Trust consisted of five agebands managed by four professional money managers that invested in a core equity fund, small-cap portfolio, international growth and an institutional bond and short-term bond mutual funds based on the age of the Beneficiary.
On March 13, 2000, the Program was reorganized into ten age bands, which group beneficiaries into two year periods according to their date of birth. A larger percentage of contributions are allocated to equity investments in the early years of the Beneficiary's life. As the Beneficiary approaches age 18 , a de
clining percentage of the funds held in the Account will be allocated to equity investments, and an increasing percentage of the funds hedd in the Account will be allocated to bond and monex market investments.

## NOTE 2- SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The preparation of financial statements may require management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, income, expenses and related disclosures. Actua results may differ from those estimates. The following is a summary of the significant accounting policies followed by the Program, which are in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

V aluation of Investments: The market value of the investments in mutual funds is based on the net asset values of the funds as of the close of business on the valuation date.

A ccounting for Investments: Securities transactions are accounted for as of the date the securities are purchased or sold (trade date). Interest income is recorded as earned. Dividend income is recorded on the ex-dividend date. Realized gains and losses are based upon the specific identification method.

Penalty Fees: Penalty fees on non-qualified withdraw als are retained by the Program and may be applied to the payment, or reimbursement, of the direct or indirect expenses of the Program.

Federal Income Tax: No provision for federal income tax has been made. The Program is established to be a qualified state tuition program under Section 529 of the Internal Revenue Code, which is exempt from federal and state income tax, and does not expect to have any unrelated business income subject to tax.

## NOTE 3- MANAGEMENT AGREEMENTS

For its services as Program Manager, TFI is paid an annual fee of approximately $0.57 \%$ of the average daily net assets of the Program. In addition, Advisors is paid a fee for the investment management services it provides to the TIAA-CREF Institutional Mutual Funds inclusive of the Program's investment included therein. Total management fees paid to TFI directly from the Program for the period March 13, 2000 to June 30,2000 were $\$ 90,925$ and the investment management fees paid to Advisors from Program investments in the TIAA-CREF Institutional Mutual Funds for the same period were $\$ 29,285$. In addition, TFI received investment management fees of $\$ 111407$ and administration fees of $\$ 19,822$ from the Program subse quent to its appointment as Program $M$ anager and prior to the reorganization of the Program into the age bands. Telephone counsel-
ing, marketing and information services required of TFI are provided by TPIS and Services in accordance with a Distribution Agreement between TFI, TPIS and Services.

For its services as Program M anager for the period July 1, 1999 to December 17, 1999, Collegiate Capital earned \$216,802 consisting of $\$ 177,534$ for management fees and $\$ 39,268$ for administration fees. Collegiate Capital was paid $\$ 111,781$ for its services for the period July 1, 1999 to September 30, 1999. As part of the change in Program M anager, Collegiate Capital's unpaid fee of $\$ 105,021$ ( $\$ 216,802$ less $\$ 111,781$ ) for the period October 1, 1999 to December 17, 1999 was assigned by them back to the Program.

## NOTE 4- INVESTMENTS

At June 30, 2000, net unrealized appreciation of portfolio investments was $\$ 68,928$, consisting of gross unrealized appreciation of $\$ 781,002$ and gross unrealized depreciation of $\$ 712,074$.

Purchases and sales of portfolio securities for the period M arch 13,2000 to June 30, 2000 were $\$ 57,006,460$ and $\$ 209,547$, respectively.

As of June 30, 2000, the Program's investments consist of the follow ing:

|  | COST |  | VALUE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| TIAA-CREF Institutional M utual Funds: |  |  |  |
| International Equity Fund | $\$, 565,853$ |  | $\$ 4,853,780$ |
| Equity Index Fund | $21,408,709$ | $21,971,924$ |  |
| Bond Fund | $25,186,654$ |  | $25,404,440$ |
| M oney M arket Fund | $4,395,944$ | $4,395,944$ |  |
| TIAA-CR EF M utual Funds: |  |  |  |
| M oney M arket Fund | $\underline{236,839}$ | 236,839 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## NOTE 5- REORGANIZATION AND NEW PROGRAM MANAGER

At December 17, 1999, TFI replaced Collegiate Capital as Program Manager. In addition, at March 13, 2000, the Program was reorganized into ten age bands. Prior to the reorganization, all investments of the Program were liquidated and the net cash was allocated among the ten age bands as described in Note 1

As required by the Escrow Agreement entered into by TFI, Collegiate Capital and the Program, on December 17, 1999, TFI made a payment of $\$ 1,087,000$ to an escrow account to cover various unpaid liabilities of Collegiate Capital relating to the Program. Upon payment of these liabilities, \$95,497 remained and was remitted to the Program.

In addition, Collegiate Capital returned to the Program certain fees totaling $\$ 63,353$, representing fees received from the professional money managers that managed the Program assets prior to March 13, 2000.

## CONNECTICUT HIGHER EDUCATION TRUST

## REPORT OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS

To the Account Owners and Trustee of Connecticut Higher Education Trust Program:

We have audited the accompanying statement of financial condition of the Connecticut Higher Education Trust Program (the "Program") as of June 30, 2000, and the related statements of operations and changes in program equity for the year then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Program's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. Our procedures included confirmation of securities owned as of June 30, 2000, by correspondence with the custodian. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Connecticut Higher Education Trust Program at June 30, 2000, and the results of its operations and the changes in its program equity for the year then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic financial statements taken as a whole. The accompanying Supplemental Information is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in our audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.


August 31, 2000

| Face |  | Maturity <br> Date | $\underline{\text { Yield }}$ | Value <br> (Note 1) |  | Ratings (a) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Standard |
| Amount |  |  |  |  |  | Moody's | \& Poor's |
| Tax Exempt Investments (14.54\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$ 2,000,000 | Kentucky Interlocal School TRAN | 06/29/01 | 4.34\% | \$ | 2,011,360 |  | SP-1+ |
| 1,500,000 | Michigan Municipal Bond Authority RB - Series 2000B-1 | 07/02/01 | 4.29 |  | 1,509,315 |  | SP-1+ |
| 1,000,000 | New Hampshire Health \& Education Facility Authority RAN (Little Hospital) - Series E |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LOC LaSalle National Bank | 05/17/01 | 4.74 |  | 1,005,811 |  | SP-1+ |
| 2,000,000 | Ohio School Districts Cash Flow TAN | 06/29/01 | 4.41 |  | 2,012,800 | MIG-1 |  |
| 2,500,000 | State of Oregon Housing \& Community Services Mortgage RB | 06/21/01 | 4.50 |  | 2,500,000 | MIG-1 |  |
| 5,000,000 | State of Texas TRAN | 08/31/00 | 3.64 |  | 5,006,231 | MIG-1 | SP-1+ |
| 3,000,000 | State of Texas TRAN | 08/31/00 | 3.84 |  | 3,002,473 | MIG-1 | SP-1+ |
| 2,900,000 | University of Cincinnati General Receipts BAN - Series AE-2 | 10/05/00 | 3.93 |  | 2,903,626 | MIG-1 | SP-1+ |
| 1,100,000 | University of Cincinnati General Receipts BAN - Series AK1 | 12/21/00 | 3.84 |  | 1,101,727 | MIG-1 | SP-1+ |
| 1,000,000 | University of Cincinnati General Receipts BAN - Series AL | 12/21/00 | 3.84 |  | 1,001,570 | MIG-1 | SP-1+ |
| 4,050,000 | Whitman-Hanson Regional School, MA BAN | 10/13/00 | 4.14 |  | 4,054,416 | MIG-1 |  |
| 1,350,000 | Wisconsin School Districts Cash Flow - Series A2 | 09/28/00 | 3.74 |  | 1,350,000 | MIG-1 |  |
| $\underline{2,800,000}$ | Wisconsin School Districts Cash Flow - Series B2 | 11/01/00 | 3.92 |  | 2,800,000 | MIG-1 |  |
| 30,200,000 | Total Tax Exempt Investments |  |  |  | 30,259,329 |  |  |
| Variable Rate Demand Instruments (b) (67.83\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$ 3,500,000 | Bartow County, GA Development Authroity PCRB (Georgia Power Company Plant Bowen Project) | 03/01/24 | 4.55\% | \$ | 3,500,000 | VMIG-1 | A1+ |
| 1,365,000 | Bloomington, IL Normal Airport Authority - Series 1995A | 01/01/13 | 4.80 |  | 1,365,000 | VMIG-1 |  |
| 700,000 | Burke County, GA Development Authority PCRB (Georgia Power Company Vogtle $2^{\text {nd }}$ - Series) | 04/01/25 | 4.55 |  | 700,000 | VMIG-1 | A1 |
| 5,000,000 | Burlington, KS Environmental Agency (Power and Light) | 09/01/15 | 4.90 |  | 5,000,000 | VMIG-1 | A1 |
| 2,975,000 | Capital Realty Investment MHRB COPS - Series 1996 LOC Swiss Bank | 12/01/04 | 5.05 |  | 2,975,000 | VMIG-1 | A1+ |
| 3,650,000 | City \& County of Denver, CO Refunding MHRB (Cottonwood Creek Project) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LOC General Electric Capital Corporation | 04/15/14 | 5.00 |  | 3,650,000 |  | A1+ |
| 2,000,000 | Columbia, AL IDRB PCRB <br> (Alabama Power Company Project) - Series A | 11/01/21 | 4.55 |  | 2,000,000 | VMIG-1 | A1+ |
| 5,700,000 | Commonwealth of Puerto Rico | 07/30/00 | 4.75 |  | 5,700,000 | VMIG-1 |  |
| 2,800,000 | Cuyahoga, OH HRB (Cleveland Clinic) - Series 1997C | 01/01/16 | 4.80 |  | 2,800,000 | VMIG-1 | A1+ |
| 5,000,000 | Dallas Area Rapid Transit (North Central Light) LOC Dexia CLF | 01/05/05 | 4.75 |  | 5,000,000 | VMIG-1 | A1+ |
| 400,000 | DeKalb County, GA Development Authority IDRB (Pet Inc. Project) LOC Credit Suisse First Boston | 02/01/02 | 4.85 |  | 400,000 | Pl |  |

## See accompanying Notes to the Financial Statements.



## See accompanying Notes to the Financial Statements.

## STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS (Continued) JUNE 30, 2000



## See accompanying Notes to the Financial Statements.

TAX EXEM PT PROCEEDS FUND, INC.
STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS (Continued)
JUNE 30, 2000


Revenue Bond ( $0.96 \%$ )
\$ 2,000,000 State of Oregon Housing and Community Services Single Family Housing Collateralized by U.S. Government Agencies
2,000,000 Total Revenue Bond
Tax Exempt Commercial Paper (9.66\%)

$\dagger$ Aggregate cost for Federal income tax purposes is identical.

See accompanying Notes to the Financial Statements.

## FOOTNOTES:

(a) Unless the variable rate demand instruments are assigned their own ratings, the ratings are those of the holding company of the bank whose letter of credit guarantees the issue or the insurance company who insures the issue. All letters of credit and insurance are irrevocable and direct pay covering both principal and interest. Certain issuers have either a line of credit, a liquidity facility, a standby purchase agreement or some other financing mechanism to ensure the remarketing of the securities. This is not a guarantee and does not serve to insure or collateralize the issue. Ratings have not been audited by Deloitte \& Touche LLP.
(b) Securities payable on demand at par including accrued interest (usually with seven days notice) and where indicated are unconditionally secured as to principal and interest by a bank letter of credit. The interest rates are adjustable and are based on bank prime rates or other interest rate adjustment indices. The rate shown is the rate in effect at the date of this statement.
(c) Maturity date indicated is the next put date.

KEY:

| BAN | $=$ Bond Anticipation Note |
| :--- | :--- |
| COPS | $=$ Certificates of Participation |
| EDFA | $=$ Economic Development Finance Authority |
| FGIC | $=$ Financial Guarantee Insurance Company |
| GO | $=$ General Obligations |
| HFA | $=$ Housing Finance Authority |
| HEFA | $=$ Health and Education Facilities Authority |
| HRB | $=$ Hospital Revenue Bond |
| IDA | $=$ Industrial Development Authority |


| IDRB | $=$ Industrial Development Revenue Bond |
| :--- | :--- |
| LOC | $=$ Letter of Credit |
| MHRB | $=$ Multifamily Housing Revenue Bond |
| PCRB | $=$ Pollution Control Revenue Bond |
| RAN | $=$ Revenue Anticipation Note |
| RB | $=$ Revenue Bond |
| TAN | $=$ Tax Anticipation Note |
| TRAN | $=$ Tax and Revenue Anticipation Note |

See accompanying $N$ otes to the Financial Statements.

| INVESTMENT INCOME |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interestincome | \$ | 7,647,078 |
| Expenses (Note 2) | ( | 833,233) |
| Net investment income |  | 6,813,845 |
| REALIZED GAIN (LOSS) ON INVESTMENTS |  |  |
| Net realized gain (loss) on investments | ( | 15,960) |
| Net increase in net assets from operations | \$ | 6,797,885 |

See accompanying Notes to the Financial Statements.

|  | Year <br> Ended <br> June 30,2000 | Year <br> Ended <br> June 30, 1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

## INCREASE (DECREASE) IN NET ASSETS

Operations:

| Net investment income | \$ | 6,813,845 | \$ | 5,589,202 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net realized gain (loss) on investments .................................................... | ( | 15,960) |  | 49 |
| Net increase in net assets from operations ................................................ |  | 6,797,885 |  | 5,589,251 |
| Dividends to shareholders from net investment income | ( | 6,813,845) | ( | 5,589,202) |
| Net increase (decrease) from capital share transactions (Note 3) ......................... |  | 18,650,674 | ( | 2,480,032) |
| Total increase (decrease) in net assets ............................................. |  | 18,634,714 | ( | 2,479,983) |

Net assets:

| Beginning of year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| $189,535,824$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | $208,170,538$ |  |
|  |  | $\$ 189,535,824$ |

## TAX EXEM PT PROCEEDS FUND, INC.

## NOTESTO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## 1. Summary of Accounting Policies

Tax Exempt Proceeds Fund, Inc. is a no-load, diversified, open-end management investment company registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940. This Fund is a short term, tax exempt money market fund. Its financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for investment companies as follows:

## a) Valuation of Securities -

Investments are valued at amortized cost. Under this valuation method, a portfolio instrument is valued at cost and any discount or premium is amortized on a constant basis to the maturity of the instrument. The maturity of variable rate demand instruments is deemed to be the longer of the period required before the Fund is entitled to receive payment of the principal amount or the period remaining until the next interest rate adjustment.

## b) Federal Income Taxes -

It is the Fund's policy to comply with the requirements of the Internal Revenue Code applicable to regulated investment companies and to distribute all of its tax exempt and taxable income, if any, to its shareholders. Therefore, no provision for federal income tax is required.

## c) Dividends and Distributions -

Dividends from investment income (excluding capital gains and losses, if any, and amortization of market discount) are declared daily and paid monthly. Distributions of net capital gains, if any, realized on sales of investments are made after the close of the Fund's fiscal year, as declared by the Fund's Board of Directors.

## d) Use of Estimates -

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that effect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of increases and decreases in net assets from operations during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

## e) General -

Securities transactions are recorded on a trade date basis. Interest income is accrued as earned. Realized gains and losses from securities transactions are recorded on the identified cost basis.

## 2. Investment Management Fees and Other Transactions with Affiliates

Under the Investment Management Contract, the Fund pays an investment management fee to Reich \& Tang Asset Management, L.P. (the "Manager") at the annual rate of 40 of $1 \%$ per annum of the Fund's average daily net assets up to $\$ 250$ million; 35 of $1 \%$ per annum of the average daily net assets between $\$ 250$ million and $\$ 500$ million; and .30 of $1 \%$ per annum of the average daily net assets over $\$ 500$ million. The Management Contract also provides that the Manager will bear the cost of all other expenses of the Fund. Therefore, the fee payable under the Management Contract will be the only expense of the Fund.

Pursuant to a Distribution Plan adopted under Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 12b-1, the Fund and the Manager have entered into a Distribution Agreement. The Fund's Board of Directors has adopted the plan in case certain expenses of the Fund are deemed to constitute indirect payments by the Fund for distribution expenses.

## 3. Capital Stock

At June 30, 2000, 20,000,000,000 shares of $\$ .001$ par value stock were authorized and paid in capital amounted to $\$ 208,191,148$. Transactions in capital stock, all at $\$ 1.00$ per share, were as follows:

|  | Year Ended June 30, 2000 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Year Ended } \\ \text { June 30, } 1999 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sold ........................................................... | 695,818,387 | 550,776,547 |
| Issued on reinvestment of dividends | 1,772,803 | 1,686,931 |
| Redeemed. | ( 678,940,516) | ( 554,943,510) |
| Net increase (decrease) .................................... | 18,650,674 | ( 2,480,032) |

## 4. Liabilities

At June 30, 2000, the Fund had the following liabilities:
Payables for securities purchases
$\$ 13,535,408$
Accrued management fee $\qquad$ 6,802

Dividends payable $\qquad$

| 526,736 |
| ---: |
| $\$ \quad 14,068,946$ |

## 5. Sales of Securities

Accumulated undistributed realized losses at June 30, 2000 amounted to $\$ 20,610$. This amount represents tax basis capital losses which may be carried forward to offset future gains. Such losses expire through June 30, 2007.

## 6. Financial Highlights

|  | Year Ended June 30, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underline{2000}$ |  | 1999 |  | 1998 |  | 1997 |  | 1996 |  |
| Per Share Operating Performance: <br> (for a share outstanding throughout the year) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net asset value, beginning of year ........ | \$ | 1.00 | \$ | 1.00 | \$ | 1.00 | \$ | 1.00 | \$ | 1.00 |
| Income from investment operations: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net investment income |  | 0.033 |  | 0.029 |  | 0.033 |  | 0.032 |  | 0.033 |
| Lessdistributions: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dividends from net investment income |  | 0.033) | ( | 0.029) |  | 0.033) | ( | 0.032) |  | 0.033) |
| Net asset value, end of year ................. | \$ | 1.00 | \$ | 1.00 | \$ | 1.00 | \$ | 1.00 | \$ | 1.00 |
| TotalReturn .................................... |  | 3.30\% |  | 2.92\% |  | 3.31\% |  | 3.23\% |  | 3.31\% |
| Ratios/SupplementalData |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net assets, end of year (000) ............... | \$ | 208,171 | \$ | 189,536 | \$ | 192,016 | \$ | 199,050 | \$ | 254,251 |
| Ratios to average net assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Expenses ....................................... |  | 0.40\% |  | 0.40\% |  | 0.40\% |  | 0.40\% |  | 0.40\% |
| Net investment income ..................... |  | 3.29\% |  | 2.89\% |  | 3.26\% |  | 3.18\% |  | 3.26\% |

## To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of Tax Exempt Proceeds Fund, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying statement of net assets of Tax Exempt Proceeds Fund, Inc. (the "Fund") as of June 30, 2000 and the related statements of operations and changes in net assets, and the financial highlights for the year then ended. These financial statements and financial highlights are the responsibility of the Fund's management. Our responsibility is the express an opinion on these financial statements and financial highlights based on our audit. The statement of changes in net assets for the year ended June 30, 1999 and the financial highlights for each of the four years in the period then ended were audited by other auditors whose report, dated July 23, 1999, expressed an unqualified opinion on those statements and financial highlights.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements and financial highlights are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. Our procedures included confirmation of securities owned as of June 30, 2000, by correspondence with the custodian. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such financial statements and financial highlights present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Tax Exempt Proceeds Fund, Inc. as of June 30, 2000, the results of its operations, changes in its net assets, and its financial highlights for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Deloitte \& Touche LLP
New York, New York
July 28, 2000

## COM BINED INVESTMENT FUNDS

 total net asset value by pension plans and trust funds| Retirement Funds | Net Asset Value |
| :--- | ---: |
|  | $\$ 11,940,222,779$ |
| State Employees Retirement Fund | $8,284,938,346$ |
| Connecticut Municipal Employees' Retirement Fund | $1,373,221,508$ |
| State Judges Retirement Fund | $141,210,024$ |
| The Probate Court Retirement Fund | $70,761,332$ |
| State's Attorney Retirement Fund | 901,703 |
|  |  |
| Non-retirement Trust Funds | $57,517,049$ |
| Soldiers, Sailors and Marines' Fund | $18,059,624$ |
| Policemen and Firemen Survivors' Retirement Fund | $12,438,900$ |
| Connecticut Arts Endowment Fund | $9,464,923$ |
| School Fund | $2,116,446$ |
| The Ida Eaton Cotton Fund | $1,754,903$ |
| Hopemead Fund | 994,214 |
| Andrew C. Clark Fund | 622,247 |
| Agricultural College Fund |  |
|  |  |
| TOTAL | $\underline{\mathbf{\$ 2 1 , 9 1 4 , 2 2 3 , 9 9 8}}$ |

## PENSION FUNDS MANAGEMENT DIVISION

## COM BINED INVESTMENT FUNDS <br> STATEM ENT OF INVESTMENT ACTIVITY BY PENSION PLAN FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2000

| Teachers' Retirement Fund | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CASH } \\ & \text { RESERVE } \\ & \text { FUND } \end{aligned}$ | MUTUAL EQUITY FUND | MUTUAL <br> FIXED <br> INCOME <br> FUND | INTERNATIONAL STOCK FUND |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { REAL } \\ & \text { ESTATE } \\ & \text { FUND } \end{aligned}$ | COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE FUND |  | L PRIVATE INVESTMENT FUND |  | TOTALS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Book Value at June 30, 1999 | \$ 104,344,428 | \$ 1,117,610,911 | \$3,093,274,342 | \$ | 741,104,511 \$ | \$ 275 | 5,771,703 \$ | 128,973,540\$ | 647, | ,310,700 \$ | 6,10 | 08,390,137 |
| Market Value at June 30, 1999 | \$ 104,344,428 | \$ 5,026,528,501 | \$3,310,192,262 |  | 1,348,027,119 |  | 233,442,185 | \$129,205,331 |  | 641,115,104 |  | 0,792,854,929 |
| Shares Purchased | 617,493,288 |  | 329,475,000 |  |  |  | 52,859,650 |  |  | 391,831,860 |  | 1,391,659,797 |
| Shares Redeemed | $(464,103,679)$ | (584,000,000) | (136,040,000) |  | $(218,368)$ |  | $(13,623,621)$ | $(30,545,180)$ |  | - |  | (1,228,530,848) |
| Returns of Capital | - | - | - |  | - |  | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Gain/(Loss) on Shares Redeemed | 13,335,103 | 455,559,738 | 8,569,852 |  | 98,286 |  | (2,075,394) | $(205,306)$ |  |  |  | 461,947,176 |
| Net Investment Income Earned | 13,335,103 | 52,721,206 | 211,098,678 |  | 18,773,195 |  | 17,783,125 | 11,100,335 |  | 85,871,865 |  | 410,683,507 |
| Net Investment Income Distributed | $(13,335,103)$ | $(52,721,206)$ | $(211,098,678)$ |  | $(18,773,195)$ |  | $(17,783,125)$ | $(11,100,335)$ |  | $(85,871,865)$ |  | (410,683,507) |
| Changes in Market Value of Fund Shares | - | $(56,777,922)$ | $(30,724,463)$ |  | 251,618,836 |  | 7,386,081 | $(2,422,923)$ |  | 353,212,114 |  | 522,291,723 |
| Market Value at June 30, 2000 | \$257,734,037 | \$ 4,841,310,316 | \$3,481,472,652 |  | 1,599,525,873 |  | 277,988,900 | \$ 96,031,923 |  | ,386,159,078 |  | 1,940,222,779 |
| Book Value at June 30, 2000 | 257,734,037 | 989,170,649 | 3,295,279,194 |  | 740,984,430 |  | 12,932,338 | 98,223,055 |  | ,039,142,560 |  | 6,733,466,263 |
| Shares Outstanding | 257,734,037 | 5,324,972 | 32,123,810 |  | 5,887,525 |  | 4,590,625 | 1,312,506 |  | 12,053,014 |  | 319,026,490 |
| Market Value per Share | \$ 1.00 | \$ 909.17 | \$ 108.38 | \$ | 271.68 | \$ | 60.56 | \$ 73.17 | \$ | 115.01 |  |  |
| State Employees' Retirement Fund |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Book Value at June 30, 1999 | \$ 51,957,827 | \$ 788,155,079 | \$2,187,280,572 | \$ | 522,274,560 |  | 94,313,938 | \$ 90,347,404 |  | 455,383,727 | \$ | 4,289,713,106 |
| Market Value at June 30, 1999 | \$ 51,957,827 | \$ 3,454,444,756 | \$2,358,990,115 | \$ | 951,753,245 |  | 64,875,483 | \$ 90,995,471 |  | 451,277,045 | \$ | 7,524,293,945 |
| Shares Purchased | 325,538,990 | - | 234,000,000 |  |  |  | 37,333,699 | - |  | 275,808,074 |  | 872,680,764 |
| Shares Redeemed | $(291,935,086)$ | (380,000,000) | $(96,960,000)$ |  | $(154,175)$ |  | $(9,622,087)$ | $(21,512,061)$ |  | - |  | $(800,183,410)$ |
| Returns of Capital | - | - | - |  | - |  | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Gain/(Loss) on Shares Redeemed | - | 294,240,375 | 6,813,789 |  | 69,551 |  | $(1,443,061)$ | $(28,996)$ |  | - |  | 299,651,657 |
| Net Investment Income Earned | 5,718,731 | 36,384,792 | 150,407,133 |  | 13,254,518 |  | 12,559,860 | 7,817,634 |  | 60,444,686 |  | 286,587,354 |
| Net Investment Income Distributed | $(5,718,731)$ | $(36,384,792)$ | $(150,407,133)$ |  | $(13,254,518)$ |  | (12,559,860) | $(7,817,634)$ |  | (60,444,686) |  | (286,587,354) |
| Changes in Market Value of Fund Shares | - | $(18,549,045)$ | $(22,602,682)$ |  | 177,651,348 |  | 5,193,893 | $(1,821,986)$ |  | 248,623,865 |  | 388,495,392 |
| Market Value at June 30, 2000 | \$ 85,561,731 | \$ 3,350, 136,085 | \$2,480,241,222 |  | 1,129,319,968 |  | 96,337,927 | \$ 67,632,427 | \$ | 975,708,984 | \$ | 8,284,938,346 |
| Book Value at June 30, 2000 | 85,561,731 | 702,395,453 | 2,331,134,361 |  | 522,189,936 |  | 220,582,489 | 68,806,346 |  | 731,191,801 |  | 4,661,862,117 |
| Shares Outstanding | 85,561,731 | 3,684,825 | 22,885,372 |  | 4,156,794 |  | 3,242,266 | 924,359 |  | 8,484,043 |  | 128,939,391 |
| Market Value per Share | \$ 1.00 | \$ 909.17 | 108.38 | \$ | 271.68 | \$ | 60.56 | \$ 73.17 | \$ | 115.01 |  |  |


| Municipal Employees' Retirement Fund |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Book Value at June 30, 1999 | \$ | 35,966,742 | \$ | 120,686,022 | \$ | 344,892,934 | \$ | 80,105,255 | \$ | 30,267,362 | \$ 14,051,881 | \$ | 82,541,096 | \$ | 708,511,292 |
| Market Value at June 30, 1999 | \$ | 35,966,742 | , | 540,722,159 | \$ | 369,712,262 | \$ | 145,965,777 | \$ | 25,813,076 | \$ 14,253,413 | \$ | 80,525,294 | \$ | 1,212,958,725 |
| Shares Purchased |  | 56,042,729 |  |  |  | 34,000,000 |  |  |  | 5,845,003 |  |  | 49,214,837 |  | 145,102,568 |
| Shares Redeemed |  | $(62,920,145)$ |  | $(31,675,000)$ |  | - |  | $(23,645)$ |  | $(1,506,444)$ | (3,369,622) |  |  |  | $(99,494,856)$ |
| Returns of Capital |  |  |  | - - |  | - |  | - |  | - | - |  |  |  | - |
| Gain/(Loss) on Shares Redeemed |  | - |  | 24,681,972 |  | - |  | 10,666 |  | $(218,247)$ | 19,305 |  | - |  | 24,493,695 |
| Net Investment Income Earned |  | 1,533,502 |  | 5,893,949 |  | 24,400,879 |  | 2,032,781 |  | 1,966,384 | 1,224,545 |  | 10,785,673 |  | 47,837,712 |
| Net Investment Income Distributed |  | $(1,533,502)$ |  | $(5,893,949)$ |  | $(24,400,879)$ |  | $(2,032,781)$ |  | $(1,966,384)$ | $(1,224,545)$ |  | (10,785,673) |  | $(47,837,712)$ |
| Changes in Market Value of Fund Shares |  | - |  | 20,596,654 |  | $(2,541,167)$ |  | 27,245,526 |  | 805,482 | $(309,241)$ |  | 44,364,121 |  | 90,161,376 |
| Market Value at June 30, 2000 | \$ | 29,089,326 | \$ | 554,325,785 | \$ | 401,171,095 | \$ | 173,198,323 | \$ | 30,738,870 | \$ 10,593,856 | \$ | 174,104,252 | \$ | 1,373,221,508 |
| Book Value at June 30, 2000 |  | 29,089,326 |  | 113,692,994 |  | 378,892,934 |  | 80,092,276 |  | 34,387,673 | 10,701,564 |  | 131,755,933 |  | 778,612,700 |
| Shares Outstanding |  | 29,089,326 |  | 609,705 |  | 3,701,636 |  | 637,507 |  | 507,612 | 144,790 |  | 1,513,882 |  | 36,204,458 |
| Market Value per Share | \$ | 1.00 | \$ | 909.17 | \$ | 108.38 | \$ | 271.68 | \$ | 60.56 | \$ 73.17 | \$ | 115.01 |  |  |

PENSION FUNDSMANAGEMENT DIVISION

## COM BINED INVESTMENT FUNDS STATEM ENT OF INVESTM ENT ACTIVITY BY PENSION PLAN (Continued) FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2000

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CASH } \\ & \text { RESERVE } \\ & \text { FUND } \end{aligned}$ |  | MUTUAL EQUITY FUND |  | MUTUAL <br> FIXED <br> INCOME FUND |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { INTER- } \\ \text { NATIONAL } \\ \text { STOCK FUND } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | REAL ESTATE FUND | COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE FUND |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PRIVATE } \\ & \text { INVESTMENT } \\ & \text { FUND } \end{aligned}$ |  | TOTALS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Probate Court Retirement Fund |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Book Value at June 30, 1999 | \$ | 1,039,948 | \$ | 6,443,459 | \$ | 18,679,729 | \$ | 4,985,705 | \$ | 1,740,232 | \$ | 833,278 | \$ | 2,320,899 | \$ | 36,043,250 |
| Market Value at June 30, 1999 | \$ | 1,039,948 | \$ | 29,779,095 | \$ | 20,430,058 | \$ | 9,119,113 | \$ | 1,477,044 | \$ | 831,295 | \$ | 2,325,580 | \$ | 65,002,132 |
| Shares Purchased |  | 3,398,204 |  | - |  | 2,100,000 |  | - |  | 334,455 |  | - |  | 1,421,330 |  | 7,253,989 |
| Shares Redeemed |  | $(2,499,983)$ |  | $(3,900,000)$ |  |  |  | $(1,477)$ |  | $(86,200)$ |  | $(196,525)$ |  |  |  | $(6,684,185)$ |
| Returns of Capital |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Gain/(Loss) on Shares Redeemed |  | - |  | 3,065,285 |  | - |  | 669 |  | $(12,901)$ |  | $(2,150)$ |  | - |  | 3,050,904 |
| Net Investment Income Earned |  | 98,592 |  | 309,197 |  | 1,356,804 |  | 126,995 |  | 112,517 |  | 71,420 |  | 311,493 |  | 2,387,017 |
| Net Investment Income Distributed |  | $(98,592)$ |  | $(309,197)$ |  | $(1,356,804)$ |  | $(126,995)$ |  | $(112,517)$ |  | $(71,420)$ |  | $(311,493)$ |  | (2,387,017) |
| Changes in Market Value of Fund Shares |  |  |  | $(736,382)$ |  | $(140,252)$ |  | 1,702,143 |  | 46,502 |  | $(14,760)$ |  | 1,281,241 |  | 2,138,491 |
| Market Value at June 30, 2000 | \$ | 1,938,168 | \$ | 28,207,998 | \$ | 22,389,806 | \$ | 10,820,448 | \$ | 1,758,900 | \$ | 617,860 | \$ | 5,028,152 | \$ | 70,761,332 |
| Book Value at June 30, 2000 |  | 1,938,168 |  | 5,608,744 |  | 20,779,729 |  | 4,984,897 |  | 1,975,587 |  | 634,603 |  | 3,742,230 |  | 39,663,958 |
| Shares Outstanding |  | 1,938,168 |  | 31,026 |  | 206,592 |  | 39,828 |  | 29,046 |  | 8,445 |  | 43,721 |  | 2,296,826 |
| Market Value per Share | \$ | 1.00 | \$ | 909.17 | \$ | 108.38 | \$ | 271.68 | \$ | 60.56 | \$ | 73.17 | \$ | 115.01 |  |  |

Judges' Retirement Fund
Book Value at June 30, 1999
Market Value at June 30, 1999
Shares Purchased
Shares Redeemed
Shares Redeemed
Returns of Capital
Gain/(Loss) on Shares Redeemed
Net Investment Income Earned
Net Investment Income Distributed Changes in Market Value of Fund Shares
Market Value at June 30, 2000
Book Value at June 30, 2000
Shares Outstanding
Market Value per Share

| \$ | 6,299,911 | \$ | 12,521,422 | \$ | 35,923,026 | \$ | 7,985,605 | \$ | 2,860,773 | \$ | 1,380,949 | \$ | 11,399,660 | \$ | 78,371,347 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 6,299,911 | \$ | 50,804,388 | \$ | 38,031,194 | \$ | 14,412,725 | , | 2,382,753 | \$ | 1,404,032 | \$ | 11,001,640 | \$ | 124,336,644 |
|  | 4,250,521 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 539,541 |  |  |  | 6,723,899 |  | 11,513,960 |
|  | $(7,162,915)$ |  | - |  | - |  | $(2,335)$ |  | $(139,057)$ |  | $(331,924)$ |  | - |  | $(7,636,232)$ |
|  | - |  |  |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1,041 |  | $(23,466)$ |  | 2,672 |  | - |  | $(19,753)$ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 257,341 \\ (257,341) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 575,037 \\ (575,037) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 2,376,796 \\ (2,376,796) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 200,718 \\ (200,718) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 181,515 \\ (181,515) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 120,624 \\ (120,624) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1,473,574 \\ (1,473,574) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 5,185,604 \\ (5,185,604) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | - |  | 4,481,642 |  | $(264,104)$ |  | 2,690,248 |  | 77,674 |  | $(31,232)$ |  | 6,061,177 |  | 13,015,405 |
| \$ | 3,387,516 | \$ | 55,286,030 | \$ | 37,767,090 | \$ | 17,101,680 | \$ | 2,837,444 | \$ | 1,043,547 | \$ | 23,786,716 | \$ | 141,210,024 |
|  | 3,387,516 |  | 12,521,422 |  | 35,923,026 |  | 7,984,311 |  | 3,237,790 |  | 1,051,697 |  | 18,123,559 |  | 82,229,322 |
|  | 3,387,516 |  | 60,809 |  | 348,480 |  | 62,948 |  | 46,857 |  | 14,263 |  | 206,832 |  | 4,127,704 |
| \$ | 1.00 | \$ | 909.17 | \$ | 108.38 | \$ | 271.68 | \$ | 60.56 | \$ | 73.17 | \$ | 115.01 |  |  |

State's Attorneys' Retirement Fund
Book Value at June 30, 1999
Market Value at June 30, 1999
Shares Purchased
Shares Redeemed
Returns of Capital
Gain/(Loss) on Shares Redeemed
Net Investment Income Earned
Net Investment Income Distributed Changes in Market Value of Fund Shares
Market Value at June 30, 2000
Book Value at June 30, 2000
Shares Outstanding
Market Value per Share

| $\$$ | 240,781 | $\$$ | 92,347 | $\$$ | 157,239 | $\$$ | - | $\$$ | 5,181 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$$ | 240,781 | $\$$ | 454,548 | $\$$ | 186,054 | $\$$ | - | $\$$ | 4,968 |

## COM BINED INVESTMENT FUNDS <br> STATEM ENT OF INVESTMENT ACTIVITY BY TRUST FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2000



Agricultural College Fund
Book Value at June 30, 1999
Market Value at June 30, 1999
Shares Purchased

| \$ | 40.757 | \$ | 62.061 |  | 206.912 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 309.729 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 40,757 | \$ | 331,652 | \$ | 220,406 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 592,815 |
|  | 20,237 |  |  |  | 20,000 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 40,237 |
|  | $(17,017)$ |  | $(20,000)$ |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | $(37,017)$ |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | 16,298 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 16,298 |
|  | 2,087 |  | 3,612 |  | 14,538 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 20,237 |
|  | $(2,087)$ |  | $(3,612)$ |  | $(14,538)$ |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | $(20,237)$ |
|  | - |  | 11,430 |  | $(1,516)$ |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 9,914 |
| \$ | 43,977 | \$ | 339,380 | \$ | 238,890 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 622,247 |
|  | 43,977 |  | 58,359 |  | 226,912 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 329,247 |
|  | 43,977 |  | 373 |  | 2,204 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 46,555 |
| \$ | 1.00 | \$ | 909.17 | \$ | 108.38 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |

PENSION FUNDSMANAGEMENT DIVISION

## COMBINED INVESTMENT FUNDS <br> STATEMENT OF INVESTMENT ACTIVITY BY TRUST (Continued) FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2000

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CASH } \\ & \text { RESERVE } \\ & \text { FUND } \end{aligned}$ |  | MUTUAL EQUITY FUND |  | MUTUAL FIXED INCOME FUND | INTER- <br> NATIONAL <br> STOCK FUND |  | REAL ESTATE FUND | COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE FUND |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PRIVATE } \\ & \text { INVESTMENT } \\ & \text { FUND } \end{aligned}$ | TOTALS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ida Eaton Cotton Fund |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Book Value at June 30, 1999 | \$ | 113,470 | \$ | 212,656 \$ | 723,347 | \$ | \$ |  | - \$ | - | \$ | \$ | 1,049,474 |
| Market Value at June 30, 1999 | \$ | 113,470 | \$ | 1,124,215\$ | 779,090 | \$ | - \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | - \$ | 2,016,775 |
| Shares Purchased |  | 68,979 |  |  | 60,000 |  | - |  | - | - |  | - | 128,979 |
| Shares Redeemed |  | $(58,530)$ |  | $(60,000)$ | - |  | - |  |  | - |  | - | $(118,530)$ |
| Returns of Capital |  | - |  | - |  |  | - |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| Gain/(Loss) on Shares Redeemed |  | ${ }^{-}$ |  | 48,774 | - ${ }^{-}$ |  | - |  |  | - |  | - | 48,774 |
| Net Investment Income Earned |  | 5,664 |  | 12,297 | 50,977 |  | - |  |  | - |  | - | 68,938 |
| Net Investment Income Distributed |  | $(5,664)$ |  | $(12,297)$ | $(50,977)$ |  | - |  |  | - |  | - | $(68,938)$ |
| Changes in Market Value of Fund Shares |  | - |  | 45,812 | $(5,364)$ |  | - |  | - | - |  | - | 40,448 |
| Market Value at June 30, 2000 | \$ | 123.920 | \$ | 1,158,800 \$ | 833.726 | \$ | - \$ |  | - \$ | - | \$ | - \$ | 2,116,446 |
| Book Value at June 30, 2000 |  | 123,920 |  | 201,429 | 783,347 |  | - |  |  | - |  | - \$ | 1,108,696 |
| Shares Outstanding |  | 123,920 |  | 1,275 | 7,693 |  | - |  | - | - |  | - | $\underline{ }$ |
| Market Value per Share | \$ | 1.00 | \$ | 909.17 \$ | 108.38 | \$ |  |  | - \$ | - | \$ | - |  |
| Andrew Clark Fund |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Book Value at June 30, 1999 | \$ | 43,370 | \$ | 103.041 \$ | 357,231 | \$ | - \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | - \$ | 503,642 |
| Market Value at June 30, 1999 | \$ | 43,370 | \$ | 541,660 \$ | 362,823 | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ | - \$ | 947,854 |
| Shares Purchased |  | 32,275 |  | - | 45,000 |  | - |  | - | - |  | - | 77,275 |
| Shares Redeemed |  | $(27,773)$ |  | $(45,000)$ | - |  | - |  |  | - |  | - | $(72,773)$ |
| Returns of Capital |  | - |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | - |  | - | - |
| Gain/(Loss) on Shares Redeemed |  | - |  | 36,532 | - |  | - |  |  | - |  | - | 36,532 |
| Net Investment Income Earned |  | 2,077 |  | 5,810 | 24,389 |  | - |  |  | - |  | - | 32,275 |
| Net Investment Income Distributed |  | $(2,077)$ |  | $(5,810)$ | $(24,389)$ |  | - |  |  | - |  | - | $(32,275)$ |
| Changes in Market Value of Fund Shares |  |  |  | 7,811 | $(2,484)$ |  | - |  | - | - |  | - | 5,326 |
| Market Value at June 30, 2000 | \$ | 47.872 |  | 541.003 \$ | 405.339 | \$ | - \$ |  | - \$ |  | \$ | - \$ | 994.214 |
| Book Value at June 30, 2000 |  | 47,872 |  | 94,573 | 402,231 |  | - |  | - | - |  | \$ | 544,676 |
| Shares Outstanding |  | 47,872 |  | 595 | 3,740 |  | - |  |  | - |  | - | 52,207 |
| Market Value per Share | \$ | 1.00 | \$ | 909.17 \$ | 108.38 | \$ |  |  | - \$ |  | \$ | - |  |

School Fund
Book Value at June 30, 1999
Market Value at June 30, 1999
Shares Purchased

| \$ | 634.079 | \$ | 986.979 | \$ | 2.981.754 | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | 4.602.812 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 634,079 | \$ | 5,216,288 | \$ | 3,181,789 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | 9,032,156 |
|  | 305,500 |  |  |  | 300,000 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 605,500 |
|  | $(288,090)$ |  | $(300,000)$ |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | $(588,090)$ |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | - |
|  | - |  | 243,852 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 243,852 |
|  | 40,061 |  | 56,899 |  | 210,278 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 307,240 |
|  | $(40,061)$ |  | $(56,899)$ |  | $(210,278)$ |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | $(307,240)$ |
|  | - |  | 193,371 |  | $(21,864)$ |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 171,507 |
| \$ | 651,488 | \$ | 5.353.511 | \$ | 3.459.925 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 9,464,923 |
| \$ | 651,488 | \$ | 930,831 | \$ | 3,281,754 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 4,864,073 |
|  | 651,488 |  | 5,888 |  | 31,925 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 689,301 |
| \$ | 1.00 | \$ | 909.17 | \$ | 108.38 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |

## PENSION FUNDS MANAGEMENT DIVISION

## COM BINED INVESTMENT FUNDS

STATEMENT OF INVESTMENT ACTIVITY BY TRUST (Continued)
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2000

|  | CASHRESERVEFUND |  | MUTUAL <br> EQUITY <br> FUND |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { MUTUAL } \\ & \text { FIXED } \\ & \text { INCOME } \\ & \text { FUND } \end{aligned}$ |  | INTERNATIONAL STOCK FUND |  | REAL ESTATE FUND |  | COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE FUND |  |  | PRIVATE INVESTMENT FUND |  | TOTALS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hopemead Fund |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Book Value at June 30, 1999 | \$ | 700,549 | \$ | 134,254 | \$ | 187,244 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 1,022,047 |
| Market Value at June 30, 1999 | \$ | 700,549 | \$ | 704,505 | \$ | 225,996 | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 1,631,049 |
| Shares Purchased |  | 63,276 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |  | - |  | - |  | 63,276 |
| Shares Redeemed |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Returns of Capital |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Gain/(Loss) on Shares Redeemed |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | - |
| Net Investment Income Earned |  | 41,182 |  | 7,973 |  | 14,122 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 63,276 |
| Net Investment Income Distributed |  | $(41,182)$ |  | $(7,973)$ |  | $(14,122)$ |  | - |  |  |  | - |  | - |  | $(63,276)$ |
| Changes in Market Value of Fund Shares |  | - |  | 62,147 |  | $(1,571)$ |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 60,577 |
| Market Value at June 30, 2000 | \$ | 763,825 | \$ | 766,652 | \$ | 224,425 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 1,754,903 |
| Book Value at June 30, 2000 | \$ | 763,825 | \$ | 134,254 | \$ | 187,244 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 1,085,324 |
| Shares Outstanding |  | 763,825 |  | 843 |  | 2,071 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 766,739 |
| Market Value per Share | \$ | 1.00 | \$ | 909.17 | \$ | 108.38 | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |

Police \& Fireman's Survivors' Benefit Fund
Book Value at June 30, 1999
Market Value at June 30, 1999
Shares Purchased
Shares Redeemed
Returns of Capital
Gain/(Loss) on Shares Redeemed
Net Investment Income Earned
Net Investment Income Distributed Changes in Market Value of Fund Shares

Market Value at June 30, 2000
Book Value at June 30, 2000
Shares Outstanding
Market Value per Share

| \$ | 3,433,822 | \$ | 6,859,157 | \$ | 5,134,231 | \$ | - | \$ | 380,191 | \$ | 193,475 | \$ | - | \$ | 16,000,876 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 3,433,822 | \$ | 7,718,736 | \$ | 5,084,118 | \$ | - | \$ | 382,127 | \$ | 188,324 | \$ |  | \$ | 16,807,127 |
|  | 675,908 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 86,527 |  | - |  |  |  | 762,436 |
|  | $(93,409)$ |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | $(22,301)$ |  | $(44,688)$ |  | - |  | $(160,398)$ |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |  | - |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 140 |  | $(1,611)$ |  | - |  | $(1,471)$ |
|  | 205,560 |  | 87,366 |  | 317,737 |  | - |  | 29,108 |  | 16,166 |  | - |  | 655,937 |
|  | $(205,560)$ |  | $(87,366)$ |  | $(317,737)$ |  | - |  | $(29,108)$ |  | $(16,166)$ |  | - |  | $(655,937)$ |
|  | - |  | 680,898 |  | $(35,306)$ |  | - |  | 8,553 |  | $(2,215)$ |  | - |  | 651,931 |
| \$ | 4,016,321 | \$ | 8,399,634 | \$ | 5,048,812 | \$ | - | \$ | 455,047 | \$ | 139,810 | \$ | - | \$ | 18,059,624 |
| \$ | 4,016,321 | \$ | 6,859,157 | \$ | 5,134,231 | \$ | - | \$ | 444,557 | \$ | 147,176 | \$ | - | \$ | 16,601,442 |
|  | 4,016,321 |  | 9,239 |  | 46,586 |  | - |  | 7,515 |  | 1,911 |  | - |  | 4,081,571 |
| \$ | 1.00 | \$ | 909.17 | \$ | 108.38 | \$ | - | \$ | 60.56 | \$ | 73.17 | \$ | - |  |  |

## PENSION FUNDS MANAGEMENT DIVISION

## COMBINED INVESTMENT FUNDS <br> SUMMARY OF OPERATIONS (Dollars in Thousands) FISCAL YEARS ENDING JUNE 30

Investment Income (1)
Expenses (1)
Net Investment Income
Realized Gains/(Losses)
Change in Unrealized
Gains/(Losses)
Total

| $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1,002,774$ | $\$ 731,983$ | $\$ 734,928$ | $\$ 648,136$ | $\$ 621,540$ | $\$ 510,890$ | $\$ 453,150$ | $\$ 462,742$ | $\$ 438,572$ | $\$ 415,791$ |
| 50,552 | 54,417 | 40,817 | 38,316 | 36,558 | 36,623 | 45,682 | 44,137 | 32,180 | 18,790 |
| 952,222 | 677,566 | 694,111 | 609,820 | 584,982 | 474,267 | 407,468 | 418,605 | 406,392 | 397,001 |
| $1,522,994$ | 673,802 | $1,350,408$ | 277,293 | $1,240,686$ | $(7,954)$ | 539,865 | 387,899 | 433,240 | 127,274 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 90,500 | 530,276 | 681,413 | $1,727,651$ | $(103,966)$ | 998,758 | $(473,565)$ | 357,989 | $(143,362)$ | $(248,203)$ |
| $\$ \mathbf{2 , 5 6 5 , 7 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 8 8 1 , 6 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 , 7 2 5 , 9 3 2} \mathbf{\$ 2 , 6 1 4 , 7 6 4}$ | $\$ \mathbf{1 , 7 2 1 , 7 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 4 6 5 , 0 7 0}$ | $\$ 473,768 \mathbf{\$ 1 , 1 6 4 , 4 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 6 9 6 , 2 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 7 6 , 0 7 2}$ |  |  |

(1) Securities lending income and expenses are show $n$ net in the Investment Income line above for all periods presented.

Source: Amounts were derived from Custodial Records.

## COM BINED INVESTMENT FUNDS <br> PENSION AND TRUST FUNDS <br> BALANCES ${ }^{(1)}$ IN COM BINED IN VESTM ENT FUNDS (Dollars in Thousands) <br> AT JUNE 30, 2000

| Fund <br> Name | Teachers' <br> Retirement Fund |  | State <br> Employees' <br> Retirement Fund |  | Municipal <br> Employees' <br> Retirement Fund |  | JudgesRetirement Fund |  | ProbateCourtRetirement Fund |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { State's } \\ \text { Attorneys' } \\ \text { Retirement Fund } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Trust <br> Funds |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CRA | \$257,734 | 2.16\% | \$85,562 | 1.03\% | \$29,089 | 2.12\% | \$3,388 | 2.40\% | \$1,938 | 2.74\% | \$216 | 24.00\% | \$6,665 | 6.47\% |
| MEF | 4,841,310 | 40.55\% | 3,350,136 | 40.44\% | 554,326 | 40.37\% | 55,286 | 39.15\% | 28,208 | 39.86\% | 495 | 54.86\% | 22,796 | 22.14\% |
| ISF | 1,599,526 | 13.40\% | 1,129,320 | 13.63\% | 173,198 | 12.61\% | 17,102 | 12.11\% | 10,820 | 15.29\% | - | 0.00\% | - | 0.00\% |
| REF | 277,989 | 2.33\% | 196,338 | 2.37\% | 30,739 | 2.24\% | 2,837 | 2.01\% | 1,759 | 2.49\% | 6 | 0.66\% | 455 | 0.44\% |
| MFIF | 3,481,473 | 29.16\% | 2,480,241 | 29.94\% | 401,171 | 29.21\% | 37,767 | 26.75\% | 22,390 | 31.64\% | 185 | 20.49\% | 72,913 | 70.81\% |
| CMF | 96,032 | 0.80\% | 67,632 | 0.82\% | 10,594 | 0.77\% | 1,044 | 0.74\% | 618 | 0.87\% | - | 0.00\% | 140 | 0.14\% |
| PIF | 1,386,159 | 11.61\% | 975,709 | 11.78\% | 174,104 | 12.68\% | 23,787 | 16.84\% | 5,028 | 7.11\% | - | 0.00\% | - | 0.00\% |
| Total | \$11,940,223 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0 \%}$ | \$8,284,938 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0 \%}$ | \$1,373,222 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0 \%}$ | \$141,210 | 100.00\% | \$70,761 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0 \%}$ | \$902 | 100.00\% | \$102,968 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0 \%}$ |

(1) Based on Net Asset Value

Source: Amounts were dervied from custodial records.

COMBINED INVESTMENT FUNDS
IN VESTMENT SU M M ARY AT JUNE 30, $2000{ }^{(1)}$

|  | Cash Reserve Account ${ }^{(2)}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Book |  |  |  |
| Value | Market | \% of Total | Rateof |  |
|  |  | Value | Fund MV | Return |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1900 | $\$ 378,683,486$ | $\$ 378,683,486$ | $1.67 \%$ | $5.96 \%$ |
| 1998 | $227,101,012$ | $227,101,012$ | $1.11 \%$ | $5.46 \%$ |
| 1997 | $409,767,394$ | $409,767,394$ | $2.17 \%$ | $5.86 \%$ |
| 1996 | $640,227,925$ | $640,227,925$ | $3.57 \%$ | $5.70 \%$ |
| 1995 | $217,728,153$ | $217,728,153$ | $1.57 \%$ | $5.90 \%$ |
| 1994 | $594,092,737$ | $594,092,737$ | $4.80 \%$ | $5.83 \%$ |
| 1993 | $400,801,402$ | $400,801,402$ | $3.66 \%$ | $3.70 \%$ |
| 1992 | $360,298,297$ | $360,298,297$ | $3.39 \%$ | $4.02 \%$ |
| 1991 | $320,988,105$ | $320,988,105$ | $3.41 \%$ | $5.89 \%$ |
|  | $368,272,756$ | $368,272,756$ | $4.16 \%$ | $7.85 \%$ |


|  | International Stock Fund |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Book | Market <br> Value | $\%$ of Total <br> Fund MV | Rate of <br> Return |  |
|  | Value | Va,315,776,890 | $\$ 2,928,693,346$ | $12.89 \%$ |  |
| 2000 | $1,937,731,869$ | $2,436,960,573$ | $11.94 \%$ | $6.13 \%$ |  |
| 1999 | $1,988,516,841$ | $2,394,774,756$ | $12.71 \%$ | $1.52 \%$ |  |
| 1998 | $2,056,745,949$ | $2,988,188,715$ | $16.64 \%$ | $15.67 \%$ |  |
| 1997 | $2,013,932,947$ | $2,080,961,453$ | $14.98 \%$ | $12.58 \%$ |  |
| 1996 | $1,629,499,154$ | $1,881,836,637$ | $15.21 \%$ | $2.27 \%$ |  |
| 1995 | $1,681,401,549$ | $2,047,505,365$ | $18.70 \%$ | $20.97 \%$ |  |
| 1994 | $1,790,846,646$ | $1,948,549,126$ | $18.36 \%$ | $13.20 \%$ |  |
| 1993 | $1,436,422,448$ | $1,360,522,434$ | $14.46 \%$ | $2.11 \%$ |  |
| 1992 | $1,065,275,575$ | $1,010,520,806$ | $11.41 \%$ | $(14.08 \%)$ |  |
| 1991 |  |  |  |  |  |


| Mutual Equity Fund |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Book | Market | \% of Total | Rate of |
| Value | Value | Fund MV | Return |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 6,578,261,062$ | $\$ 8,876,068,150$ | $39.08 \%$ | $10.03 \%$ |
| $6,321,181,834$ | $9,137,539,233$ | $44.77 \%$ | $19.38 \%$ |
| $5,597,631,659$ | $7,735,628,862$ | $41.04 \%$ | $28.40 \%$ |
| $5,740,662,847$ | $8,072,686,952$ | $44.95 \%$ | $30.74 \%$ |
| $5,473,247,153$ | $5,722,251,986$ | $41.19 \%$ | $23.98 \%$ |
| $3,626,292,305$ | $4,666,476,576$ | $37.71 \%$ | $23.20 \%$ |
| $3,281,944,393$ | $3,666,548,982$ | $33.48 \%$ | $0.52 \%$ |
| $2,684,910,855$ | $3,568,316,032$ | $33.61 \%$ | $17.10 \%$ |
| $2,529,490,941$ | $3,171,585,397$ | $33.72 \%$ | $10.67 \%$ |
| $2,604,555,839$ | $3,273,705,502$ | $36.97 \%$ | $6.30 \%$ |


| Real Estate Fund |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Book <br> Value | Market <br> Value | \% of Total <br> Fund MV | Rate of <br> Return |
| $\$ 464,709,616$ | $\$ 510,010,943$ | $2.25 \%$ | $9.18 \%$ |
| $442,674,319$ | $428,221,842$ | $2.10 \%$ | $9.96 \%$ |
| $445,482,545$ | $416,617,227$ | $2.21 \%$ | $25.63 \%$ |
| $553,333,465$ | $488,413,514$ | $2.72 \%$ | $10.69 \%$ |
| $1,172,793,083$ | $985,747,371$ | $7.09 \%$ | $0.83 \%$ |
| $1,198,474,807$ | $1,068,615,573$ | $8.63 \%$ | $(2.78 \%)$ |
| $1,455,508,818$ | $1,100,002,995$ | $10.05 \%$ | $(3.95 \%)$ |
| $1,348,453,216$ | $978,014,999$ | $9.21 \%$ | $(7.68 \%)$ |
| $1,237,086,506$ | $985,482,361$ | $10.48 \%$ | $(15.36 \%)$ |
| $1,246,307,162$ | $1,241,192,197$ | $14.02 \%$ | $(3.03 \%)$ |


| International Bond Fund ${ }^{(3)}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Book Value | Market Value | \% of Total <br> Fund MV | Rate of Return |
| - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | - |
|  |  | - |  |
| \$695,139,207 | \$749,095,597 | 6.05\% | 19.10\% |
| 624,328,836 | 657,973,564 | 6.01\% | 7.27\% |
| 574,207,418 | 600,603,560 | 5.66\% | 11.11\% |
| 531,177,878 | 579,853,055 | 6.17\% | 27.01\% |
| 452,536,642 | 434,818,413 | 4.91\% | 10.76\% |


| Residential Mortgage Fund ${ }^{(4)}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Book <br> Value | Market <br> Value | \% of Total <br> Fund MV | Rate of <br> Return |  |
| - | - | - | - |  |
| - | - | - | - |  |
| - | - | - | - |  |
| $\$ 50,630,376$ | $\$ 48,602,786$ | $0.39 \%$ | $11.54 \%$ |  |
| $73,484,931$ | $67,780,499$ | $0.62 \%$ | $(2.38 \%)$ |  |
| $25,055,151$ | $25,530,507$ | $0.24 \%$ | $1.55 \%$ |  |
| $79,163,570$ | $79,804,310$ | $0.85 \%$ | $9.30 \%$ |  |
| $34,961,527$ | $33,970,886$ | $0.38 \%$ | $16.88 \%$ |  |

## COM BINED INVESTMENT FUNDS

INVESTMENT SUMMARYAT JUNE 30, 2000 (Continued)

|  | Private Investment Fund ${ }^{(5)}$ |  |  |  | Connecticut Programs Fund |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Book Value | Market <br> Value | \% of Total Fund MV | Rate of Return | Book Value | Market <br> Value | \% of Total Fund MV | Rate of <br> Return |
| 2000 | \$1,879,100,932 | \$2,561,042,272 | 11.28\% | 53.86\% | - | - | -\% | -\% |
| 1999 | 1,138,252,584 | 1,182,905,063 | 5.80\% | (0.81\%) | - | - | -\% | -\% |
| 1998 | 715,880,779 | 794,324,372 | 4.21\% | 18.55\% | - | - | -\% | -\% |
| 1997 | 496,527,964 | 542,174,959 | 3.02\% | 5.68\% | - | - | -\% | -\% |
| 1996 | 198,233,821 | 302,481,786 | 2.18\% | 43.78\% | \$172,656,335 | \$179,638,107 | 1.29\% | 14.24\% |
| 1995 | 167,316,010 | 222,837,361 | 1.80\% | 25.39\% | 122,511,963 | 112,633,665 | 0.91\% | (5.86\% |
| 1994 | 164,964,030 | 169,773,008 | 1.55\% | 5.20\% | 87,711,051 | 66,076,102 | 0.60\% | 3.38\% |
| 1993 | 135,432,242 | 134,922,131 | 1.27\% | 3.15\% | 40,927,545 | 19,576,472 | 0.18\% | (1.75\%) |
| 1992 | 110,123,666 | 114,773,181 | 1.22\% | 2.65\% | 31,113,182 | 10,774,932 | 0.11\% | (48.51\%) |
| 1991 | 85,334,633 | 85,879,104 | 0.97\% | (6.07\%) | 26,210,006 | 15,825,756 | 0.18\% | (21.90\%) |


|  | Mutual Mortgage Fund(6) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

PENSION FUNDS MANAGEMENT DIVISION
COM BINED INVESTMENT FUNDS
TOP TEN HOLDINGS BY FUND AT JUNE 30, 2000
MUTUAL EQUITY FUND

| Security Name | Industry Sector | Market Value | \% of MEF <br> Net Assets |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| General Electric Company | Technology | $\$ 09,429,900$ | 3.5 |
| Intel Corp | Technology | $280,837,331$ | 3.2 |
| Cisco Systems Inc. | Technology | $275,238,338$ | 3.1 |
| Microsoft Corporation | Technology | $249,056,000$ | 2.8 |
| Nortel Networks Corp | Technology | $158,770,248$ | 1.8 |
| Wal MartStores, Inc. | ConsumerNon-Durables | $150,072,788$ | 1.7 |
| Citigroup, Inc. | Finance | $140,677,424$ | 1.6 |
| Oracle Corp. | Technology | $112,557,502$ | 1.3 |
| Exxon Mobil Corp. | Energy | $111,964,864$ | 1.3 |
| Sun Microsystems Inc. | Technology | $110,170,781$ | 1.2 |
| TOTAL |  | $\$$ | $\mathbf{1 , 8 9 8 , 7 7 5 , 1 7 5}$ |

## INTERNATIONAL STOCK FUND

| Security Name | Country | Market Value | \% of ISF <br> Net Assets |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Deutsche Telekom NPV(REGD) | Germany | $\$$ | $43,357,514$ |
| Vodafone Airtouch Ord USDO.10 | United Kingdom | $42,703,702$ | 1.5 |
| Total Fina ELF Eur10 | France | $32,116,921$ | 1.5 |
| Telefonica CA EUR 1 | Spain | $31,403,007$ | 1.1 |
| Nokia AB OY Euro 0.06 | Japan | $31,069,042$ | 1.1 |
| Telecom Italia SPA ITL 1000 | Italy | $23,256,718$ | 1.1 |
| BP Amoco Ord USDO.25 | United Kingdom | $22,614,333$ | 0.8 |
| Aventis SA EUR 3.82 | France | 0.8 |  |
| Samsung Electronics KRW 5000 | Korea | $22,194,904$ | 0.7 |
| Canon Inc. JPY50 | Japan | $21,939,350$ | 0.7 |
| TOTAL |  | $\mathbf{2 1 , 9 0 8 , 1 9 5}$ | 0.7 |

## REAL ESTATE FUND

| Property Name | Location | Property Type |  | Market Value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: | | \% of REF |
| :---: |
| Net Assets |

## COM BINED INVESTMENT FUNDS TOP TEN HOLDINGS BY FUND AT JUNE 30, 2000 (C ontinued)

## MUTUAL FIXED INCOME FUND

| Security Name | Coupon | Maturity | Security Type | Market Value | \% of MFIF <br> Net Assets |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Triumph Connecticut II LLP | NA | NA | NA | $\$ 199,220,400$ | $2.7 \%$ |
| FNMA TBA Jul 30 Single | FAM6.5\% | $10 / 1 / 2030$ | U.S. Govt. Agency | $128,680,366$ | $1.8 \%$ |
| FNMA TBA Jul 30 Single | FAM7.5\% | $10 / 1 / 2030$ | U.S. Govt. Agency | $102,343,680$ | $1.4 \%$ |
| FHLMC TBA Jul 30 Gold | Single6.5\% | $10 / 1 / 2030$ | U.S. Govt. Agency | $95,340,987$ | $1.3 \%$ |
| FNMA TBA Jul 30 Single | FAM7.0\% | $10 / 1 / 2030$ | U.S. Govt. Agency | $74,148,096$ | $1.0 \%$ |
| U.S. Treasury Bonds | FAM $3.625 \%$ | $4 / 15 / 2028$ | U.S. Govt. Agency | $67,612,318$ | $0.9 \%$ |
| U.S. Treasury Bonds | $12.00 \%$ | $8 / 15 / 2013$ | U.S. Govt. Treasury | $62,647,424$ | $0.9 \%$ |
| FHLMC TBA Jul 30 Gold | Single $7.5 \%$ | $10 / 1 / 2030$ | U.S. Govt. Agency | $58,823,148$ | $0.8 \%$ |
| FNMA TBA Jul 15 Single | FAM6.5\% | $10 / 1 / 2030$ | U.S. Govt. Agency | $56,561,526$ | $0.8 \%$ |
| FNMA TBA Jul 30 Single | FAM8.0\% | $10 / 1 / 2030$ | U.S. Govt. Agency | $56,070,624$ | $0.8 \%$ |
| TOTAL |  |  | $\$$ | $\mathbf{9 0 1 , 4 4 8 , 5 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 4 \%}$ |

## COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE FUND

| PropertyName | Location | PropertyType |  | Market Value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: | | \% of CMF |
| :---: |
| Net Assets |

## PRIVATE INVESTMENT FUND

|  |  |  | Percent of <br> PIF |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Date of Purchase | Company | Industry | Market Value | Net Assets |
| $06 / 05 / 98$ | US Internet Working | ComputerRelated | $\$$ | $38,324,291$ |
| $01 / 06 / 98$ | Amdocs Limited | Communications | $27,205,126$ | 1.5 |
| $08 / 31 / 98$ | Republic Engineered Steels, Inc. | Manufacturing | $26,845,559$ | 1.1 |
| $01 / 07 / 99$ | BCComponents Holdings B. V. | Electronics | $25,852,506$ | 1.0 |
| $12 / 09 / 98$ | Metris Companies, Inc.. | Services | $25,327,594$ | 1.0 |
| $09 / 30 / 98$ | Electrolux Zanussi Vending | Manufacturing | $22,199,508$ | 1.0 |
| $01 / 06 / 99$ | CentennialCellular | Communications | $22,180,526$ | 0.9 |
| $12 / 27 / 96$ | Rossi American Hardwoods | IndustrialProducts | $21,485,829$ | 0.9 |
| $11 / 04 / 97$ | AirNet Communications Corp. | Communications | $20,561,699$ | 0.8 |
| $09 / 16 / 98$ | PacWestTelecommunication | Communications |  | $19,379,874$ |
| TOTAL |  |  | $\$$ | $\mathbf{2 4 9 , 3 6 2 , 5 1 2}$ |


|  |  | Description of Services | Contract <br> Date | Aggegate <br> Comp. Paid <br> in FY 2000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Name of Firm | Sune 30, <br> Jund |  |  |  |

## INVESTMENT ADVISORY SERVICES

Equity Advisory Services

| Alliance Capital | Equity Advisor |
| :--- | :--- |
| AXA Rosenberg Institutional Equity Management | Equity Advisor |
| BGI Barclay's Global Investors | Equity Advisor |
| Brown Capital Management | Equity Advisor |
| Cowen Asset Management | Equity Advisor |
| Dresdner RCM Capital Management, LP | Equity Advisor |
| J. P. Morgan Investment Management | Equity Advisor |
| State Street Global Advisors | Equity Advisor |
| Travelers Investment Management | Equity Advisor |
| ValueQuest Ltd. | Equity Advisor |
| Total Equity Advisor Compensation |  |

## Fixed Income Investment Advisory Services

Blackrock Financial Management
J. P. Morgan Investment Management

Lazard Freres \& Co.
Loomis Sayles \& Co., Inc.
Mitchell Hutchins Institutional Inv.
Oaktree Capital Management
Phoenix Investment Counsel
Spectrum Asset Management
State Street Global Advisors
W. R. Huff Asset Management

Wellington Asset Management
Western Asset Management
Total Fixed Income Advisor Compensation

Fixed Income Advisor
Fixed Income Advisor
Fixed Income Advisor
Fixed Income Advisor
Fixed Income Advisor
Fixed Income Advisor
Fixed Income Advisor
Fixed Income Advisor
Fixed Income Advisor
Fixed Income Advisor
Fixed Income Advisor Fixed Income Advisor

## C ash Reserve Account Advisory Services

State Street Global Advisors Cash Reserve Account Advisor Mar-96

Total International Equity Advisor Compensation
Mar-96
Mar-96
Mar-96
Mar-96
Mar-96
Mar-96
Mar-96
Mar-96
Mar-96
Mar-96

| \$ | 921,466 | Active |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
|  | 256,310 | Active |
|  | $2,194,491$ | Active |
|  | $2,564,010$ | Active |
|  | 421,791 | Active |
|  | $1,794,348$ | Active |
|  | $10,316,165$ | Active |
|  | 221,156 | Active |
|  | $1,240,306$ | Active |
|  | 464,716 | Active |
| $\mathbf{\$}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 , 3 9 4 , 7 5 9}$ |  |


| Mar-96 | $\$$ | $1,628,201$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Mar-96 | 688,394 | Active |
| Feb-98 | 669,697 | Terminated |
| Mar-96 | 475,810 | Active |
| Nov-97 | 591,975 | Active |
| Mar-96 | $2,633,017$ | Active |
| Nov-97 | 531,955 | Active |
| Dec-98 | 5,415 | Terminated |
| Mar-96 | 492,115 | Active |
| Mar-96 | 968,932 | Active |
| Nov-97 | $1,077,393$ | Active |
| Nov-97 | $1,089,776$ | Active |
|  | $\$$ | $\mathbf{1 0 , 8 5 2 , 6 8 0}$ |
|  |  |  |

Active


| Mar-96 | $\$$ | 515,452 | Active |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mar-96 |  | $1,532,995$ | Active |
| Mar-96 | $2,567,976$ | Active |  |
| Mar-96 | 130,198 | Active |  |
| Mar-96 | 557,803 | Active |  |
|  | $\mathbf{\$ 5 , 3 0 4 , 4 2 4}$ |  |  |


| Aug-87 \$ | 408,780 | Active |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| May-98 | 933,661 | Active |
| Apr-83 | 101,942 | Active |

## SCHEDULE OF EXPENSESIN EXCESS OF \$5,000 ${ }^{(1)}$ FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2000

|  | Description of Services | Contract <br> Date | Aggregate <br> Comp. Paid <br> in FY 2000 | Status at <br> June 30, <br> 2000 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Name of Firm | Real Estate Advisor | Feb-83 | 23,435 | Active |
| The RREEF Funds | Real Estate Advisor | Jan-88 | 30,922 | Active |
| Sentinel Real Estate Corporation | Real Estate Advisor | Mar-94 | 130,556 | Active |
| Wachovia Bank of Georgia, N.A. | Real Estate Advisor | Sep-98 | $1,350,000$ | Active |
| Westport Senior Living Fund |  | $\underline{\$ 2,979,296}$ |  |  |
| Total Real Estate Advisor Compensation |  |  |  |  |

## Commercial M ortgage Investment Advisory Services

AEW Capital Management, LP Commercial Mortgage Advisor
Total Commercial Mortgage Advisor Compensation

| Aug-87 | $\$$ | 763,333 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\$$ | $\mathbf{7 6 3 , 3 3 3}$ |

## Private Investment Advisory Services ${ }^{(2)}$

AIG Global Emerging Mkts Fund LP
Blackstone Capital Partners III LP
Carlyle Asia Partners LP
Carlyle European Partners LP
Compass European Partners LP
Conning Insurance Capital Fund V LP
Cresendo World Fund LLC
Crossroads Constitution Fund LP
CT Greene Ventures LP
DLJ Merchant Banking Fund II LP
Forstmann Little \& Company
Garmark Partners LP
Gilbert Global Equity Partners LP
Goldman Sachs Private Equity Fund LP
Green Equity III LP
Greenwich Street Capital Partners II LP
Hicks Muse Tate and Furst Equity Fund III LP
Kelso Investment Associates VI LP
Keystone Ventures V LP
KKR 1996 Fund LP
Lexington Capital Partners II LP
Pioneer Venture Associates LP
SCP Private Equity Fund LP
Shawmut Capital Partners LP
SW Pelham Fund LP
Thayer Equity Investors IV LP
THL Equity Fund IV LP
Triumph Connecticut LP
Triumph Partners III LP
Veritas Capital Fund LP
Wellspring Capital Partners II LP
Total Private Investment Advisor Compensation
TOTAL COMPENSATION TO INVESTMENT ADVISORS

Private Investment Advisor Private Investment Advisor Private Investment Advisor Private Investment Advisor Private Investment Advisor Private Investment Advisor Private Investment Advisor Private Investment Advisor Private Investment Advisor Private Investment Advisor Private Investment Advisor Private Investment Advisor Private Investment Advisor Private Investment Advisor Private Investment Advisor Private Investment Advisor Private Investment Advisor Private Investment Advisor Private Investment Advisor Private Investment Advisor Private Investment Advisor Private Investment Advisor Private Investment Advisor Private Investment Advisor Private Investment Advisor Private Investment Advisor Private Investment Advisor Private Investment Advisor Private Investment Advisor Private Investment Advisor Private Investment Advisor

| Dec-97 | \$ | 2,503,443 | Active |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oct-97 |  | 88,541 | Active |
| Dec-98 |  | 1,500,000 | Active |
| Jul-98 |  | 1,674,004 | Active |
| Dec-97 |  | 727,363 | Active |
| Dec-97 |  | 250,000 | Active |
| Aug-97 |  | 400,000 | Active |
| Jul-87 |  | 1,361,250 | Active |
| Jan-93 |  | 375,000 | Active |
| Nov-96 |  | 1,089,908 | Active |
| Apr-97 |  | 2,416,667 | Active |
| Apr-98 |  | 1,020,360 | Active |
| Oct-97 |  | 2,250,000 | Active |
| May-97 |  | 696,531 | Active |
| Sep-98 |  | 329,907 | Active |
| Dec-98 |  | 371,517 | Active |
| Jan-97 |  | 469,788 | Active |
| Feb-98 |  | 594,158 | Active |
| Dec-98 |  | 402,725 | Active |
| Jul-97 |  | 401,764 | Active |
| Mar-98 |  | 57,060 | Active |
| Jan-98 |  | 603,281 | Active |
| Sep-97 |  | 718,750 | Active |
| Jun-97 |  | 1,312,500 | Active |
| Jun-98 |  | 562,500 | Active |
| Nov-98 |  | 835,341 | Active |
| Dec-97 |  | 384,897 | Active |
| Feb-93 |  | 101,476 | Active |
| Jul-97 |  | 2,681,301 | Active |
| Mar-97 |  | 2,190,279 | Active |
| Jan-98 |  | 1,000,000 | Active |
|  | \$ | 29,370,311 |  |
|  | \$ | 69,925,144 |  |


| Name of Firm Description of Services | Contract Date |  | Aggregate Comp. Paid in FY 2000 | Status at June 30, 2000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CUSTODY SERVICES |  |  |  |  |
| State Street Bank \& Trust Custody of Fund Assets | Jan-96 | \$ | 1,365,200 | Active |
| TOTAL CUSTODY SERVICES COMPENSATION |  | \$ | 1,365,200 |  |
| CONSULTING SERVICES |  |  |  |  |
| Council of Institutional Investors Dues | N/A | \$ | 25,000 | Active |
| Ferrell Capital Management International Equity | Oct-97 |  | 7,500 | Terminated |
| Horton International Maryland Consultant - Pension Funds | Dec-99 |  | 42,536 | Active |
| Irwin Tepper Assoc. Inc. Consultant - Pension Funds | Jun-95 |  | 15,000 | Terminated |
| Pamela J. Bartol Consultant - Pension Funds | Feb-00 |  | 14,377 | Active |
| Rogers Casey Consulting Inc. Consultant - Pension Funds | Jul-95 |  | 257,000 | Active |
| TOTAL CONSULTING SERVICES COMPENSATION |  | \$ | 361,413 |  |
| MISCELLANEOUS SERVICES |  |  |  |  |
| Bloomberg LP Subscription | N/A | \$ | 16,012 | Active |
| Computers Plus Center, Inc. Computer Equipment | N/A |  | 19,234 | Terminated |
| John Quirke IAC Travel Reimbursement | N/A |  | 6,681 | Terminated |
| Xerox Corporation Copier Maintenance | N/A |  | 6,433 | Active |
| TOTAL MISCELLANEOUS SERVICES COMPENSATION |  | \$ | 48,360 |  |
| GRAND TOTAL |  | \$ | 71,700,117 |  |

(1) Expenses are presented on a cash basis.
(2) Alternative Investment Management fees for the Private Investement Fund and the Real Estate Fund include capitalized fees and expensed fees. Capitalized fees which are part of the cost of the investment and become a component of unrealized gain(loss). Capitalized fees are disclosed in Note 1 of the Combined Investment Funds Financial Statements. Expensed fees which are not part of the cost of the investment are recorded in the Statement of Operations.

PENSION FUNDS MANAGEMENT DIVISION

## COM BINED INVESTMENT FUNDS

LIST OF INVESTMENT ADVISORSAND NET ASSETS UNDER MANAGMENT JUNE 30, 2000

| Name of Fund | Investment Strategy |  | Net Assets Under Management | Percent of Fund Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CASH RESERVE ACCOUNT (CRA) |  |  |  |  |
| State Street Global Advisors | Active | \$ | 1,533,613,137 | 100.0\% |
| SUBTOTAL CRA |  | \$ | 1,533,613,137 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |
| MUTUAL EQUITY FUND (MEF) |  |  |  |  |
| Large Cap |  | \$ | 6,849,223,561 | 77.4\% |
| State Street Global Advisors | Passive - Indexed |  | 2,172,948,950 | 24.6 |
| J.P. Morgan Investment Management, Inc. | Passive - Enhanced |  | 2,476,874,063 | 28.0 |
| BGI Barclays Global Investors, N.A. | Passive - Enhanced |  | 2,199,400,548 | 24.8 |
| Small/Mid Cap |  | \$ | 648,189,749 | 7.3\% |
| The Travelers Investment Management Co. | Passive-Enhanced |  | 329,488,679 | 3.7 |
| AXA Rosenberg Investment Management | Passive-Enhanced |  | 318,701,070 | 3.6 |
| Small/Mid Cap |  | \$ | 1,351,525,119 | 15.3\% |
| Brown Capital Management, Inc. | Active |  | 357,587,492 | 4.0 |
| ValueQuest Ltd. | Active |  | 237,476,167 | 2.7 |
| Cowen Asset Management | Active |  | 225,115,171 | 2.6 |
| Dresdner RCM Global Investors LLC | Active |  | 360,683,506 | 4.1 |
| Alliance Capital | Active |  | 170,662,783 | 1.9 |
| Other ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | \$ | 3,618,213 | 0.0\% |
| SUBTOTAL MEF |  | \$ | 8,852,556,642 | 100.0\% |

INTERNATIONAL STOCK FUND (ISF)

## EAFE - Europe

State Street Global Advisors
Core
Morgan Stanley Asset Management
Grantham, Mayo, Van Otterloo \& Co.
Smith Barney Capital Management
DSI International Management
Emerging
Morgan Stanley Asset Management
Pictet International Management
Other ${ }^{(1)}$
SUBTOTAL ISF

| $\mathbf{\$}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 0 , 9 6 3 , 2 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 2} \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
|  | $680,963,252$ | 23.2 |
| $\$$ | $\mathbf{1 , 7 6 7 , 0 0 5 , 9 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 . 4 \%}$ |
|  | $726,141,347$ | 24.8 |
|  | $594,587,545$ | 20.3 |
|  | $248,201,259$ | 8.5 |
|  | $198,075,790$ | 6.8 |
| $\$$ | $\mathbf{4 6 8 , 6 2 7}, 576$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 0} \%$ |
|  | $293,534,365$ | 10.0 |
|  | $175,093,211$ | 6.0 |
| $\mathbf{\$}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 , 3 6 9 , 5 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{\$}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 9 2 9 , 9 6 6 , 2 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

REAL ESTATE FUND (REF)
AEW Capital Management, LP
Apollo Real Estate Investment Fund II
Walton Street Real Estate Fund II L.P.
Tishman
TimeSquare Realty Investors
Wachovia Bank of Georgia, N.A.
Westport Senior Living.
Other ${ }^{(1)}$
SUBTOTAL REF

| Active | $\$ 201,312,626$ | $39.5 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Active | $85,823,060$ | 16.8 |
| Active | $69,677,100$ | 13.7 |
| Active | $45,789,637$ | 9.0 |
| Active | $14,595,292$ | 2.9 |
| Active | $15,477,072$ | 3.0 |
| Active | $46,291,546$ | 9.1 |
| Active | $\mathbf{3 1 , 1 5 6 , 6 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 0 \%}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{\$}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 0 , 1 2 3 , 0 0 3}$ |

MUTUAL FIXED INCOME FUND (MFIF)
Core
State Street Global Advisors
BlackRock Financial Management, Inc.
Western Asset Management Co.
Wellington
J.P. Morgan Investment Management Inc.
Passive-Enhanced
Active
Active
Active
Active

| $\mathbf{\$}, 039,188,557$ | $\mathbf{7 7 . 6} \%$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1,355,758,427$ | 20.9 |
| $1,039,954,165$ | 16.0 |
| $734,031,906$ | 11.3 |
| $703,638,814$ | 10.8 |
| $618,938,006$ | 9.5 |

PENSION FUNDS MANAGEMENT DIVISION
COM BINED INVESTMENT FUNDS
LIST OF INVESTMENT ADVISORS AND NET ASSETS UNDER M ANAGMENT (C ontinued)
JUNE 30, 2000

| Name of Fund | Investment Strategy |  | Net Assets Under Management | Percent of Fund Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phoenix | Active |  | 296,411,626 | 4.6 |
| Mitchell Hutchins | Active |  | 290,455,613 | 4.5 |
| Convertibles |  | \$ | 349,224,546 | 5.4\% |
| Oaktree Capital Management, L.L.C. | Active |  | 349,224,546 | 5.4 |
| High Yield |  | \$ | 1,079,289,028 | 16.6\% |
| Loomis Sayles \& Co., Inc. | Active |  | 355,534,523 | 5.5 |
| W.R. Huff Asset Management | Active |  | 289,967,580 | 4.4 |
| Oaktree Capital Management, L.L.C. | Active |  | 234,566,525 | 3.6 |
| Triumph II LP | Active |  | 199,220,400 | 3.1 |
| Other ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | \$ | 28,437,050 | 0.4\% |
| SUBTOTAL MFIF |  | \$ | 6,496,139,181 | 100.0\% |

COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE FUND (CMF)
AEW Capital Mangement, LP
Other ${ }^{(2)}$
SUBTOTAL CMF
PRIVATE INVESTMENT FUND (PIF)
Corporate Buyout

Hicks, Muse Tate \& Furst Equity Fund
SCP Private Equity Partners
DLJ Merchant Banking Fund II
Conning Insurance Capital Fund V
Veritas Capital Fund
Thomas H. Lee Equity Fund IV
KKR 1996 Fund
Greenwich Street Capital Partners II
Welsh Carson Anderson \& Stowe VIII
Wellspring Capital Partners II
Blackstone Capital Partners III
Thayer Equity Investors IV
Kelso Investment Associates VI
Green Equity Investors III
Forstmann Little Equity Fund VI ${ }^{(4)}$
Venture Capital
Crescendo World Fund
Pioneer Ventures Associates
Crescendo Venture Fund III
Connecticut Futures Fund
CT Financial Development Fund
Keystone Ventures V
Shawmut Equity Partners
Grotech Partners V
Connecticut Greene Ventures
RFE Investment Partners VI

## Mezzanine

Welsh Carson Anderson \& Stowe III
Triumph Capital Partners III
Triumph CT Partners
GarMark Partners
SW Pelham Fund
Forstmann Little MBO VII ${ }^{(4)}$
International
Compass European Partners
Carlyle European Fund
Gilbert Global Equity Fund
AIG Global Emerging Markets Fund

|  | \$795,828,287 | 31.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Active | 210,449,050 | 8.2 |
| Active | 90,774,965 | 3.5 |
| Active | 56,856,485 | 2.2 |
| Active | 56,083,357 | 2.2 |
| Active | 56,282,309 | 2.2 |
| Active | 78,347,895 | 3.0 |
| Active | 38,764,853 | 1.5 |
| Active | 37,963,562 | 1.5 |
| Active | 60,423,689 | 2.4 |
| Active | 25,841,247 | 1.0 |
| Active | 14,972,969 | 0.6 |
| Active | 22,109,822 | 0.9 |
| Active | 18,646,905 | 0.7 |
| Active | 10,215,883 | 0.4 |
| Active | 18,095,296 | 0.7 |
|  | \$399,337,624 | 15.6\% |
| Active | 158,972,651 | 6.2 |
| Active | 37,964,558 | 1.5 |
| Active | 46,947,598 | 1.8 |
| Active | 15,351,244 | 0.6 |
| Active | 18,426,060 | 0.7 |
| Active | 25,137,922 | 1.0 |
| Active | 29,159,641 | 1.1 |
| Active | 59,117,076 | 2.3 |
| Active | 3,845,408 | 0.2 |
| Active | 4,415,366 | 0.2 |
|  | \$364,161,698 | 14.2\% |
| Active | 87,349,821 | 3.4 |
| Active | 99,529,585 | 3.9 |
| Active | 65,183,217 | 2.5 |
| Active | 29,129,904 | 1.2 |
| Active | 13,877,930 | 0.5 |
| Active | 69,091,241 | 2.7 |
|  | \$202,636,375 | 7.9\% |
| Active | 50,354,343 | 2.0 |
| Active | 35,123,033 | 1.4 |
| Active | 75,313,136 | 2.9 |
| Active | 33,196,223 | 1.3 |

PENSION FUNDS MANAGEM ENT DIVISION
COM BINED INVESTMENT FUNDS LIST OF INVESTMENT ADVISORS AND NET ASSETS UNDER MANAGMENT (Continued)

JUNE 30, 2000
$\left.\begin{array}{llrc} & & \text { Net Assets } & \text { Percent } \\ \text { of Fund }\end{array}\right)$

| TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 3 , 0 6 3}, 244,860$ <br> $(1,149,020,861)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Adjustments ${ }^{(3)}$ | $\$$ |
| GRAND TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 1 , 9 1 4 , 2 2 3 , 9 9 9}$ |

(1) Other represents moneys earmarked for distribution to participants, reinvestment, and expenses as well as terminated advisor balances and $\$ 867,276$ in marketable securities.
(2) Other also includes residential mortgage-backed securities for the Commercial Mortgage Fund.
(3) Represents Elimination Entry to the Financial Statements to account for investment of Combined Investment Funds in CRA.
(4) Private Investment advisors under contract which are unfunded as of June 30, 1999.
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## COMBINED INVESTMENT FUNDS SCHEDULE OF BROKERAGE COMMISSIONS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2000



PENSION FUNDSMANAGEMENT DIVISION
COM BINED INVESTM ENT FUNDS SCHEDULE OF BROKERAGE COMMISSIONS (Continued) FOR THE FISC AL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2000


PENSION FUNDS MANAGEMENT DIVISION
COM BINED INVESTM ENT FUNDS SCHEDULE OF BROKERAGE COM MISSIONS (Continued) FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2000


PENSION FUNDS MANAGEMENT DIVISION
COM BINED INVESTMENT FUNDS SCHEDULE OF BROKERAGE COMM ISSIONS (Continued) FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2000

| Broker Name C | \$ <br> Commission | Shares/ <br> Par Value | Avg <br> Comm | Broker Name | $\begin{gathered} \$ \\ \text { Commission } \end{gathered}$ | Shares/ <br> Par Value C | Avg <br> Comm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| JPP EURO SEC | 13,217.72 | 51,629 | 0.256 | MONTGOMERY SEC LLC/S.F. | 27,726.40 | 878,800 | 0.032 |
| JULIUS BAER SECURITIES | 29,437.34 | 1,057,918 | 0.028 | MORGAN GUARANTY TRUST COMPANY |  |  |  |
| JULIUS BAER/BANK JULIUS BAER | 3,024.22 | 33,093 | 0.091 | NEW YORK | 714.75 | 7,400 | 0.015 |
| KALB, VOORHIS \& CO | 4,225.00 | 84,500 | 0.050 | MORGAN GUARANTY TRUST CO. (UK) | 34,491.13 | 712,165 | 0.048 |
| KASB | 10,045.60 | 1,900,000 | 0.005 | MORGAN STANLEY | 7,059.03 | 1,344,334 | 0.005 |
| KEB SMITHBARNEY SECS | 17,368.52 | 67,690 | 0.257 | MORGAN STANLEY + CO | 11.53 | 3,000 | 0.004 |
| KEEFE BRUYETTE + WOODS INC | 25,093.55 | 624,241 | 0.040 | MORGAN STANLEY AND CO, INC | 534,776.43 | 25,737,154 | 0.021 |
| KINNARD JOHN G. + CO. | 1,593.00 | 38,300 | 0.042 | MORGAN, J.P. SECURITIES | 1,426.47 | 14,451,300 | 0.000 |
| KIRKPATRICK PETTIS SMITH | 1,021.13 | 39,000 | 0.026 | MORGAN,J.P.,SECURITIES INC | 94,298.66 | 2,966,961 | 0.032 |
| KLEINWORTBENSON FRANCE S.A. | 576.87 | 408,200 | 0.001 | NATIONAL BANK OF CANADA | 2,012.09 | 58,700 | 0.034 |
| KLEINWORTBENSON INC | 4,478.13 | 243,694 | 0.018 | NATIONAL SECURITIES CORP | 2,189.88 | 947,000 | 0.002 |
| KLEINWORTBENSON INTERNATIONAL | 3,409.41 | 61,590 | 0.055 | NATIONSBANC MONTGOMERY SEC LLC/S.F | F. $5,269.00$ | 246,450 | 0.021 |
| KLEINWORTBENSON SEC ASIA LTD | 603.79 | 343,000 | 0.002 | NBG INTL,LONDON | 290.09 | 1,140 | 0.254 |
| KLEINWORTBENSON SECS | 29,962.61 | 6,301,233 | 0.005 | ND DEVLETOGLOU SECURITIES SA | 8,996.45 | 178,600 | 0.050 |
| KLEINWORTBENSON SECURITIES LIMITED | 46,523.91 | 5,533,996 | 0.008 | NEEDHAM + COMPANY | 8,932.70 | 232,800 | 0.038 |
| KLEINWORTBENSON SECURITIES LTD | 889.40 | 45,387 | 0.020 | NESBITT BURNS | 3,263.98 | 119,981 | 0.027 |
| KNIGHT SECURITIES | 36.00 | 440,064 | 0.000 | NESBITT BURNS SECURITIES INC | 35,537.42 | 830,100 | 0.043 |
| KOKUSAI SECURITIES CO., LTD. | 1,332.60 | 11,300 | 0.118 | NEUBERGER+ BERMAN | 37,156.12 | 928,903 | 0.040 |
| LATINVEST | 167.19 | 28,559,000 | 0.000 | NEW JAPANSECURITIES CO. LTD., TOKYO | 229.09 | 9,500 | 0.024 |
| LATINVESTSECURITIES | 2,257.50 | 17,347,080 | 0.000 | NEW JAPANSECURITIES INTERNATIONAL | 423.87 | 89,000 | 0.005 |
| LATINVESTSECURITIES BBV | 696.00 | 11,600 | 0.060 | NEW JAPANSECURITIES INTERNATIONAL I | INC 634.50 | 14,000 | 0.045 |
| LATINVESTSECURITIES INC | 84.36 | 1,406 | 0.060 | NEWJAPAN BANK (SCHWEIZ) AG,ZUR | 219.42 | 15,000 | 0.015 |
| LAZARD FRERES \& CO. | 47,064.65 | 1,257,509 | 0.037 | NOMURA INTERNATIOAL PIC | 771.12 | 69,200 | 0.011 |
| LEGG MASON WOOD WALKER INC | 28,917.00 | 608,940 | 0.047 | NOMURA INTERNATIONAL |  |  |  |
| LEHMAN BROS INC. | 396,292.33 | 14,124,542 | 0.028 | (HONG KONG) LTD | 6,985.09 | 544,000 | 0.013 |
| LEHMAN BROTHERS | 1,908.69 | 515,300 | 0.004 | NOMURA INTERNATIONAL, PLC | 919.94 | 5,000 | 0.184 |
| LEHMAN BROTHERS ASIA LTD | 698.66 | 722,000 | 0.001 | NOMURA SEC SINGAPORE | 1,507.45 | 107,300 | 0.014 |
| LEHMAN BROTHERS INTERNATIONAL |  |  |  | NOMURA SECURITIES CO LTD | 3,654.14 | 123,170 | 0.030 |
| (EUROPE) | 63,009.44 | 612,675 | 0.103 | NOMURA SECURITIES CO., LTD. | 21,320.47 | 725,520 | 0.029 |
| LEWCO SECS AGENT FOR |  |  |  | NOMURA SECURITIES INTL | 1,152.35 | 8,800 | 0.131 |
| HAMBRECHT+QUIST | 4,765.20 | 1,073,813 | 0.004 | NOMURA SECURITIES INTL INC | 50,293.91 | 4,116,420 | 0.012 |
| LEWCO SECS AGENT FOR WERTHEIM |  |  |  | NORDIC PARTNERS INC NY, NY | 2,152.47 | 3,800 | 0.566 |
| SHCRODER | 20,711.60 | 462,400 | 0.045 | NUTMEG SECURITIES | 1,188.50 | 30,650 | 0.039 |
| LEWCO SECURITIES AGENT/CHASE H\&Q | 7,547.70 | 1,047,850 | 0.007 | O NEIL, WILLIAM AND CO. INC/BCC CLRG | 13,447.00 | 267,600 | 0.050 |
| LG SECURITIES CO | 919.61 | 5,960 | 0.154 | OdD LOT SALE | 66.74 | 3,361 | 0.020 |
| LOOP CAPITAL MARKETS INC | 3,970.00 | 79,400 | 0.050 | OKASAN SECURITIES CO., LTD. | 3,971.72 | 130,522 | 0.030 |
| LYNCH JONES AND RYAN INC | 27,792.00 | 1,374,000 | 0.020 | ORD MINNET GROUP LIMITED |  |  |  |
| MACQUARI EQUITIES (USA) INC | 4,619.34 | 2,603,000 | 0.002 | (MELBOURNE) | 1,196.95 | 350,600 | 0.003 |
| MACQUARIEBANK LIMITED | 1,865.46 | 222,200 | 0.008 | ORD MINNETT | 8,349.18 | 1,966,478 | 0.004 |
| MACQUARIEEQUITIES LIMITED (SYDNEY) | ) 7,369.03 | 93 922,491 | 0.008 | ORD MINNETT LTD | 15,362.07 | 1,787,775 | 0.009 |
| MACQUARIEEQUITIES U S A | 4,909.49 | 1,260,000 | 0.004 | OSCAR GRUSS AND SONS | 6,480.00 | 216,000 | 0.030 |
| MASTERLINK SECURITIES CO LTD | 4,667.34 | 1,462,313 | 0.003 | OTA LTD PARTNERSHIP | 10,962.00 | 365,400 | 0.030 |
| MAXUS CORP | 1,552.00 | 38,900 | 0.040 | PACIFIC CREST SECURITIES | 3,797.50 | 123,800 | 0.031 |
| MCDONALD + CO | 4,384.00 | 98,500 | 0.045 | PACIFIC SECS INC | 1,950.00 | 39,000 | 0.050 |
| MERRILL LYNCH | 46,551.52 | 1,872,241 | 0.025 | PAINE WEBBER INCORPORATED | 158,157.02 | 3,905,665 | 0.040 |
| MERRILL LYNCH FAR EAST LTD | 164,213.76 | 8,926,945 | 0.018 | PANMURE GORDON \& CO LTD | 19.43 | 5,242 | 0.004 |
| MERRILL LYNCH INTERNATIONAL | 104,469.38 | 264,154,367 | 0.000 | PARIBAS LONDON | 566.60 | 69,384 | 0.008 |
| MERRILL LYNCH INTERNATIONAL BK LTD | 13,734.36 | 503,200 | 0.027 | PATRIA FINANCE | 4,272.62 | 328,900 | 0.013 |
| MERRILL LYNCH PIERCE |  |  |  | PAULSEN, DOWLING SECURITIES INC. | 1,250.00 | 25,000 | 0.050 |
| FENNER + SMITH | 402,651.37 | 21,838,927 | 0.018 | PICTET (CANADA) + COMPANY LIMITED | 5,485.40 | 1,100 | 4.987 |
| MIDWEST RESEARCH | 9,203.71 | 65,600 | 0.140 | PICTET AND CIE | 4,705.56 | 1,085 | 4.337 |
| MIDWEST RESEARCH MAXXUS GROUP | 738.00 | 24,600 | 0.030 | PINATTON SOCIETE DE BOURSE SA | 5,515.98 | 89,526 | 0.062 |
| MILLER SECURITIES INC | 580.00 | 11,600 | 0.050 | PIPER JAFFRAY INC | 12,726.20 | 1,489,888 | 0.009 |
| Office of the StateTreasurer, Denise L. Nappier |  |  |  |  |  | S-21 |  |
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| Broker Name C | \$ <br> Commission | Shares/ <br> Par Value | Avg <br> Comm | Broker Name | \$ <br> Commission | Shares/ Avg <br> Par Value Comm |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PRABHUDASLILLADHHER PVT LTD | 586.16 | 364,224 | $4 \quad 0.002$ | SIEbERT BRANFORD SHANK AND CO | 4,614.00 | 153,800 | 0.030 |
| PRUDENTIAL SECURITIES INCORPORATED | D 47,174.40 | 1,575,800 | 00.030 | SIGMA SECS, ATHENS | 8,485.69 | 236,160 | 0.036 |
| QUICK + REILLY INC | 80.00 | 1,600 | 00.050 | SIGMA SECURITIES S.A. | 13,403.48 | 425,630 | 0.031 |
| RAYMOND JAMES AND ASSOCIATES INC | 21,190.11 | 561,400 | 0.038 | SILvis barnard jacos | 2,254.35 | 575,200 | 0.004 |
| RBC DOMINION SECURITIES CORPORATION | N $1,000.00$ | 20,000 | 0 | SK International securities | 7,725.00 | 154,500 | 0.050 |
| RBS TRUSTBANK LTD. | 1,932.83 | 358,000 | 0.005 | SKANDINAVISKa Enskilda |  |  |  |
| Robbins | 696.00 | 23,200 | 0.030 | banken london | 36,089.60 | 666,683 | 0.054 |
| ROBERT FLEMING | 73,075.06 | 14,136,614 | $4 \quad 0.005$ | SOCGEN CROSby SECS | 62.21 | 15,000 | 0.004 |
| ROBERT FLEMING + CO LONDON | 1,069.77 | 221,800 | O 0.005 | Societe generale | 2,747.35 | 28,591,025 | 0.000 |
| ROBERT FLEMING + CO LTD | 76,605.90 | 193,812,672 | $2 \quad 0.000$ | SOCIETE GENERALE S.A. MILAN | 963.85 | 2,480 | 0.389 |
| ROBERT FLEMING INC. BANK |  |  |  | SOCIETE GENERALE SECS | 9,365.30 | 324,020 | 0.029 |
| OF NEW YORK | 2,116.61 | 14,054,694 | $4 \quad 0.000$ | Societe generale securities | 8,936.32 | 404,120 | 0.022 |
| ROBERT FLEMING SECURITIES LIMITED | 4,355.55 | 1,629,010 | $0 \quad 0.003$ | SOCIETE GENERALE SECURITIES CORP | 38,054.78 | 38,414,940 | 0.001 |
| ROBERT FLEMMING SECS INC | 405.46 | 6,700 | 0.061 | SOUNDVIEWTECHNOLOGY GROUP INC | 24,134.00 | 781,100 | 0.031 |
| ROBERT W BAIRD \& CO., INCORPORATED | 6,075.78 | 519,863 | $3 \quad 0.012$ | SOUTHWESTSECURITIES | 1,526.00 | 86,900 | 0.018 |
| ROBERTSONSTEPHENS + CO L P | 21,471.00 | 851,800 | O 0.025 | SPEAR, LEEDS \& KELLOGG | 26,409.00 | 578,040 | 0.046 |
| ROBINSON HUMPHREY | 7,877.50 | 388,000 | 0.020 | STANDARD + POORS SECURITIES | 7,618.88 | 137,475 | 0.055 |
| ROCHDALE SEC CORP.(CLS THRU 443) | 56,292.25 | 1,226,550 | $0 \quad 0.046$ | STANDARD + POORS SECURITIES INC | 49,206.45 | 1,001,810 | 0.049 |
| RUSSELL FRANK SECURITIES INC | 1,460.00 | 76,600 | 0.019 | Standard bank | 1,508.76 | 171,438 | 0.009 |
| RYAN BECK+ CO | 6,177.00 | 149,700 | 0.041 | STANDARD BANK EmM | 174.37 | 25,000 | 0.007 |
| S G Warburg secs | 1,143.48 | 98,000 | 0.012 | Standard bank London Limited | 510.88 | 76,150 | 0.007 |
| SAITAMA | 369.00 | 34,700 | 0.011 | Standard chartered bank ny | 103.06 | 25,500 | 0.004 |
| SAL OPPENHEIM ET CIE | 1,282.73 | 4,880 | 0 0.263 | STANDARD CHARTERED SECS LTD | 101.90 | 24,500 | 0.004 |
| SALOMAN BROS | 13,257.13 | 755,390 | $0 \quad 0.018$ | STANDARD NEW YORK | 5,760.31 | 1,468,308 | 0.004 |
| SALOMAN BROTHERS INC. | 11,128.49 | 482,750 | 0-023 | State street brokerage services | 6,872.60 | 324,534 | 0.021 |
| SALOMON BROS HONG KONG | 68,691.91 | 3,831,545 | $5 \quad 0.018$ | STEPHENS,INC. | 5,871.00 | 127,700 | 0.046 |
| SALOMON BROTHERS FINANZ AG | 401.64 | 429,000 | 0.001 | STRATEI SECURITIES INC (ISTANBUL) | 15.34 | 82,000 | 0.000 |
| SALOMON BROTHERS INC, NY | 4,900.61 | 23,083,209 | $9 \quad 0.000$ | SUNTRUST EQUITABLE SECURITIES CORP | 9,260.00 | 287,600 | 0.032 |
| SALOMON BROTHERS INTERNATIONAL | 46,733.28 | 34,193,545 | $5 \quad 0.001$ | SUTHERLAND EDINBURGH | 5,722.91 | 129,600 | 0.044 |
| SALOMON SMITH BARNEY | 11,457.60 | 548,500 | 0 0.021 | SUTHERLANDS LIMITED | 2,136.46 | 77,900 | 0.027 |
| SALOMON SMITH BARNEY INC | 522,262.60 | 17,238,133 | $3 \quad 0.030$ | SUTRO ANDCOMPANY INC. | 5,048.00 | 139,900 | 0.036 |
| SAMSUNG SECURITIES CO LTD | 6,260.65 | 44,106 | $6 \quad 0.142$ | T Hoare | 2,934.57 | 260,600 | 0.011 |
| SANDERS MORRIS MUNDY | 3,181.00 | 62,000 | 0.051 | TAIWAN SECURITIES CO LTD | 3,139.71 | 1,002,000 | 0.003 |
| SANTANDERINVESTMENT BANK LTD | 23.58 | 4,564,000 | 0 0.000 | THOMAS WEISEL PARTNERS | 24,620.00 | 1,932,000 | 0.013 |
| SANTANDERINVESTMENT SECURITIES | 301.88 | 564,238 | $8 \quad 0.001$ | THOMASON INSTITUTIONAL SERVICES, INC | C 4,502.50 | 91,850 | 0.049 |
| SANTANDERINVESTMENT SECURITIES INC | C 14,443.01 | 262,843 | $3 \quad 0.055$ | TIR SECURITIES LTD HONG KONG | 3,687.75 | 489,750 | 0.008 |
| SBC WARburg | 12,359.65 | 4,268,187 | $7 \begin{array}{ll}0.003\end{array}$ | TORONTO DOMINION BANK TORONTO | 949.29 | 34,400 | 0.028 |
| SBC WARburg dillon read asia ltd | 6,064.40 | 429,400 | $0 \quad 0.014$ | TORONTO DOMINION BANK, NEW YORK | 1,508.71 | 55,000 | 0.027 |
| SbC WARburg dillon read inc | 1,224.28 | 106,268 | $8 \quad 0.012$ | TROSTER SINGER STEVENS |  |  |  |
| SBC WARburg london | 4,677.00 | 559,245 | $5 \quad 0.008$ | ROTHCHILD CORP | 25,853.00 | 2,029,601 | 0.013 |
| SBK BROOKS INVESTMENY CORP | 13,275.00 | 265,500 | 0.050 | TUCKER ANTHONY CLEARY GULL | 635.00 | 11,700 | 0.054 |
| SCHRODER + CO. | 15,298.75 | 1,860,014 | $4 \quad 0.008$ | TUCKER, ANTHONY + R.L. DAY, INC | 1,000.00 | 20,000 | 0.050 |
| SCHRODER SECS INTL | 94.18 | 11,000 | 0.009 | U.S. CLEARING CORPORATION | 11,347.56 | 222,342 | 0.051 |
| SCHRODER SECURITIES ASIA LTD | 977.65 | 20,090 | 0.049 | UBS AG | 15,843.12 | 140,836,866 | 0.000 |
| SCHRODER SECURITIES JAPAN LTD | 1,610.38 | 12,400 | 0.130 | UBS AG LONDON | 55,070.51 | 43,171,757 | 0.001 |
| SCHRODER SECURITIES LTD | 19,080.58 | 1,296,600 | O 0.015 | UBS BANK CANADA | 952.03 | 100,784 | 0.009 |
| SCHRODER SECURITIES LTD (SSL) | 69,446.81 | 2,780,630 | O 0.025 | UbS Warburg llc | 31,178.17 | 7,544,455 | 0.004 |
| SCOTIA MCLEOD | 341.18 | 10,000 | O 0.034 | USCC/SANTANDER | 85.26 | 1,421 | 0.060 |
| SCOTT + STRINGFELLOW | 846.50 | 15,800 | O 0.054 |  |  |  |  |
| SG COWEN SECURITIES CORP | 70,932.71 | 132,714,832 | $2 \begin{aligned} & 0.001\end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| SG SECURITIES (LONDON) LTD | 9,215.19 | 45,854,000 | - 0.000 |  |  |  |  |
| SG SECURITIES LONDON | 36.76 | 58,000 | 0.001 |  |  |  |  |
| SHARPE CAPITAL INC., NEW YORK | 625.00 | 12,500 | 0.050 |  |  |  |  |
| S-22 |  |  |  | Fiscal Year 2000 | OAnnual | Report |  |
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|  | $\$$ | Shares/ | Avg |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Commission | Par Value | Comm |
| Broker Name | $4,098.00$ | 136,700 | 0.030 |
| UTENDAHL CAPITAL PARTNERS | $31,935.69$ | $2,797,020$ | 0.011 |
| VICKER BALLAS | $2,187.32$ | 31,500 | 0.069 |
| VICKERS BALLAS AND COMPANY PTE | $8,998.71$ | 20,500 | 0.439 |
| VONTOBEL SECURITIES | $85,543.52$ | $21,783,078$ | 0.004 |
| W.I. CARR | $21,101.43$ | $4,645,900$ | 0.005 |
| W I CARR (FAR EAST) LONDON | $3,600.00$ | 60,000 | 0.060 |
| W R HAMBRECHT + CO LLC | $12,514.00$ | 252,000 | 0.050 |
| WACHOVIA SECURITIES INS | $2,711.00$ | 75,500 | 0.036 |
| WAGNER STOTT + CO | $2,037.68$ | 21,300 | 0.096 |
| WAKO SECURITIES | $1,457.21$ | 26,200 | 0.056 |
| WAKO SECURITIES CO LTD | $15,248.01$ | 88,763 | 0.172 |
| WARBURG DILLON READ LLC | $104,832.31$ | $159,649,636$ | 0.001 |
| WARBURG DILLON READ | $52,830.63$ | $2,978,558$ | 0.018 |
| WARBURG DILLON READ (HK) LTD |  |  |  |
| WARBURG DILLON READ EQUITIES | $31,795.35$ | $6,617,720$ | 0.005 |
| (MELBOURNE) | $206,434.44$ | $85,192,441$ | 0.002 |
| WARBURG DILLON READ LLC | $1,067.10$ | 15,000 | 0.071 |
| WARBURG DILLON READ SECURITIES | $7,425.20$ | $12,655,280$ | 0.001 |
| WARBURG FORMERLY S G WARBURG SECS | $1,008,290$ | 0.029 |  |
| WARBURG SECURITIES | $28,767.58$ | $84,460.19$ | $12,148,063$ |
| WARBURG, S.G., + COMPANY, INC | 595.00 | 11,900 | 0.050 |
| WASSERSTEIN PERELLA COMPANY | $1,260.00$ | 21,000 | 0.060 |
| WATERHOUSE SECURITIES INC | $77,551.60$ | $1,926,000$ | 0.040 |
| WEEDEN + CO. | 335.22 | 16,000 | 0.021 |
| WERE J B + SONS INC | 202.18 | 1,000 | 0.202 |
| WEST LB PANMURE SECS INC | 382.50 | 8,000 | 0.048 |
| WESTDEUTSCHE LANDES BANK | 370.55 | 18,740 | 0.020 |
| WILLAMS DE BROE PIC | 504.60 | 79,500 | 0.006 |
| WILLIAM DE BROE | 79,858 | 0.027 |  |
| WOOD GUNDY INC. |  | 183,910 | 0.040 |
| ZANNEX SECURITIES |  |  |  |

## GLOSSARY OF INVESTMENT TERMS

A gency Securities-Securities issued by U.S. Government agencies, such astheF ederal H omeL oan Bank. Thesesecurities havehigh credit ratings but are not backed by the full faith and credit of theU.S. Government.
Alpha - A measurement of thedifferencebetw een theactual performanceof afund and its expected performancegiven theoverall return of themarket and the fund's beta. Positive alpha indicates successful management of risk while negative alpha suggests unsuccessful management.
A sset - A nything ow ned that has value; any interest in real property or personal property that can beused for payment of debts.
A sset Backed Security - Financial instruments collateralized by oneor moretypes of assets including real property, mortgages, and receivables.
Banker's Acceptance (BA ) - A high-quality, short-term negotiablediscount note, draw $n$ on and accepted by banks w hich areobligated to pay theface amount at maturity.
Basis Point (bp) - Thesmallest measureused in quotingyields or returns. Onebasis point is $0.01 \%$ of yield, 100 basis points equals $1 \%$. A yield that changed from $8.75 \%$ to $9.50 \%$ increased by 75 basis points.
Bench mark -A standard unit used asthebasis of comparison; auniversal unit that isidentified with sufficient detail sothat other similarclassifications can be compared as being above, below, or comparable to the benchmark.
Beta - A measureofthe volatility associated with the pricemovement of astock in relation tothepricemovement of theoverall stock market or benchmark.
Book V alue (BV) - Thevalue of individual assets, cal culated as actual cost less allow ances for any depreciation. Book valuemay bemoreor less than current market value.
C apital G ain(Loss) - Also know n as capital appreciation(depreciation), capital gain(loss) measurestheincreasel decrease) in valueof an asset over time.
C ertificates of D eposit (CDs) - A debt instrument issued by banks, usually paying interest, with maturities ranging from seven daysto several years.
C oefficient of D etermination ( $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ ) - A statistic w hich indicatestheamount of variability in adependent variable, such as Fund returns, which may be explained by an independent variable, such as market returns, in a regression model. The coefficient of determination is denoted $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ and ranges from 0 to 10 . If thestatistic measures 0 , the independent variable offers no explanation of the dependent variable. If the statistic measures 10, theindependent variable fully explains the dependent variable.
C ollateral - Property offered as security, usually as an inducement to another party, to lend money or extend credit.
C ollateralized M ortgage O bligation (CMO) - A generic term for a security backed by real estatemortgages. CM 0 payment obligations arecovered by interest and/or principal payments from a pool of mortgages.
Commercial Paper - Short-term obligations with maturities ranging from 1to 270 days. They areissued by banks, corporations, and other borrow ersto investors with temporarily idle cash.
Compounded Annual Total Return-Compounded annual total return measurestheimplicit annual percentagechangein value of an investment, assuming reinvestment of dividends, interest, and realized capital gains, including those attributableto currency fluctuations. In effect, compounded annual total return "smoothes" fluctuations in long-term investment returns to derive an implied year-to-year annual return.
C onsumer Price Index (CPI) - A measure of changein consumer prices, as determined by a monthly survey of theU .S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. Components of the CPI includehousing costs, food, transportation, electricity, etc.
C umulative $\mathbf{R}$ ate of $\mathbf{R}$ eturn-A measureofthetotal return earned for aparticulartimeperiod. This calculation measurestheabsolutepercentagechange in value of an investment over a specified period, assuming reinvestment of dividends, interest income, and realized capital gains. For ex ample, if a $\$ 100$ investment grew to $\$ 120$ in atw o-year period, the cumulative rate of return w ould be $20 \%$.
Current Yield - Therelationship betw een theannual coupon interest rateand themarket priceofafixed-incomesecurity. In calculating current yield, only the coupon interest payments are considered; no consideration is given to capital gain/loss or interest on interest.
D erivative- Derivatives aregenerally defined as contracts w hosevaluedepends on, or derives from, the value of an underlying asset, referencerate, or index. For example, an option is a derivative instrument becauseits value derives from an underlying stock, stock index, or future.
Discount R ate - Theinterest rate that theF ederal Reservecharges banks for loans, using government securities or eligible epaper as collateral.
Diversification -Thespreading of risk by putting assets in several categories of investments- stocks, bonds, money market instruments, or a mutual fund with its broad range of stocks in one portfolio.
D uration - A measureof theaveragetimeto receipt of all bond cash flow s. Duration is used to determinethepercentagechangein priceof afixed income security for a given change in the security's yield to maturity. Duration is stated in terms of time periods, generally years. (See M odified and M acaulay duration).
Equity - Theow nership interest possessed by shareholders in acorporation.
ERISA (Employee R etirement Income Security Act) - The1974 law governingtheoperation ofmost privatepensionand benefitplans. Thelaw eased pension eligibility rules, set up the Pension Benefit GuaranteeC orporation, and established guidelines for the management of pension funds.
Expense R atio - Theamount, expressed as apercentageof total investment, that shareholders pay for mutual fund operating expenses and management fees.
F air V alue-Theamount at which afinancial instrument could beexchanged in a current transaction betw een willing parties, other than in aforced or liquidation sale.
F ederal Funds R ate- Theinterest ratecharged by banksw ith ex cess reserves at aF ederal Reservedistrict bank to banksneeding overnight loansto meet reserve requirements. The federal funds rate is one of the most sensitive indicators of the direction of interest rates since it is set daily by the market.
F ederal R eserve Board - Thegoverning body of theF ederal ReserveSystem (12 regional Federal banks monitoring thecommercial and savings banksin their regions). Theboard establishes FRS policies on such key matters as reserverequirements and other regulations, sets the discount rate, and tightens or loosens the availability of credit in the economy.
Fiduciary -A person, company, or association holdingassets in trust for abeneficiary. Thefiduciary ischarged with theresponsibility to invest themoney wisely for the beneficiary's benefit.
Floating R ate $\mathbf{N}$ ote - A fixed principal instrument which has along or even indefinitelifeand whoseyield is periodically reset relativeto areferenceindex rate to reflect changes in short- or intermediate-term interest rates.
G ross D omestic Product - Total final valueof goodsand servicesproduced intheU nited States over aparticular period ortime, usually oneyear. TheG DP growth rate is the primary indicator of the health of the economy.
Hed ge - An investment in assets which servesto reducetheoverall risk of a portfolio, usually at the expense of potential rew ard.

## GLOSSARY OF INVESTMENT TERMS (Continued)

IBC Index (F ormerly D onoghue's) - An index which represents an averageof thereturns of institutional money market mutual funds that invest primarily in first-tier (securities rated A-1, P-1) taxable securities.
Index - A benchmark used in executing investment strategy which is view ed as an independent representation of market performance. An index implicitly assumes cost-free transactions; some assume reinvestment of income. Example: S\& P 500 index.
Index Fund - A fund whoseportfolio matches that of abroadbased index such as theS\& P 500 so as to match its performance.
Inflation - A measure of therisein priceof goods and services, as happens w hen spending increases relativeto the supply of goods on themarket, i.e.too much money chasing too few goods.
Investment Income-Theequity dividends, bond interest, and/or cash interest paid on an investment.
J-C urve- An economic theory stating that a policy designed to haveoneeffect will initially havetheopposite effect. W ith regard to closed end commingled fund investments, this generally refers to the impact on returns of contributions made in the early portion of a fund's existence. Invested capital is used to pay fees and organizational costs as well as to make investments in non-income producing enterprises. Such uses negatively impact returns in early periods but are expected to generateincreasing income and valuations in thelate periods as the previously non-incomeproducing entities start producing income and the relative size of fees and other costs diminish relative to the value of invested capital.
LB A ggregate Index - An index madeup of G overnment, Corporate,M ortgageBacked, and A sset Backed securities, all rated investment grade. Returns are market value weighted inclusive of dividends. Issues must have at least one year to maturity and an outstanding par value of at least $\$ 100$ million.
Letter of C redit-An instrument or document issued by abank, guaranteeing the payment of acustomer'sdrafts up to a stated amount for aspecified period. It substitutes the bank's credit for the buyer's and eliminates the seller's risk.
Liability - Theclaim on theassets of a company or individual - excluding ow nership equity. Theobligation to makea payment to another.
Leverage - Theuse of borrow ed funds to increasepurchasing pow er and, ideally, to increase profitability of an investment business.
M acaulay Duration - Thepresent valuew eighted timeto maturity of thecash flows of a fixed payment instrument or of theimplicit cash flow of a derivative based on such an instrument.
M arket V alue-Thepriceat which buyers and sellers tradesimilar items in an open mark etplace. Stocks areconsidered liquid and arethereforevalued at a market price. R eal estate is illiquid and valued on an appraised basis.
M aster Custodian - An entity, usually abank, used as a placefor safekeeping of securities. Thebank is also responsiblefor many other functions which include accounting, performance, and securities lending.
M aturity Date-Thedateon which theprincipal amount of abond or other debt instrument becomes payableor due.
M ezzanineD ebt -Subordinateddebt.
M odified Duration - A measurement of thechangein the value of an instrument in response to achangein interest rates. It istheprimary basisfor comparing the effect of interest ratechanges on prices of fixed income securities.
M oney M arket Fund - An open-ended mutual fund that invests in commercial paper, bankers' acceptances, repurchase agreements, government securities, certificates of deposit, and other highly liquid and safe securities and pays money market rates of interest. The fund's net asset value remains a constant \$1per share- only the interest rategoes up or down.
M oody's (M oody's Investors Service) - A financial services company which is one of thebest known bond rating agencies in thecountry. M oody's investment grade ratings are assigned to certain municipal short-term debt securities, classified as MIG-1, 2, 3, and 4 to signify best, high, favorable, and adequatequal ity, respectively. All four are investment grade or bank quality.
M SCI-EAFE - M organ Stanley EuropeAustral asiaF ar East foreign equity index. An arithmetic valuew eighted averageof theperformanceof over 900 securities on thestock exchanges of 19 countries on threecontinents. Theindex is cal culated on a total return basis, $w$ hich includes reinvestment of dividends net of withholding taxes.
N et Asset Value (NAV) - The total assets minus liabilities, including any gains or losses on investments or currencies, and any accrued income or expense. NAV is similar to Shareholders' Equity.
N C REIF (N ational C ouncil of Real Estate Investment Fiduciaries) - Anindex consistingofinvestment-grade, non-agricultural, income-producing properties: apartments, hotels, offices, and w arehouses. The return includes appreciation, realized capital gains, and income. It is computed by adding the income return and capital appreciation return on a quarterly basis.
Par V alue - Thestated or facevalueof a stock or bond. It has littlesignificancefor common stocks, how ever, for bonds it specifies the payment amount at maturity.
Pension Fund - A fund set up bya corporation, labor union, governmental entity, or other organization to pay the pension benefits of retired workers.
Percentile - A description of thepercentageof thetotal universe in which portfolio performanceis ranked.
Price/Book ( $\mathbf{P} / \mathbf{B}$ ) - A ratioshowingthepriceof astock divided by its book value. TheP/B measures themultipleat $w$ hich themarket is capitalizingthe net asset value per share of a company at any given time.
Price/Earnings (P/E)-A ratioshow ingthepriceof astock divided by its earnings per share. TheP/E measures themultipleat which themarket is capitalizing the earnings per share of a company at any given time.
Present V alue-Thecurrent value of afuturecash flow or series of cash flow sdiscounted at an appropriateinterest rateor rates. For example, at a $12 \%$ interest rate, the receipt of one dollar a year from now has a present value of $\$ 0.89286$.
Principal - Facevalueof an obligation, such as abond or aloan, that must berepaid at maturity.
Prudent M an Rule-Thestandard adopted by somestates to guidethosefiduciaries with responsibility for investing money of others. Such fiduciaries must act as a prudent man w ould be expected to act, with discretion and intelligence, to seek reasonableincome, preserve capital, and, in general, avoid speculative investment.
Realized Gain (Loss) - A gain (loss) that has occurred financially. Thedifferencebetw een theprincipal amount received and the cost basis after thesale of an asset.
Relative V olatility - A ratio of thestandard deviation of theFund to thestandard deviation of its selected benchmark. A relativevolatility greater than 10 suggests comparatively more volatility in Fund returns than those of the benchmark.
Repurchase A greements ("Repos") - An agreement to purchasesecurities from an entity for aspecified amount of cash and to resell thesecuritiesto the entity at an agreed upon price and time. R epos are widely used as a money market instrument.

## GLOSSARY OF IN VESTMENT TERMS (Continued)

R everse R epurchase A greements ( "R everse Repos") - An agreement to sell securitiesto an entity for aspecified amount of cash and to repurchasethe securities from the entity at an agreed upon price and time.
Return on Equity ( ROE ) - Thenet incomefor theaccounting period after payment of preferred stock dividends and before payment of common stock dividends of a company divided by the common stock equity at the beginning of the accounting period.
Risk Adjusted Return-A modified (usually reduced) return which allow sfor thecost or valueof aspecific exposureor for aggregaterisk exposures.
R ussell $\mathbf{3 0 0 0}$ - An equity index comprised of thesecurities of the 3,000 largest public U.S. companies as determined by total market capitalization. This index represents approximately $98 \%$ of the U.S. equity market. The largest security has a market capitalization of approximately $\$ 85$ billion; the smallest is approximately $\$ 90$ million.
Salomon Brothers Broad Investment-G rade Bond Index (SBIG )-A market value w eighted index composed of over 4,000 individually priced securities with a quality rating of at least BBB. Each issue has a minimum maturity of one year with an outstanding par amount of at least $\$ 25$ million.
Salomon Brothers W orld G overnment Bond Index N on-U.S. (SW GBI)-Anunhedged index measuring governmentissues of 12majorindustrialized countries.
Securities Lending - A carefully collateralized process of loaning portfolio positions to custodians, dealers, and short sellers who must make physical delivery of positions. Securities lending can reduce custody costs or enhance annual returns by a full percentage point or more in certain market environments.
Soft D ollars-Thevalueof research or other services that brokeragehouses and other serviceentities provideto a client "free of charge" in exchangefor the client's business.
S\& P 500 (Standard \& Poor's) - Thecompositepriceaverageof 425 industrial stocks,50 utility stocks, and 25 railroad stocks.
S\& P R atings
AAA - Debt having the highest rating assigned by Standard \& Poor's. It has the highest capacity to pay interest and its ability to repay principal is extremely strong.
AA - Debt having a very strong capacity to pay interest and repay principal. AA rated debt differs from thehigher rated issues by only a small degree. A - Debt which has a strong capacity to pay interest and repay principal although it is somew hat moresusceptible to the adverseeffects of change in circumstances and economic conditions than debt in higher rated categories.
B B B - Debt regarded as having an adequate capacity to pay interest and repay principal. BBB is the low est rating assignableto investment grade securities. Although debt rated BBB normally exhibits adequateprotection parameters, adverseeconomic conditions or changingcircumstances are more likely to lead to weakened capacity to pay interest and repay principal for debt in this category than in higher rated categories.
BB, B, C C C , and C C - These ratings are regarded, on balance, as predominantly speculativew ith respect to capacity to pay interest and repay principal in accordance to theterms of the obligation.
C - These ratings are reserved for income bonds on which no interest is being paid. D - These ratings are for debt which is in default. No interest or repayment of principal is being paid.
Standard Deviation - A statistical measureshow ingthedeviation of an individual valuein a probability distributed from the mean (average) of the distribution. The greater the degree of di spersion from the mean rate of return, the higher the stand ard deviation; therefore, the higher the risk.
Thomson Bank W atch - Rating agency for banks. Thomson's ratings on short-term securities span from TBW -1through TBW -4. Long-term investments are rated $A$ through $E$.
Treasury Bill ( $\mathbf{T}$ - Bill) - Short-term, highly liquid government securities issued at a discount from the facevalueand returning thefaceamount at maturity.
Treasury Bond or N ote - Debt obligations of theF ederal government that makesemiannual coupon payments and aresold at or near par valuein denominations of $\$ 1,000$ or more.
Trust - A fiduciary relationship in which a person, called a trustee, holdstitleto property for the benefit of another person, called a beneficiary.
T U C S - Trust U niverseC omparison Service. TUCS is based upon a pooling of quarterly trust accounting datafrom participating banks and other organizations that provide custody for trust assets.
T urnover - Theminimum of security purchases or sales divided by thefiscal year's beginning and ending mark et values for a given portfolio.
U nrealized G ain (Loss) - A profit(loss) that has not been realized through the sal eof asecurity. Thegain (loss) is realized when asecurity or futures contract is actually sold or settled.
V ariable R ate $\mathbf{N}$ ote-Floating ratenotes with acoupon rateadjusted at set intervals, such as daily, w eekly, or monthly, based on different interest rate indices, such as LIBOR,Fed Funds, and Treasury Bills.
V olatility - A statistical measureof thetendency of a market priceor yield to vary over time. Volatility is said to behigh iftheprice, yield, or return typically changes dramatically in a short period of time.
Yield - Thereturn on an investor's capital investment.
Yield C urve-A graph showingtheterm structure of interest rates by plotting theyields of all bonds of the samequality with maturities ranging from the shortest to the longest possible. The $Y$-axis represents the interest rate and the X -axis represents timewith a normal curvebeing convex in shape.
Z ero Coupon Bond - A bond paying no interest that sellsat adiscount and returns principal only at maturity.

| Bond FinanceType | Outstanding June30,1999 | FY2000 |  |  | Outstanding June30,2000 | FY2000 Interest Paid |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Issued | Retired | Defeased |  |  |
| General Obligation - Tax Supported ${ }^{(1)}$ | \$6,074,981,442 | \$ 845,000,000 | \$554,925,529 | \$109,089,829 | \$6,255,966,084 | \$ 354,288,262 |
| General Obligation - RevenueSupported | 145,261,278 |  | 5,899,880 | 7,453,299 | 131,908,099 | 7,750,570 |
| General Obligation - Transportation | 60,867,786 | - | 8,060,000 | 11,027,210 | 41,780,576 | 2,896,237 |
| Special Tax Obligation | 3,117,281,796 | 150,000,000 | 169,578,609 | 75,540,362 | 3,022,162,825 | 167,727,755 |
| Bradley International Airport | 81,450,000 | - | 3,480,000 | - | 77,970,000 | 6,083,636 |
| Clean W ater Fund | 572,510,000 | - | 22,630,000 | - | 549,880,000 | 27,265,968 |
| U nemployment Compensation ${ }^{(2)}$ | 544,755,000 | - | 175,770,000 | - | 368,985,000 | 22,136,365 |
| UCONN $2000{ }^{(3)}$ | 357,587,147 | 130,850,000 | 19,720,000 | - | 468,717,147 | 16,243,990 |
| CDA Tax Increment Financing ${ }^{(4)}$ | 36,565,000 | - | 1,225,000 | - | 35,340,000 | 1,989,904 |
| CDA Governmental Lease R evenue ${ }^{(5)}$ | 8,165,000 | - | 390,000 |  | 7,775,000 | 517,588 |
| Second Injury Fund Bonds ${ }^{(6)}$ | 91,180,000 | - | 5,100,000 | - | 86,080,000 | 4,769,370 |
| CHEFA Childcare Facilities Program ${ }^{(7)}$ | 16,315,000 | 18,690,000 | 295,000 | 550,000 | 34,160,000 | 1,307,085 |
| Bradley Parking G arage ${ }^{(8)}$ | - | 53,800,000 | - | - | 53,800,000 | - |
| TOTAL | \$11,106,919,448 | \$1,198,340,000 | \$967,074,018 | \$203,660,700 | \$11,134,524,730 | \$ 612,976,730 |

(1) Debt outstanding at June 30,2000 includes $\$ 32,090,000$ in Certificates of Participation for the $M$ iddletow $n$ courthouse which is not debt of the State. H ow ever, theStateis obligated to pay a base rent under aleasefor the courthouse, subject to the annual appropriation of funds or the availablility of other funds. The baserent is appropriated as debt service. TheC ertificates of Participation areincluded on theT reasurer's Debt M anagement System for control purposes.
(2) $\$ 34.8$ million in assessment revenueis reserved to redeem $\$ 34.8$ million in U nemployment C ompensation bonds on July $1,2000$.
(3) UCONN 2000 Bonds in a total amount of $\$ 962$ M illion are authorized over a ten year period to be paid by the University of Connecticut from a StateDebt Servicecommitment. As each series is issued, thedebt serviceis validly ap propriated from theStateG eneral Fund.
(4) TheConnecticut Development Authority has issued tax increment bonds for certain economic development projects. The debt service on the bonds is deemed appropriated from the State's $G$ eneral Fund.
(5) TheC onnecticut Development Authority has issued its leaserevenue bonds for theN ew Britain Government C enter. TheStateis obligated to pay baserent subject to the annual appropriation of funds. Thesepayments arebudgeted in the Treasurer's debt servicebudget as lease payments.
(6) $\$ 155$ million in Second Injury Fund Commercial Paper is outstanding as of June 2000. This short-term commercial paper w ill be refinanced with additional Second Injury Fund Bonds.
(7) On July 1, 1999, theT reasurer's Office assumed the responsibility for the CHEFA Childcare debt service appropriation per Public Act 97-259. As of that dateCHEFA had issued $\$ 16,315,000$ in bonds and another $\$ 18,690,000$ w as issued on September 1, 1999. $\$ 350,000$ was cash defeased by CHEFA on July 1, 1999 and $\$ 200,000$ w as defeased on January 1, 2000.
(8) Includes $\$ 6,135,000$ of Taxable Bradley International Airport Special Obligation Parking RevenueBonds, Series 2000 B

* For a detailed listing of debt oustanding for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2000, pleaseseeStatutory A ppendix.

|  | Amount of | LastC all | Market |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| DateEscrow | Amor <br> Principal | Dateon <br> Refunded Debt | Valueof <br> Escrow | Investment Profileof Escrow Account |
| Ostablished | Outstanding |  |  |  |

## BONDTYPE:GENERALOBLIGATION

| 05/14/92 | \$ | 19,085,000 | 07/15/00 | \$ | 19,034,012 | State and Local Government Series Bonds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 02/25/93 |  | 103,900,000 | 09/15/00 |  | 106,420,316 | State and Local Government Series Bonds |
| 04/01/93 |  | 26,750,000 | 03/01/01 |  | 27,541,756 | State and Local Government Series Bonds |
| 10/20/93 |  | 190,300,000 | 03/15/02 |  | 197,109,445 | State and Local Government Series Bonds |
| 03/30/95 |  | 40,860,000 | 03/01/01 |  | 41,957,363 | State and Local Government Series Bonds |
| 04/30/96 |  | 19,520,000 | 09/15/00 |  | 19,986,998 | State and Local Government Series Bonds |
| 11/26/96 |  | 68,270,000 | 03/15/02 |  | 71,468,611 | State and Local Government Series Bonds |
| 09/30/97 |  | 119,285,000 | 08/15/04 |  | 125,149,450 | State and Local Government Series Bonds |
| 02/19/98 |  | 143,360,000 | 08/1/04 |  | 151,429,618 | State and Local Government Series Bonds |
| 08/27/98 |  | 30,165,000 | 12/15/01 |  | 31,740,167 | State and Local Government Series Bonds |
| 11/05/99 |  | 20,175,595 | 06/01/13 |  | 21,981,519 | U.S.T Teasury Notes |
| 12/29/99 |  | 107,385,000 | 03/15/06 |  | 108,102,344 | State and Local Government Series Bonds |
| SUBTOTAL | \$ | 889,055,595 |  | \$ | 921,921,599 |  |

## BONDTYPE:SPECIALTRANSPORTATION FUND

| 03/01/93 | \$ | 115,800,000 | 06/01/03 | \$ | 118,980,613 | State and Local Government Series Bonds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 09/01/93 |  | 41,235,000 | 06/01/03 |  | 42,957,949 | State and Local Government Series Bonds |
| 09/01/95 |  | 50,205,000 | 06/01/03 |  | 51,875,893 | State and Local Government Series Bonds |
| 10/01/96 |  | 79,670,000 | 10/01/01 |  | 82,285,922 | State and Local Government Series Bonds |
| 09/25/97 |  | 64,575,000 | 06/01/03 |  | 65,179,588 | State and Local Government Series Bonds |
| 11/15/97 |  | 54,960,000 | 09/01/02 |  | 58,521,713 | State and Local Government Series Bonds |
| 04/15/98 |  | 195,180,000 | 10/01/04 |  | 205,249,422 | State and Local Government Series Bonds |
| 12/01/99 |  | 75,540,362 | 06/01/08 |  | 82,921,936 | State and Local Government Series Bonds |
| SUBTOTAL | \$ | 677,165,362 |  | \$ | 707,973,036 |  |

## BOND TYPE:CLEAN W ATER FUND

| 04/30/96 | $\$$ | $43,125,000$ | $01 / 01 / 01$ | $\$$ | $46,738,350$ | U.S.Treasury N otes <br> State and Local Government Series Bonds |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 05/25/99 |  | $74,080,000$ | $06 / 01 / 04$ |  |  |  |

## BOND TYPE: CHEFANURSING HOMES

| $01 / 05 / 99$ | $\$$ | $58,455,000$ | $11 / 01 / 04$ | $\$$ | $62,270,882$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad$ U.S.Treasury N otes |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SUBTOTAL | $\$$ | $58,455,000$ |  | $\$$ |
| $\mathbf{6 2 , 2 7 0 , 8 8 2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | $\$$ | $\mathbf{1 , 7 4 1 , 8 8 0 , 9 5 7}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 , 8 1 6 , 9 7 5 , 5 9 4}$ |

(1) Represents bonds which havebeen refunded with proceeds of other bond issues and bonds which havebeen defeased using budget surplus. Although theStateis still legally responsiblefor principal and interest payments on the refunded bonds, therefunded bonds are not carried as a liability of theStatesincethey havebeen "in-substance" defeased. Investments adequateto meet all payments havebeen irrevocably deposited in escrow accounts with an independent agent for thesole purpose of satisfying principal and interest. Theadequacy of each escrow account to meet debt service payments has been verified by an independent accounting firm.

## DEBT MANAGEMENT DIVISION

## SCHEDULE OF EXPENSES IN EXCESS OF \$5,000 ${ }^{(1)}$

FISC AL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2000

|  |  | Aggregate |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| N ame of Firm | C ontract | Compensation Paid <br> in FY 2000 |
|  | of 06/30/00 |  |

TOTAL
\$ 7,520,865

[^3]Description
Total

## INFLOWS

## Receipts:

Deposits
Bad Checks
Receipts initiated by OSC
Total Receipts
Transfers:
CDSell
Income/Cash Transfers
Investment - Sells
Total Transfers
Other Inflows:
Internal Bank Transfers
Interbank Transfers
Transfers from Small Banks
Total Other Inflows

| $\$ 16,811,263,577.04{ }^{(2)}$ |
| ---: |
| $(11,430,573.68)^{(3)}$ |
| $53,102,713.48$ |
|  |
| $16,852,935,716.84$ |

$$
\begin{array}{r}
34,260,536.911^{(12)} \\
2,344,959,089.12^{(5)} \\
8,915,843,763.53 \\
\hline 11,295,063,389.56 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
23,691,714,912.94^{(7)} \\
18,524,967,517.03 \\
1,985,016.25 \\
\\
\hline 42,218,667,446.22
\end{gathered}
$$

## TOTAL INFLOWS

$\xlongequal{\$ 70,366,666,552.62}$

## OUTFLOWS

Disbursements:
Vendor
Payroll
Disbursements initiated by OTT
Total Disbursements

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\$ 12,654,913,702.788^{(10)} \\
5,294,271,925.00^{(11)} \\
428,143,711.83 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

Transfers:
CDPurchases
Income/Cash Transfers
Investments - Buys
TotalTransfers
Other Outflows:
Internal Bank Transfers
Interbank Transfers
Transfers from Small Banks
Total Other Outflows

## TOTAL OUTFLOWS

27,097,657.29 ${ }^{(12)}$
570,914,147.55 ${ }^{(5)}$
9,242,778,422.90 ${ }^{(6)}$
$9,840,790,227.74$
23,691,714,912.94 ${ }^{\text {(7) }}$
$18,524,967,517.03{ }^{(8)}$
$\underline{\underline{42,218,667,446.22}}{ }^{(9)}$
\$70,436,787,013.57
(1) Detail information on Grant Transfer and Service Transfer activity is no longer recorded by the Treasurer. These transactions affected individual fund balances, but had no effect on net cash balances. As a result, this activity is not included in this schedule.
(2) Deposits - revenue received from taxes, licenses, lottery fees, and federal grants.
(3) Bad Checks - checks issued with insufficient funds in the originator's bank account.
(4) Receipts initiated by the Office of the Comptroller. Disbursements initiated by the Office of the Treasurer. Both transactions affect appropriations.
(5) Income Earned/Cash Transfers - income earned from short and long-term investments, transfers of cash from one fund to the other due to closing of the fund or combining funds.
(6) Investments Sells/Buys - investments activity.
(7) Internal Bank Transfers - transfers of money from concentration accounts to a zero balance sub account with the same depository institution to provide funds to cover authorized disbursements.
(8) Interbank Transfers - transfers of state moneys between banks to invest excess cash or to cover authorized disbursements.
(9) Transfers from Small Banks - movement of money from the State's secondary accounts to the State's depository.
(10) Vendor - expenditures for goods and services provided to the State by vendors.
(11) Payroll - expenditures for the State's personnel payroll.
(12) CD Purchases/Sells - Certificates of Deposit purchased with Connecticut banks under the Treasurer's Community Reinvestment Initiative.

## CASH MANAGEMENT DIVISION

SUM M ARY SCHEDULE OF CASH RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS ${ }^{(1)}$ FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2000

| Fund Name | Adjusted Cash Balance July 1, 1999 | FY 2000 <br> Treasury Receipts ${ }^{(2)}$ | FY 2000 Treasury Disbursements | Transfers | Transfers ST \& GT <br> Recorded by OTC but not OTT ${ }^{(3)}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Other } \\ \text { Net } \\ \text { Adjustments }{ }^{(4)} \end{gathered}$ | Adjusted Cash Balance June 30, 2000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Fund | \$(963,929,860.16) | \$13,202,440,488.25 | \$12,176,799,119.61 | \$(126,619,431.48) | \$(1,017,169,024.16) | \$(51,372,149.79) | \$(1,133,449,096.95) |
| Special Revenue Funds | 871,009,353.46 | 2,102,708,428.19 | 2,770,995,779.87 | 150,685,429.22 | 618,506,621.42 | 13,038,800.86 | 984,952,853.28 |
| Debt Service Funds | 6,172,444.35 | 4,862,769.28 | 0.00 | (10,229,301.64) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 805,911.99 |
| Capital Project Funds | $(105,716,195.09)$ | 392,583,261.42 | 1,215,728,282.73 | 825,554,663.82 | (49,369,491.88) | 3,335.12 | (152,672,709.34) |
| Internal Service Funds | 6,810,362.43 | 26,676,792.14 | 86,343,034.12 | 198,858.55 | 51,172,921.06 | 1,177.10 | $(1,482,922.84)$ |
| Enterprise Service Funds | 14,918,332.89 | 188,135,745.71 | 276,575,551.07 | 106,317,611.64 | (27,371,580.46) | 894,869.61 | 6,319,428.32 |
| Fiduciary Funds | 28,600,497.86 | 935,528,231.85 | 1,850,887,572.21 | 508,365,331.71 | 423,602,737.40 | 4,119.85 | 45,213,346.46 |
| TOTAL FUNDS | \$(142,135,064.26) | \$16,852,935,716.84 | \$18,377,329,339.61 | \$1,454,273,161.82 | \$ (627,816.62) | \$(37,429,847.25) | \$(250,313,189.08) |

(1) Detailed information on activity within each individual fund (formerly provided in the Statutory Appendix) can be obtained from the Comptroller's Annual Report.
(2) Figures do not reflect any adjustments made by the Comptroller to the agency's deposit information.
(3) Represents Service and Grant Transfers recorded by the Comptroller in order to move cash between funds, subsequent to the initial receipt of the money. As these transfers do not effec the cash total, they were not recorded in the Treasurer's records.
(4) Other Net Adjustments have been included to bring the Treasurer's cash balance presentation into conformance with the Comptroller's cash balance presentation. These adjustments include the following:

- Cash received by agencies on June 30, 1999, and June 30, 2000, but not deposited in a bank.
- Cash held in agency checking accounts or recorded as zero-balance account disbursement prior to the issuance of checks.
- Petty cash balance.
- Payroll disbursements recorded by the Comptroller's prior to actual electronic transfer to employees.
- Electronic payroll disbusements returned by the bank pending adjustment on the ledger.

SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF CASH AND INVESTMENTS ${ }^{(1)}$
FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2000

(1) For a detailed listing of the Civil List Investments for the Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2000, please see Statutory Appendix.
(2) Reconciliation of Cash Equivalents Per Comptroller's Books to Cash and General Investments and Short-Term Investments with Treasurer as Trustee Per Treasury Books.
(3) The cash figures were obtained from the Comptroller's records, as the Treasurer maintains information on the total fund balance.

| N ame of Firm | Description of Services | C ontract Date | Aggregate Compensation Paid in FY 2000 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Status } \\ \text { As of } 6 / 30 / 00 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fleet Bank | Banking Services | $V$ arious | \$ | 2,533,105 ${ }^{(2)}$ | Active |
| BankBoston | Banking Services | Various |  | 369,680 ${ }^{(2)}$ | Active |
| People's Bank | Banking Services | M ar-97 |  | 190,400 ${ }^{(2)}$ | Active |
| State Street Bank \& Trust | Banking Services | Jun-90 |  | 95,297 ${ }^{(2)}$ | Active |
| W ebster Bank | Banking Services | Jun-98 |  | 93,018 ${ }^{(2)}$ | Active |
| State Street Bank \& Trust | STIF Custodian Fees | Aug-99 |  | 86,710 | Active |
| First Union Bank | Banking Services | Feb-97 |  | 46,935 ${ }^{(2)}$ | Active |
| Standard \& Poors | Subscription \& Rating | N / A |  | 36,000 | Active |
| Computers Plus Centers Inc. | Computer Equipment | N/A |  | 19,213 | Terminated |
| Bloomberg L P | Subscription | N/A |  | 15,090 | Active |
| Telerate Incorporated | Financial Information | N/A |  | 12,000 | Active |
| Moodys Investors Services | Subscription \& Research | N/A |  | 11,750 | Active |
| Co-Opportunity Personnel | Temporary Services | N/A |  | 9,901 | Terminated |
| Deloitte \& Touche LLP | AIMR Attestation Services | Sep-99 |  | 8,500 | Active |
| Thompson Bankwatch Inc. | Bank Credit Analysis | N/A |  | 6,850 | Active |
| On-Line Systems Inc | EDP Consulting Services | N/A |  | 6,510 | Terminated |
| New England Solutions Systems | Computer Equipment | N/A |  | 6,073 | Terminated |
| TOTAL |  |  | \$ | 3,547,032 |  |

(1) Expenses arepresented on a cash basis.
(2) Includes compensation realized through bank balances and fees.

## UNCLAIMED PROPERTY DIVISION

## SCHEDULE OF EXPENSES IN EXCESS OF \$5,000 ${ }^{(1)}$

FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2000

| N ame of Firm | Description of Services | Contract Date | Aggregate Compensation Paid in FY 2000 |  | Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| StateStreet Bank and Trust Co. | Securities Services \& Claims Processing | Nov-93 | \$ | 563,017 | Active |
| StateStreet Bank and T rust Co. | Identification \& C ollection of Property | Aug-94 |  | 548,787 | Active |
| National Abandoned Property ProcessingC orporation | Identification \& C ollection of Property | Dec-91 |  | 70,722 | Active |
| ADECO | Temporary Services | N/A |  | 7,646 | Active |
| Computers Plus C enters, Inc. | EDP Equipment | N/A |  | 9,347 | Terminated |
| C o-Opportunity Personnel Services | Temporary Services | N/A |  | 6,346 | Terminated |
| CorporateExpress | OfficeSupplies | Oct-95 |  | 8,714 | Active |
| DataPrepIncorporated | Data Entry Services | N/A |  | 10,033 | Active |
| H itchcock Printer \& D istribution Service | Publication of U nclaimed Property H older M anual | N/A |  | 7,680 | Terminated |
| InsalcoC orporation | OfficeFurnishing \& Fabric | N/A |  | 5,531 | Terminated |
| U nbeatableT ee's | Promotional Items | N/A |  | 10,852 | Terminated |
| Xerox Corporation | PhotocopierLease | N/A |  | 11,437 | Active |
| TOTAL |  |  | \$ | 1,257,112 |  |

(1) Expensesarepresented on a cash basis.

Fiscal Year Ended June 30,

|  |  | 2000 |  | 1999 |  | 1998 |  | 1997 |  | 1996 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Receipts (Net of fees) | \$ | 37, 102, 017 | \$ | 47, 270, 291 |  | 31, 066, 409 |  | 26,257, 013 |  | 27, 523, 813 |
| Fees netted fromproceeds ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | 619,508 |  | 1,191, 231 |  | 1,036,619 |  | 600,888 |  | 592, 210 |
| Gross R eceipts |  | 37,721,525 |  | 48,461,522 |  | 32,103,028 |  | 26,857,901 |  | 28,116,023 |
| Clai ms paid |  | 9,475,207 |  | 8, 951, 783 |  | 8,777, 191 |  | 4,896,505 |  | 3, 637, 914 |
| Admi ni strati ve Expenses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sal ari es \&Fri nge benefits |  | 1,691, 026 |  | 1,212,850 |  | 1, 095, 209 |  | 975,593 |  | 899, 131 |
| Dat a processing \& hardware |  | 600,610 |  | 395, 016 |  | 234,990 |  | 289,608 |  | 323, 343 |
| All other |  | 148,606 |  | 428,420 |  | 284,758 |  | 200, 819 |  | 78,154 |
| Fees netted fromproceeds ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | 619,508 |  | 1,191,231 |  | 1,036,619 |  | 600,888 |  | 592, 210 |
| Total Disbursements |  | 12,534,957 |  | 12,179,300 |  | 11,428,767 |  | 6,963,413 |  | 5,530,752 |
| Excess of Receipts over Disbursements (2) |  | 25,186,568 |  | 36,282,222 |  | 20,674,261 |  | 19,894,488 |  | 22,585,271 |

Approximate Market Val ue of Securities
at Fiscal Year End:
Stocks and bonds ${ }^{(3)} \quad \$ 49,569,572 \quad \$ 50,139,146 \quad \$ 42,858,000 \quad \$ 35,019,000 \quad \$ 24,270,000$
Mutual funds (3)
Total Securities Inventory
14, 420, 453
12,567,907
$10,755,734 \quad 8,356,000$
6,141,000

| Total Securities Inventory | $\$ 63,990,025$ | $\$ 62,707,053$ | $\$ 53,613,743$ | $\$ 43,375,000$ | $\$ 30,411,000$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Securities liquidated | $\$ 667,860$ | $\$ 9,092,811$ | $\$$ | 0 | $\$$ | 0 |

N umber of checks paid
$\begin{array}{lrrrrrrr}\$ & 67,860 & \$ & 9,092,811 & \$ & 0 & \$ & 0 \\ & 4,466 & 6,941 & & 7,666 & & 2,862 & \\ & & & & & \\ & \end{array}$
(1) Fees incl ude amounts for recovery of securities and rel ated anounts, participation in out-of-state audits, apprai sal of safe deposit box contents, and the auction of safe deposit box contents.
(2) Excess of recei pts over di sbur sements is remitted to the General Fund, however, amounts collected remainliabilities of the State until returned to their rightful owners.
(3) The amounts di sclosed above as "recei pts" and "cl ai ns pai d" represent actual cash flows and do not include the val ue of the marketable securities recei ved by the Unclai med Property unit, nor the val ue of securities ret ur ned to ouners. However, the amounts di sclosed above as fiscal year end market val ues of securities and mutual funds help provide a general indication of the rel ati ve net activity in such assets over time. Receipts, net of fees, incl ude the proceeds fromsecurities liqui dat ed in a gi ven year.

Summary of Gross Receipts
Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2000

| Fi nanci al institutions | $\$ 11,328,402$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Other corporations | $12,392,532$ |
| I nsurance compani es | $8,834,188$ |
| Governnent al agenci es and publ ic authoriti es | $1,233,364$ |
| Di vi dends on securiti es hel d | 747,125 |
| Estates | 52,960 |
| Proceeds fromcourt set tl ement | 420,000 |
| Securiti es li qui dated | 67,860 |
| Securiti es tendered | 706,297 |
| Interest penal ty assessnents | 146,677 |
| Proceeds fromauction of safe deposit box contents | 0 |
| Sal e of property lists, copyi ng and other charges | 2,855 |
| Reci procal exchange programwi th other states | $1,789,265$ |
| Total Gross Receipts | $\mathbf{\$ 3 7 , 7 2 1 , 5 2 5}$ |

## STATE BOND COM MISSION

As authorized by the General Assembly, all projects and grants funded from State bonds, as well as the issuance of the bonds, must be authorized by the State Bond Commission. The members of the Commission include the Governor, Treasurer, Comptroller, Attorney General, Secretary of the Office of Policy and Management (OPM), Commissioner of Public W orks, and the Co-Chairs and Ranking Members of the State Legislature's Joint Committee on Finance, Revenue and Bonding.

## INVESTMENT ADVISORY COUNCIL

The Investment Advisory Council advises on investment policy and guidelines, and also reviews the assets and performance of the pension funds. Additionally, the Council makes recommendations to the Treasurer on the hiring of outside investment advisors and on the appointments of principal investment staff. The Investment Advisory Council consists of the Treasurer, the Secretary of OPM and ten appointees of the Governor and State Legislature.

## BANKING COMMISSION

The Banking Commission approves all applications for the creation of state banks or trust companies. As part of this process, the Commission holds public hearings on applications prior to granting approval. The Commission members are the Treasurer, Comptroller and Banking Commissioner.

## FIN ANCE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

The Finance Advisory Committee approves budget transfers recommended by the Governor and has other such powers over the State budget when the General Assembly is not in session. The Committee members are the Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Treasurer, Comptroller, two State Senators who are members of the Legislature's Appropriations Committee and three State Representatives who are members of the Legislature's Appropriations Committee.

## CONNECTICUT LOTTERY CORPORATION BOARD OF DIRECTORS

The Connecticut Lottery Corporation manages the State lottery and is responsible to introduce new lottery games and maximize the efficiency of operations in order to provide a greater return to the general fund. The thirteen member Board of Directors includes the Treasurer, the Secretary of OPM, as well as appointees by the Governor and State Legislature.

## CONNECTICUT HIGHER EDUCATION TRUST(CHET) ADVISORYCOMMITTEE

This committee advises the Treasurer on policies concerning CHET. The Connecticut Higher Education Trust allows families to make tax deferred investments for higher education costs. The Commissioner of Higher Education, the Secretary of OPM, the Co-Chairs (or their designees) of the Legislature's Education Committee, the Co-Chairs (or their designees) of the Legislature's Finance, Revenue and Bonding Committees, and four representatives of private higher education and the public serve with the Treasurer on this board.

## COUNCIL OF FISCAL OFFICERS

The purpose of the Council of Fiscal Officers is to provide a forum for discussion and participation in the development of State financial policies, practices and systems. Membership is open to all State officials or employees, elected or appointed, classified or unclassified, serving in a fiscal management position. The Treasurer is one of four permanent members of the Executive Board.

## EXECUTIVE OFFICE

## EX-OFFICIO DUTIES OF THE STATE TREASURER (Continued)

 BOARDS, C OM M ITTEES AND COMMISSIONS
## THE STANDARDIZATION COMMITTEE

The standardization committee approves or grants waivers to existing purchasing regulations when it is in the best interests of the State to do so. The members of this committee include the Treasurer, Comptroller, Commissioner of Administrative Services, Commissioner of Transportation, Commissioner of Public Works, Commissioner of Social Services, and the Secretary of OPM.

## INFORMATION AND TELECOMMUNICATION SYSTEMS (IT)

 EXECUTIVE STEERING COMMITTEEThe IT Executive Steering Committee directs the planning, development, implementation and maintenance of State information and telecommunication systems. The Committee consists of the Treasurer, Comptroller, Secretary of OPM, Commissioner of Administrative Services, and the Chief Information Officer.

## THE PRIVATE ACTIVITY BOND COMMISSION

The Governor, Treasurer, Secretary of OPM, Chairpersons and Ranking Members of the Legislature's Finance Committee, Planning \& Development Committee, and Commerce Committee are members of the Private Activity Bond Commission. The 1986 Federal tax act limited the amount of tax exempt bonds any state could issue for certain private activity. The Limit is currently $\$ 50$ per capita per year and is distributed by statute. The Commission has the authority to reallocate the available cap amounts when the General Assembly is not in session.

## CONNECTICUT DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY (CDA)

The Connecticut Development Authority administers programs that support the State's economic development efforts. CDA has two basic types of loan programs: direct loans and loan guarantees (of bank loans). The Board membership includes the Treasurer, Commissioner of Economic Development, Secretary of OPM, four members appointed by the Governor, and four members appointed by legislative leaders.

## CONNECTICUT HOUSING FINANCE AUTHORITY (CHFA)

CHFA was created to increase the supply of, and encourage and assist in the purchase, development and construction of, housing for low and moderate-income families and persons throughout the State. It provides mortgages for single family homeowners at below market rates, mortgages for multi-family developers, and construction financing. The members of the board include the Treasurer, Commissioner of Housing, Secretary of OPM, Banking Commissioner, and six members appointed by the Governor.

## CONNECTICUT HEALTH AND EDUCATIONAL FACILITIES AUTHORITY (CHEFA) BOARD OF DIRECTORS

CHEFA is a conduit bond issuer for hospitals, nursing homes, private universities, private secondary schools and day care facilities. The board members include the Treasurer, Secretary of OPM, and eight members appointed by the Governor.

## CONNECTICUT HIGHER EDUCATION SUPPLEMENTAL LOAN AUTHORITY (CHESLA) BOARD OF DIRECTORS

CHESLA finances supplemental student loans and issues bonds every two years. The Board consists of eight members including the Treasurer, Commissioner of Higher Education, Secretary of OPM, and five additional members appointed by the Governor.

## EXECUTIVE OFFICE

## TOTAL ADM INISTRATION EXPENDITURES FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30,

Fiscal Years Ended June 30,

|  | 2000 | \% | 1999 | \% | 1998 | \% | 1997 | \% | 1996 | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GENERAL FUND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Personal Services | \$2,916,411 | 5.19\% | \$2,531,740 | 4.51\% | \$2,349,347 | 4.25\% | \$2,453,744 | 7.53\% | \$2,456,413 | 7.54\% |
| Other Expenses | 407,325 | 0.72\% | 407,548 | 0.73\% | 530,802 | 0.96\% | 391,641 | 1.20\% | 412,918 | 1.27\% |
| Capital Equipment | - | 0.00\% | 4,700 | 0.01\% | 1,000 | 0.00\% | 9,869 | 0.04\% | 9,572 | 0.03\% |
| TOTAL | 3,323,736 | 5.34\% | 2,943,988 | 5.24\% | 2,881,149 | 5.22\% | 2,855,254 | 8.77\% | 2,878,903 | 8.84\% |
| PENSION FUNDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Personal Services | \$1,344,697 | 2.39\% | \$1,414,580 | 2.52\% | \$2,048,114 | 3.71\% | \$1,901,780 | 5.84\% | \$2,094,101 | 6.43\% |
| Other Expenses | 46,437,634 | 82.64\% | 40,572,960 | 72.20\% | 40,475,836 | 73.27\% | 16,812,917 | 51.62\% | 15,458,385 | 47.46\% |
| Capital Equipment | 18,856 | 0.03\% | 13,171 | 0.02\% | 12,973 | 0.02\% | 102,216 | 0.31\% | 597,891 | 1.84\% |
| TOTAL | 47,801,187 | 76.85\% | 42,000,711 | 74.74\% | 42,536,923 | 77.00\% | 18,816,913 | 57.77\% | 18,150,377 | 55.73\% |
| SECOND INJURY FUND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Personal Services | \$5,983,086 | 10.65\% | \$5,992,293 | 10.66\% | \$5,562,373 | 10.07\% | \$5,545,222 | 17.02\% | \$6,258,550 | 19.21\% |
| Other Expenses | 1,106,723 | 1.97\% | 1,880,142 | 3.35\% | 1,955,067 | 3.54\% | 2,617,619 | 8.04\% | 959,813 | 2.95\% |
| Capital Equipment | 41,380 | 0.07\% | 26,200 | 0.05\% | 96,583 | 0.17\% | 94,541 | 0.29\% | 226,929 | 0.70\% |
| TOTAL | 7,131,189 | 11.47\% | 7,898,635 | 14.06\% | 7,614,023 | 13.78\% | 8,257,381 | 25.35\% | 7,445,292 | 22.86\% |
| UNCLAIMED PROPERTY FUND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Personal Services | \$1,691,026 | 3.01\% | \$1,212,850 | 2.16\% | \$1,095,209 | 1.98\% | \$975,593 | 3.00\% | \$899,131 | 2.76\% |
| Other Expenses | 739,246 | 1.32\% | 792,410 | 1.41\% | 490,103 | 0.89\% | 477,730 | 1.47\% | 349,164 | 1.07\% |
| Capital Equipment | 9,970 | 0.02\% | 31,027 | 0.06\% | 29,645 | 0.05\% | 12,697 | 0.04\% | 52,333 | 0.16\% |
| TOTAL | 2,440,242 | 3.92\% | 2,036,287 | 3.62\% | 1,614,957 | 2.92\% | 1,466,020 | 4.50\% | 1,300,627 | 3.99\% |

## SHORT-TERM INVESTMENT FUND

| Personal Services | \$698,605 | 1.24\% | \$607,104 | 1.08\% | (1) | 0.00\% | (1) | 0.00\% | (1) | 0.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other Expenses | 214,230 | 0.38\% | 187,442 | 0.33\% | (1) | 0.00\% | (1) | 0.00\% | (1) | 0.00\% |
| Capital Equipment | 2,584 | 0.00\% | 2,674 | 0.00\% | (1) | 0.00\% | (1) | 0.00\% | (1) | 0.00\% |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{9 1 5 , 4 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 4 7 \%}$ | 797,220 | $\mathbf{1 . 4 2 \%}$ | 0 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 \%}$ | 0 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 \%}$ | 0 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 \%}$ |
| Other Financing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sources (2) | \$587,334 | 0.94\% | \$516,589 | 0.92\% | \$596,690 | 1.08\% | \$1,175,715 | 3.61\% | \$532,692 | 1.64\% |
| TOTAL AGENCY | \$62,199,107 | $100.00 \%$ | \$56,193,430 | $100.00 \%$ | \$55,243,742 | $100.00 \%$ | \$32,571,283 | $100.00 \%$ | \$30,307,891 | 93.05\% |

(1) Short-Term Investment Fund was included as part of the Pension Funds.
(2) Other Financing Sources include: Unemployment Compensation Special Assesment Fund; Clean Water Fund; Special Transportation Fund; Bonds Cost of Issuance Fund; and the Capital Equipment Fund.

## EXECUTIVE DIVISION

SCHEDULE OF EXPENSES IN EXCESS OF \$5,000 ${ }^{(1)}$
FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2000

| Name of Firm | Description of Services | C ontract <br> Date | Aggregate Compensation Paid in FY 2000 | Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bloomberg | Subscription | N/A | 22,797 | Active |
| Computers Plus Center Inc. | EDP Equipment | N/A | 19,660 | Terminated |
| Corporate Express | Office Supplies | Oct-95 | 10,437 | Active |
| Donald Kirshbaum | Consulting Services | Oct-99 | 56,375 | Terminated |
| Financial Markets Center | Consulting Services | Jul-99 | 6,000 | Terminated |
| Insalco | Office Furnishings | N/A | 16,062 | Terminated |
| John W atts Associates, Inc. | Office Furnishings | N/A | 6,048 | Terminated |
| W illiam T. Gallo | Consulting Services | Aug-99 | 5,500 | Terminated |
| Xerox Corporation | Copier Lease and Maintenance | N/A | 20,014 | Active |
| Yankee Clipper | News Clipping Service | N/A | 5,493 | Active |

(1) Expenses arepresented on a cash basis.

| Name of Firm | SEC OND INJURY FUND <br> SCHEDULE OF EXPENSESTN EXCESS OF \$5,000 ${ }^{(1)}$ <br> FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2000 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Description of Services | Contract Date |  | gregate pensation <br> in FY 2000 | Status <br> As of $6 / 30 / 00$ |
| ASAP Softw are Express, Inc. | C omputer Softw are | N/A | \$ | 10,450 | Terminated |
| Automatic Data Processing | C heck Processing | A pr-90 |  | 31,979 | Active |
| Beneficial Investigation | Surveillance Services | Sep-96 |  | 16,700 | Active |
| Bloomberg LP | Subscription | N/A |  | 7,533 | Active |
| Brown, Paindiris \& Scott | Legal Services | Feb-97 |  | 71,012 | Active |
| C areSys Incorporated | M ed. Bill Auditing \& Payment | Jun-97 |  | 42,311 | Terminated |
| Comp W orks Inc. | Provider Bill Audit Services | M ar-00 |  | 7,563 | Terminated |
| Computers Plus C enter, Inc. | Computer Equipment | N/A |  | 42,956 | Terminated |
| C oncentra M anaged C are | IM E/C ase M gmt./Job Placement | Sep-96 |  | 92,703 | Active |
| Corporate Express | Office Supplies | Oct-95 |  | 12,005 | Active |
| Crawford \& Company | IM E/C ase M gmt./Job Placement | Sep-96 |  | 8,713 | Active |
| Deloitte \& Touche LLP | Assessment Audit | N ov-99 |  | 215,000 | Active |
| Drake, Kaufman \& Upton LLC | Legal Services | Feb-97 |  | 6,049 | Active |
| Duhamel \& Schoolcraft | Legal Services | Dec-97 |  | 8,628 | Active |
| H aw kins, Delafield \& W ood | Legal Services | Feb-97 |  | 16,581 | Active |
| Industrial Health C are (IHC) | Independent M edical Examinations | Sep-96 |  | 15,057 | Active |
| Insalco Corporation | Office F urniture/F abric | N/A |  | 10,896 | Terminated |
| Intracorp | Job Placement/C ase M gmt. Services | Sep-96 |  | 16,939 | Active |
| Jeremy Booty | Legal Services | Feb-97 |  | 22,661 | Active |
| M cHugh Law Offices | Legal Services | M ay-98 |  | 20,275 | Active |
| M oody's | Bond R ating Service | N/A |  | 28,150 | Active |
| N extel Communications | T elephone/R adio Service | Jul-99 |  | 6,365 | Active |
| O'Brien, Tanski, Tanzer \& Young | Legal Services | Feb-97 |  | 34,987 | Active |
| Standard \& Poors | Bond Analytical Services | N/A |  | 16,000 | Active |
| The Paris Group | Independent M edical Examinations | Sep-96 |  | 84,773 | Active |
| Xerox Corporation | Photocopier Lease | N/A |  | 30,022 | Active |
| TOTAL |  |  | \$ | 876,308 |  |

(1) Expenses arepresented on acash basis. Thisscheduleonly includesservicesthat w ereretained directly by theF und and does not includemedical services ordered by W orkersC ompensation C ommissioners, claimants or their treating physicians.
DEBT MANAGEMENT DIVISION
SCHEDULE OF DEBT OUTSTANDING ${ }^{(1)}$
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| $\begin{aligned} & \text { O } \\ & \stackrel{N}{N} \end{aligned}$ | DEBT MANAGEMENT DIVISION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SCHEDULE OF DEBT OUTSTANDING ${ }^{(1)}$ (Continued) JUNE 30, 2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Issue } \\ & \text { Date } \end{aligned}$ | Outstancling June30, 1999 |  | Istued | FY2000 | Defersed | Outstancing June30,2000 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lov } \\ & \text { Rate } \\ & \text { (\%) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | High Rate (\%) | Next Maturity Date | Last Maturity Date | Interest Accreted Through FY 2000 | Interest Paid During FY2000 |
|  | 05/01/94 | 45,900,000 |  | - | 3,820,000 | - | 42,080,000 | 5.200 | 5.700 | 05/01/01 | 05/01/11 | - | 2,473,050 |
|  | 05/26/94 | 59,570,533 |  | - | 7,742,686 | 521,214 | 51,306,632 | 5.667 | 6.414 | 06/01/01 | 06/01/13 | 22,453,895 | 3,020,314 |
|  | 06/01/94 | 2,577,000 |  | - | 813,000 | , | 1,764,000 | 5.490 | 5.490 | 12/01/00 | 06/01/02 | - | 130,470 |
|  | 08/15/94 | 103,465,000 |  | - | 10,270,000 | - | 93,195,000 | 5.375 | 5.800 | 08/15/00 | 08/15/08 | - | 5,399,253 |
|  | 11/01/94 | 32,485,000 |  | - | 4,060,000 | - | 28,425,000 | 5.400 | 6.000 | 12/01/00 | 12/01/06 | - | 1,742,035 |
|  | 11/22/94 | 70,082,500 |  | - | 18,742,436 | - | 51,340,064 | 5.370 | 6.398 | 12/15/00 | 12/15/13 | 19,655,782 | 5,639,564 |
|  | 12/01/94 | 49,560,000 |  | - | 8,260,000 | - | 41,300,000 | 8.300 | 8.400 | 12/01/00 | 12/01/04 | - | 3,803,730 |
|  | 03/15/95 | 193,791,609 |  | - | 19,390,000 | - | 174,401,609 | 5.000 | 7.000 | 03/15/01 | 03/15/09 | - | 10,799,362 |
|  | 03/15/95 | 52,295,000 |  | - | 680,000 | - | 51,615,000 | 5.000 | 7.000 | 03/15/01 | 03/15/05 | - | 2,754,350 |
|  | 10/01/95 | 357,500,000 |  | - | 21,500,000 | 1,890,000 | 334,110,000 | 4.500 | 6.000 | 10/01/00 | 10/01/15 | - | 18,205,550 |
|  | 03/28/96 | 7,250,312 |  | - | 427,813 | - | 6,822,499 | 5.030 | 5.030 | 11/01/00 | 05/01/18 | - | 359,386 |
|  | 04/15/96 | 255,000,000 |  | - | 15,000,000 | 1,780,000 | 238,220,000 | 4.600 | 6.250 | 05/15/01 | 05/15/15 | - | 13,778,984 |
|  | 04/15/96 | 60,955,000 |  | - | 10,570,000 | - | 50,385,000 | 4.500 | 6.000 | 10/15/00 | 10/15/02 | - | 2,994,525 |
|  | 08/15/96 | 98,480,000 |  | - | 10,760,000 | - | 87,720,000 | 4.500 | 6.500 | 08/15/00 | 08/15/16 | - | 4,778,038 |
|  | 11/01/96 | 80,315,000 |  | - | 10,025,000 | 185,000 | 70,105,000 | 4.250 | 6.000 | 11/01/00 | 11/01/04 | - | 3,898,303 |
|  | 11/01/96 | 77,500,000 |  | - | - | - | 77,500,000 | 5.000 | 6.500 | 11/01/07 | 11/01/16 | - | 4,179,188 |
|  | 12/01/96 | 62,000,000 |  | - | 7,750,000 | - | 54,250,000 | 4.250 | 5.000 | 12/01/00 | 12/01/06 | - | 2,780,313 |
|  | 03/01/97 | 113,000,000 |  | - | - | - | 113,000,000 | 4.625 | 6.000 | 03/01/04 | 03/01/17 | - | 5,940,540 |
|  | 05/14/97 | 99,235,000 |  | - | - | - | 99,235,000 | 6.000 | 6.000 | 05/15/05 | 05/15/14 | - | 3,435,327 |
|  | 08/01/97 | 236,932,415 |  | - | 12,470,000 | - | 224,462,415 | 4.250 | 5.500 | 08/01/00 | 08/01/17 | - | 11,734,006 |
|  | 09/01/97 | 18,654,545 |  | - | 431,819 | - | 18,222,726 | 5.081 | 5.081 | 03/01/01 | 03/01/20 | - | 947,837 |
|  | 09/15/97 | 126,275,000 |  | - | 510,000 | 795,000 | 124,970,000 | 4.000 | 5.500 | 12/01/00 | 12/01/12 | - | 6,513,968 |
|  | 09/30/97 | 4,915,000 |  | - | 280,000 | - | 4,635,000 | 5.081 | 5.081 | 03/01/01 | 03/01/20 | - | 249,731 |
|  | 02/01/98 | 146,480,000 |  | - | 315,000 | - | 146,165,000 | 3.900 | 5.250 | 03/15/01 | 03/15/15 | - | 7,604,424 |
|  | 03/15/98 | 204,000,000 |  | - | 16,000,000 | 175,000 | 187,825,000 | 3.900 | 5.500 | 03/15/01 | 03/15/18 | - | 9,889,456 |
| ¢ | 03/15/98 | 82,000,000 |  | - | 3,000,000 |  | 79,000,000 | 5.850 | 6.170 | 03/15/01 | 03/15/06 | - | 4,945,600 |
| $\stackrel{\downarrow}{\gtrless}$ | 07/15/98 | 105,445,000 |  | - | 16,500,000 | - | 88,945,000 | 5.750 | 6.140 | 08/01/00 | 08/01/08 | - | 5,700,916 |
| - | 07/30/98 | 80,000,000 |  | - | 10,000,000 | - | 70,000,000 | 5.750 | 6.120 | 11/01/00 | 11/01/06 | - | 4,438,500 |
| 乭 | 08/01/98 | 33,740,000 |  | - | 1,650,000 | - | $32,090,000{ }^{(3)}$ | 4.000 | 4.750 | 12/15/00 | 12/15/13 | - | 1,476,226 |
| N | 10/15/98 | 224,445,000 |  | - | 25,000,000 | - | 199,445,000 | 3.400 | 5.250 | 10/15/00 | 10/15/18 | - | 9,046,982 |
| 8 | 12/15/98 | 150,000,000 |  | - | - | - | 150,000,000 | 3.400 | 5.250 | 12/15/01 | 12/15/18 | - | 6,466,050 |
| 8 | 05/01/99 | 18,000,000 |  | - | - | - | 18,000,000 | 4.633 | 4.633 | 09/01/01 | 09/01/22 | - | 694,950 |
|  | 05/06/99 | 4,875,000 |  | - | - | - | 4,875,000 | 4.633 | 4.633 | 09/01/00 | 09/01/22 | - | 185,079 |
| $\sum$ | 06/15/99 | 300,000,000 |  | 0000 | 15,000,000 | - | 285,000,000 | 4.000 | 5.250 | 06/15/01 | 06/15/19 | - | 14,756,250 |
| $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\ddagger}$ | 11/01/99 | ,0, |  | 245,000,000 | - | - | 245,000,000 | 3.700 | 5.875 | 11/01/00 | 11/01/19 | - | 6,385,140 |
|  | 04/15/00 | - |  | 150,000,000 | - | - | 150,000,000 | 4.150 | 6.000 | 04/15/01 | 04/15/20 | - |  |
| 品 | 06/15/00 | , |  | 450,000,000 | - - | - | 450,000,000 | 4.500 | 5.875 | 06/15/01 | 06/15/20 | - | - |
| 익 | SUBTOTAL | \$ 6,074,981,442 | \$ | 845,000,000 | \$554,925,529 | \$109,089,829 | \$ 6,255,966,084 |  |  |  |  | \$498,718,087 | \$354,288,262 |

DEBT MANAGEMENT DIVISION
SCHEDULE OF DEBT OUTSTANDING ${ }^{(1)}$ (Contimued) JUNE 30, 2000

| Istue Date | Outstanding June30, 1999 |  |  | FY2000 |  |  |  |  |  | Outstanding June30,2000 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Low } \\ & \text { Rate } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | High Rate (\%) | Next Maturity Date | LastMaturity Date |  | Interest Accreted Through FY $2000^{(2)}$ | Interest <br> Paid <br> During <br> FY2000 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BOND TYPE: GENERAL OBLIGATION - REVENUE SUPPORTED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07/01/72 | \$ | 80,000,000 | \$ |  |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 80,000,000 | 5.250 | 5.250 | 07/01/02 | 07/01/02 | \$ | - | \$ | 4,200,000 |
| 12/01/89 |  | 1,000,000 |  |  |  |  | 1,000,000 |  | - |  | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |  | 85,000 |
| 07/15/90 |  | 30,000 |  |  |  |  | - |  | - |  | 30,000 | 6.500 | 6.600 | 07/15/00 | 07/15/01 |  | - |  | 1,965 |
| 12/01/90 |  | 4,900,000 |  |  |  |  | 1,860, - |  | 7,453, - |  | 4,900,000 | 9.000 | 9.000 | 01/01/01 | 01/01/01 |  | - |  | 441,000 |
| 03/15/92 |  | 13,037,007 |  |  |  |  | 1,860,000 |  | 7,453,299 |  | 3,723,708 | 6.000 | 6.100 | 03/15/01 | 03/15/02 |  | - |  | 571,673 |
| 03/15/93 |  | 6,850,000 |  |  |  |  | 525,000 |  | - |  | 6,325,000 | 4.800 | 5.500 | 03/15/01 | 03/15/12 |  | - |  | 355,609 |
| 03/15/94 |  | 7,064,880 |  |  |  |  | 629,880 |  | - |  | 6,435,000 | 5.200 | 5.500 | 03/15/01 | 03/15/10 |  | - |  | 373,486 |
| 08/15/94 |  | 3,335,000 |  |  |  |  | 330,000 |  | - |  | 3,005,000 | 5.375 | 5.800 | 08/15/00 | 08/15/08 |  | - |  | 174,073 |
| 03/15/95 |  | 10,544,391 |  |  |  |  | 1,055,000 |  | - |  | 9,489,391 | 5.000 | 7.000 | 03/15/01 | 03/15/09 |  | - |  | 587,572 |
| 10/01/95 |  | 8,500,000 |  |  |  |  | 500,000 |  | - |  | 8,000,000 | 4.500 | 6.000 | 10/01/00 | 10/01/15 |  | - |  | 434,448 |
| 03/01/97 |  | 10,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  | 10,000,000 | 4.625 | 6.000 | 03/01/04 | 03/01/17 |  | - |  | 525,745 |
| SUBTOTAL | \$ | 145,261,278 | \$ |  |  | \$ | 5,899,880 | \$ | 7,453,299 | \$ | 131,908,099 |  |  |  |  | \$ | - | \$ | 7,750,570 |

BOND TYPE: GENERAL OBLIGATION - TRANSPORTATION
08/01/88 \$ 2,000,000 \$ - \$ 2,000,000
$\begin{array}{lrll}12 / 22 / 88 & 343,991 & - & - \\ 11 / 15 / 91 & 40,140,000 & - & 5,530,000\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lr}12 / 22 / 88 & 343,991 \\ 11 / 15 / 91 & 40,140,000 \\ 03 / 15 / 92 & 2,647,210\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lr}03 / 15 / 92 & 2,647,210 \\ 03 / 15 / 95 & 114,000\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lr}03 / 15 / 95 & 114,000 \\ 08 & 10,067585\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{rr}03 / 01 / 97 & 10,067,585 \\ 10 / 15 / 98 & 5,555,000\end{array}$

| 08/01/88 | \$ | 2,000,000 | \$ | - | \$ | 2,000,000 | \$ | - | \$ | - | - | - | - | - | \$ | - | \$ | 67,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12/22/88 |  | 343,991 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 343,991 | 7.513 | 7.525 | 12/01/06 | 12/01/08 |  | 461,009 |  | - |
| 11/15/91 |  | 40,140,000 |  | - |  | 5,530,000 |  | 8,380,000 |  | 26,230,000 | 5.850 | 6.050 | 11/15/00 | 11/15/02 |  | - |  | 1,975,410 |
| 03/15/92 |  | 2,647,210 |  | - |  | - |  | 2,647,210 |  | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |  | 84,711 |
| 03/15/95 |  | 114,000 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 114,000 | 5.300 | 5.300 | 03/15/05 | 03/15/05 |  | - |  | 6,042 |
| 08/01/97 |  | 10,067,585 |  | - |  | 530,000 |  | - |  | 9,537,585 | 4.250 | 5.500 | 08/01/00 | 08/01/17 |  | - |  | 491,569 |
| 10/15/98 |  | 5,555,000 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 5,555,000 | 4.500 | 5.250 | 10/15/12 | 10/15/13 |  | - |  | 271,505 |
| SUBTOTAL | \$ | 60,867,786 | \$ | - | \$ | 8,060,000 | \$ | 11,027,210 | \$ | 41,780,576 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 461,009 | \$ | 2,896,237 |


| 01/01/96 | \$ | 67,550,000 | \$ | - | \$ | 4,505,000 | \$ | - | \$ | 63,045,000 | 4.100 | 5.500 | 02/01/01 | 02/01/16 | \$ | - | \$ | 3,156,755 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 02/21/96 |  | 4,369,715 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 4,369,715 | 5.050 | 5.100 | 02/01/10 | 02/01/11 |  | 1,066,582 |  |  |
| 04/01/97 |  | 104,000,000 |  | - |  | 6,500,000 |  | - |  | 97,500,000 | 4.550 | 5.375 | 04/01/01 | 04/01/17 |  |  |  | 5,214,625 |
| 04/24/97 |  | 7,392,432 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 7,392,432 | 5.200 | 5.300 | 04/01/08 | 04/01/09 |  | 1,325,389 |  | - |
| 06/01/98 |  | 94,540,000 |  | - |  | 4,980,000 |  | - |  | 89,560,000 | 3.850 | 5.250 | 06/01/01 | 06/01/18 |  |  |  | 4,325,038 |
| 03/01/99 |  | 79,735,000 |  | - |  | 3,735,000 |  | - |  | 76,000,000 | 3.350 | 4.850 | 04/01/01 | 04/01/19 |  | - |  | 3,547,573 |
| 03/01/00 |  | - |  | 130,850,000 |  | - |  | - |  | 130,850,000 | 4.000 | 5.750 | 03/01/01 | 03/01/20 |  | - |  | - |
| SUBTOTAL | \$ | 357,587,147 | \$ | 130,850,000 | \$ | 19,720,000 | \$ | - | \$ | 468,717,147 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 2,391,971 | \$ | 16,243,990 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{16 \varepsilon^{\prime} 928^{\prime} \varepsilon} \\
& \text { \$ t96'0ZI's \$ 80/L0/90 L0/L0/90 }
\end{aligned}
$$

|  | DEBT MANAGEMENT DIVISION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ | SCHEDULE OF DEBT OUTSTANDING ${ }^{(1)}$ (Continued)JUNE 30,2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Issue } \\ & \text { Date } \end{aligned}$ | Outstanding June30, 1999 |  | Issued |  | FY2000 |  | Defersed |  | Outstanding <br> June30,2000 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lav } \\ & \text { Rate } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | High Rate (\%) | Next Maturity Date | Last Maturity Date |  | Interest Accreted Through FY $2000{ }^{(2)}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Interest } \\ & \text { Paid } \\ & \text { During } \\ & \text { FY2000 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 12/01/89 | 9,225,000 |  | - |  | 9,225,000 |  | - |  | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | 304,425 |
|  | 05/15/90 | 87,285,000 |  | - |  | 11,300,000 |  | 19,825,000 |  | 56,160,000 | 7.125 | 8.000 | 06/01/01 | 06/01/10 |  | - | 5,689,947 |
|  | 12/19/90 | 190,900,000 |  | - |  | 10,800,000 |  | - |  | 180,100,000 | 6.171 | 6.171 | 12/01/00 | 12/01/10 |  | - | 10,326,578 |
|  | 09/15/91 | 98,820,000 |  | - |  | , 8 |  | 21,165,000 |  | 77,655,000 | 6.500 | 6.500 | 10/01/10 | 10/01/12 |  | - | 5,735,438 |
|  | 01/01/92 | 74,200,000 |  | - |  | 10,155,000 |  | - |  | 64,045,000 | 5.700 | 6.100 | 02/15/01 | 02/15/05 |  | - | 4,361,760 |
|  | 09/01/92 | 204,175,000 |  | - |  | 10,590,000 |  | 14,795,000 |  | 178,790,000 | 5.300 | 6.150 | 09/01/00 | 09/01/12 |  | - | 11,305,745 |
|  | 03/01/93 | 537,555,000 |  | - |  | 5,225,000 |  | - |  | 532,330,000 | 4.625 | 5.400 | 09/01/00 | 09/01/10 |  | - | 27,593,648 |
|  | 09/01/93 | 229,210,000 |  | - |  | 15,515,000 |  | - |  | 213,695,000 | 4.000 | 4.600 | 10/01/00 | 10/01/06 |  | - | 9,389,315 |
|  | 09/15/93 | 148,545,000 |  | - |  | 7,220,000 |  | - |  | 141,325,000 | 4.400 | 5.000 | 10/01/00 | 10/01/13 |  | - | 6,873,973 |
|  | 03/01/94 | 65,225,000 |  | - |  | 6,025,000 |  | - |  | 59,200,000 | 4.900 | 5.500 | 04/01/01 | 04/01/08 |  | - | 3,362,083 |
|  | 09/15/94 | 81,275,000 |  | - |  | 7,330,000 |  | 9,395,000 |  | 64,550,000 | 5.500 | 5.800 | 10/01/00 | 10/01/07 |  | - | 4,078,780 |
|  | 05/15/95 | 111,900,000 |  | - |  | 4,550,000 |  | - |  | 107,350,000 | 5.100 | 5.600 | 06/01/01 | 06/01/15 |  | - | 5,985,965 |
|  | 09/01/95 | 142,175,000 |  | - |  | 38,320,000 |  | - |  | 103,855,000 | 4.400 | 6.250 | 10/01/00 | 10/01/05 |  | - | 6,453,683 |
|  | 09/01/95 | 126,530,000 |  | - |  | 6,340,000 |  | 6,65, |  | 120,190,000 | 4.400 | 5.625 | 10/01/00 | 10/01/15 |  | - | 6,248,334 |
|  | 06/01/96 | 139,090,000 |  | - |  | 5,275,000 |  | 6,665,000 |  | 127,150,000 | 4.750 | 6.000 | 06/01/01 | 06/01/16 |  | - | 7,431,070 |
|  | 10/01/96 | 79,740,000 |  | - |  | 60,000 |  | - |  | 79,680,000 | 4.300 | 6.000 | 10/01/00 | 10/01/09 |  | - | 4,777,405 |
|  | 10/01/96 | 144,110,000 |  | - |  | 5,100,000 |  | - |  | 139,010,000 | 4.400 | 6.000 | 10/01/00 | 10/01/16 |  | - | 7,621,795 |
|  | 10/15/97 | 65,090,000 |  | - |  | 340,000 |  | - |  | 64,750,000 | 4.000 | 5.500 | 11/01/00 | 11/01/07 |  | - | 3,333,355 |
|  | 10/15/97 | 148,500,000 |  | - |  | 4,845,000 |  | - |  | 143,655,000 | 4.000 | 6.000 | 11/01/00 | 11/01/17 |  | - | 7,306,838 |
|  | 04/15/98 | 197,500,000 |  | - |  | 255,000 |  | - |  | 197,245,000 | 4.250 | 5.500 | 10/01/00 | 10/01/14 |  | - | 10,697,869 |
|  | 09/15/98 | 225,000,000 |  | - |  | 6,990,000 |  | - |  | 218,010,000 | 4.000 | 5.500 | 11/01/00 | 11/01/18 |  | - | 10,698,808 |
|  | 11/15/99 |  |  | 150,000,000 |  | - |  | - |  | 150,000,000 | 4.100 | 6.000 | 12/01/00 | 12/01/19 |  | - | 4,324,554 |
|  | SUBTOTAL | \$ 3,117,281,796 | \$ | 150,000,000 |  | 169,578,609 |  | 75,540,362 |  | ,022,162,825 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 5,120,964 | \$167,727,755 |
|  | BOND TYPE: CLEAN WATER FUND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 01/01/91 | \$ 22,020,000 | \$ |  |  | 5,380,000 | \$ | - | \$ | 16,640,000 |  |  |  |  | \$ | - | \$ 1,372,789 |
|  | 01/01/92 | 35,565,000 |  | - |  | 5,290,000 |  | - |  | 30,275,000 | 5.375 | 6.125 | 08/01/00 | 02/01/12 |  | - | 1,931,930 |
|  | 01/01/93 | 41,665,000 |  | - |  | 2,545,000 |  | - |  | 39,120,000 | 5.000 | 6.000 | 10/01/00 | 10/01/12 |  | - | 2,321,280 |
|  | 06/01/94 | 39,445,000 |  | - |  | 3,210,000 |  | - |  | 36,235,000 | 4.800 | 6.500 | 12/01/00 | 06/01/16 |  | - | 2,192,913 |
|  | 03/01/96 | 74,245,000 |  | - |  | 3,245,000 |  | - |  | 71,000,000 | 4.500 | 5.875 | 11/01/00 | 05/01/18 |  | - | 3,828,897 |
|  | 03/15/96 | 47,575,000 |  | - |  | 460,000 |  | - |  | 47,115,000 | 4.350 | 5.600 | 07/01/00 | 01/01/11 |  | - | 2,453,563 |
|  | 09/01/97 | 108,000,000 |  | - |  | 2,500,000 |  | - |  | 105,500,000 | 4.350 | 6.000 | 03/01/01 | 03/01/20 |  | - | 5,537,118 |
|  | 04/15/99 | 125,000,000 |  | - |  | , |  | - |  | 125,000,000 | 4.000 | 5.125 | 09/01/01 | 09/01/22 |  | - | 5,037,052 |
|  | 05/01/99 | 78,995,000 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 78,995,000 | 3.450 | 5.250 | 07/15/01 | 07/15/16 |  | - | 2,590,428 |
|  | SUBTOTAL | \$ 572,510,000 | \$ | - |  | 22,630,000 | \$ | - | \$ | 549,880,000 |  |  |  |  | \$ | - | \$ 27,265,968 |
|  | BOND TYPE: BRADLEY INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 07/15/89 | \$ 3,285,000 | \$ | - | \$ | 125,000 | \$ | - | \$ | 3,160,000 | 9.125 | 9.125 | 08/01/00 | 08/01/12 | \$ | - | \$ 294,053 |
|  | 09/30/92 | 78,165,000 |  | - |  | 3,355,000 |  | - |  | 74,810,000 | 7.350 | 7.650 | 10/01/00 | 10/01/12 |  | - | 5,789,583 |
|  | SUBTOTAL | \$ 81,450,000 | \$ | - | \$ | 3,480,000 | \$ | - | \$ | 77,970,000 |  |  |  |  | \$ | - | \$ 6,083,636 |

DEBT MANAGEMENT DIVISION
SCHEDULE OF DEBT OUTSTANDING* (Continued) JUNE 30, 2000

| Issue Date | Outstancling June30, 1999 |  | FY2000 |  |  |  |  |  | Oulstanding June30,2000 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Law } \\ & \text { Rate } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | High Rate <br> (\%) | Next Maturity Date | LastMaturity Date |  | Interest <br> Accreted <br> Through <br> FY 2000 ${ }^{(2)}$ | Interest <br> Paid <br> During <br> FY2000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Issued |  | Retired |  | Defersed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BOND TYPE: BRADLEY PARKING GARAGE ${ }^{(5)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03/15/00 | \$ | - | \$ | 47,665,000 | \$ | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | 47,665,000 | 6.125 | 6.600 | 07/01/07 | 07/01/24 | \$ | - | \$ |
| 04/01/00 |  | - |  | 6,135,000 |  | - |  | - |  | 6,135,000 | 8.000 | 8.000 | 07/01/04 | 07/01/06 |  | - |  |
| SUBTOTAL | \$ | - | \$ | 53,800,000 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 53,800,000 |  |  |  |  | \$ | - | \$ |
| BOND TYPE: UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION ${ }^{(6)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07/15/93 | \$ | 85,000,000 | \$ | - |  | 60,000,000 | \$ | - | \$ | 25,000,000 | 4.600 | 4.600 | 11/15/00 | 11/15/00 | \$ | - | \$ 3,322,500 |
| 09/23/93 |  | 237,000,000 |  | - |  | 32,500,000 |  | - |  | 204,500,000 | 4.000 | 4.000 | 11/15/01 | 11/15/01 |  | - | 7,722,050 |
| 05/15/96 |  | 222,755,000 |  | - |  | 83,270,000 |  | - |  | 139,485,000 | 4.600 | 5.500 | 11/15/00 | 11/15/01 |  | - | 11,091,815 |
| SUBTOTAL | \$ | 544,755,000 | \$ | - |  | 175,770,000 | \$ | - | \$ | 368,985,000 |  |  |  |  | \$ | - | \$22,136,365 |



BOND TYPE: CDA INCREMENT FINANCING ${ }^{(8)}$

| $10 / 01 / 94$ | $\$$ | $9,345,000$ | $\$$ | - | $\$ 150,000$ | $\$$ | - | $\$$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $10 / 15 / 94$ |  | $1,130,000$ |  | - | 115,000 |  | - | $1,015,000$ |
| $12 / 01 / 95$ |  | $8,950,000$ |  | - | 345,000 |  | - | $8,605,000$ |
| $01 / 01 / 97$ |  | $17,140,000$ |  | - | 615,000 |  | - | $16,525,000$ |
| SUBTOTAL | $\$$ | $\mathbf{3 6 , 5 6 5 , 0 0 0}$ | $\$$ | - | $\mathbf{1 , 2 2 5 , 0 0 0}$ | $\$$ | - | $\mathbf{3 5 , 3 4 0 , 0 0 0}$ |


| $10 / 01 / 94$ | $\$$ | $9,345,000$ | $\$$ | - | $\$$ | 150,000 | $\$$ | - |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $10 / 15 / 94$ |  | $1,130,000$ |  | - | 115,000 |  | $9,195,000$ |  |
| $12 / 01 / 95$ |  | $8,950,000$ |  | - | 345,000 |  | $1,015,000$ |  |
| $01 / 01 / 97$ |  | $17,140,000$ |  | - | 615,000 | - | $8,605,000$ |  |
| SUBTOTAL | $\$$ | $\mathbf{3 6 , 5 6 5 , 0 0 0}$ | $\$$ | - | $\mathbf{1 , 2 2 5 , 0 0 0}$ | $\$$ | - | $\mathbf{\$}$ |


| $10 / 01 / 94$ | $\$$ | $9,345,000$ | $\$$ | - | $\$$ | 150,000 | $\$$ | - |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $10 / 15 / 94$ |  | $1,130,000$ |  | - | 115,000 |  | $9,195,000$ |  |
| $12 / 01 / 95$ |  | $8,950,000$ |  | - | 345,000 |  | $1,015,000$ |  |
| $01 / 01 / 97$ |  | $17,140,000$ |  | - | 615,000 | - | $8,605,000$ |  |
| SUBTOTAL | $\$$ | $\mathbf{3 6 , 5 6 5 , 0 0 0}$ | $\$$ | - | $\mathbf{1 , 2 2 5 , 0 0 0}$ | $\$$ | - | $\mathbf{\$}$ |


| $10 / 01 / 94$ | $\$$ | $9,345,000$ | $\$$ | - | $\$$ | 150,000 | $\$$ | - |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $10 / 15 / 94$ |  | $1,130,000$ |  | - | 115,000 |  | $9,195,000$ |  |
| $12 / 01 / 95$ |  | $8,950,000$ |  | - | 345,000 |  | $1,015,000$ |  |
| $01 / 01 / 97$ |  | $17,140,000$ |  | - | 615,000 | - | $8,605,000$ |  |
| SUBTOTAL | $\$$ | $\mathbf{3 6 , 5 6 5 , 0 0 0}$ | $\$$ | - | $\mathbf{1 , 2 2 5 , 0 0 0}$ | $\$$ | - | $\mathbf{\$}$ |


| $10 / 01 / 94$ | $\$$ | $9,345,000$ | $\$$ | - | $\$$ | 150,000 | $\$$ | - |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $10 / 15 / 94$ |  | $1,130,000$ |  | - | 115,000 |  | $9,195,000$ |  |
| $12 / 01 / 95$ |  | $8,950,000$ |  | - | 345,000 |  | $1,015,000$ |  |
| $01 / 01 / 97$ |  | $17,140,000$ |  | - | 615,000 | - | $8,605,000$ |  |
| SUBTOTAL | $\$$ | $\mathbf{3 6 , 5 6 5 , 0 0 0}$ | $\$$ | - | $\mathbf{1 , 2 2 5 , 0 0 0}$ | $\$$ | - | $\mathbf{\$}$ |

BOND TYPE: CHEFA CHILDCARE FACILITIES PROGRAM ${ }^{(9)}$
\$ 5,375,000
$5,375,000$
350,000
9,570,000 175,000
$8,690,000$ SUBTOTAL $\$ 16,315,000$ \$ $18,690,000$ \$ 295,000 \$ 550,000 \$ $34,160,000$

$$
\text { BOND TYPE: SECOND INJURY FUND BONDS }{ }^{(10)}
$$

10/01/96 \$ 91, 180,000 \$ $\quad \$ 5,100,000$ \$ $\quad \$ 86,080,000$

$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { TOTAL } & \$ 11,106,919,449 & \$ 1,198,340,000 & \$ 967,074,018 & \$ 203,660,700 & \$ 11,134,524,731\end{array}$

|  | DEET MANAGEMENT DIVISION |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ | SCHEDULE OF DEBT OUTSTANDING ${ }^{(1)}$ (Continued) JUNE 30, 2000 |
|  | (1) Includes all outstanding debt issued by theState of Connecticut as of J une30,2000. All debt is authorized by the General Assembly and theState Bond Corm ission prior to issuance <br> (2) Includes interest accreted on Capital Appreciation Bonds (CABs) only. Interest on CABs accretes over thelife of thebond and is paid at maturity. This amount is not included in the colu outstandingJ une $30,2000$. <br> (3) Debt outstanding at J une 30,2000 includes $\$ 32,090,000$ in Certificates of Participation for the M iddletown Courthouse, which is not debt of theState However, theStateis obligated to rent under aleesefor thecourthouse, subject totheannual appropriation of fundsor theavai lability of other funds. Thebaserent is appropriatedas debt service TheCertificates of Particip included on the Treesure's Debt M anagement systemfor control purposes. <br> (4) UCONN 2000 Bonds in a total amount of $\$ 962$ million areauthorized over aten yeer period to be paid by the University of Connecticut froma StateDebt Servicecormitment. Aseach seid issued, thedebt serviceis validly appropriated from theStateGeneral Fund. <br> (5) Includes $\$ 6,135,000$ of Taxable Bradley International Airport Special Obligation Parking Revenue Bonds, Series 2000 B . <br> (6) $\$ 34.8$ million in assessment revenueis reserved to redeem $\$ 34.8$ million in Unemployment Compensation bonds on J uly $1,2000$. <br> (7) TheConnecticut Development Authority has issued lemserevenuebondsfor theNew Britain Government Center. TheStateisobligated to pay baserent subject to theannual appropriat Thesepayments arebudgeted in the Tresure'sdebt servicebudget asleesepayments. <br> (8) TheConnecticut Devel opment Authority has issued tax increment bonds for certain economic development projects. Thedebt serviceon thebonds is deemed appropriated fromtheStais Fund. <br> (9) On July 11 1999, theTreesure's Officeassumed theresponsibility for theCHEFA Childcaredebt seviceappropriation per Public Act 97-259. As of that dateCHEFA had issued $\$ 16,315,000$ and another $\$ 18,690,000$ was issued on September 1, 1999. <br> (10) $\$ 155$ Million in Second Injury Fund Cormercial Paper is outstanding as of June 30, 2000. This short termcommercial paper will berefinanced with additional Second Injury Fund Bonds |
|  |  |

DEBT MANAGEMENT DIVISION
SCHEDULE OF AUTHORIZED AND ISSUED DEBT OUTSTANDING ${ }^{(1)}$
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SCHEDULE OF AUTHORIZED AND ISSUED DEBT OUTSTANDING ${ }^{(1)}$ (Continued)

SCHEDULE OF AUTHORIZED AND ISSUED DEBT OUTSTANDING ${ }^{(1)}$（Continued）

| Fund No． | Name | Inceptionto Date |  |  |  |  |  | Interest <br> AccretedThrough <br> Fiscal Year 2000 ${ }^{(2)}$ |  |  | OutstandingInd． Accreted Interest June30，2000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Authorized |  | Total <br> Issund |  | Outstanding June30，2000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9986 | October 1993 G．O．Refunding ${ }^{(3)}$ |  | － |  | 259，125，000 |  | 241，605，000 |  | － |  | 241，605，000 |
| 9989 | March 1993B G．O．Refunding ${ }^{(3)}$ |  | － |  | 157，745，000 |  | 89，960，000 |  | － |  | 89，960，000 |
| 9991 | February 1993G．O．Refunding ${ }^{(3)}$ |  | － |  | 389，090，000 |  | 331，550，000 |  | － |  | 331，550，000 |
| 9998 | September 1992 G．O．Refunding ${ }^{(3)}$ |  | － |  | 216，330，000 |  | 106，250，000 |  | － |  | 106，250，000 |
| 9995 | April 1992 G．O．Refunding |  | － |  | 332，340，000 |  | 77，220，000 |  | － |  | 77，220，000 |
| SUBTOTAL GENERAL OBLIGATION－TAX SUPPORTED |  | \＄ | 13，337，847，486 | \＄ | 12，836，964，890 | \＄ | 6，255，966，084 | \＄ | 498，718，087 | \＄ | 6，754，684，171 |
| BONDTYPE：GENERALOBLIGATION－REVENUESUPPORTED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1302 | Connecticut Student Loan Foundation | \＄ | 5，000，000 | \＄ | － | \＄ | － | \＄ | － | \＄ | － |
| 3012 | Rental Housing |  | 197，132，435 |  | 197，132，435 |  | 84，900，000 |  | － |  | 84，900，000 |
| 3016 | Regional Markes |  | 3，793，963 |  | 3，793，963 |  | 135，000 |  | － |  | 135，000 |
| 3083 | StateUniversityFacilities |  | 21，619，509 |  | 21，619，509 |  | 19，509 |  | － |  | 19，509 |
| 3088 | StateUniversityFacilities |  | 5，115，994 |  | 5，115，994 |  | 115，994 |  | － |  | 115，994 |
| 3802 | Board of TrusteesStateCollegeFacilities |  | 197，465 |  | 197，464 |  | 197，464 |  | － |  | 197，464 |
| 3852 | Univ．and StateUni versity Facilities |  | 1，731，145 |  | 1，623，180 |  | － |  | － |  | － |
| 3862 | Univ．and StateU ni versity Facilities |  | 4，147，365 |  | 4，131，365 |  | － |  | － |  | － |
| 3876 | Univ．and StateU ni versity Facilities |  | 105，971，666 |  | 104，192，153 |  | 46，540，132 |  | － |  | 46，540，132 |
| SUBTOTAL GENERALOBLIGATION－REVENUE SUPPORTED |  | \＄ | 344，709，542 | \＄ | 337，806，06 | \＄ | B1，908，099 | \＄ | － | \＄ | 131，908，099 |

BONDTYPE：GENERALOBLIGATION－TRANSPORTATION

3071 Specific Interior Highway Projects
3084 Specific Highway Purposes
$\begin{array}{rlrllll}459,400,000 \\ 74,500,000\end{array} ~ \$ ~ 5,400,000 \quad \$ \quad \$ 400,000$

| $459,400,000$ | $\$$ | $5,400,000$ | $\$$ | - | $\$$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $74,500,000$ | - | - |  | 5，400，000 |  |
| $10,597,585$ | 9,537585 |  |  |  |  |

9，537，585
960,000
114,000 1144,000
$26,230,000$

S8Stナでてt $\quad \$ \quad$ 600t9t


| 3746 | Transportation Facilities |
| :--- | :--- |
| 3803 | RampConstruction－Rt 72Center Street Expressway |
| 3836 | Transportation Improvements $^{(3)}$ |
| 9996 | G．O．TransportationRefunding |
| SUBTOTAL GENERALOBLIGATION－TRANSPORTATION |  |

3952 UConn 2000
3952 UConn 2000
BONDTYPE：SPECIALTAXOBLIGATION
3842 Infrastructurelmprovement ${ }^{(3)}$
9975 S．T．O．Refunding－1998A Series ${ }^{(3)}$
9977 S．T．O．Refunding－1997BSeries ${ }^{(3)}$
SCHEDULE OF AUTHORIZED AND ISSUED DEBT OUTSTANDING ${ }^{(1)}$ (Continued)

| Name | Inceptionto Date |  |  |  |  |  | Interest <br> AccretedThrough <br> Fiscal Year 2000 ${ }^{(2)}$ |  |  | Outstandinglind. Accreted Interest June30,2000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Authorized |  | Total Issued |  | Outstanding June30,2000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9987 S.T.O. Refunding-1993B Series ${ }^{(3)}$ |  | - |  | 254,770,000 |  | 213,695,000 |  | - |  | 213,695,000 |
| 9988 S.T.O.Refunding-1995C Series ${ }^{(3)}$ |  | - |  | 286,345,000 |  | 167,900,000 |  | - |  | 167,900,000 |
| 9990 S.T.O. Refunding-1993A Series ${ }^{(3)}$ |  | - |  | 560,750,000 |  | 532,330,000 |  | - |  | 532,330,000 |
| SUBTOTALSPECIALTAXOBLIGATION | \$ | 4,746,223,104 | \$ | 5,74,225,752 | \$ | 3,022,162,825 | \$ | 5,20,964 | \$ | 3,027,283,789 |
| BONDTYPE:CLEAN WATER FUND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6865 Clen W ater Fund-Federal Account | \$ | 932,500,000 | \$ | 645,000,000 | \$ | 423,770,000 | \$ | - | \$ | 423,770,000 |
| 9971 Clean W ater Fund Refunding-1999 Series ${ }^{(3)}$ |  |  |  | 78,995,000 |  | 78,995,000 |  | - |  | 78,995,000 |
| 9983 Clean W ater Fund Refunding-1996 Series ${ }^{(3)}$ |  |  |  | 48,445,000 |  | 47,115,000 |  | - |  | 47,115,000 |
| SUBTOTALCLEAN W ATER FUND | \$ | 932,500,000 | \$ | 72,440,000 | \$ | 549,880,000 | \$ | - | \$ | 549,880,000 |

BONDTYPE: RRADLEYINTERNATIONALAIRPORT

| BONDTYPE: BRADLEY PARKING GARAGE REVENUE BONDS ${ }^{(1)}$ |
| :--- |
|  |
|  |
|  |
| G299 |
| BradleyInternational ParkingOperations |
| SUBTOTAL BRADLEY PARKING GARAGEREVENUEBONDS |

$\begin{array}{ll}6300 & \text { Bradley Airport Expansion Bonds } \\ 9968 & \text { Bradley Airport Refunding Bonds }\end{array}$
9988 Brade Airport Refinding Bonds $1992^{(3)}$
 SUBTOTALBRADLEYPARKINGGARAGEREVENUEBONDS

[^4]BONDTYPE: CDA GOVERNMENTAL LEASE REVENUE ${ }^{(\AA)}$
1400 Unemployment Compensation AdvanceFund
9981 May 1996 UCAF Refunding
SUBTOTALUNEMPLOYMENTCOMPENSATION
8500 New Britain Government Center
BOND TYPE: CDA INCREMENT FINANCING ${ }^{(9)}$


CASH MANAGEMENT DIVISION
DULE OF INVESTMENTS ${ }^{(1)}{ }^{(2)}$ (Continued)
FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2000



Agency
Number

| Number | Description |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1001 | Legislative Management | \$ | 145,383.66 |
| 1005 | Auditors of Public Accounts |  | 829.23 |
| 1012 | Permanent Commission on Status of Women |  | 15,014.28 |
| 1013 | Commission on Children |  | 23,922.00 |
| 1014 | Commission on Latino and Puerto Rican Affairs |  | 34,725.00 |
| 1018 | African American Affairs |  | 4,225.00 |
| 1101 | Governor's Office |  | 2,884.27 |
| 1102 | Office of the Secretary of State |  | 18,683,049.90 |
| 1103 | Lieutenant Governor's Office |  | 222.42 |
| 1104 | Elections Enforcement Commission |  | 70,710.40 |
| 1105 | Ethics Commission |  | 114,310.06 |
| 1106 | Freedom of Information Commission |  | 4,846.37 |
| 1162 | State Properties Review Board |  | 240.00 |
| 1201 | Office of the State Treasurer |  |  |
|  | Miscellaneous |  | 71,233,187.69 |
|  | Escheats |  | 34,337,743.11 |
|  | Dividends on Securities Held |  | 747,124.50 |
|  | Penalties - Examinations or Late Filing |  | 146,677.27 |
|  | Reciprocal States |  | 1,789,265.45 |
|  | Private Donations |  | 1,520.00 |
|  | Investments |  | 324,327.57 |
|  | Expenses Recovered From Insurance Companies |  | 430.50 |
| 1202 | Office of the State Comptroller |  |  |
|  | Miscellaneous |  | 616,974.03 |
|  | Loan Agreement Interest |  | 90,562.50 |
|  | Indirect Overhead - Federal Projects |  | 8,783.77 |
|  | Workers' Compensation - Recoveries - Fringe Benefits |  | 132,775.56 |
|  | Recoveries - Employees Fringe Benefits |  | 5,624,076.21 |
|  | Principal on Loans |  | 75,000.00 |
|  | Grants Other than Federal - Restricted |  | 665,760.00 |
| 1203 | Department of Revenue Services |  |  |
|  | Hospital Gross Earnings Tax |  | 100,033,684.21 |
|  | Insurance Companies |  | 160,146,479.41 |
|  | Gas Companies |  | 31,352,273.67 |
|  | Gas and Electric Companies |  | 81,589,695.74 |
|  | Electric and Power Companies |  | 28,580,901.65 |
|  | Community Antenna Television Systems |  | 24,698,632.79 |
|  | Steam Railroad Companies |  | 167,364.20 |
|  | Petroleum Companies |  | 60,762,063.98 |
|  | Steam Companies |  | 242,984.80 |
|  | Hospital and Medical Service Corporations |  | 35,586,568.33 |
|  | Inheritance Tax |  | 228,072,248.98 |
|  | Gift Tax |  | 32,765,696.06 |
|  | Alcoholic Beverages |  | 40,829,787.80 |
|  | Cigarettes |  | 117,456,730.78 |
|  | Special Motor Fuel |  | $(9,584.89)$ |

## CASH MANAGEMENT DIVISION

CIVIL LIST FUNDS
GENERAL FUND AGENCY DEPOSITS ${ }^{(1)}$ (Continued) FISCAL YEAR EN DED JUNE 30, 2000

Agency
Number Description

## Total

| Retail Tire Fee |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Tobacco Products |  |
| Controlled Substance Tax | 26, |
| Admissions, Dues and Cabarets | 587, |
| Corporations | $2,736,7$ |
| Capital Gains, Dividends and Interest | 1,498, |
| Personal Income Tax - Withholding | 2, |
| Personal Income Tax - Estimate Payments/Tax Returns | $3,083,2$ |
| Unrelated Business Income Tax |  |
| Sales and Use Tax |  |

5,447.15
4,925,950.83
961.73

26,650,145.53
587,603,827.61
139,122.85
2,736,762,530.17
1,498,999,541.33
2,061,661.21
3,083,297,030.13
549,712.69
5,914,231.95
$(1,453.00)$
980,188.52
113,640,530.05
924,194.73
808,889.27
725,221.94
7,381,206.21
261,076,328.17
397,123.30
9,010,296.50
379,162,267.86
10,198,631.79
1,568,298.39
59,543,184.91
432.85

2,682,395.51
6,763,817.81
16,504.50
4,102,952.67
35,436,414.43
$\begin{array}{llr}2003 & \text { Police Officer Standards and Training Council } & 3,015.70 \\ 2004 & \text { Board of Firearms Permit Examiners } & 21.84\end{array}$

2201
2304
2402
2403
2407
2500
2610
2620
2900
2901
2902

823,801.06
8,637,385.89
974,711.73
41,924.03
22,037,097.22
326,758.99
18,486,800.89
10,033,596.23
9,382.31
0.60

1,084,170.19
1,008,686.28

GENERAL FUND AGENCY DEPOSITS ${ }^{(1)}$ (Continued) FISCAL YEAR EN DED JUNE 30, 2000

| Agency |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Number | Description | Total |
| 2903 | Office of the Child Advocate | 136.57 |
| 3002 | Dept of Agriculture | $2,623,819.58$ |
| 3100 | Department of Environmental Protection | $35,818,776.87$ |
| 3400 | Connecticut Historical Commission | $414,397.89$ |
| 3500 | Department of Economic and Community Development | $37,159,409.66$ |
| 3504 | Connecticut Development Authority | $3,260,000.00$ |
| 3601 | Connecticut Agricultural Experiment Station | $2,557,633.24$ |
| 4001 | Department of Public Health | $112,404,386.00$ |
| 4050 | Office of Health Care Access | $3,814,57.85$ |
| 4090 | Office of the Chief Medical Examiner | $671,130.28$ |
| 4101 | Southbury Training School | $307,212.35$ |
| 4114 | Office of Mental Retardation | $2,509,029.25$ |
| 4121 | DMR - Region 1 | $1,235,885.92$ |
| 4122 | DMR - Region 2 | $2,941,921.55$ |
| 4123 | DMR - Eastern Region | $1,505,892.34$ |
| 4124 | DMR - Region 4 | $1,084,272.95$ |
| 4125 | DMR - Region 5 | $1,525,053.82$ |
| 4402 | DMH/Office of the Commissioner | $36,852,235.48$ |
| 4403 | Connecticut Valley Hospital | $151,755,201.47$ |
| 4405 | Western Connecticut Mental Health Network | $4,483.09$ |
| 4406 | Southeast Mental Health Authority | $113,31.58$ |
| 4407 | River Valley Services | $5,631.32$ |
| 4409 | Connecticut Mental Health Center | $1,530,097.10$ |
| 4411 | Southwest Connecticut Mental Health System | $111,148.81$ |
| 4412 | Capital Region Mental Health Center | $283,313.82$ |
| 4415 | Cedarcrest Regional Hospital | $39,495,132.99$ |
| 4416 | DHMAS Purchased Service | $92,949.00)$ |
| 4417 | Southwest CT Mental Health System | $375,040.17$ |
| 4430 | Psychiatric Security Review Board | 12.37 |
| 5000 | Department of Transportation | $12,305.07$ |
| 6100 | Dept of Social Services | $2,152,878,430.82$ |
| 6301 | Soldiers, Sailors and Marines | 10000 |
| 7001 | Department of Education | $259,892,171.24$ |
| 7101 | Board of Education and Services for the Blind | $7,588,487.00$ |
| 7102 | Commission on the Deaf and Hearing Impaired | $923,306.62$ |
| 7104 | State Library | $1,741,688.38$ |
| 7250 | Department of Higher Education | $3,630,626.67$ |
| 7301 | University of Connecticut | $45,642.17$ |
| 7302 | University of Connecticut Health Center | $75,249.26$ |
| 7401 | Charter Oak State College | $1,279,058.59$ |
| 7601 | Teachers' Retirement Board | 575.00 |
| 7701 | Board for Regional Community-Technical Colleges | $2,050.00$ |
| 7702 | Manchester Community-Technical Colleges | $5,580.91$ |
| 7703 | Northwestern Community-Technical College | $1,459.38$ |
| 7704 | Norwalk Community-Technical College | $120,372.43$ |
| 7705 | Housatonic Community-Technical College | 100.00 |
|  |  |  |


| Agency <br> Number | Description |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7707 | Capital Community-Technical College |  | 3,812.25 |
| 7708 | Naugatuck Valley Community-Technical College |  | 100,470.33 |
| 7709 | Gateway Community-Technical College |  | 16,628.01 |
| 7710 | Tunxis Community-Technical College |  | 68,032.98 |
| 7711 | Three Rivers Community-Technical College |  | 40.83 |
| 7712 | Quinebaug Valley Community-Technical College |  | 17,638.62 |
| 7713 | Asnuntuck Community-Technical College |  | 400.00 |
| 8000 | Department of Correction Parent Account |  | 9,523,324.18 |
| 8005 | DOC Grant Administration |  | 730,808.36 |
| 8090 | Board of Pardons |  | 1,021.44 |
| 8091 | Board of Parole |  | 1,057.81 |
| 8102 | DCF/Office of the Commissioner |  | 125,319,527.60 |
| 8103 | DCF/Long Lane School |  | 76,230.93 |
| 8104 | Connecticut Children's Place |  | 1,943,484.10 |
| 8113 | DCF/High Meadows |  | 15,234.42 |
| 8115 | DCF/Riverview Hospital for Children and Youth |  | 48,003.10 |
| 8121 | DCF/Region 1 |  | 65,872.64 |
| 8122 | DCF/Region 2 |  | 34,055.09 |
| 8123 | DCF/Region 3 |  | 236,959.67 |
| 8124 | DCF/Region 4 |  | 138,940.44 |
| 8125 | DCF/Region 5 |  | 199,079.90 |
| 8129 | Children's Trust Fund Council |  | 309,802.43 |
| 8200 | County Sheriffs |  | 7,736.59 |
| 9001 | Judicial Department |  | 9,531,752.46 |
| 9007 | Public Defender Services Commission |  | 118,117.06 |
| 9403 | Workers' Compensation Claims - D.A.S. |  | 213,734.09 |
| 9601 | Judicial Review Council |  | 1,000.00 |
| 9605 | Refunds of Payments |  | 50.00 |
| 9610 | Adjudicated Claims |  | 4,016.00 |
| 9910 | Higher Education Alternative Retirement System |  | 15,116,814.83 |
| 9913 | Group Life Insurance |  | 1,027,626.17 |
| 9926 | Employer Social Security Tax |  | 54,250,641.88 |
| 9932 | Health Service Cost |  | 79,338,850.25 |
| 9933 | Retired state Employees Health Cost |  | 448,499.21 |
| SUB-TOTAL |  | \$ | 13,149,337,753.34 |
| Adjustments as of July 1, 1999 |  |  | $(6,063,558.23)^{(2)}$ |
| Adjustments as of June 30, 2000 |  |  | 6,834,789.93 ${ }^{(3)}$ |
| TOTAL |  | \$ | 13,150,108,985.04 |

[^5]$\left.\begin{array}{cc}\text { Name of Insurance Company } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Par Amount } \\ \text { of Collateral }\end{array}\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Market } \\ \text { Value }\end{array}\right]$

Securities are held on deposit with the State Treasurer on behalf of the Insurance Department under Sec 38a-83:

| Ace Fire Underwriters | \$ | 1,910,000.00 | \$ | 1,912,140.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Action Auto Rental Inc. |  | 170,000.00 |  | 170,000.00 |
| Aetna Health and Life Insurance |  | 2,000,000.00 |  | 1,965,630.00 |
| Aetna Insurance Company Of Connecticut |  | 1,585,000.00 |  | 1,567,422.35 |
| Aetna Life Insurance \& Annuity Company |  | 2,600,000.00 |  | 1,982,248.00 |
| Aetna Life Insurance Company |  | 1,441,000.00 |  | 1,631,257.82 |
| Aetna Life Insurance Company Of America |  | 12,125,000.00 |  | 11,092,528.75 |
| Allianz Insurance Company Workers Comp. |  | 600,000.00 |  | 674,069.40 |
| American Centennial Insurance Company |  | 50,000.00 |  | 49,820.50 |
| American Employers Insurance Company |  | 3,220,000.00 |  | 3,221,601.80 |
| American General Annuity |  | 100,000.00 |  | 135,641.00 |
| American Mayflower Life Insurance Of New York |  | 250,000.00 |  | 337,500.00 |
| American Maturity Life |  | 4,609,000.00 |  | 4,619,636.75 |
| American Phoenix Life \& Reassurance |  | 5,050,000.00 |  | 5,074,445.00 |
| American Security Insurance Company |  | 35,000.00 |  | 37,242.10 |
| American Skandia Life Assurance Corp. |  | 1,500,000.00 |  | 1,494,615.00 |
| Argonaut Insurance Company |  | 1,500,000.00 |  | 1,393,125.00 |
| Associated Indemnity Corporation |  | 710,000.00 |  | 718,236.00 |
| Atlas Assurance Company Of America |  | 250,000.00 |  | 247,812.50 |
| Auto Insurance Company Of Hartford |  | 4,050,000.00 |  | 4,150,386.00 |
| Berkshire Mutual Insurance Company |  | 600,000.00 |  | 604,500.00 |
| Blue Ridge Indemnity Company |  | 500,000.00 |  | 505,470.00 |
| Blue Ridge Insurance Company |  | 2,000,000.00 |  | 2,021,880.00 |
| C M Assurance Company |  | 2,000,000.00 |  | 1,994,680.00 |
| C M Life Insurance Company |  | 1,600,000.00 |  | 1,629,504.00 |
| Carolina Casualty Insurance Company |  | 200,000.00 |  | 201,500.00 |
| Century Indemnity Company |  | 1,560,000.00 |  | 1,592,182.80 |
| Charter Oak Fire Insurance Company |  | 4,525,000.00 |  | 4,574,038.00 |
| Chicago Title Insurance Company |  | 100,000.00 |  | 98,281.00 |
| Cigna Life Insurance Company |  | 2,015,000.00 |  | 2,025,690.35 |
| Cologne Reinsurance Company Of America |  | 3,450,000.00 |  | 3,482,358.00 |
| Commercial Union Insurance Company |  | 10,100,000.00 |  | 10,238,461.80 |
| Connecticut Attorneys Title Ins. |  | 100,000.00 |  | 100,000.00 |
| Connecticut General Life Insurance Company |  | 1,650,000.00 |  | 1,684,039.50 |
| Connecticut Indemnity Company |  | 2,065,000.00 |  | 2,048,405.50 |
| Connecticut Specialty Insurance Company |  | 2,800,000.00 |  | 2,780,960.00 |
| Connecticut Surety Company |  | 1,000,000.00 |  | 987,147.50 |
| Covenant Insurance |  | 850,000.00 |  | 848,106.00 |
| Deerfield Ins. Co. |  | 2,200,000.00 |  | 2,187,284.00 |
| Design Professionals Insurance Co. |  | 2,750,000.00 |  | 2,778,635.00 |
| E.B.I. Indemnity |  | 1,500,000.00 |  | 1,384,350.00 |
| Electric Mutual Liability Insurance Company |  | 5,435,000.00 |  | 5,558,151.85 |
| Employee Benefits Insurance Co. |  | 2,605,000.00 |  | 2,676,368.00 |
| Employers Fire Ins. Co. |  | 1,455,000.00 |  | 1,463,277.20 |
| Executive Risk Specialty Insurance Company |  | 2,500,000.00 |  | 2,565,870.00 |
| Fairfield Insurance |  | 2,500,000.00 |  | 2,633,025.00 |
| Farmington Casualty Company |  | 3,000,000.00 |  | 3,120,873.00 |


| Name of Insurance Company | Par Amount of Collateral | Market Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fire \& Casualty Ins.Co. Of Connecticut | 2,075,000.00 | 2,091,100.75 |
| Firemens Fund Insurance Company | 6,219,100.00 | 6,561,222.78 |
| First State Insurance Company | 2,100,000.00 | 2,126,586.00 |
| Freemont Industrial Indemnity Company | 890,000.00 | 843,072.00 |
| General \& Cologne Life Re of America | 1,500,000.00 | 1,548,050.00 |
| Gen. Electric Mortgage Ins.Corp. Of N.C. | 60,000.00 | 60,075.00 |
| General Star Indemnity Company | 2,975,000.00 | 3,107,067.70 |
| Genesis Insurance Company | 3,000,000.00 | 3,100,320.00 |
| Greenwich Insurance | 25,000.00 | 25,265.75 |
| Guaranty Insurance | 2,800,000.00 | 2,752,316.00 |
| Hart Life Insurance | 5,059,000.00 | 5,039,088.25 |
| Hartford Accident \& Indemnity Company | 3,325,000.00 | 3,387,465.50 |
| Hartford Fire Insurance Company | 3,830,000.00 | 3,874,371.00 |
| Hartford International Life Reassurance Corp. | 2,069,000.00 | 2,057,441.45 |
| Hartford Life and Accident Insurance Co. | 2,026,000.00 | 2,014,991.90 |
| Hartford Life Insurance Company | 2,019,000.00 | 2,010,267.40 |
| Hartford Life and Annuity | 2,421,000.00 | 2,413,340.25 |
| Hartford Steam Boiler Inspec. \& Ins. Co. | 3,600,000.00 | 3,619,030.95 |
| Hartford Steam Boiler Insp \& Ins Co Of Conn. | 1,000,000.00 | 1,008,750.00 |
| Hartford Underwriters Insurance Company | 3,575,000.00 | 3,655,976.50 |
| Highlands Insurance Company | 100,000.00 | 100,000.00 |
| Highmark Insurance Company | 5,000,000.00 | 5,063,300.00 |
| Houston General Insurance Company | 65,000.00 | 62,237.50 |
| Idealife Insurance Company | 1,500,000.00 | 1,489,113.00 |
| Il Annuity And Insurance Co. | 250,000.00 | 253,165.00 |
| Industrial Alliance Life Insurance | 30,000.00 | 31,279.20 |
| Integon National Insurance Company | 75,000.00 | 77,508.00 |
| Integon Preferred Insurance | 75,000.00 | 85,887.00 |
| Liberty Insurance Corporation | 30,000.00 | 29,854.80 |
| Liberty Mutual Fire Insurance Company | 20,450,000.00 | 20,956,767.50 |
| Liberty Mutual Insurance Company | 129,940,000.00 | 126,547,869.50 |
| Life Reassurance Corporation Of America | 5,290,000.00 | 5,199,186.30 |
| Lumber Mutual Insurance Company | 1,880,000.00 | 1,942,685.60 |
| MML Bay State Life Insurance Co. | 1,500,000.00 | 1,527,660.00 |
| Massachusetts Mutual | 1,810,000.00 | 1,873,708.60 |
| Members Life Ins. Co. | 350,000.00 | 361,704.00 |
| Middlesex Mutual | 525,000.00 | 550,263.00 |
| Monumental Life Insurance | 75,000.00 | 76,101.75 |
| Munich American Reassurance Company | 40,000.00 | 39,318.80 |
| National Fire Insurance Co. Of Hartford | 2,500,000.00 | 2,569,870.00 |
| National Liability \& Fire Insurance | 2,600,000.00 | 2,582,944.00 |
| New England Insurance Company Of Connecticut | 2,600,000.00 | 2,576,836.00 |
| New England Reinsurance Corporation | 3,225,000.00 | 3,170,078.25 |
| New London County Mutual Insurance Co. | 600,000.00 | 598,314.00 |
| Northern Assur. Co. of America | 3,640,000.00 | 3,674,463.65 |
| North American Lumber Insurance Company | 495,000.00 | 467,119.70 |
| Nutmeg Insurance Company | 3,000,000.00 | 3,031,300.00 |
| Odyssey America | 2,500,000.00 | 2,844,563.60 |
| Orion Insurance Company | 3,630,000.00 | 3,583,350.30 |
| PHL Variable Insurance Company | 5,070,000.00 | 5,043,767.10 |
| Pacific Insurance Company | 2,820,000.00 | 2,861,446.00 |


| Name of Insurance Company |  | Par Amount of Collateral |  | Market Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Patrons Fire Ins. Co. of Rhode Island |  | 120,000.00 |  | 136,008.00 |
| Phoenix American Life |  | 1,500,000.00 |  | 1,474,455.00 |
| Phoenix Insurance Company |  | 4,635,000.00 |  | 4,699,057.55 |
| Phoenix Life \& Annuity |  | 5,150,000.00 |  | 5,067,671.50 |
| Pxre Reinsurance |  | 7,425,000.00 |  | 7,311,558.00 |
| Quadrant Indemnity |  | 3,300,000.00 |  | 3,326,700.00 |
| Radian Guaranty |  | 315,000.00 |  | 323,347.50 |
| Reliance Universal Ins.Co. |  | 100,000.00 |  | 99,224.60 |
| Royal Special Risks Insurance Company |  | 2,500,000.00 |  | 2,457,025.00 |
| Royal Surplus Lines Insurance Company |  | 2,500,000.00 |  | 2,647,250.00 |
| Safeco Insurance Co. |  | 100,000.00 |  | 104,812.00 |
| Safeguard Insurance Company |  | 3,350,000.00 |  | 3,292,413.50 |
| Seaco Insurance Company |  | 5,505,000.00 |  | 5,995,711.05 |
| Security Connecticut Life Ins. Co. |  | 5,000,000.00 |  | 4,753,900.00 |
| Security Insurance Company Of Hartford |  | 3,185,000.00 |  | 3,208,301.50 |
| Seneca Insurance Company |  | 260,000.00 |  | 263,291.60 |
| Sentinel Ins. Co. Ltd. |  | 3,100,000.00 |  | 3,282,214.50 |
| Servus Life Ins. Co. |  | 5,050,000.00 |  | 5,046,800.50 |
| Standard Fire Insurance Company |  | 4,000,000.00 |  | 3,931,880.00 |
| T.H.E. Insurance Company |  | 300,000.00 |  | 298,090.00 |
| Thames Ins. Co. |  | 200,000.00 |  | 199,438.00 |
| Tig Insurance Co. |  | 12,076,000.00 |  | 11,755,261.44 |
| Transnational Insurance |  | 3,600,000.00 |  | 3,577,416.00 |
| Travco Insurance Company |  | 4,875,000.00 |  | 5,162,186.25 |
| Travelers Casualty \& Surety |  | 3,000,000.00 |  | 3,089,770.00 |
| Travelers Casualty \& Surety of America |  | 3,180,000.00 |  | 3,246,179.20 |
| Travelers Casualty of Connecticut |  | 2,500,000.00 |  | 2,519,250.75 |
| Travelers Commercial Insurance |  | 1,625,000.00 |  | 1,598,935.25 |
| Travelers Excess \& Surplus |  | 2,500,000.00 |  | 2,527,350.00 |
| Travelers Home \& Marine Insurance Company |  | 5,125,000.00 |  | 5,426,913.75 |
| Travelers Indemnity Company |  | 4,130,000.00 |  | 3,963,678.00 |
| Travelers Indemnity Company Of America |  | 3,565,000.00 |  | 3,504,288.05 |
| Travelers Indemnity Company Of Connecticut |  | 3,000,000.00 |  | 3,318,750.00 |
| Travelers Insurance Company |  | 2,625,000.00 |  | 2,584,252.50 |
| Travelers Life And Annuity Company |  | 2,600,000.00 |  | 2,652,124.00 |
| Travelers Personal Security Insurance Company |  | 4,100,000.00 |  | 4,061,107.00 |
| Travelers Property Casualty Insurance Company |  | 1,550,000.00 |  | 1,536,603.00 |
| Trenwick America Reinsurance |  | 3,500,000.00 |  | 3,586,800.00 |
| Truck Insurance Exchange |  | 370,000.00 |  | 363,639.70 |
| Trumbull Insurance Co |  | 3,090,000.00 |  | 3,128,324.40 |
| United Guaranty Residential Ins. Co. Of N.C. |  | 50,000.00 |  | 51,056.00 |
| United Healthcare Insurance |  | 1,506,000.00 |  | 1,496,696.82 |
| United Illuminating |  | 210,000.00 |  | 207,505.20 |
| Vision Service Plan Of Connecticut Inc. |  | 2,300,000.00 |  | 2,284,544.00 |
| Westchester Surplus Lines |  | 100,000.00 |  | 103,625.00 |
| Worcester Ins. |  | 1,270,000.00 |  | 1,274,891.50 |
| Zenith Insurance Company |  | 100,000.00 |  | 99,125.00 |
| Zurich Reinsurance Centre I |  | 3,000,000.00 |  | 3,130,940.00 |
| TOTAL | \$ | 490,190,100.00 | \$ | 488,998,220.41 |

CASH MANAGEMENT DIVISION

| Name of Insurance Company | Par Amount <br> of Collateral | Market <br> Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Assets Held In STIF:

| American Mutual Insurance Of Boston | $2,048,858.68$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| American Mutual Liability | $21,275,842.47$ |
| Covenant Mutual Liquidating Trust | $15,795,011.31$ |
| First State Insurance Company | $3,380,495.37$ |
| Suburban Health Plan | $1,090,083.38$ |
| Westbrook Insurance Company | $6,335,954.80$ |

TOTAL $\quad \$ \quad 49,926,246.01$

The Act which established Unemployment Compensation provides that contributions from employers be collected by the Labor Commissioner as Administrator of the Act and be deposited with the State Treasurer. (Chapter 2, Public Act, Special Session 1936). These funds are then sent to the Secretary of the Treasury of the U nited States. The Administrator requests withdraw als as needed to pay benefits to employees.

BALANCE, JULY 1, 1999

Deposits
Combined Wage Transfers to Connecticut
Earnings
Federal Employee \& Ex-Servicemen Contributions
EB Recoupment
Reed Act Distribution
FSB, EUC Repayments
FSC Repayments

## TOTAL CASH AVAILABLE

Withdrawals
Federal Employee \& Ex-Servicemen Withdrawals

BALANCE, JUNE 30, 2000
\$ 834,820,121.34

| $\$$ | $353,645,000.00$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $3,733,593.86$ |  |
| $55,737,744.72$ |  |
| $3,085,000.00$ |  |
|  | $7,500.00$ |
| $2,061,495.00$ |  |
| $(413,797.95)$ |  |
|  | $(6,564.70)$ |

\$ 1,252,670,092.27
\$ 408,815,000.00 3,085,000.00 $\qquad$ 411,900,000.00



[^0]:    (1) Represents securities for which theM acaulay D uration could not be calculated. (2) Represents funds invested in the C ash Reserve A ccount.

[^1]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ Includes funds invested in the C ash R eserve F und.
    ${ }^{(2)}$ Compounded without the effect of Cash and Other Net A ssets.

[^2]:    See Notes to Civil and Non-Civil List Trust Fund Financial Statements.
    (1) Reflects timing differences in the recognition of income by the Plans

[^3]:    (1) Expenses are presented on a cash basis. Debt M anagement expenses are comprised of payments to vendors madethrough the T reasury Business Office, fees netted at bond closings, and fees and expenses paid by trustees.

[^4]:    BOND TYPE: UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION ${ }^{(\pi)}$

[^5]:    (1) Figures do not reflect any adjustments made by the Comptroller to the agency's deposit information.
    (2) C ash received by agencies during the fiscal year 1999, but not deposited until thefiscal year 2000. These cash receipts were recor ded by the T reasurer as fiscal year 2000 receipts and havebeen netted against the sub-total to a ccurately reflect fiscal year 2000 cash receipts.
    (3) Cash received by agencies on June 30, 2000 but deposited after June $30,2000$.

