

Criminal Justice Policy & Planning Division

450 Capitol Avenue, Hartford, CT 06106-1379 • (860) 418-6248 • WWW.CT.GOV/OPM/CriminalJustice/Research

May 2008

Current Correctional Population Indicators Monthly Report

Contents

Connecticut Criminal Justice System Overview	2
Types of Connecticut Community Supervision	3
Department of Correction Admissions	4
Department Of Correction Releases	6
Granting of Parole	7
Probation and Referrals to CSSD	8
Current Prison Population	9
Six Month Forecast of the Prison Population	10
APPENDIX I – Agency Descriptions	11
APPENDIX II - Glossary & Counting Rules	

Forecast/Research Work Group

This report has been generated by the Office of Policy and Management in collaboration with the following Forecast/Research Work Group Members:

Office of Policy and Management (OPM)

Criminal Justice Policy and Planning Division Brian Austin, Jr., Under Secretary John E. Forbes, Assistant Division Director Linda D. DeConti, M.Sc., Research Manager Alyse A. Chin, M.S.W., Research Asst. Manager

Central Connecticut State University (CCSU) Stephen M. Cox, Ph.D., SAC Director Lyndsay Ruffolo, Research Specialist

State of Connecticut Judicial Branch Court Operations

Judith P. Lee, Esq., Case flow Mgmt. Specialist

- Court Support Services Division (CSSD) Center for Research & Quality Improvement Brian Hill, Manager Susan C. Glass, Program Manager
- Department of Correction (DOC) Cheryl Cepelak, Director Frederick J. Levesque, Director Jody Barry, Associate Research Analyst
- Board of Pardons and Paroles (BOPP) John Lahda, Executive Director Richard Sparaco, Parole & CS Manager Jerry Stowell, Ph.D., Consultant

Department of Public Safety (DPS) Division of State Police, Crime Analysis Unit Lois A. Desmarais, Planning Specialist Gary Lopez, Planning Specialist

Department of Mental Health & Addiction Services Alfred Bidorini, Director of Planning

Executive Summary

- On May 1, 2008, the total prison population was 19,656 offenders, which is a 3.6% increase over May 1, 2007 (18,973).
- Community returns to DOC custody increased again in April (243) but is still the sixth lowest number of returns for this 12-month period.
- DOC releases to community supervision increased from March (722) to April (782), and total non-end of sentence (non-EOS) releases now represent approximately 42% of total releases for this 12-month period.
- In April, releases to Transitional Supervision reached an all time high of 383 offenders.
- End of sentence (EOS) releases increased from 975 in March to 1,020 in April, and total EOS is now approximately 58% of total releases for this 12-month period.
- Starting this month, transfer parole numbers are now included in the Board of Pardons and Paroles' statistics on page 7 of this report. In April, there were a total of 180 paroles granted; 37 by full panel hearings, 128 by administrative reviews, and 15 by transfer parole reviews.
- The parole granting rates for April are: 82% for full panel hearing; 84% for administrative review; and 32% for transfer parole reviews.
- Total probation referrals decreased slightly from 1,758 to 1,744; referrals to outpatient services (721) was 3.6% lower, while referrals to community services (970) was only 0.4% lower, but referrals to inpatient/ residential treatment programs (53) was 47% higher than last month.
- The six-month forecast projects that the prison population will decrease by approximately 2.9% from May to November 2008, and that the November 2008 prison population will be 19,093 offenders.

This projection is based on the following facts: (1) the total DOC supervised population has remained stable (2) the unsentenced/accused population has declined, and (3) PA 08-1 has provided funding for additional reentry/diversionary beds. This projection is also based on: (1) the expectation that transitional supervision placements will increase and (2) that parole supervision placements should return to pre-July 2007 levels.

Historical perspectives, supportive data and additional analysis pertaining to these statutorily required correctional population indicators will be available at <u>www.ct.gov/opm/criminaljustice/research</u>.

Connecticut Criminal Justice System Overview




CHART 1 – Connecticut Criminal Justice System: Admissions & Releases May 1, 2007 to April 30, 2008

Data Sources: Arrest-Judicial/Court Operations; Court-Judicial/CSSD; Prison-Department of Correction; DOC Daily Population Counts. *NOTE: Non-comparable value represents cases, not clients. There may be multiple cases per clients. **Total Arrests include violations of the Connecticut Motor Vehicle and Criminal Statutes. These numbers are merely estimates based on data available at the time of this report. Subsequent reports of actual figures may not agree.

The flowchart above is a summary of the Connecticut Criminal Justice System for the period May 1, 2007 to April 30, 2008. Unlike other states that have county governments, the majority of agencies within the criminal justice system are State agencies. The one exception is law enforcement, where there are over 90 local police departments and the Connecticut State Police.

Total Offender Flow Year to Date: For the 12 month period from May 2007 to April 2008 (see CHART 1):

- Criminal Arrests: A total of 114,584 (60.9%) of total arrests were criminal arrests
- Pre-Trial Diversion: 30,091 cases resulted in no detainment and placement in a CSSD Pre-Trial Diversion program
- Sentenced to Probation: 28,616 convicted offenders were sentenced to probation

Average Daily Count (ADC) of Total Offenders under Supervision: On a daily basis, the total ADC of offenders under CSSD and DOC supervision is **97,671** offenders (see CHART 1):

ADC of Offenders under Community Supervision:

- CSSD Pre-trial arrestees and probationers: On average 57,059 offenders on sentenced supervised probation and 16,990 pre-trial/diverted offenders are supervised by CSSD on a daily basis
- 4,296 offenders in the community are supervised by DOC on a daily basis

ADC of Offenders under Facility Supervision:

 DOC Accused and Sentenced: On average about 19,326 incarcerated offenders are under DOC supervision on a daily basis **Total Offenders Admitted to DOC:** A total of **34,108** people were admitted to DOC

- Accused/Un-sentenced: 24,015 arrestees or 70.4% of DOC admissions were detained and placed in prison prior to their trial
- New Sentences: 4,968 convicted offenders or 14.6% of DOC admissions were new sentences to prison
- Community Returns: 3,292 offenders or 9.7% of admissions were returned to prison from DOC community programs
- Federal/Other: 1,833 offenders or 5.4% of DOC admissions were pre-trial or sentenced Federal detainees being housed in a Connecticut DOC facility

Total Offenders Released from DOC: A total of **20,754** people were released from DOC custody or released to DOC Community Supervision

- End of Sentence: 12,010 offenders or 58% reached their end of sentence (EOS) and were released from DOC custody
- DOC Community Supervision: 8,744 offenders or 42% were released to DOC community supervision

Table 1 below shows the various types of Connecticut Community Supervision.


Who decides if released to community?	Types of Release	Eligibility	Supervised By
	Transitional Supervision (TS)	A prison sentence of 2 years or less	DOC Parole Officers
Department of	Halfway House (HWH)	18 months prior to end of sentence or parole release	DOC Parole Officers
Correction (DOC)	Furlough*	30 days from end of sentence or parole release	DOC Parole Officers
	Transitional Placement	Placement in an approved community or private residence after satisfactory performance in a residential program	DOC Parole Officers
Parole		A prison sentence of more than 2 years	DOC Parole Officers
Board of Pardons and Parole (BOPP)	Transfer Parole	Up to 18 months in advance of voted to parole date	DOC Parole Officers
	Special Parole	Court imposed period of parole following expiration of maximum term(s) of imprisonment (Mandatory)	DOC Parole Officers
Judicial Branch's Court Support Services Division (CSSD) / Courts	Probation	A defendant found guilty of a crime is released by the court without imprisonment subject to conditions of supervision imposed by the court (e.g. such as paying a fine, doing community service or attending a drug treatment program)	CSSD Probation Officers
	Split Sentence Probation	Court imposed period of post-sentence supervision subject to conditions imposed by the court.	CSSD Probation Officers

TABLE 1 – Types of Connecticut Community Supervision

*Effective from passage: Section 16 of Public Act 08-01statutorily removed the Commissioner of Correction's authority to place offenders on 30 day (Re-entry) furloughs with the exception of: visit to a dying relative or a relative's funeral; medical services not otherwise available; if an employment opportunity exists or an employment interview is scheduled.

(1A) Total Admissions to prison directly from Courts for the 12 month period May 2007 to April 2008: A total of 34,108 offenders were admitted to prison directly from courts (see CHART 1A and TABLE 1A)





MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC JAN FEB MAR API Data Source: Department of Correction

- Accused offenders awaiting trial: 24,015 accused offenders or 70.4% of new admissions were awaiting trial, also known as the pre-trial population
- New sentence: 4,968 offenders or 14.6% of admissions were new sentence admissions

TABLE 1A – Monthly DOC Admissions by Type

May 2007 to April 2008					
		New	Community	Federal/	
	Accused	Sentence	Returns	Other	Total
MAY	2,038	416	300	202	2,956
JUN	2,019	354	321	157	2,851
JUL	2,108	385	307	167	2,967
AUG	2,426	413	364	161	3,364
SEP	1,919	367	395	157	2,838
OCT	2,252	449	323	162	3,186
NOV	1,825	414	219	137	2,595
DEC	1,635	221	186	129	2,171
JAN	2,164	664	231	176	3,235
FEB	1,660	431	181	113	2,385
MAR	1,949	443	222	134	2,748
APR	2,020	411	243	138	2,812
Total	24,015	4,968	3,292	1,833	34,108
Avg	2,001	414	274	153	2,842
% Total	70.4%	14.6%	9.7%	5.4%	

Data Notes: Data reflects counts from May 1, 2007 to April 30, 2008 and consists of counts of newly admitted offenders. Accused offenders who are sentenced to prison while already incarcerated are not included in this data. Offenders admitted prior to their conviction and subsequent to their prison sentence were previously counted under "Accused".

(1B) Total Re-Admissions to Prison from DOC Community Supervision for the 12 month period May 2007 to April 2008: A total of 3,292 or 9.7% of offenders admitted to prison were re-admitted from DOC Community Supervision (see CHART 1B.1 and TABLE 1B.1)

- Parole: 1,513 or 46.0% of offenders re-admitted to prison from community supervision were on parole
- Transitional Supervision (TS): 1,136 or 34.5% of offenders re-admitted to prison from community supervision were on TS
- Halfway House (HWH): 580 or 17.6% of offenders readmitted to prison from community supervision were from HWH
- Furlough: 63 or 1.9% of offenders re-admitted to prison from community supervision were on (re-entry) furlough


TABLE 1B.1 – Monthly Prison Re-Admissions from DOC Community Supervision

	May 2007 to April 2008				
	Parole	TS	HWH	Furlough	Total
MAY	146	98	47	9	300
JUN	154	103	51	13	321
JUL	150	98	51	8	307
AUG	182	128	45	9	364
SEP	192	126	69	8	395
OCT	155	94	69	5	323
NOV	97	70	48	4	219
DEC	68	82	33	3	186
JAN	107	85	36	3	231
FEB	75	61	45	0	181
MAR	75	100	47	0	222
APR	112	91	39	1	243
Total	1,513	1,136	580	63	3,292
Avg	126	95	48	5	274
% Total	46.0%	34.5%	17.6%	1.9%	

Data Note: Data reflects counts from May 1, 2007 to April 30, 2008. These percentages do not reflect rates of return.

CHART 1B.1 – Monthly Prison Re-Admissions from DOC Community Supervision

May 2007 to April 2008



(1B) Total Re-Admissions to Prison from DOC Community Supervision for April 2008: A total of 243 offenders were re-admitted to prison from DOC Community Supervision with violations (see TABLES 1B.2, 1B.3 and CHART 1B.2)

- Technical Violations: 148 offenders or 61% of readmissions to prison from DOC Community Supervision were for technical violations
- Criminal Violations: 63 offenders or 26% of readmissions to prison from DOC Community Supervision were for criminal violations

TABLE 1B.2 – Prison Re-Admissions from DOC
Community Supervision by Type of Violations
April 2008

	Parole	TS	HWH	Furlough	Total	% Total
Tech Vio	59	63	26	0	148	61%
Criminal	37	22	3	1	63	26%
Escape	0	6	10	0	16	7%
Abscond	16	0	0	0	16	7%
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Total	112	91	39	1	243	
% Total	46.1%	37.4%	16.0%	0.4%		

Data Note: Cannot Escape from Parole, any entries moved to Abscond.






TABLE 1B.3 – Monthly Prison Re-Admissions from DOC Community Supervision with Technical Violations

	May 2007 to April 2008				
	Parole	TS	HWH	Furlough	Total
MAY	64	55	34	0	153
JUN	78	66	24	3	171
JUL	71	62	30	2	165
AUG	122	99	25	0	246
SEP	192	126	69	8	395
OCT	102	64	51	0	217
NOV	49	40	20	0	109
DEC	68	82	33	3	186
JAN	57	59	19	0	135
FEB	42	46	25	0	113
MAR	42	69	21	0	132
APR	59	63	26	0	148
Total	946	831	377	16	2,170
Avg	79	69	31	1	181
% Total	43.6%	38.3%	17.4%	0.7%	

(1C) Total Re-Admissions to Prison because of Violations of Probation (VOP) for April 2008: A total of 92 offenders were re-admitted to prison for violation of probation (see TABLE 1C)

Probation Violations: Of the **411** new sentence offenders admitted to DOC prisons in April 2008, **92** of them or **22%** were re-admitted to prison for violation of probation

TABLE 1C – Sentenced Inmates with Violations of Probations (VOP)

May 2007 to April 2008						
	<=2 YR	> 2 YR	VOP	Total New	% of New	
	<=2 TK	> 2 11	Total	Sentence	Sentence	
MAY	76	17	93	416	22%	
JUN	63	15	78	354	22%	
JUL	76	18	94	385	24%	
AUG	83	17	100	413	24%	
SEP	58	13	71	367	19%	
OCT	87	16	103	449	23%	
NOV	75	20	95	414	23%	
DEC	39	9	48	221	22%	
JAN	126	29	155	664	23%	
FEB	74	13	87	431	20%	
MAR	88	12	100	443	23%	
APR	78	14	92	411	22%	
Total	923	193	1,116	4,968	22%	
Avg	77	16	93	414	22%	

Data Notes: Due to its design, the DOC Management Information System can only provide the current month's count of probation violators. Also, it is not possible to determine how many of the probation violators were sentenced to prison for committing a new criminal offense or for technical violations of probation (2) Total Releases from DOC for the 12 month period May 2007 to April 2008: A total of 20,754 offenders were released from prison and from DOC Community Supervision (see CHARTS 2, 2A and TABLE 2)

- Total End of Sentence (EOS): 12,010 or 58% of offenders reached their end of sentence and were no longer under DOC custody/supervision
- Total Non-EOS: 8,744 or 42% of offenders were released to DOC community supervision

Total Releases from DOC for April 2008: A total of **1,802** offenders were released from prison and from DOC Community Supervision

 Total End of Sentence (EOS): 1,020 offenders reached their end of sentence and were no longer under DOC custody/supervision. Compared to the 12-month average, the number of offenders released at EOS is 2% higher in April

Total Offenders released to DOC Community Supervision after serving their period of incarceration for the 12 month period May 2007 to April 2008: A total of 8,744 or 42% of offenders were released to DOC Community Supervision


- Parole: 2,211 or 25% of offenders released to community supervision went on parole
- Transitional Supervision (TS): 3,031 or 35% of offenders released to community supervision went on transitional supervision
- Halfway Houses (HWH): 1,871 or 21% of offenders released to community supervision went to halfway houses
- Furlough*: 1,631 or 19% of offenders released to community supervision went on furlough

Total Offenders released in April 2008 to DOC Community Supervision after serving their period of incarceration: A total of **782** offenders were released to DOC community supervision:

- Parole: 229 offenders were released to parole. Compared to the 12-month average, the number of offenders released on parole increased by 24% in April
- Transitional Supervision (TS): 383 offenders were released to transitional supervision. Compared to the 12month average, the number of offenders released on TS increased by 52% in April
- Halfway Houses (HWH): 170 offenders were released to halfway houses. Compared to the 12-month average, the number of offenders released to HWH increased by 9% in April
- Furlough*/Transitional Placement: No one was released on furlough or transitional placement during April


*Data Note: Effective from passage, Section 16 of Public Act 08-01 statutorily removed the Commissioner of Correction's authority to place offenders on 30 day furloughs with the exception of: visit to a dying relative; relative's funeral; medical services not otherwise available; if an employment opportunity exists or an employment interview is scheduled.

CHART 2 – Monthly DOC Releases by Type May 2007 to April 2008



MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC JAN FEB MAR APR Data Source: Department of Correction

CHART 2A – DOC Releases by EOS/Non-EOS May 2007 to April 2008



MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC JAN FEB MAR APR Data Source: Department of Correction

Data Note: Non-EOS = Parole + TS + HWH + Furlough*.

TABLE 2 – Monthly DOC Releases by Type

May 2007 to April 2008						
	EOS	Parole	TS	HWH	Furlough	Total
MAY	1,085	234	254	168	244	1,985
JUN	1,032	230	265	180	215	1,922
JUL	976	273	283	190	260	1,982
AUG	1,083	222	227	174	208	1,914
SEP	907	160	167	158	149	1,541
OCT	978	54	184	120	129	1,465
NOV	1,105	115	202	127	137	1,686
DEC	944	118	171	107	157	1,497
JAN	965	175	214	161	131	1,646
FEB	940	187	335	155	0	1,617
MAR	975	214	346	161	1	1,697
APR	1,020	229	383	170	0	1,802
Total	12,010	2,211	3,031	1,871	1,631	20,754
Avg	1,001	184	253	156	136	1,730
% Total	58%					
Non EOS	6	25%	35%	21%	19%	8,744

Data Note: Data reflects counts from May 1, 2007 to April 30, 2008. Transfer Parole and Special Parole counted in Parole. Transitional Placement counted in Furlough*.

(3) Total Numbers and Parole Granting Rates for the 12 month period May 2007 to April 2008: A total of 2,233 paroles were granted by full panel hearings, administrative reviews and transfer parole reviews (see CHART 3A, TABLE 3)

Public Act 08-1 now requires that no hearing to grant parole be held "...unless the chairperson of the board has made reasonable efforts to determine the existence of and obtain all information deemed pertinent to the panel's decision and has certified that all such pertinent information determined to exist has been obtained or is unavailable."




CHART 3A – Monthly Paroles Granted May 2007 to April 2008

TABLE 3 – Monthly Parole Granting Rate May 2007 to April 2008

	Full Panel		Administrative				
	Hearings		Reviews		Transfer	Transfer Paroles	
	Number	Granting	Number	Granting	Number	Granting	Total
	Granted	Rate	Granted	Rate	Granted	Rate	Granted
MAY	131	94%	205	91%	30	42%	366
JUN	75	82%	151	78%	34	37%	260
JUL	169	93%	164	81%	9	21%	342
AUG	46	82%	31	89%	0	***	77
SEP	70	89%	66	69%	0	***	136
OCT	0	*	94	66%	46	51%	140
NOV	0	*	110	82%	11	39%	121
DEC	0	*	160	82%	20	29%	180
JAN	0	*	136	80%	6	32%	142
FEB	0	*	0	**	9	53%	9
MAR	31	79%	205	86%	44	70%	280
APR	37	82%	128	84%	15	32%	180
Total	559		1,450		224		2,233
Avg	80	86%	132	81%	22	41%	186

* From October 2007 to February 2008, there were no paroles granted or denied by the full board.

**For February 2008 there were no paroles granted or denied by administrative review.


***For August and September 2007 there were no transfer paroles granted or denied.

Parole Granting Rate for April 2008: During April 2008 there were **180 total paroles granted** by full panel hearings, administrative and transfer parole reviews.

The granting rate for April is: full panel hearing, **82%**; administrative review, **84%**; and transfer parole review, **32%**.


The 12-month averages for the parole granting rates have been adjusted to represent only those months that had full panel hearings, administrative and transfer parole reviews; So the 12-month average parole granting rates are **86%** for full panel hearing, **81%** for administrative reviews and **41%** for transfer paroles (see CHART 3B, TABLE 3).

CHART 3B - Monthly Parole Granting Rate



MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC JAN FEB MAR APR Data Source: Board of Pardons and Paroles

(4) Total number of sentenced offenders placed on probation for the 12 month period May 2007 to April 2008: A total of 28,616 offenders were placed on probation (see CHART 4A)



Total CSSD Community Placements for the 12 month period April 2007 to March 2008: A total of **23,055** offenders were sentenced to or referred by a probation officer to community supervision (see TABLE 4B & CHART 4B)

- Community-based services: 14,623 or 63% of offenders were placed in community-based services
- Outpatient services: 7,897 or 34% of offenders were referred to outpatient programs


TABLE 4B – Monthly CSSD Direct Sentenced &	
Probationer Community Placements	

April 2007 to March 2008					
	Community- Based	Outpatient	Inpatient/ Residential	Total	
APR	1,139	661	45	1,845	
MAY	1,267	664	47	1,978	
JUN	1,083	603	67	1,753	
JUL	1,278	501	47	1,826	
AUG	1,515	606	26	2,147	
SEP	1,397	651	43	2,091	
OCT	1,493	780	53	2,326	
NOV	1,209	611	45	1,865	
DEC	1,014	544	32	1,590	
JAN	1,284	807	41	2,132	
FEB	974	748	36	1,758	
MAR	970	721	53	1,744	
Total	14,623	7,897	535	23,055	
Avg	1,219	658	45	1,921	
% Total	63%	34%	2%		

Data Notes: Data reflects counts from April 1, 2007 to March 31, 2008. These numbers are merely estimates based on data available at the time of this report. Subsequent reports of actual figures may not agree.




April 2007 to March 2008



Data Notes: April 2008 data was not available at the time of this report. These numbers are merely estimates based on data available at the time of this report. Subsequent reports of actual figures may not agree.

(5) The total prison population: On May 1, 2008 the total prison population was 19,656 offenders (see CHART 5A)



Data Source: Department of Correction

Snapshot of total Prison Population by Age, Race/Ethnicity and Gender on May 1, 2008 (see CHARTS 5B, 5C and TABLES 5B, 5C)

AGE:

- Age 22 to 29: the largest segment of the total prison population continues to be between 22 and 29 years old with 5,899 offenders or 30.0%
- Age 30 to 39: 5,569 or 28.3% of the total prison population are between 30 and 39 years old
- Age 17 and under: 342 or 1.7% of the total prison population are age 17 and under; 17 of those offenders are younger than 16 years old

RACE/ETHNICITY:

- African American: 8,392 offenders or 42.7% of the total prison population are African-Americans
- White: 5,869 offenders or 29.9% of the total prison population are White
- **Hispanic**: **5,267** or **26.8%** of the total prison population are Hispanic

GENDER:

• Males: 18,300 offenders or 93% of the total prison population are males

• Females: 1,356 offenders or 7% of the total prison population are females





TABLE 5B – Snapshot of Prison Population by Age May 1, 2008

Under 16	16-17	18-21	22-29	30-39	Over 40	Total
17	325	2,120	5,899	5,569	5,726	19,656
0.1%	1.7%	10.8%	30.0%	28.3%	29.1%	

CHART 5C – Snapshot of Prison Population By Race and Gender May 1, 2008



Data Source: Department of Correction


TABLE 5C – Snapshot of Prison Population By Race and Gender


		May 1, 2008 White Hispanic Other Total % Total 615 279 13 1,356 7% 45% 21% 1% 7% 5,254 4,988 115 18,300 93% 29% 27% 1% 5869 5267 128 19.656				
	African- American	White	Hispanic	Other	Total	% Total
Females	449	615	279	13	1,356	7%
	33%	45%	21%	1%		
Males	7,943	5,254	4,988	115	18,300	93%
	43%	29%	27%	1%		
Total	8,392	5,869	5,267	128	19,656	
% Total	42.7%	29.9%	26.8%	0.7%		

CHART 5B – Snapshot of Prison Population by Age May 1, 2008

(6) Connecticut Prison Population Trends (See CHART 6A)

- From January 1, 1988 through May 1, 2008: The prison population has increased by 184% over the past 20 years
- The prison population has increased by 3.6% from May 1, 2007 (18,973) to May 1, 2008 (19,656)





Connecticut Prison Population Forecast

This projection is based on the following facts: (1) the total DOC supervised population has remained stable (2) the unsentenced/accused population has declined, and (3) PA 08-1 has provided funding for additional reentry/diversionary beds. This projection is also based on: (1) the expectation that transitional supervision placements will increase and (2) that parole supervision placements should return to pre-July 2007 levels. (See CHART 6B)

The six month forecast projects that:

- The prison population will decrease by approximately 2.9% from May 2008 to November 2008
- The November 2008 prison population will be approximately 19,093 offenders




TABLE 6C - Connecticut Total DOC Supervised Population Trends for the Ten Year Period: 1999 to 2008

-															
	Year	Parole	% Chg	TS	% Chg	HWH	% Chg	Furlough	% Chg	Total Com. Supv.	% Chg	Total Facility	% Chg	Total DOC Supv. Pop.	% Chg
1	1999	1,099	5%	896	-23%	712	21%	34	-3%	2,741	-3%	16,104	4%	18,845	2%
2	2000	1,381	26%	717	-20%	749	5%	25	-26%	2,872	5%	17,305	7%	20,177	7%
3	2001	1,722	25%	633	-12%	738	-1%	27	8%	3,120	9%	17,137	-1%	20,257	0%
4	2002	2,019	17%	705	11%	735	0%	26	-4%	3,485	12%	17,997	5%	21,482	6%
5	2003	2,199	9%	1,012	44%	759	3%	44	69%	4,014	15%	19,216	7%	23,230	8%
6	2004	2,343	7%	1,060	5%	680	-10%	47	7%	4,130	3%	18,522	-4%	22,652	-2%
7	2005	2,552	9%	1,005	-5%	798	17%	137	191%	4,492	9%	18,001	-3%	22,493	-1%
8	2006	2,571	1%	863	-14%	1,048	31%	139	1%	4,621	3%	17,928	0%	22,549	0%
9	2007	2,567	0%	984	14%	1,022	-2%	197	42%	4,770	3%	18,902	5%	23,672	5%
10	2008	1,981	-23%	871	-11%	1,086	6%	97	-51%	4,035	-15%	19,438	3%	23,473	-1%
-	Avg	2,043		875		833		77		3,828		18,055		21,883	
	Total % G	Growth for													
10year period		80.3%		-2.8%		52.5%		185.3%		47.2%		20.7%		24.6%	

Data Note: The yearly figures above represent the DOC population counts as of the first day of January each year.

Judicial Branch's Court Support Services Division

The Court Support Services Division (CSSD) was established within Connecticut's Judicial Branch, in February 1999. It was formed to respond to the changing needs of judges, attorneys, litigants, defendants, and communities, while providing on-going public safety. William H. Carbone is the Executive Director.

The CSSD combines the resources and expertise of the former: Office of the Bail Commissioner, Family Services Division, Juvenile Detention Services, Office of Juvenile Probation, Office of Adult Probation, and the Office of Alternative Sanctions. The merger created a single entity with staff representation and services in each Judicial District. Judges now have all available pre-trial services, family services, offender sentencing and supervision options for adults and juveniles, as well as juvenile detention services, coordinated through the single CSSD division and managed from a central office.

The main court support functions for adults and juveniles includes: Intake/Assessment/Referral (IAR) - a single, comprehensive pre-trial evaluation and referral process; Supervision - a unit that focuses only on effective supervision of clients involved with the court system; and Administration - an alternative sanctions continuum of treatment and support services for pre-trial and sentenced adults and juveniles purchased under contract by CSSD with community based providers comprising a statewide network that serves each judicial district. The CSSD also incorporates Family Civil Services and Family Criminal Court Services.

For more information on CSSD please visit their web site at <u>www.jud.ct.gov/CSSD</u>.

Department of Correction (DOC)

The Commissioner of the Department of Correction, Theresa C. Lantz, by direction of the courts, confines and controls approximately 18,600 accused and sentenced offenders in 14 correctional institutions, 4 correctional centers and various units; and by statute administers medical, mental health, rehabilitative, and community based service programs. DOC mission is to protect the public and staff while ensuring the secure, safe and humane supervision of offenders with opportunities that support successful community reintegration. The DOC's *Division of Parole and Community Services* represents the consolidated community supervision and enforcement functions of the Department of Correction and the Board of Pardons and Paroles, which were combined in the fall of 2004 at the direction of the General Assembly.

For more information on the Department of Correction please visit their web site at <u>www.ct.gov/doc</u>.

Board of Pardons and Paroles (BOPP)

The Connecticut Board of Pardons and Paroles, under the direction of Robert Farr, Chairman, is committed to protecting the public by making responsible panel and staff decisions regarding when and under what circumstances eligible persons may be granted a Pardon or Parole. BOPP is an autonomous panel with administrative support provided by the Department of Correction. The Board possesses discretionary authority to grant pardons for criminal convictions and to place appropriate offenders in the community under parole supervision as a means of supporting their successful reintegration into law abiding society. A full-time Victim Services Coordinator is responsible for assisting those victims of crimes who choose to participate in the decision-making processes of the Board.

For more information on the Board of Pardons and Paroles please visit the DOC web site at <u>www.ct.gov/doc</u> and click on "Board of Pardons and Paroles."

Chart 1: Connecticut Criminal Justice System: Admissions & Discharges

<u>Total Arrests</u>: The number of occurrences for which individuals were taken into police custody for a violation of the Connecticut Motor Vehicle and Criminal Statutes.

Counting Rule: The number of different occurrences. That is, individuals who were arrested on three separate occasions would be counted three times.

<u>Criminal Arrests:</u> The number of occurrences for which individuals were taken into police custody for a violation of the Connecticut Criminal Statutes.

Counting Rule: The number of different occurrences. That is, individuals who were arrested on three separate occasions would be counted three times.

<u>Total DOC Admissions:</u> The number of individuals admitted into Connecticut Department of Correction facilities.

Counting Rule: The number of individuals admitted to any Department of Correction facility from January 1st of the current year to the last day of the monthly reporting period. Individuals who are admitted, released, and re-admitted from DOC custody are counted each time they are admitted.

<u>Pre-Trial Detention Accused/Unsentenced:</u> Arrested individuals who cannot pay the bond amount are held in jail prior to their trial.

Counting Rule: Number of arrestees in jail from January 1st to the last month of the reporting period. This number represents the number of different occurrences per arrestee. That is, individuals who were arrested on three separate occasions and placed in jail prior to trial would be counted three times.

<u>New Sentenced:</u> Convicted offenders who were admitted to a DOC facility only after being convicted and sentenced to prison.

Counting Rule: The number of convicted offenders admitted to a DOC facility. This number does not include offenders who were in jail/prison during the pre-trial process and were subsequently convicted and sentenced to jail.

<u>Federal/Other:</u> Offenders who were pre-trial or sentenced Federal detainees and being housed in a Connecticut DOC facility.

Counting Rule: The number of Federal offenders in Connecticut DOC facilities.

<u>Community Returns:</u> Offenders who have been released to a DOC community program but were returned to prison for not fulfilling the conditions of the release or for committing a new offense.

Counting Rule: The number of offenders released to a community program and returned to prison from January 1st to the end of the monthly reporting period.

Fixed Beds: The total number of permanent jail and prison beds within DOC facilities.

Average Daily Count (ADC):

Counting Rule: An average of the daily count.

<u>Arraignment:</u> The pre-trial stage of the court process where arrested individuals hear the formal charges against them, are allowed to enter a plea, and where the judge sets the bond amount to determine whether they will be released from jail prior to their trial.

<u>Judicial/CSSD Bail Supervision and Pre-Trial</u> <u>Diversion:</u> Arrestees can be released from jail prior to their trial under specific conditions (e.g., drug treatment/education, mental health assessment and treatment, community service, conditional release etc). These individuals are supervised by CSSD pre-trial staff.

Counting Rule: The number of cases with a pre-trial condition or cases referred to a pre-trial diversion program that were being supervised by CSSD pre-trial staff. This number represents different occurrences per arrestee. That is, individuals who were under pre-trial supervision on three separate occasions and would be counted three times.

<u>Release on Recognizance:</u> The court releases the defendant on a signed agreement that he or she will appear in court as required. This category also includes citation releases in which arrestees are released pending their first court appearance on a written order issued by law enforcement or pre-trial staff. This type of release is also known as a Written Promise to Appear.

<u>Trial Court Adjudication:</u> The trial stage of the court process where a verdict is made regarding an accused individual's guilt.

<u>Judicial/CSSD Sentenced Supervision Probation:</u> A court sentence where convicted offenders are supervised in the community rather than placed in prison. Requires offenders to abide by certain rules and conditions set by the judge and probation officer.

Counting Rules: Number of convicted offenders given a probation sentence from January 1st to the end of the monthly reporting period.

<u>Nolled:</u> A disposition of a criminal or motor vehicle case where the prosecutor agrees to drop the case against the defendant but keeps the right to reopen the case and prosecute at any time during the next thirteen months. The nolle is entered on the court record and the defendant, is released from custody. If the defendant stays out of trouble during the thirteen months, the case is removed from the official court records.

<u>Dismissed:</u> The decision made by prosecutors or judges to drop the charges brought against an accused individual.

<u>Not Guilty:</u> Judge or jury finding that the accused individual did not commit the crime for which charges were brought forward.

<u>Guilty but Not Incarcerated:</u> Accused individual is guilty of the charges, but the judicial sentence does not include jail or prison time.

<u>Releases:</u> Offenders who are released from DOC facilities.

DOC Community Supervision: DOC releases certain offenders to a variety of community programs prior to the end of their prison sentence. These programs primarily consist of parole, transitional supervision, halfway houses, and reentry furloughs.

Counting Rules: Number of offenders released to a DOC community program between January 1st to the end of the monthly reporting period.

End of Sentence: Sentenced offenders who complete their sentence and are no longer in the custody of the Connecticut DOC.

Counting Rules: Number of sentenced offenders who completed their sentence and left DOC supervision. This includes offenders and individuals in DOC community programs.

Split Sentence Probation: A judicial sentence that requires convicted offenders to serve a set amount of time in DOC custody followed by a set amount of time on probation.

Chart 1A and Table 1A: DOC Admissions by Type

<u>Accused:</u> Arrested individuals who cannot pay the bond amount and are held in jail prior to their trial.

Counting Rule: Number of arrestees in jail by month. This number represents the number of different occurrences per arrestee. That is, individuals who were arrested on three separate occasions and placed in jail prior to trial would be counted three times.

<u>New Sentence:</u> Convicted offenders who were admitted to a DOC facility only after being convicted and sentenced to prison.

Counting Rule: The number of convicted offenders admitted to a DOC facility. This number does not include offenders who were in jail/prison during the pre-trial process and were subsequently convicted and sentenced to jail.

<u>Federal/Other:</u> Offenders who were pre-trial or sentence Federal detainees and being housed in a Connecticut DOC facility.

Counting Rule: The number of Federal offenders in Connecticut DOC facilities.

Chart 1B.1 and Table 1B.1: Prison Re-Admissions from DOC Community Supervision

Parole: Program available to certain offenders serving sentences of greater than two years. By statute, offenders convicted of non-violent crimes are eligible for parole after serving 50 percent of their sentence. Those offenders convicted of violent crimes must serve 85 percent of their sentence.

TS (Transitional Supervision): Eligible offenders must serve at least 50 percent of a sentence of two years or less. The facility Warden is the designated release authority and the DOC provides supervision and case management, through its Parole and Community Services Unit for offenders on TS status. <u>HWH (Halfway House):</u> Utilized to provide assistance for those offenders who require greater support and supervision in the community. Offenders who are within eighteen months of release date or have been voted to parole may participate in these structured programs.

<u>Furlough*:</u> The release of an offender to an approved residence for up to 30 days in the final portion of their sentence for the purpose of re-entry support into the community.

*Effective from passage, Section 16 of Public Act 08-01 statutorily removed the Commissioner of Correction's authority to place offenders on 30 day (re-entry) furloughs with the exception of: visit to a dying relative or relative's funeral; medical services not otherwise available; if an employment opportunity exists or an employment interview is scheduled.

Counting Rules: The number of offenders placed in each program during each particular month. Parolees who were placed in Halfway Houses were counted only as parolees. The same rule was applied for individuals in Transitional Supervision.

Chart 1B.2 and Table 1B.2: Prison Re-Admissions from DOC Community Supervision

<u>Technical Violation</u>: Failure to abide by rules or conditions as part of release in the program (e.g., failure to meet with community supervision officers, violating curfew, contacting crime victim, carrying a weapon, etc.).

<u>Criminal:</u> Being arrested for committing a new criminal offense while in the community.

Escape: Leaving a halfway house without permission (running away).

<u>Abscond:</u> Failure to report to community supervision for an extended period of time (running away).

<u>Other</u>: Miscellaneous reasons that do not fall into any of the above categories.

Counting Rules: The number of individuals re-admitted to prison for various violations. The count only consists of the most serious violation (criminal, technical violation, escape, abscond, other).

Table 1C: Prison Re-Admissions Sentenced Offenders with Violations of Probation (VOP)

<u>Violation of Probation:</u> Failure to abide by rules or conditions as part of release in the program.

Counting Rules: The number of sentenced offenders (each offender counted once) with violation of probation in any docket, with latest admission date within the specified month, broken down by length of controlling sentence for two years or less or greater than 2 years.

Chart 2 and Table 2: DOC Releases by Type

End of Sentence: Sentenced offenders who complete their sentence and are no longer in the custody of the Connecticut DOC.

Counting Rules: The number of sentenced offenders who completed their sentence and left DOC supervision. This includes offenders and individuals in DOC community programs. Transfer Parole and Special Parole are counted in Parole. Transitional Placement is counted in furlough.

Chart 3A: Parole Approval (Number Granted), Chart 3B and Table 3B: Parole Approval (Granting) Rate

<u>Full Panel Hearings:</u> An official parole board hearing that consists of three members of the Board of Pardons and Paroles. The Board of Pardons and Paroles members review the offenders' case file and discuss the possibility of parole with the offender. After which, they vote on whether the offender should be granted parole.

Administrative Reviews: A less formal process that is often used for less serious offenders. A hearing officer interviews the offender and makes a recommendation to the Board of Pardons and Paroles. The Board of Pardons and Paroles members vote on whether the offender should be granted parole.

<u>Transfer Parole Reviews</u>: The Chairperson of the Board of Pardons and Paroles may transfer to any public or private nonprofit halfway house, group home or mental health facility or to an approved community or private residence any person confined in a correctional institution or facility who has been granted parole release and is within eighteen months of the parole release date established by the board.

Counting Rules: The granting rate was calculated by dividing the number of paroles granted by the total number of parole hearings/reviews.

Chart 4A: Monthly Probation Sentences

Counting Rules: Number of clients who had Adult Probation Supervision cases starting in the month.

Chart 4B and Table 4B: Monthly CSSD Direct Sentenced and Probationer Community Placements

<u>Community-Based Services:</u> Alternative to Incarceration programs for less serious offenders. These programs provide a variety of services including day reporting, substance abuse services, full time education components, vocational assistance, counseling, supervision and extensive community service.

Outpatient: Alternative to Incarceration programs where probationers are required to report at specific times to receive program services including: Substance abuse evaluation and treatment; Mental Health Evaluation and treatment; and Anger Management groups.

Inpatient/Residential: Alternative to Incarceration programs where probationers stay for a predetermined period and receive a variety of services, such as work release supervision, substance abuse treatment, educational services, life skills training, job development, family counseling, and intensive case management.

Counting Rules: The number of clients who were added to this program model per month. In November of 2006 a database change occurred. Data prior to November 2006 included some reporting inconsistencies which were corrected with the new database.

Chart 5A: Monthly Prison Population

Counting Rules: The number of offenders in the custody of DOC facilities on the first day of the given month.

Chart 5C and Table 5C: Snapshot of Prison Population by Age

Counting Rules: The number of offenders by age grouping in the custody of DOC facilities on the given day.

Chart 5B and Table 5B: Snapshot of Prison Population by Race and Gender

Counting Rules: The number of offenders by racial and gender groupings in the custody of DOC facilities on the given day.

Chart 6A: Connecticut Prison Population

<u>Total Facility:</u> The number of offenders in all DOC facilities.

<u>Supervised Home Release</u>: A DOC community program that was discontinued in 1995.

Counting Rules: The number of individuals in each category on January 1st of the given year.

Chart 6B: Actual and Projected Monthly DOC Facility Population: January 2007 to November 2008

<u>Actual Population:</u> The number of offenders in all DOC facilities.

Counting Rules: The number of offenders in the custody of DOC facilities on the first day of the given month.

<u>Projected Population:</u> The estimated number of offenders in DOC facilities on the first day of the given month.

Counting Rules: This six-month projection is based on the following facts: (1) the total DOC supervised population has remained stable (2) the unsentenced/accused population has declined, and (3) PA 08-1 has provided funding for additional reentry/diversionary beds. This projection is also based on: (1) the expectation that transitional supervision placements will increase and (2) that parole supervision placements should return to pre-July 2007 levels.