	Delivery System Reform			
#	Brief Description of Strategy	Explanation of Vote	Voting Action	
1A 1B	Medicaid and the Office of the State Comptroller pursue an aligned approach to contracting with Consumer Care Organizations, which are organizations integrated across the continuum of care and responsible for: improving population health, the quality of health care, addressing the social determinants of health and managing the total cost of care. Consumer Care Organizations will assume some financial risk for managing the total cost of care through a phased-in shared risk approach. (1) Continue with the SIM agenda in its focus on care delivery reforms, development of a common quality framework, and cross-payer alignment around use of Medicare ACO shared savings arrangements. (2) (a) Optimize the current Medicaid care delivery reform initiatives; including ASO-based intensive care management, person-centered medical homes, behavioral health homes, and the long-term services and supports re-balancing plan; and (b) implement targeted new interventions that address and improve outcomes for high need, high cost Medicaid members.	Vote for 1A: The Cabinet would recommend that Medicaid and the Office of the State Comptroller implement CCOs. A vote for 1A would maintain the current SIM strategy, and allow Medicaid to continue to pursue its current reform agenda, in the context of the CCO strategy, which does not begin until completion of the SIM test grant and after experience with PCMH+. Vote for 1B: The Cabinet would recommend that Medicaid continue with current and proposed delivery system and payment system improvements, and that SIM continue with its agenda.	Vote for 1A <u>OR</u> 1B	
1C	Develop all-payer, multi-disciplinary community health teams that would serve primary care providers and patients within specific geographic communities by offering individual care coordination, health and wellness coaching, and behavioral health counseling. The community health team should connect patients to social and economic support services and perform community outreach to support public health initiatives.	Yes vote: The Cabinet would recommend that DSS (and potentially insurers) create and finance community health teams to support: a) support newly developing CCOs and providers that do not form into CCOs, or b) complement the current SIM agenda and Medicaid's pursuit of delivery system and payment system improvements No vote: The Cabinet would recommend no action	Vote YES or NO	

	on community health teams.		

	Directly Reduce Cost Growth			
#	Brief Description of Strategy	Explanation of Vote	Voting Action	
2A	Adopt a state-wide health care cost growth target that is	Yes vote: The Cabinet would recommend	Vote	
	based on an external economic indicator and that holds	adoption of an annual cost growth target.	YES or NO	
	providers and payers responsible for keeping total cost of			
	health care growth at an affordable level, with sanctions for	No vote: The Cabinet would not recommend a		
	noncompliance phased in over time.	cost growth target.		
2B	Set targets for the adoption of value-based payment models,	Yes vote: The Cabinet would recommend the State	Vote	
	to be measured in a manner consistent with the Health Care	set targets for the adoption of value-based	YES or NO	
	Payment Learning Action Network's Alternative Payment	payment.		
	Model framework.			
		No vote: The Cabinet would not make a		
		recommendation on value-based payment targets.		

	Coordinate and Align State Strategies			
#	Brief Description of Strategy	Explanation of Vote	Voting Action	
3A	Create a Health Policy Council which would report to the	Yes vote: The Cabinet would recommend creation	Vote	
	Governor and work to implement health care reform	of a Health Policy Council.	YES or NO	
	strategies in a coherent and consistent manner across the			
	state agencies and across all payers.	No vote: The Cabinet would not make a		
		recommendation to the Legislature on creating a		
		Health Policy Council.		
3B	Create an Office of Health Strategy that would effectively	Yes vote: The Cabinet would recommend the	Vote	
	develop and implement key components of the State's cost	legislature create an Office of Health Strategy.	YES or NO	
	containment strategy.			
		No vote: The Cabinet would not make a		
		recommendation to create an Office of Health		
		Strategy.		

	Support Market Competition by Expanding Attorney General's Powers to Monitor Health Care Market Trends			
#	Brief Description of Strategy	Explanation of Vote	Voting Action	
4	Give the Attorney General the necessary authority,	Yes vote: The Cabinet would recommend that the	Vote	
	provided funding is made available, to monitor health care	Attorney General be granted additional authority	YES or NO	
	market trends by collecting information from any provider,	to fulfill the duties described in the strategy.		
	provider organization, public or private health care payer			
	through document production, answering interrogatories	No vote: The Cabinet would not make a		
	and providing testimony under oath with regard to health	recommendation to grant the Attorney General		
	care costs and cost trends, the factors that contribute to cost	additional authority.		
	growth within the state's health care system and the	ŕ		
	relationship between provider costs and payer premium			
	rates. The Attorney General would be required to report			
	publicly and hold public hearings on its findings.			

	Support Provider Transformation			
#	Brief Description of Strategy	Explanation of Vote	Voting Action	
5A	Augment existing funds and programs to support Medicaid	Yes vote: The Cabinet would recommend that DSS	Vote	
	providers in their transformation efforts by applying for	apply for a DSRIP program through an 1115	YES or NO	
	federal Delivery System Reform Incentive Payment (DSRIP)	Waiver, while continuing to provide support		
	funds.	through existing programs.		
		No vote: The Cabinet would not make a		
		recommendation on applying for a DSRIP		
		program.		
5B	If 5A fails, support provider transformation through existing	Yes vote: The Cabinet would convey its support	Vote	
	financial support programs only.	for the past and planned financial support the State	YES or NO	
		has offered and will offer to Medicaid providers.		
		No vote: The Cabinet would not convey its		
		support for the past and planned financial support		
		the State has offered and will offer to Medicaid		
		providers, however, the planned financial support		
		would still continue.		

	Data Strategies			
#	Brief Description of Strategy	Explanation of Vote	Voting Action	
6	Assuming 3B passes, ensure the Health Information	Yes vote: The Cabinet would recommend that the	Vote	
	Technology Officer (HITO) equips the Office of Health	Health Information Technology Officer work	YES or NO	
	Strategy with the data necessary to fulfill its requirements.	closely with the Office of Health Strategy and		
	-	assist it with accessing the data required to make		
		policy recommendations.		
		N		
		No vote: The Cabinet would not make a		
		recommendation about the work of the HITO.		
7	DSS and the Office of the State Comptroller should access	Yes vote: The Cabinet would recommend that DSS	Vote	
	outside resources to review and incorporate comparative	and the Office of the State Controller leverage the	YES or NO	
	effectiveness research into policy making and coverage	evidence-based research of well-established		
	decisions in an effort to reduce unnecessary services, some of	medical evidence review organizations to make		
	which are costly services.	policy recommendations.		
		No vote: The Cabinet would not make a		
		recommendation about comparative effectiveness		
		strategies.		

NOTE ON VOTING RIGHTS:

By statute, all Cabinet members have one vote. However, the following agencies do not have voting rights: DCF, DDS, CID and DMHAS. All Cabinet members are encouraged to participate in the discussion of all strategies. The Lieutenant Governor will not participate in voting on strategies, except in the case of a tie vote.