

From: [Pandiani, Lee/WBY](#)
To: [Inglese, Oswald](#)
Subject: DRAFT permit feedback
Date: Tuesday, January 15, 2019 2:18:07 PM

Dear Sir,

I am reading the document "DEEP changes to pre treatment programs" which includes your email address at its end.

Upon my first reading of the document a few things stand out.

Beginning on page 9 (of 16) the proposed changes to the Groups I, II & III.

I read this that the Group I is the 'dirtiest' down to Group III which seems to be the least processed water streams?

Essentially what came in to the process in Group III is what is leaving the process with minimal or no physical or chemical modifications. It would seem to me that the Hydrostatic pressure testing and non-contact cooling water would be similar to items in Group III than in Group II where they are proposed to go.

Likewise, Commercial laundry businesses produce a very dirty stream, often requiring pH and temperature adjustment prior to discharge. Some, that handle hospital laundry require treatment for Zn. Very similar to boiler blowdowns which have a high pH and are high temperature. Yet they are in different Groups?

The RO reject water is denoted as *process waste water. Will there be more than one type of RO reject water? For instance a water company taking potable water that already meets State and Federal Drinking water standards who uses RO in their process to 'make' 'spring water' VS A firm whose waste process stream uses RO to treat all (or a portion) of their stream to meet minimum discharge limits for ?

Lee Pandiani

Laboratory & IPP

Jacobs Engineering

Waterbury, CT

NOTICE - This communication may contain confidential and privileged information that is for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any viewing, copying or distribution of, or reliance on this message by unintended recipients is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer.