	GWG majority vote	Draft Recommendations	Туре	Type of Change (Adm/Reg/Stat)	Requires Amendin g SWMP	Funding Mech
Q4	Υ	An infrastructure development bank should be created (or expanded) to leverage private financing of materials recovery and energy recovery facilities while creating jobs (Vote 11 to 3)	Funding			
Q6	Υ	DECD/CI should be repositioned to create incentives to attract, retain, and develop recycling dependent businesses/jobs. (Vote 13 to 1)	Economic Signals			
Q5	Υ	Endorse the continued use of product stewardship principles and extended producer responsibility laws.	Funding			
Q5	N	Funding Mechanism: Adopt broad based transaction fee which covers all materials (2 voted yes)	Funding			
Q5	Y?	Funding Mechanism: <u>Increase</u> solid waste assessment and use additional amount to support materials management efforts including incentives (4 voted yes)	Funding			
Q5	Υ	Funding Mechanism: <u>Expand</u> solid waste assessment fee to apply to all non-recycled materials creating a level playing field (9 voted yes)	Economic Signals			
Q2	Y	Implement unit-based pricing system, which decouples solid waste collection & disposal costs from property taxes (voted 14 to 1) Majority supported this concept though most felt it should be incentivized rather than a mandate.	Economic Signals			
Q15	Y?	Move to a regional authority level of responsibility for managing waste to eliminate fragmentation and duplication. (Vote 8 to 6)	Regionalism			
Q15		Optional for municipalities to join region (current status quo)?	Regionalism			
Q16	N	CRRA Option 1: CRRA continues to exist as is (2 voted yes)	CRRA			
Q16	Y?	CRRA Option 2: CRRA converts from a statewide entity to a regional entity focused only on operation of the Mid-Conn facility (8 voted yes)	CRRA			
Q16	N	CRRA Option 3: Disband and privatize CRRA (3 voted yes)	CRRA			
Q16		CRRA other options Discussion	CRRA			

DRAFT 1

Governor's Modernizing Recycling Working Group

	GWG majority vote	Draft Recommendations	Туре	Type of Change (Adm/Reg/Stat)	Requires Amendin g SWMP	Funding Mech
Q17		CRRA Roles Discussion	CRRA			
Q3	Υ	Collection should be more efficient for towns where multiple haulers service the same residential areas (Vote 9 to 5) Majority did not feel it should be a mandate, but rather driven by incentive.	Infrastructure			
Q14	Υ	 Coordinate licensing and/or registration of haulers. Municipality (3 voted yes) Regional (5 voted yes) State (9 voted yes) Disagree with approach (vote 2) 	Infrastructure			
Q9	Υ	Expand the capacity and improve performance standards of C&D facilities that recover for reuse and recycling to significantly increase diversion (vote 12 to 1)	Infrastructure			
Q10	Υ	Expand the number of organics composting facilities to significantly increase diversion (vote 13 to 1)	Infrastructure			
Q11	Υ	When sufficient infrastructure for organics is developed, there should be mandatory separation of organics for residential in addition to existing requirement for commercial generators (11 voted yes)	Economic Signals			
Q7	Υ	Expand on existing requirements for state agencies with purchasing and contracting authority to incorporate recycled content materials into procurement practices. (Vote 14 to 0)	Economic Signals			
Q8	Υ	A Recycling Economic Information Study should be conducted to identify and quantify recycling and recycling reliant industries in CT and their economic impact. Reuse, repair and remanufacturing should be a parallel track within Study. Funding for this study will need to be identified. (Vote 12 to 3)	Planning and Metrics			
Q13	Υ	Restore stakeholder group (SWAC Data Subcommittee) to simplify and expand reporting requirements. (Vote 10 to 3)	Planning and Metrics			
Q12	Υ	Consider re-purposing one or more closed landfills (vote 11 to 2)	Infrastructure			

DRAFT 2