Governor's Modernizing Recycling Working Group

Revised July 3, 2012 based on feedback from Working Group

Opportunity Statement

The goal of the Modernizing Recycling Working Group is to transform the waste economy in Connecticut.

To do so we need to move from a mindset of waste management to a mindset of value extraction from materials.

The result will be reduced costs for municipalities and businesses.

This further integrated approach to sustainable materials management in Connecticut will drive environmental and economic benefits today and for future generations.

Please Note: This draft opportunity statement reflects an attempt to synthesize succinctly the various thoughts expressed during the April 24 meeting and does not include all the things we talked about. This statement will be further developed and refined.

Subcommittees

Systems and Infrastructure

 Goal: To fundamentally redefine the state solid waste infrastructure and management system to enable optimal recovery of materials from the waste stream and to provide economical and environmentally sound disposal of materials not reused or recycled.

Materials and Markets

Goal:

6-Month Overview

Phase 1

Diagnostics

Due August 31

Understand existing conditions

Identify challenges and opportunities

Identify best practices

Preliminary
Diagnostics Report
due

Phase 2

Resolution

Due October 1

Identify actionable Items

Identify long-term needs

Preliminary
Resolution Report
Due

Phase 3

Distribution

Due December 1

Distribute
Preliminary
Resolution Report
for feedback

Schedule listening sessions

Revise based on feedback

Submit Final Report

Phase 1 Core Questions

Materials and Markets

- A. Who extracts most value and the most materials from the multi-state system?
- B. What economic signals and/or market support systems can we use to create, use and expand the markets we need?
- C. What are the advantages with a regional approach for market development?

Systems and Infrastructure

- A. What are the challenges with the RRF business model and impact on municipalities and taxpayers?
- B. What infrastructure improvements would we need if diversion increased to 80%?
- C. What is the right point for aggregation and responsibility within the system? Public? Private? State? Region?

Phase 1: Due August 31

Diagnostics –current conditions, challenges, best practices

MATERIALS & MARKETS

A. Who extracts the most value and the most materials from the

Step 1

B. What economic signals/market support systems can we use to create the markets we need?

Step 2

C. What are the advantages with a regional approach for market development?

Step 3

What are existing conditions?

system?

- Recycling sector (collection, processing)
- Reuse sector (collection, reuse and remanufacturing)
- 3. Manufacturing new products with recycled content

What are the opportunities and challenges?

- Collection systems
- Processing
- Product stewardship

Should we focus on specific materials?

What are best practices from other states and regions?

 Regional recycling and economic development programs

Phase 1: Due August 31

SYSTEMS &

INFRASTRUCTURE

Diagnostics –current conditions, challenges, best practices

Step 1

A. What are the challenges in the RRF business model and impact on municipalities and taxpayers?

Step 2

B. What infrastructure improvements would we need if diversion increased to 80%?

Step 3

C. What is the right point for aggregation and responsibility within the system?

Public?

Private?

State?

Region?

What is current landscape in CT?

- Understand players involved, components, and current ownership
- Understand geographic efficiencies or inefficiencies

What are the opportunities and challenges?

- Contract expiration
- Current capacity
- Hauler licensing
- As technology changes, location is important
- ISO NE and how they manage

Are there infrastructure gaps?

Is aggregation feasible?

What are best practices?

- Unit based pricing
- Franchising, managed, and private hauler collection
- Organics infrastructure

What models exist inside the US or internationally from which we can learn?

Phase 2: Due October 1

Resolution - prioritization and proposal development

MATERIALS & MARKETS

Step 1

A. What public/private capital resources are available to support these industries?

How to support the reuse and recycling industries?

- Is demand side (i.e. recycling market development) best direction?
- 2. What type of program is needed for CT?
- Increase purchasing of locally-made products with recycled-content.

Do we need additional research or data to assess options?

Step 2

B. Which action items or easy wins drive the market near term and long term?

What are the most actionable items to move towards supporting reuse and recycling industries?

What are the long-term needs to support reuse and recycling industries?

Do we return to the regional conversation for recycling market development?

Step 3

C. Are there investment requirements? Who should be responsible?

What or who would provide these services and assistance to support these growing industries?

- 1. How would they be implemented?
- 2. What resources would be needed?

Phase 2: Due October 1

Resolution - prioritization and proposal development

SYSTEMS & INFRASTRUCTURE

Step 1

A. What are the challenges in the RRF business model and impact on municipalities and taxpayers?

Step 2

B. What infrastructure improvements would we need if diversion increased to 80%? If organics diversion increased significantly?

Step 3

C. What is the right point for aggregation and responsibility within the system?

Public?

Private?

State?

Region?

Do we want a more uniform disposal infrastructure?

- Incentives for waste reduction
- Universal rate
- Unit based rates
- Franchising or managed collection
- Account for differences in municipalities

What are the most actionable items for a sustainable system?

What are the long-term needs for a more sustainable system?

- Financing
- Capacity

How does the whole system fit together?

How do we alter the system or reconfigure our current state?

Phase 3: Due December 1

Distribution - feedback and refinement

Final Steps

Solicit feedback and input from stakeholders including solid waste professionals (e.g. Oct. Summit) and listening sessions (e.g. Environmental Justice, citizenry, regional authorities, CCM, COST, municipalities, CBIA, businesses, etc).

Discuss and revise ideas and plans based on feedback and internal dialogue.

Submit Final Report to Governor's Office

Working Group Timeline

	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov
	03.10.17.24	.01.08.15.22.2	9.05.12.19.26	.02.09.16.23.	30.07.14.21.2	8.04.11.18.
Phase 1: Diagnostics Diagnostics Report Due		A A	A A		 	
Phase 2: Resolution Draft Resolution Report Due				A A	 	
Phase 3: Distribution GWG Report Out at Summit Final Report Due						A A