Remediation Roundtable June 18, 2019

Remediation Roundtable Webcast

- Basic directions provided on listserv email
- Detailed directions on website
 - www.ct.gov/deep/remediationroundtable





Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection: Remediation Division

Camille Fontanella



Remediation Roundtable Agenda

- Announcements
- Updates
 - Wave 2 RSRs
 - Succession Planning and Division Goals
 - RSR Amendment Roll Out
 - Legislative Update Bill SB1030
- Presentations
 - PCB TSCA Workplans
 - Zone of Influence for In Situ Remediation Discharge Permits

Announcements – Web Updates

DEEP's New Document Online Search Portal

- The New Document Online Search Portal was created to provide public access to the Agency's electronic records
- Goal is transparency to public, reduced FOIA requests, and better access for staff
- Currently includes a limited collection of electronic documents
- DEEP continues efforts to digitize paper records to add to the list of those currently available

Announcements – Web Updates

- All Hazardous Waste Manifests are scheduled to be available by Fall 2019
- To open the portal use the link provided on the "DEEP Online Services" webpage or URL below
- Documents are searchable by a variety of fields
- Please refer to the "More Information and FAQ's" link in the portal for instructions and lists of what else is currently available <u>https://filings.deep.ct.gov/DEEPDocumentSearchPortal/</u>

(Note: For the best results use Chrome or Firefox browsers)

Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection: Remediation Division

Camille Fontanella



Questions or Comments?

Please Speak into Microphone and State Your Name

www.ct.gov/deep/remediationroundtable



Update Wave 2 Remediation Standard Regulations

Betsey Wingfield Deputy Commissioner Environmental Quality

Air Management, Water Protection & Land Reuse and Materials Management & Compliance Assurance Bureaus



Questions or Comments?

Please Speak into Microphone and State Your Name

www.ct.gov/deep/remediationroundtable

Remediation Division Succession Planning and Goals

Ray Frigon Assistant Director Remediation Division



Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection: Remediation Division





Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection: Remediation Division

Organizational Chart





Coping with Staff Attrition

Goal – Position the Division to absorb upcoming staff attrition by:

- Making investments that advance our mission and improve customer service
- Identifying the key areas where staff resources are/will be needed most
- Evaluating the organizational structure of the Division to promote consistency and efficiency
- Hiring new staff



Remediation Division Goals

- Adopt RSR and EUR Amendments
 - New Guidance, Tools and Forms
- Create/update standard operating procedures for all programs and tasks
- Update template formats for uniformity
- Continued commitment to compliance assistance

Ray Frigon

 Further our commitment to enforcement (Property Transfer Act Compliance Project)



Remediation Division Goals

- Define a plan of action to address pollution from the emerging contaminants, such as PFAS/PFOA
- LEAN the process of screening and auditing LEP Verifications
- Continue adding documents to electronic document repository for public access
 DEEP Document Online Search Portal



Questions or Comments?

Please Speak into Microphone and State Your Name

www.ct.gov/deep/remediationroundtable

Wave 2 RSRs Adoption Process

Ray Frigon Assistant Director Remediation Division



Wave 2 Proposed RSRs Amendment Rollout

- July 8, 2019- Official Roll Out of Proposed Amendments
 - Start of the 90 day comment period
- Kick-off meeting planned for July 9, 2019 from 1:30 3:00 pm at DEEP HQ, Gina McCarthy Auditorium
 - Introduce proposed amendments
- What will be released?
 - Summary Notes
 - Proposed RSR Amendments with Table of Contents
 - Red Line Blue Line Version
- Keep an eye on our <u>RSR web page</u> for schedule and presentation updates
- Notifications to interested parties through <u>Remediation webpage</u> <u>E-alert system</u> and <u>Roundtable listserv</u> (sign up)

Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection: Remediation Division



Planned Question and Answer Sessions
 July 30th at DEEP HQ, 9:30-11:30am
 August 6th at DEEP Kellogg Center, Derby, 1:30-3:30pm
 TBA - End of August/Early September hosted by stakeholder

Standing offer to meet with Stakeholders

Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection: Remediation Division



- 1. Public Notice of Intent to Secretary of State
- 2. Public Hearing
 - **3. Hearing Officer's Report**
 - 4. Final proposed regulations to the Commissioner
 - 5. DEEP notification to all interested parties of availability of final wording

Formal Regulation Adoption Process

- 6. Final proposed regulations to Attorney General for Legal Sufficiency approval
- 7. Final proposed regulations to Office of Fiscal Analysis and Environment Committee
- 8. LRRC hold meeting on regulatory amendments per CGS section 4-170
- Regulations filed with Secretary of State per CGS section
 4-172 (Regulations final upon filing)

10. Publication of Regulations in the CT Law Journal

DEEP RSR Amendments Workgroup

Any informal questions or comments please contact:

Jan Czeczotka- 860-424-3705; jan.czeczotka@ct.gov
Ray Frigon- 860-424-3705; raymond.frigon@ct.gov
Kevin Neary-860-424-3947; kevin.neary@ct.gov
Camille Fontanella- 860-424-3074; camille.fontanella@ct.gov
Carl Gruszczak- 860-424-3948; carl.gruszczak@ct.gov

Formal Responses to the RSRs package must be submitted to the Regulation Review Committee within 90 days of release



Questions or Comments?

Please Speak into Microphone and State Your Name

www.ct.gov/deep/remediationroundtable

Senate Bill 1030

"AN ACT CONCERNING THE AUDIT PERIOD FOR THE TRANSFER OF HAZARDOUS WASTE ESTABLISHMENTS"



Jan Czeczotka Director Remediation Division



Original Intent of Bill

Shorten the audit period for verifications submitted pursuant to the Transfer Act
 From 3 years to 60 days
 Testimony from Realtors
 Concerned the Transfer Act was hurting property sales

Submitted testimony that included a significant proposed change to the Transfer Act



DEEP Response

DEEP worked with key stakeholders and the chairs of the Commerce and Environment Committees

- Developed and discussed alternative proposals to the original language in S.B. 1030
- Five central themes emerged
 - Two are in the amended bill
 - Three will be considered along with other proposals for possible amendments during next year's legislative session



Central Themes

- Eliminate the "one-time" or "incidental" generation trigger
- Business transfers would investigate and remediate only areas where the establishment could impact the property
- Clarify and limit the application of the Transfer Act for transfers involving commercial and industrial common interest communities
- Clarify the definition of "transfer of establishment" so that only direct ownership change triggers the law
- Shorten the time-frame that the Commissioner has to decide to audit a final verification from 3 years to 1 year. Audits must be complete within 3 years unless certain conditions are met

Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection: Remediation Division

Jan Czeczotka



2019 Amendments

Audit time-frames for final verifications

- Verifications received after October 1, 2007 and before October 1, 2019
 - Law requires the Commissioner to complete audit within 3 years after receiving a complete verification and notify Certifying Party and LEP of audit findings (no change from the current requirement)
- Verifications received on or after October 1, 2019
 - Law requires that the Commissioner initiate audit within 1 year of receipt of a complete verification and complete the audit within 3 years of receipt of a complete verification and notify Certifying Party and LEP of audit findings



Added exclusions for one-time generation of hazardous waste

The one-time generation of hazardous waste in any one month as a result of either:

The first time such waste was generated;

Such a one-time generation since the last time a Form I, Form II, Form III or Form IV was required to be submitted; or...



Added exclusions for one-time generation of hazardous waste

One or more of the following:

- (I)Remediation of polluted soil, groundwater or sediment;
- (II)The removal or abatement of building materials or removal of materials used for maintaining or operating a building;
- (III)The removal of unused chemicals or materials as a result of the emptying or clearing out of a building, provided such removal is supported by facts reasonably established at the time of such removal;
- (IV)The complete cessation of a business operation, provided the waste is removed not later than ninety days after such cessation and such cessation is supported by facts reasonably established at the time of such cessation

Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection: Remediation Division

Jan Czeczotka



Amendment Topics for 2020 Legislative Session

- Business transfers would investigate and remediate only areas where the establishment could impact the property
- Clarify and limit the application of the Transfer Act for transfers involving commercial and industrial common interest communities
- Clarify the definition of "transfer of establishment" so that only direct ownership change triggers the law

Others?



DEEP's Added Goal

- DEEP will strive to reach the goal of issuing "noaudit" letters within 60 days of receiving a complete verification
 - Expect evaluation of current Division priorities
 - Will need help from the LEP community to reach goal
 - Will provide more transparency



Public Act Creates Workgroup

- Purpose of workgroup
 - Examine Transfer Act and develop recommendations for future legislation
- Workgroup will include
 - Chairs of Commerce and Environment or designees
 - DEEP Commissioner or designee
 - DECD Commissioner or designee
 - Environmental transaction attorneys
 - Commercial real estate brokers
 - Licensed Environmental Professionals
 - May include other members of the Commerce and Environment Committee

Jan Czeczotka



Chairs of Commerce and Environment will select the attorneys, real estate brokers, LEPs and other Committee members

Chairs will also select a Chairperson for the Work Group

Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection: Remediation Division

Jan Czeczotka



Workgroup Schedule

Work Group Chair will schedule first meeting no later than 60 days after effective date of law

- Meetings at least every month until a report is finished and presented to the Commerce and Environment Committees or no later than February 1, 2020
- Work group shall terminate on the later of reporting to the Committees or February 1, 2020


Questions or Comments?

Please Speak into Microphone and State Your Name

www.ct.gov/deep/remediationroundtable

Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection: Remediation Division

PCBs and TSCA



 Kim Tisa, PCB Coordinator
 US EPA Region 1
 CTDEEP Remediation Roundtable June 18, 2019



Formulating PCBs into Aroclors (1016, 1221, 1232, 1242, 1248, 1254, 1260, 1262, 1268)

- Monsanto was only US producer - 1.4 billion lbs
- Only about 130 of the 209 congeners were used in commercial formulations
- >50 different congeners were used in an Aroclor mixture
- Range from oily liquids to waxy solids at room temperature
- Last two digit = % Chlorine by mass





PCBs in Industrial Applications

- Transformers
- Capacitors
- Hydraulic fluids
- Oil-based paints
- Fluorescent light ballasts
- Lubricating & cutting oils
- Floor finishes
- Fire retardants

- Thermal Insulation materials (foam, felt) Caulking & grout PVC coatings for electrical wire & components Carbonless copy paper Inks and dyes
- Adhesives/mastic

PCB USES – CAULKS & LIGHT BALLASTS



U.S. Production of Aroclors as a plasticizer ingredient (mostly Aroclor 1254)

- ➤ 1958 4 million pounds
- > 1969 19 million pounds
- > 1971 0 pounds produced in U.S. (imports?)



Fluorescent light ballast capacitors (mostly Aroclor 1242)

- Prior to 1977 Many (most?) ballasts contain PCBs
- ➤ 1977 1978 Some new ballasts contain PCBs
- After 1978 No new ballasts manufactured w/ PCBs
- Some ballasts remain in place; some have leaked/failed



Office of Research & Development National Exposure Research Laboratory

In fluorescent light ballasts



PCB Small Capacitor of the type that can be found in clock systems. Trade Name is indicative of PCB content as well as the absence of the statement "No PCBs"



 Small Capacitor which does not contain PCBs of the type that can be found in clock systems.
 Marking "No PCBs" indicates that it was manufactured without PCBs.





Status of PCBs today?

- The TSCA PCB regulations (40 CFR Part 761) place prohibitions on the use (manufacture), processing, and distribution in commerce and specify storage and <u>disposal</u> requirements for PCBs and PCB items
- PCB regulations may govern owners, operators, and/or persons conducting cleanup of PCBcontaminated property where the PCB contamination exceeds allowable concentrations under the regulations
- TSCA authority is not delegated to the states; therefore both TSCA and state regulations will apply



PROJECT GENERAL STEPS Site Characterization, Cleanup and Disposal

- Investigate
- Delineate
- Determine cleanup criteria and develop cleanup plan
- Perform cleanup and verify
- Dispose of waste according to regulations
- Document



PROJECT CONSIDERATIONS

Do I need to look for PCBs

If I find PCBs, is my site regulated under TSCA

What are my remedial options



PCBs Don't Discriminate

Universities, Schools and Daycare Centers Pools Federal Government Buildings State/Local Govt. Buildings Water Systems Commercial Buildings **BFs** Nuclear Power Plants



















PROJECT CONSIDERATIONS

Do I need to look for PCBs

If I find PCBs, is my site regulated under TSCA

What are my remedial options



DEFINITIONS: 40 CFR § 761.3

PCB remediation waste

PCB bulk product waste

Excluded PCB products

Excluded PCB Products

Must meet all criteria under § 761.3

- ✓ concentration
- ✓ sold/distributed in commerce prior to 1984
- ✓ no dilution
- May be left in place without further restrictions/requirements

State Requirements may require removal



PCB Remediation Waste § 761.3

- Material is considered a TSCA PCB Remediation waste if:
 - Disposed prior to April 18, 1978 and is currently at \geq 50 ppm
 - Original PCB source \geq 500 ppm beginning on April 18, 1978 and currently any concentration (\geq 1 ppm)
 - Original PCB source \geq 50 ppm beginning on July 2, 1979 and currently any concentration (\geq 1 ppm)
 - Any concentration if from an unauthorized source
 - ** Burden of Proof and Presumption of no unreasonable risk § 761.50(b)(3)



PCB Bulk Product Waste

Definition at § 761.3

"Waste derived from manufactured products containing PCBs in a non-liquid state, at any concentration where the concentration at the time of designation for disposal was \geq 50 ppm PCBs"

examples: caulk, applied dried paints, varnishes, other similar coatings or sealants, Galbestos





PROJECT CONSIDERATIONS

Do I need to look for PCBs

If I find PCBs, is my site regulated under TSCA

What are my remedial options



Cleanup/Disposal of PCB Remediation Waste – § 761.61

- Three options for PCB remediation
 - Self-implementing Approach
 - Performance-Based Approach
 - Risk-Based Approach

https://www.epa.gov/pcbs/pcb-facility-approval-streamlining-toolboxfast-streamlining-cleanup-approval-process



PCB FACILITY APPROVAL STREAMLINING TOOLBOX

A Framework for Streamlining PCB Site Cleanup Approvals

Lean Transference Package

EPA530-F-17-002

May 2017

PCB FAST

TOOL 3: TSCA Self-Implementing PCB Cleanups Checklist – 61(a)

A. Introduction – General, Applicability, Notification, Disclaimers, and Clarifications

1. General

The Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) regulations for polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) in 40 CFR 761.61(a) require that responsible parties (RPs or cleanup parties) submit a Notification for self-implementing cleanups. The Notification must include a Cleanup Plan and Certification in addition to other information. Refer to 40 CFR 761.61(a)(3)(i) for details.

Section B below includes a checklist to be used as a guide when preparing the Notification. This checklist is to help assure completeness of the Notification and associated Cleanup Plan and Certification before that information is submitted to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). The Notification is submitted to the EPA Regional Administrator, Director of State or Tribal environmental protection agency, and the Director of the county or local environmental protection agency where the cleanup will be conducted. We strongly recommend the RP submit a copy of the Notification to the EPA Regional PCB Coordinator to expedite the review and approval process.

In situations when the RP is not sure about which PCB cleanup option (761.61(a) vs. 761.61(c)) to choose or if the site is larger than one acre, we recommend that an initial conference call and, if necessary, a Framework meeting be scheduled with EPA before drafting and submitting a Notification. This approach is strongly recommended to ensure the RP chooses the most applicable PCB cleanup option for the site. If the RP chooses the self-implementing cleanup option, the RP may consider scheduling a Framework meeting with EPA after making that decision, if desired.

2. Applicability of 40 CFR 761.61(a)

Self-implementing cleanup procedures in 40 CFR 761.61(a) may not be used to clean up PCBs in:

- a. surface water
- b. ground water
- c. sediments in marine and fresh ecosystems
- d. sewers or sewage treatment systems
- e. any private or public drinking water sources or distribution systems
- f. grazing lands
- g. vegetable gardens

The cleanup plan and disposal of PCB remediation wastes from the site must be based on the as-found PCB concentration measured in media (e.g., soils, sediments in storm water drains) and/or materials (e.g., porous surfaces) of concern.

The Notification must consist of the cleanup plan and certification required in 40 CFR 761.61(a)(3)(i). The checklist below describes information required in that provision and additional information that EPA recommends be included in the Notification.

1. Part 1 Checklist: Information Required in 40 CFR 761.61(a)(3) to Include in Notification

Pre-Cleanup Site Characterization

- The nature of the contamination, including kinds of materials contaminated. (40 CFR 761.61(a)(3)(i)(A)
- A summary of the procedures used to sample contaminated and adjacent areas and a table or cleanup site map showing PCB concentrations measured in all pre-cleanup characterization samples. The summary must include sample collection and analysis dates. The EPA Regional Administrator may require more detailed information including, but not limited to, additional characterization sampling or all sample identification numbers from all previous characterization activities at the cleanup site. (40 CFR 761.61(a)(3)(i)(B))
- The location and extent of the identified contaminated area, including topographic maps with sample collection sites cross referenced to the sample identification numbers in the data summary from paragraph (a)(3)(i)(B) of this section. (40 CFR 761.61(a)(3)(i)(C))

PCB Cleanup Plan

A cleanup plan for the site, including schedule, disposal technology, and approach. This plan should contain
options and contingencies to be used if unanticipated higher concentrations or wider distributions of PCB
remediation waste are found or other obstacles force changes in the cleanup approach. (40 CFR
761.61(a)(3)(i)(D))

Written Certification

A written certification signed by the owner of the property where the cleanup site is located and the party conducting the cleanup, that all sampling plans, sample collection procedures, sample preparation procedures, extraction procedures, and instrumental/chemical analysis procedures used to assess or characterize the PCB contamination at the cleanup site are on file at the location designated in the certificate, and are available for EPA inspection. Persons using alternate methods for chemical extraction and chemical analysis for site characterization must include in the certificate a statement that such a method will be used and that a comparison study which meets or exceeds the requirements of Subpart Q of this part, and for which records are on file, has been completed prior to verification sampling." (40 CFR 761.61(a)(3)(i)(E))

2. Part 2 Checklist: EPA Recommended Additional Information to Include in Notification /Self-Implementing Cleanup Plan

<u>Disclaimer</u>: The RP must comply with all applicable requirements in 40 CFR Part 761. The checklist may not contain all the requirements that apply to PCB remediation waste under 40 CFR 761.61.

TOOL 4: TSCA Risk-Based PCB Cleanups Checklist – 61(c)

I. Introduction – General, Framework Meetings, Applicability, Disclaimers, and Clarifications

A. General

The Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) regulations for polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) in 40 CFR 761.61(c)(1) contain requirements for when a responsible party (RP) must submit a risk-based disposal approval application (Application) to EPA. Any person wishing to sample, cleanup, or dispose of PCB remediation waste in a manner other than prescribed in 40 CFR 761.61(a) or (b), must submit a risk-based disposal approval application in accordance with 40 CFR 761.61(c). Any person wishing to store PCB remediation waste in a manner other than prescribed in 40 CFR 761.61(c). Any person wishing to store PCB remediation waste in a manner other than prescribed in 40 CFR 761.65, must also submit a risk-based disposal approval application in accordance with 40 CFR 761.65, must also submit a risk-based disposal approval application in accordance with 40 CFR 761.65, must also submit a risk-based disposal approval application in accordance with 40 CFR 761.65, must also submit a risk-based disposal approval application in accordance with 40 CFR 761.61(c).

The RP must apply in writing to the Regional Administrator in the EPA Region where the sampling, cleanup, disposal, or storage site is located, for sampling, cleanup, disposal, or storage occurring in a single EPA Region; or to the Director, Office of Resource Conservation and Recovery, for sampling, cleanup, disposal, or storage occurring in more than one EPA Region. EPA recommends the RP also send a copy of the Application to the EPA Regional PCB Coordinator to expedite its review and approval process. No person may conduct cleanup and/or related activities under 40 CFR 761.61(c) prior to obtaining written approval from EPA.¹

The checklist in Section III below is intended to ensure that a responsible party (RP) prepares an Application that contains complete and technically sound information. The content of such an Application is described in the checklist in Section III.A and III.B and includes:

- The information required in 40 CFR 761.61(a)(3)(i) consistent with 40 CFR 761.61(c)(1), including a cleanup plan and a written certification (Section III.A), and
- EPA-recommended additional site-specific information to facilitate EPA's full understanding of
 environmental issues and human health and ecological risks at the site (Section III.B).

The RP should include sufficient information in the Application for EPA to make the no unreasonable risk determination required in 40 CFR 761.61(c)(2).

III. Checklist for Risk-Based Approval Application Content

A. Part 1 Checklist: Required Information in 40 CFR 761.61(a)(3) for Risk-Based Application in Accordance with 40 CFR 761.61(c)(1)

Pre-Cleanup Site Characterization

- The nature of the contamination, including kinds of materials contaminated. (40 CFR 761.61(a)(3)(i)(A))
- A summary of the procedures used to sample contaminated and adjacent areas and a table or cleanup site map showing PCB concentrations measured in all pre-cleanup characterization samples. The summary must include sample collection and analysis dates. The EPA Regional Administrator may require more detailed information including, but not limited to, additional characterization sampling or all sample identification numbers from all previous characterization activities at the cleanup site. (40 CFR 761.61(a)(3)(i)(B))
- The location and extent of the identified contaminated area, including topographic maps with sample collection sites cross referenced to the sample identification numbers in the data summary from paragraph (a)(3)(i)(B) of this section. (40 CFR 761.61(a)(3)(i)(C))

PCB Cleanup Plan

A cleanup plan for the site, including schedule, disposal technology, and approach. This plan should contain
options and contingencies to be used if unanticipated higher concentrations or wider distributions of PCB
remediation waste are found or other obstacles force changes in the cleanup approach. (40 CFR
761.61(a)(3)(i)(D))

Written Certification

A written certification signed by the owner of the property where the cleanup site is located and the party conducting the cleanup that all sampling plans, sample collection procedures, sample preparation procedures, extraction procedures, and instrumental/chemical analysis procedures used to assess or characterize the PCB contamination at the cleanup site are on file at the location designated in the certificate and are available for EPA inspection. Persons using alternate methods for chemical extraction and chemical analysis for site characterization must include in the certificate a statement that such a method will be used and that a comparison study which meets or exceeds the requirements of Subpart Q of this part, and for which records are on file, has been completed prior to verification sampling. (40 CFR 761.61(a)(3)(i)(E))

B. Part 2 Checklist: EPA Recommended Additional Information to Include in Application

<u>Disclaimer</u>: The RP must comply with all applicable requirements in 40 CFR Part 761. The checklist may not contain all the requirements that apply to PCB remediation waste under 40 CFR 761.61.

The Current Conditions Report mentioned in Section II (Before Submitting a PCB Risk-Based Approval Application) above should include the information described in Sections III.B.1 through III.B.5 below. The Current Conditions Report does not need to include any of the certifications described in Section III.B.1.

PCB Remediation Plan Pitfalls

Source Identification PCB delineation incomplete - Sampling Intervals - Laboratory Data / Methods Waste management / disposal Other federal/state/local permits/certs Regulatory Jurisdiction and **TSCA requirements** Inconsistency Missing Information





LAB COMMUNICATION ISSUES

Discuss Project Requirements

- Methods

- Allowable extraction methods Soxhlet extraction (3540) preferred
- Extraction by sonication not preferred
 - Inefficient
 - Not applicable to all matrices
 - Not allowed under many state QA programs
- Alternative techniques require correlation study
 - Subpart Q
- Reporting limits



Incorrect / Incomplete COC Info.

- 1) Analytical and extraction requirements
- 2) Expected concentration range
- 3) Required reporting limits
- 4) Special Instructions



PCB Project Dos and Don'ts

DO:

- Use checklists for plans
- Know your Site
- Delineate nature/extent
- Appropriate and Representative Sampling
- Appropriate analytical data
- Contractor Plans consistent with remediation
- Consider waste management/storage
- Count on the unexpected





PCB Project Dos and Don'ts

DON'T:

- Forget your Lab
- Mishandle Waste
- Re-contaminate cleaned areas
- Improperly Decontaminate
- Collect Samples while still conducting cleaning



Time delays



- \$\$\$

Contacts and PCB Info

Kimberly Tisa – USEPA Region 1 PCB Coordinator

617-918-1527 (direct) tisa.kimberly@epa.gov

Katherine Woodward, Project Manager 617-918-1353 woodward.katherine@epa.gov

https://www.epa.gov/pcbs/pcb-facility-approval-streamliningtoolbox-fast-streamlining-cleanup-approval-process

https://www.epa.gov/pcbs



Questions and Discussion



CT DEEP Remediation Roundtable Zone of Influence for In Situ Remediation

Lucas Hellerich, PhD, PE, LEP – Woodard & Curran Dustin Bytautas, PE – AECOM June 18, 2019





Zone of Influence (ZOI) for In Situ Remediation In situ remediation requires a robust understanding of technologies and monitoring program to confirm the zone of influence

- Regulatory permits for in situ remediation and ZOI
- Objectives and design of ZOI monitoring programs
- Operational and performance monitoring for in situ remediation
- Technical considerations during in situ remediation
- In situ remediation case study
- Summary


Zone of Influence (ZOI) for In Situ Remediation Zone of Influence is defined by changes in water quality and effects on the aquifer

"Zone of Influence" means the spatial area or volume of receiving water flow within which some degradation of water quality or use impairment is anticipated to occur as a result of a discharge (RSCA 22a-430-3(a)), and in this specific usage it is the volume of groundwater with a <u>change in water quality</u> resulting from the permitted discharge or its <u>effects on the aquifer system</u>. (CTDEEP, Instructions for Completing the Application for Emergency or Temporary Authorization to Discharge to Groundwater to Remediate Pollution, July 2012)



Regulatory Permits for In Situ Remediation and ZOI Permits related to performing in situ remediation in Connecticut provide considerations for ZOI

Permit / Authorization	Considerations		
Emergency or Temporary Authorization to Discharge to Groundwater to Remediate Pollution (July 2012)	 Proximity of nearby parcels Natural Diversity Data Base review Wetlands/watercourses Water supply wells Sensitive site receptors 	 Hydrogeology Hydrochemical properties Basis for expected ZOI (horizontal/vertical) Monitoring program details 	
Individual Permit for Wastewater Discharges from Manufacturing, Commercial, or Other Activities (Rev. December 2016)	 NDDB review Aquifer Protection Areas Coastal areas Wetlands/watercourses Water supplies/wells 	 Groundwater and surface water classifications Operational and monitoring plan Discharge flowrates, volumes, and frequencies 	
General Permit for In Situ Groundwater Remediation: Enhanced Aerobic Biodegradation (August 2009; expires in 2020)	• Similar considerations as listed in Temporary Authorization (TA)	Generation of treatment byproducts	
General Permit for In Situ Remediation: Chemical Oxidation (June 2014)	 Similar considerations as listed in TA Generation of treatment byproducts Ancillary substances 	Size of ZOIComplexity issuesEffects on infrastructure	



Objectives and Design of ZOI Monitoring Programs Monitoring objectives are driven by multiple requirements during the remediation lifecycle





Remediation Technology

Remediation Technology Examples	Considerations for Zone of Influence				
Aerobic bioremediation / air sparging	Hydrogeology Soil and groundwater geochemistry (e.g., redox, pH, terminal electron acceptors, soil				
Anaerobic bioremediation	oxidant demand) Proforontial nathways				
In situ chemical reduction	Low permeability zones				
In situ chemical oxidation	Longevity of remediation process (substrate, oxidant, reductant, elevated temperature)				
In situ thermal remediation	Under anaerobic conditions, potential for mobilization of metals (e.g., As, Fe, Mn) Under oxidizing conditions, potential for mobilization of metals (e.g., Cr(VI)) Geochemical and thermal "halos"				
Distribution - direct-push, injection wells, recirculation, permeability enhancement (e.g. hydraulic fracturing)	Configuration is selected based on the conceptual site model and treatment objectives: hydrogeology; source areas; heterogeneity of soils (high versus low permeability); need for multiple injection events; presence of preferential pathways				



Injected / Emplaced				
Quantities	Distribution Factors	Considerations		
$\theta_{m} = \frac{V_{inj}}{\pi \times r_{inj}^{2} \times h}$	Groundwater flux	Higher groundwater flux both enhances distribution of reagents and potentially decreases the lifespan of the reagent		
	Injected volumes	Greater volumes affect a greater portion of the mobile pore volume		
	Reagent quantities	Higher quantities yield longer reactions and/or induce greater geochemical effects		
	Reagent longevity	Longer-lived reagents sustain reactions and facilitate treatment of low permeability soils		
	Heterogeneity	Highly heterogeneous soils require closely spaced injection and monitoring intervals / locations		
	Combined effects	Actual distribution varies from predicted distribution		
Mobile porosity				



Hydrogeology

Variable horizontal and vertical hydraulic gradients and discharge pathways affect groundwater flows

Heterogeneous soils can lead to preferential flow paths

Disturbed soils and subsurface utilities can lead to short-circuiting





Source: Figure 4 located at https://igws.indiana.edu/MarionCounty/Hydrogeologic

Source: Figure 2 located at https://cluin.org/characterization/technologies/default.foc us/sec/Mass_Flux/cat/Overview/

Source: Pac, *et al.* Remediation. 2019; 29:75-91.

Variable horizontal and vertical hydraulic gradients, discharge pathways, heterogeneity (low vs high permeability zones), seasonal variations, and preferential flow paths/ short-circuiting affect subsurface distribution and ZOI









Duration of the process

Technology Examples	Duration of Process () and Resulting Effects ()		
	Weeks	Months	Years
Air sparging			
Short-lived chemical oxidants (e.g. ozone, Fenton's Reagent)			
Long-lived chemical oxidants (e.g. persulfate, permanganate)			
Short-lived biological substrates (e.g. lactate, molasses)			
Long-lived biological substrates (e.g. ORC, HRC, EVO, EHC [™])			
ISCR (e.g. calcium polysulfide, zero-valent iron			
Thermal			

Longer reactivity will enhance areas of treatment, provide longer-term geochemical effects, and help to mitigate concentration rebound by treating contaminant mass contained in low permeability soils



Objectives and Design of ZOI Monitoring Programs Pre-design strategies are useful to enhance the understanding of the conceptual site model and anticipated behavior during implementation of the in situ remediation

- Pre-design strategies
 - Subsurface utility location
 - Soil characterization
 - High resolution site characterization
 - Hydrogeologic testing
 - Bench- and pilotscale testing





In situ remediation case study A combined thermal and bio remediation approach had multiple objectives and varying monitoring networks





In situ remediation case study In situ remediation requires a robust monitoring program to confirm the zone of influence

- Biobarriers in wetland and near stream
- Objectives and design
 - > Distribution of injected substrates
 - > Multi-depth measurements
 - > Geochemistry
 - > Potential metals mobilization
- Monitoring network
 - Wells within treatment area: 16 shallow, 13 mid-depth, 11 deep/weathered bedrock
 - Downgradient wells: 10 shallow, 11 middepth, 8 deep/weathered bedrock
 - Stream shoreline and bottom





Summary

Determining the zone of influence is critical to meeting remediation objectives and achieving compliance with permitting requirements

- A complete understanding of the Conceptual Site Model is needed
- Remediation technologies will result in near-term and long-term hydrogeochemical changes
- An in situ remediation design should provide an expected assessment of the zone of influence based on the application and site conditions
- The zone of influence determination program needs to incorporate baseline, process, permit compliance, and performance monitoring considerations
- Planning for uncertainties and contingency actions is recommended
- A monitoring well network that encompasses a larger area helps to address uncertainties



Additional Resources

Numerous guidance documents are available for the design of ZOI monitoring programs

- ITRCweb.org/Guidance
 - Bioremediation
 - Direct-Push Wells
 - > Enhanced Attenuation of Chlorinated Organics
 - Geospatial Analysis for Optimization
 - > In Situ Chemical Oxidation
 - > Mass Flux and Mass Discharge
 - Remediation Management of Complex Sites
 - > Remediation Process Optimization
 - Site Characterization and Monitoring
- Clu-In.org
 - Technologies Remediation (Activated Carbon-Based Technology for In Situ Remediation, Air Sparging, Bioremediation, Combining Remedies, Environmental Fracturing, In Situ Chemical Reduction, In Situ Flushing, In Situ Oxidation, Nanotechnology, Thermal Treatment: In Situ)
 - > Strategies and Initiatives (High-Resolution Site Characterization (HRSC) Focus Area, Optimizing Site Cleanups)



Additional Resources Contact Information

LHellerich@woodardcurran.com

(203) 699-6081

Remediation Roundtable



E-mail: <u>DEEP.remediationroundtable@ct.gov</u> Web: <u>www.ct.gov/deep/remediationroundtable</u>

Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection: Remediation Division



Remediation Roundtable Next meeting: October 22, 2019