## **1994**

## STATE OF CONNECTICUT ANNUAL AIR QUALITY SUMMARY

John G. Rowland Governor

Sidney J. Holbrook Commissioner

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

~

		PAGE
LIST	OF TABLES	ii
LIST	OF FIGURES	iv
1.	INTRODUCTION	1
	A. Overview of Air Pollutant Concentrations in Connecticut	1
	<ol> <li>Particulate Matter</li> <li>Sulfur Dioxide</li> <li>Ozone</li> <li>Nitrogen Dioxide</li> <li>Carbon Monoxide</li> <li>Lead</li> </ol>	1 2 2 2 3
	B. Air Monitoring Network	3
	C. Pollutant Standards Index	3
	D. Quality Assurance	4
11.	PARTICULATE MATTER	10
111.	SULFUR DIOXIDE	47
IV.	OZONE	66
V.	NITROGEN DIOXIDE	81
VI.	CARBON MONOXIDE	88
VII.	LEAD	95
VIII.	CLIMATOLOGICAL DATA	102
IX.	ATTAINMENT AND NON-ATTAINMENT OF THE NAAQS IN CONNECTICUT	109
Χ.	CONNECTICUT SLAMS AND NAMS NETWORK	113
XI.	PUBLICATIONS	124
XII.	ERRATA	127

## LIST OF TABLES

- - - -

TABLE NUMBER	TITLE OF TABLE	PAGE
1-1	Assessment of Ambient Air Quality	7
1-2	Air Quality Standards Exceeded in Connecticut in 1994 Based on Measured Concentrations	8
2-1	1992-1994 PM <sub>10</sub> Annual Averages and Statistical Projections	17
2-2	Statisitically Predicted Number of Sites in Compliance with the Level of the Annual PM <sub>10</sub> Standards	25
2-3	Summary of the Statistically Predicted Number of PM <sub>10</sub> Sites Exceeding the Level of the 24-Hour Standards	29
2-4	Quarterly Chemical Characterization of 1994 Hi-vol TSP	30
2-5	1994 Ten Highest 24-Hour Average $PM_{10}$ Days with Wind Data	35
3-1	1994 Annual Arithmetic Averages of Sulfur Dioxide	51
3-2	1992-1994 SO <sub>2</sub> Annual Averages and Statistical Projections	52
3-3	Comparisons of First and Second High Calendar Day and 24-Hour Running SO <sub>2</sub> Averages for 1994	56
3-4	1994 Ten Highest 24-Hour Average SO <sub>2</sub> Days with Wind Data	59
4-1	Number of Hours When the 1-Hour Ozone Standard Was Exceeded in 1994	69
4-2	Number of Days When the 1-Hour Ozone Standard Was Exceeded in 1994	70
4-3	1994 Ten Highest 1-Hour Average Ozone Days with Wind Data	75
5-1	1992-1994 Nitrogen Dioxide Annual Averages	84
5-2	1994 Ten Highest 1-Hour Average NO <sub>2</sub> Days with Wind Data	85
6-1	1994 Carbon Monoxide Standards Assessment Summary	91
6-2	1994 Carbon Monoxide Seasonal Features	92
6-3	Exceedances of the 8-hour CO Standard for 1990-1994	93
7-1	1994 3-Month Running Average Lead Concentrations	99

## **LIST OF TABLES**

TABLE NUMBER	TITLE OF TABLE	PAGE
8-1	1993 and 1994 Climatological Data, Bradley International Airport, Windsor Locks	103
8-2	1993 and 1994 Climatological Data, Sikorsky International Airport, Stratford	104
10-1	U.S. EPA-Approved Monitoring Methods Used in Connecticut in 1994	115
10-2	1994 SLAMS and NAMS Sites in Connecticut	116
10-3	Summary of Probe Siting Criteria	120

an an an taon an taon an an taon an tao Taon an taon an

## LIST OF FIGURES

.

FIGURE NUMBER	TITLE OF FIGURE	PAGE
1-1	Pollutant Standards Index	9
2-1	Location of Particulate Samplers	16
2-2	1994 Annual Average PM <sub>10</sub> Concentrations	21
2-3	Compliance with the Level of the Annual PM <sub>10</sub> Standards Using 95% Confidence Limits About the Annual Arithmetic Mean Concentration	24
2-4	1994 Maximum 24-Hour PM <sub>10</sub> Concentrations	26
2-5	Averages of the Annual PM <sub>10</sub> Concentrations	46
2-6	3-Year Averages of the Annual PM <sub>10</sub> Concentrations	46
3-1	Location of Continuous Sulfur Dioxide Instruments	50
3-2	1994 Maximum Calendar Day Average SO <sub>2</sub> Concentrations	54
3-3	1994 Maximum 3-Hour Running Average SO <sub>2</sub> Concentrations	57
3-4	Averages of the Annual SO <sub>2</sub> Concentrations at Six Sites	65
3-5	3-Year Averages of the Annual SO <sub>2</sub> Concentrations at Six Sites $\ldots$	65
4-1	Wind Rose for April-October 1993, Newark International Airport, Newark, New Jersey	71
4-2	Wind Rose for April-October 1994, Newark International Airport, Newark, New Jersey	72
4-3	Location of UV Photometric Ozone Instruments	73
4-4	1 <sup>st</sup> and 2 <sup>nd</sup> High 1-Hour Ozone Concentrations in 1994	74
4-5	Averages of the Annual Mean Daily Maximum Ozone Concentrations at Ten Sites	80
4-6	5-Year Averages of the Annual Mean Daily Maximum Ozone Concentrations at Ten Sites	80
5-1	Location of Nitrogen Dioxide Instruments	83

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NUMBER	TITLE OF FIGURE	PAGE
5-2	Averages of the Annual NO <sub>2</sub> Concentrations at Three Sites	87
5-3	3-Year Averages of the Annual NO $_2$ Concentrations at Three Sites	87
6-1	Location of Carbon Monoxide Instruments	90
6-2	36-Month Running Averages of the Hourly CO Concentrations	94
7-1	Location of Lead Instruments	98
7-2	Statewide Annual Lead Emissions from Gasoline and Statewide Annual Average Lead Concentrations	100
7-3	Statewide Annual Average Lead Concentrations vs. Statewide Annual Lead Emissions from Gasoline	101
8-1	Annual Wind Rose for 1993, Bradley International Airport, Windsor Locks, Connecticut	105
8-2	Annual Wind Rose for 1994, Bradley International Airport, Windsor Locks, Connecticut	106
8-3	Annual Wind Rose for 1993, Newark International Airport, Newark, New Jersey	107
8-4	Annual Wind Rose for 1994, Newark International Airport, Newark, New Jersey	108
9-1	Ozone Non-attainment Regions	110
9-2	CO Attainment / Non-attainment Regions	111
9-3	PM <sub>10</sub> Attainment / Non-attainment Regions	112

## I. INTRODUCTION

The 1994 Air Quality Summary of ambient air quality in Connecticut is a compilation of air pollutant measurements made at the official air monitoring network sites operated by the Department of Environmental Protection (DEP).

## A. OVERVIEW OF AIR POLLUTANT CONCENTRATIONS IN CONNECTICUT

The assessment of ambient air quality in Connecticut is made by comparing the measured concentrations of a pollutant to each of two Federal air quality standards. The first is the primary standard which is established to protect public health with an adequate margin of safety. The second is the secondary standard which is established to protect plants and animals and to prevent economic damage. The specific air quality standards are listed in Table 1-1 along with the time and data constraints imposed on each.

The following section briefly describes the status of Connecticut's air quality for the year 1994. More detailed discussions of each of the six pollutants are provided in subsequent sections of this Air Quality Summary.

## 1. PARTICULATE MATTER (PM<sub>10</sub>)

**Revision of the Particulate Matter Standard** - In 1971, the federal Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) promulgated primary and secondary national ambient air quality standards for particulate matter, measured as total suspended particulates or "TSP." The primary standards were set at 260  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, 24-hour average not to be exceeded more than once per year, and 75  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, annual geometric mean. The secondary standard was set at 150  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, 24-hour average not to be exceeded more than once per year. These standards were adopted by the state of Connecticut in 1972.

In accordance with sections 108 and 109 of the Clean Air Act, EPA has reviewed and revised the health and welfare criteria upon which these primary and secondary particulate matter standards were based. EPA found that a size-specific indicator for primary standards representing small particles was warranted and that it should include particles of diameter less than or equal to a nominal 10 micrometers "cut point." Such a standard would place substantially greater emphasis on controlling small particles than does a TSP indicator, but would not completely exclude larger particles from all control.

On March 20, 1984, EPA proposed changes in the standards for particulate matter based on its review and revision of the health and welfare criteria. On July 1, 1987, EPA announced its final decisions regarding these changes. They include: (1) replacing TSP as the indicator for particulate matter for the ambient standards with a new indicator that includes only those particles with an aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to a nominal 10 micrometers ( $PM_{10}$ ); (2) replacing the 24-hour primary TSP standard with a 24-hour PM<sub>10</sub> standard of 150 µg/m<sup>3</sup> with no more than one expected exceedance per year; (3) replacing the annual primary TSP standard with a PM<sub>10</sub> standard of 50 µg/m<sup>3</sup>, expected annual arithmetic mean; and (4) replacing the secondary TSP standard with 24-hour and annual PM<sub>10</sub> standards that are identical in all respects to the primary standards. On July 7, 1993 the state of Connecticut adopted these new standards for particulate matter.

**Compliance Assessment** - Measured  $PM_{10}$  concentrations during 1994 did not exceed the 50 µg/m<sup>3</sup> level of the primary and secondary annual standards or the 150 µg/m<sup>3</sup> level of the primary and secondary 24-hour standards at any site. Furthermore, the 24-hour standards were not violated because the "expected number of exceedances" for the most recent 3 years at each site did not exceed one per year. The annual standards were also not violated because the "expected annual standards were also not violated because the "expected annual standards were also not violated because the "expected annual standards were also not violated because the "expected annual mean" for the most recent 3 years at each site did not exceed 50 µg/m<sup>3</sup>.

## 2. SULFUR DIOXIDE $(SO_2)$

**Compliance Assessment** - None of the air quality standards for sulfur dioxide were exceeded in Connecticut in 1994. Measured concentrations were below the 80  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> primary annual standard, the 365  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> primary 24-hour standard, and the 1300  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> secondary 3-hour standard at all monitoring sites.

## 3. $OZONE(O_3)$

National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) - On February 8, 1979, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) established an ambient air quality standard for ozone of 0.12 ppm for a one-hour average. That level is not to be exceeded more than once per year. Furthermore, in order to determine compliance with the 0.12 ppm ozone standard, EPA directs the states to record the number of daily exceedances of 0.12 ppm at a given monitoring site over a consecutive 3-year period and then calculate the average number of daily exceedances for this interval. If the resulting average value is less than or equal to 1.0, (that is, if the fourth highest daily value in a consecutive 3-year period is less than or equal to 0.12 ppm), the ozone standard is considered to be attained at that site. The definition of the pollutant was also changed, along with the numerical value of the standard, partly because the instruments used to measure photochemical oxidants in the air really measure only ozone. Ozone is one of a group of chemicals which are formed photochemically in the air and are called photochemical oxidants. In the past, the two terms have often been used interchangeably. This Air Quality Summary uses the term "ozone" in conjunction with the new NAAQS to reflect the changes in both the numerical value of the pollutant.

**Compliance Assessment** - The primary 1-hour ozone standard was exceeded at all eleven DEP ozone monitoring sites in 1994 (see Table 1-2). Nonattainment of the standard remains a fact at all the sites in 1994 because the average number of annual exceedances at each site was greater than one per year over the period 1992-1994.

## 4. <u>NITROGEN DIOXIDE</u> (NO<sub>2</sub>)

**Compliance Assessment** - The annual average NO<sub>2</sub> standard of 100  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> was not exceeded at any site in Connecticut in 1994.

## 5. CARBON MONOXIDE (CO)

**Compliance Assessment** - The primary eight-hour standard of 9 ppm was not exceeded at any of the five carbon monoxide monitoring sites in Connecticut during 1994.

There were no exceedances of the primary one-hour standard of 35 ppm at any carbon monoxide monitoring site in Connecticut in 1994.

## 6. <u>LEAD</u> (Pb)

**Compliance Assessment** - The primary and secondary ambient air quality standard for lead is  $1.5 \mu g/m^3$ , maximum arithmetic mean averaged over three consecutive calendar months. As has been the case since 1980, the lead standard was not exceeded at any site in Connecticut during 1994.

## B. AIR MONITORING NETWORK

A computerized Air Monitoring Network consisting of an IBM System 7 computer and numerous telemetered monitoring sites has operated in Connecticut for several years. In 1985, this data acquisition system was modernized by installing new data loggers at the monitoring sites and replacing the dedicated IBM System 7 computer with a non-dedicated Data General Eclipse MV10000 computer, which was replaced in 1988 with a MV15000 model. This essentially improved both data accuracy and data capture. As many as 14 measurement parameters are transmitted from a site via telephone lines to the Data General unit located in the DEP Hartford office. The data are then compiled three times daily into 24-hour summaries. The telemetered sites are located in the towns of Bridgeport (3), Danbury, East Hartford (2), East Haven, Enfield, Greenwich, Groton (2), Hartford (3), Madison, Mansfield, Middletown, New Haven (2), Stafford, Stamford (2), Stratford, Torrington and Waterbury.

Continuously measured parameters include the pollutants sulfur dioxide, particulates (measured as  $PM_{10}$ ), carbon monoxide, nitric oxide, total nitrogen oxides and ozone. Meteorological data consists of wind speed and direction, wind horizontal sigma, temperature, precipitation, barometric pressure, solar radiation and dew point. Other parameters used for quality assurance and troubleshooting are room temperature, calibrator oven temperature and line voltage.

The real-time capabilities of the telemetry network have enabled the Air Monitoring Unit to report the Pollutant Standards Index for a number of towns on a daily basis while continuously keeping a close watch for high pollution levels which may occur during adverse weather conditions.

The complete monitoring network used in 1994 consisted of the following:

- 31 Particulate matter (PM<sub>10</sub>) hi-vol samplers
- 4 Particulate matter (PM<sub>10</sub>) analyzers
- 5 Lead hi-vol samplers
- 13 Sulfur dioxide analyzers
- 11 Ozone analyzers
- 3 Nitrogen dioxide analyzers
- 5 Carbon monoxide analyzers

A complete description of all permanent air monitoring sites in Connecticut operated by DEP in 1994 is available from the Department of Environmental Protection, Bureau of Air Management, Monitoring and Radiation Division, 79 Elm Street, Hartford, Connecticut, 06106-5127.

## C. POLLUTANT STANDARDS INDEX

The Pollutant Standards Index (PSI) is a daily air quality index recommended for common use in state and local agencies by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Starting on November 15, 1976, Connecticut began reporting the PSI on a 7-day basis, but is currently reporting the PSI on a 5-day basis (i.e., with predictions for the weekends). The PSI incorporates three pollutants : sulfur dioxide, PM<sub>10</sub> and

ozone. The index converts each air pollutant concentration into a normalized number where the National Ambient Air Quality Standard for each pollutant corresponds to PSI = 100 and the Significant Harm Level corresponds to PSI = 500.

Figure 1-1 shows the breakdown of index values for the commonly reported pollutants (PM<sub>10</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, and O<sub>3</sub>) in Connecticut. For the winter of 1994, Connecticut reported the PM<sub>10</sub> PSI for the towns of Ansonia, Bridgeport, Danbury, East Hartford, Greenwich, Groton, Hartford, Meriden, Milford, Naugatuck, New Britain, New Haven, Norwalk, Norwich, Putnam, Stamford, Torrington, Wallingford, Waterbury and Willimantic; and reported the sulfur dioxide PSI for the towns of Bridgeport, Danbury, East Hartford, East Haven, Enfield, Greenwich, Groton, Hartford, Mansfield, New Haven, Stamford, and Waterbury. For the summer, the ozone PSI was reported for the towns of Bridgeport, Danbury, East Hartford, Greenwich, Groton, Madison, Middletown, New Haven, Stafford, Stratford and Torrington. Each day, the pollutant with the highest PSI value of all the pollutants being monitored is reported for each town, along with the dimensionless PSI number and a descriptor label to characterize the daily air guality. A descriptor label of each subsequent day's forecast is also included.

A telephone recording of the PSI is available each afternoon at approximately 3 PM, five days a week, and can be heard by dialing 424-4167. Predictions for weekends are included on the Friday recordings. For answers to specific questions, you can call a DEP representative at 424-3029. The PSI information, as well as health effects information, is also available to the public during weekdays from the American Lung Association of Connecticut in East Hartford. The number there is 289-5401 or 1-800-992-2263.

## D. QUALITY ASSURANCE

Quality Assurance requirements for State and Local Air Monitoring Stations (SLAMS) and the National Air Monitoring Stations (NAMS), as part of the SLAMS network, are specified by the code of Federal Regulations, Title 40, Part 58, Appendix A.

The regulations were enacted to provide a consistent approach to Quality Assurance activities across the country so that ambient data with a defined precision and accuracy is produced.

A Quality Assurance program was initiated in Connecticut with written procedures covering, but not limited to, the following:

Equipment procurement Equipment installation Equipment calibration Equipment operation Sample analysis Maintenance checks Performance audits Data handling Data quality assessment

Quality assurance procedures for the above activities were fully operational on January 1, 1981 for all NAMS monitoring sites. On January 1, 1983 the above procedures were fully operational for all SLAMS monitoring sites.

Data precision and accuracy values are reported in the form of 95% probability limits as defined by equations found in Appendix A of the Federal regulations cited above.

## 1. PRECISION

Precision is a measure of data repeatability (grouping) and is determined as follows:

## a. <u>Manual Samplers</u> (PM<sub>10</sub>)

A second (co-located)  $PM_{10}$  hi-vol sampler is placed alongside the regular network sampler and operated concurrently. The concentration values from the co-located hi-vol sampler are compared to the network sampler and precision values are generated from the comparison.

## b. Manual Samplers (Lead)

A second (co-located) hi-vol sampler is placed alongside a regular network hi-vol sampler and operated concurrently. The concentration values from the co-located hi-vol sampler are compared to those from the network sampler, and precision values are generated from the comparison.

## c. Automated Analyzers (SO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>3</sub>, CO and NO<sub>2</sub>)

All NAMS and SLAMS analyzers are challenged with a low level pollutant concentration a minimum of once every two weeks: 8 to 10 ppm for CO and 0.08 to 0.10 ppm for SO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>3</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub>. The comparison of analyzer response to input concentration is used to generate automated analyzer precision values.

## 2. ACCURACY

Accuracy is an estimate of the closeness of a measured value to a known value and is determined in the following manner:

## a. <u>Manual Methods</u> (PM<sub>10</sub>)

Accuracy for  $PM_{10}$  is assessed by auditing the flow measurement phase of the sampling method. In Connecticut, this is accomplished by attaching a secondary standard calibrated orifice to the hi-vol inlet and comparing the flow rates. A minimum of 25% of the  $PM_{10}$  network samplers is audited each quarter.

## b. Manual Methods (Lead)

Accuracy for lead is assessed in two ways:

- (1) By analyzing spiked samples and comparing the known spiked-sample concentrations with the measured concentrations, and
- (2) By auditing the flow, as in 2.a. above.

Accuracy measurements are obtained each quarter.

С.

## Automated Analyzers (SO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>3</sub>, CO and NO<sub>2</sub>)

Automated analyzer data accuracy is determined by challenging each analyzer with three predetermined concentration levels (four for  $NO_2$ ). Each quarter, accuracy values are calculated for approximately 25% of the analyzers in a pollutant sampling network, at each concentration level. The results for each concentration of a particular pollutant are used to assess automated analyzer accuracy. The audit concentration levels are as follows:

<b>SO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>3</sub>, and NO<sub>2</sub> (</b> PPM)	CO (PPM)
0.03 to 0.08	3 to 8
0.15 to 0.20	15 to 20
0.35 to 0.45	35 to 45
0.80 to 0.90 (NO <sub>2</sub> only)	

TABLE 1-1

# **ASSESSMENT OF AMBIENT AIR QUALITY**

				AMBIEN	IT AIR QUA	LITY STANE	DARDS
				PRIM.	ARY	SECONI	DARY
POLLUTANT	SAMPLING PERIOD	DAIA KEDUCIIUN	STATISTICAL BASE	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	mdd	µg/m³	bpm
Particulates (PM10 <sup>)a</sup>	24 Hours		Annual Arithmetic Mean <sup>b</sup>	50c		50c	
	(every sixth day)	24-Hour Average	24-Hour Average	150d		150d	
			Annual Arithmetic Mean <sup>e</sup>	80	0.03		
Sulfur Oxides (measured as sulfur	Continuous	1-Hour Average	24-Hour Average <sup>e</sup>	365f	0.14f		
dioxide)			3-Hour Average <sup>e</sup>			1300f	0.5f
Nitrogen Dioxide	Continuous	1-Hour Average	Annual Arithmetic Mean <sup>e</sup>	100	0.053	100	0.053
Ozone	Continuous	1-Hour Average	1-Hour Average	2359	0.12 <sup>9</sup>	235 <sup>g</sup>	0.12 <sup>9</sup>
Lead	24 Hours (every sixth day)	Monthly Composite	Weighted 3-Month Average <sup>h</sup>	1.5		1.5	
			8-Hour Average <sup>e</sup>	10f,i	9f	10f,i	9f
Carbon Monoxide	Contrinuous		1-Hour Average	40f	35f	40f	35f

a Particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter not greater than a nominal 10 micrometers.

b EPA assessment criteria require 4 calendar quarters of data per year and at least 75% of the scheduled samples per calendar quarter in each of the most recent 3 years. c The "expected annual mean" for the most recent 3 years.

<sup>d</sup> The "expected number of exceedances" per calendar year should be less than or equal to one, for the most recent 3 years.

e EPA assessment criteria require at least 75% of the possible data to compute a valid average. For the annual mean, 9 months of data are required, and each calendar quarter must have at least 2 months of data. Furthermore, a valid month must have at least 21 days of data, and a valid day must have at least 18 hours of data. f Not to be exceeded more than once per year.

g Daily maximum. The expected number of days that exceed the standard is not to average more than one per year in three years at a site.

h State of Connecticut assessment criteria require at least 75% of the scheduled samples to compute a valid average.

i Units are mg/m³, not µg/m³.

## **TABLE 1-2**

## **AIR QUALITY STANDARDS EXCEEDED IN CONNECTICUT IN 1994 BASED ON MEASURED CONCENTRATIONS**

	NE ceeding tandard PPM)	Number of Days Standard <u>Exceeded</u>	m	2	2	Þ	-	2	4	m	<del>6</del>	4	<b>f</b>
1	OZO Level Ex 1-Hour S (0.12	Highest Observed Level (ppm)	0.160	0.141	0.169	0.155	0.132	0.149	0.161	0.151	0.129	0.187	0.127
		SITE	013	123	003	017	008	002	007	123	001	007	006
		TOWN	Bridgeport	Danbury	East Hartford	Greenwich	Groton	Madison	Middletown	New Haven	Stafford	Stratford	Torrington

FIGURE 1-1

# POLLUTANT STANDARDS INDEX



-9-

## **II. PARTICULATE MATTER**

## **HEALTH EFFECTS**

Particulate matter is the generic term for a broad class of chemically and physically diverse substances that exist as discrete particles (liquid droplets or solids) over a wide range of sizes. Particles originate from a variety of stationary and mobile sources. They may be emitted directly or formed in the atmosphere by transformations of gaseous emissions such as sulfur oxides, nitrogen oxides, and volatile organic substances. The chemical and physical properties of particulate matter vary greatly with time, region, meteorology and source category.

The major effects associated with high exposures to particulate matter include reduced lung function; interference with respiratory mechanics; aggravation or potentiation of existing respiratory and cardiovascular disease, such as chronic bronchitis and emphysema; increased susceptibility to infection; interference with clearance and other host defense mechanisms; damage to lung tissues; carcinogenesis and mortality.

Harm may also occur in the form of changes in the human body caused by chemical reactions with pollution particles that pass through the lung membranes to poison the blood or be carried by the blood to other organs. This can happen with inhaled lead, cadmium, beryllium, and other metals, and with certain complex organic compounds that can cause cancer.

Population subgroups that appear likely to be most sensitive to the effects of particulate matter include individuals with chronic obstructive pulmonary or cardiovascular disease, individuals with influenza, asthmatics, the elderly, children, smokers, and mouth or oronasal breathers.

## **REVISION OF THE PARTICULATE MATTER STANDARD**

In 1971, the federal Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) promulgated primary and secondary national ambient air quality standards for particulate matter, measured as total suspended particulates or "TSP." The primary standards were set at 260  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, 24-hour average not to be exceeded more than once per year, and 75  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, annual geometric mean. The secondary standard, also measured as TSP, was set at 150  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, 24-hour average not to be exceeded more than once per year. These standards were adopted by the state of Connecticut in 1972. In accordance with sections 108 and 109 of the Clean Air Act, EPA has reviewed and revised the health and welfare criteria upon which these primary and secondary particulate matter standards were based.

The TSP standard directs control efforts towards particles of lower risk to health because of its inclusion of large particles which can dominate the measured mass concentration, but which are deposited only in the extrathoracic region. Smaller particles penetrate furthest in the respiratory tract, settling in the tracheobronchial region and in the deepest portion of the lung, the alveolar region. Available evidence demonstrates that the risk of adverse health effects associated with deposition of typical ambient fine and coarse particles in the thorax are markedly greater than those associated with deposition in the extrathoracic region. EPA found that a size-specific indicator for primary standards representing small particles was warranted and that it should include particles of diameter less than or equal to a nominal 10 micrometers "cut point." Such a standard places substantially greater emphasis on controlling smaller particles than does a TSP indicator, but doesn't completely exclude larger particles from all control.

On March 20, 1984, EPA proposed changes in the standards for particulate matter based on its review and revision of the health and welfare criteria. On July 1, 1987, EPA announced its final decisions regarding these changes. They include: (1) replacing TSP as the indicator for particulate matter for the ambient standards with a new indicator that includes only those particles with an aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to a nominal 10 micrometers ( $PM_{10}$ ); (2) replacing the 24-hour primary TSP standard with a 24-hour  $PM_{10}$  standard of 150 µg/m<sup>3</sup> with no more than one expected exceedance per year; (3) replacing the annual primary TSP standard with a  $PM_{10}$  standard of 50 µg/m<sup>3</sup>, expected annual arithmetic mean; and (4) replacing the secondary TSP standard with 24-hour and annual  $PM_{10}$  standards that are identical in all respects to the primary standards. The federal standards became effective on July 31, 1987. On July 7, 1993, the state of Connecticut adopted these new standards for particulate matter.

## CONCLUSIONS

Measured  $PM_{10}$  concentrations during 1994 did not exceed the 50 µg/m<sup>3</sup> level of the primary and secondary annual standards or the 150 µg/m<sup>3</sup> level of the primary and secondary 24-hour standards at any site. Moreover, the 24-hour standards were not violated because the "expected number of exceedances" for the most recent 3 years at each site did not exceed one per year, and the annual standards were also not violated anywhere because the "expected annual mean" for the most recent 3 years at each site did not exceed 50 µg/m<sup>3</sup>.

## SAMPLE COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS

**High Volume Sampler (Hi-vol)** - The high volume sampler resembles a vacuum cleaner in its operation, with an 8" X 10" piece of fiberglass filter paper replacing the vacuum bag. Hi-vols are equipped with retractable lids in order to eliminate the passive sampling error. The sampler normally operates every sixth day (midnight to midnight, standard time).

The matter collected on the filters is analyzed for weight in the case of the  $PM_{10}$  samplers and for both weight and chemical composition in the case of the hi-vol samplers. The chemical composition of the suspended particulate matter is determined at each hi-vol site as follows. Two standardized strips of every filter are cut out and prepared for two different analyses. In the first analysis, a sample is digested in acid and the resulting solution is analyzed for metals by means of an atomic absorption spectrophotometer. The results are reported for each individual metal in  $\mu g/m^3$ . In the second analysis, a sample is dissolved in water, filtered and the resulting solution is analyzed by means of wet chemistry techniques to determine the concentration of certain water soluble components. The results are reported for each individual constituent of the water soluble fraction in  $\mu g/m^3$ .

**PM**<sub>10</sub> Sampler - Before 1988, Connecticut's particulate sampling network was comprised of standard high-volume (hi-vol) samplers, whose function was to measure TSP. With the promulgation of a  $PM_{10}$  standard, hi-vol samplers were needed that could screen out most particles larger than 10 microns. The samplers also had to be omnidirectional and have a constant inlet velocity so that wind direction and speed would not affect the amount of material collected.

In anticipation of a  $PM_{10}$  standard being promulgated, Connecticut installed a small number of  $PM_{10}$  samplers in 1985. The samplers, manufactured by Sierra-Andersen, were the first  $PM_{10}$  samplers on the market. These early samplers were found to have relatively high maintenance requirements and to be biased towards particles larger than 10 microns. To remedy these problems, the samplers were physically modified after 1986. In 1987,  $PM_{10}$  samplers by Wedding & Associates came on the market. These samplers replaced the Andersen samplers in the sampling network in 1988. The Wedding samplers have demonstrated lower maintenance requirements and greater precision (repeatability) and accuracy than the Andersen samplers they replaced.

The  $PM_{10}$  samplers, like the standard hi-vol samplers, operate from midnight to midnight (standard time) at least every sixth day at all sites. However,  $PM_{10}$  samplers use quartz fiber filters instead of fiberglass filters, in order to eliminate sulfate artifact formation. And the matter collected on the filter is analyzed only for weight and sulfates at the present time. The air flow is recorded during sampling. The weight in micrograms (µg) divided by the volume of air in standard cubic meters (m<sup>3</sup>) yields the concentration of  $PM_{10}$  for the day in micrograms per cubic meter.

**TEOM Sampler** - In addition to the hi-vol samplers for TSP and  $PM_{10}$  monitoring, Connecticut operates at the Danbury 123 site a real-time  $PM_{10}$  monitor that employs tapered element oscillating microbalance (TEOM) technology. The TEOM technique utilizes an exchangeable filter cartridge on the end of a hollow tapered tube. The other (wider) end of the tube is fixed. Air is passed through the filter, on which particulate matter deposits, and the filtered air passes through the tapered tube to a flow controller.

The tapered tube is maintained in oscillation. The frequency of oscillation is dependent upon the physical characteristics of the tapered tube and the mass on its free end. As particulate matter lands on the filter, the filter mass change is detected as a frequency change in the oscillation of the tube. The mass of the particulate matter is then determined directly and inertially. When this mass change is combined with the flow rate through the system, the device yields an accurate measurement of the particulate concentration in real time.

Such a continuous particulate monitoring system has advantages over manual systems like the hivol. Not only does TEOM technology provide more detailed information than a 24-hour average, but it also reduces the amount of labor required for these measurements, since the filter handling procedures are significantly reduced.

## **DISCUSSION OF DATA**

**Monitoring Network** - In 1994, 30 PM<sub>10</sub> sampling sites were operated in Connecticut (see Figure 2-1). As was mentioned earlier, the PM<sub>10</sub> sampler at the Danbury 123 site employs TEOM technology. One TSP sampler was operated and it was located at the Stamford 001 site, which was the only designated TSP site in the State. EPA requires the operation of one TSP site in Connecticut for the sake of historical continuity. In addition, as part of the 1994 network for monitoring the airborne concentrations of lead, five hi-vol sampling sites were used to gather information on the chemical composition of TSP in the state. The locations were Bridgeport 010, East Hartford 004, Hartford 016, New Haven 018 and Waterbury 123.

**Precision and Accuracy** - Precision checks were conducted at three PM<sub>10</sub> sampling sites which had co-located samplers. On the basis of 148 precision checks, the 95% probability limits for precision ranged from -7% to +9%. Accuracy is based on air flow through the monitor. The 95% probability limits for accuracy, based on 31 audits conducted on the PM<sub>10</sub> monitoring system network, ranged from 0% to +8%. (See section I.D. of this Air Quality Summary for a discussion of precision and accuracy.)

**Annual Averages** - The Federal EPA has established minimum sampling criteria (see Table 1-1) for use in determining compliance with the primary and secondary annual NAAQS for PM<sub>10</sub>. A site must have 75% of the scheduled samples in each calendar quarter for the the most recent 3 years. Using the EPA criteria, one finds that a determination of attainment or nonattainment of the 50 µg/m<sup>3</sup> primary and secondary annual standards could be obtained at 19 of the 30 PM<sub>10</sub> monitoring sites in Connecticut in 1994. These 19 sites proved to be in attainment of the annual standards. A determination of attainment or nonattainment could not be obtained at Bridgeport 010, Bridgeport 014, Danbury 123, Greenwich 017, Hartford 015, New Britain 012, New Haven 018, New London 004, Stamford 001, Torrington 001, and Voluntown 001, where there were insufficient data at each site in at least one calendar quarter during the most recent three years. The primary reason for the loss of data at many of the these sites was the existence of defects in the filters used in the particulate samplers. Nevertheless, given the 95 percent confidence limits about the annual mean at these sites (see Table 2-1), it is likely that attainment was achieved.

A summary of annual average  $PM_{10}$  data for 1992 -1994 is presented in Table 2-1. This table also includes an indication of whether the aforementioned EPA minimum sampling criteria were met at each site for each year. If the sampling was insufficient to meet the EPA criteria, an asterisk appears next to the number of samples. Figure 2-2 illustrates the annual average  $PM_{10}$  concentrations at each site in 1994 in descending order of magnitude.

**Statistical Projections** - The statistical projections presented in Table 2-1 are prepared by a DEP computer program which analyzes data from all sites operated by DEP. Inputs to the program include the site location, the year, the number of samples (usually a maximum of 61), the annual arithmetic and geometric mean concentrations, and the arithmetic and geometric standard deviations. For each site, the program lists the inputs, calculates the 95% confidence limits about the annual arithmetic mean, and predicts the number of days in each year that the level of the primary and secondary 24-hour standards (i.e., 150 µg/m<sup>3</sup>) would have been exceeded if sampling had been conducted every day. For comparison, Table 2-1 also shows the number of days at each site when the level of the primary and secondary 24-hour standards was actually exceeded, if any, as demonstrated by actual measurements at the site.

The statistical predictions of the number of days that would have seen an exceedance of the level of the 24-hour standards are based on the assumption of a lognormal distribution of the data. They indicate that more frequent  $PM_{10}$  sampling in the period from 1992 to 1994 at any site would not have resulted in an exceedance of the 24-hour standards.

Because manpower and economic limitations dictate that  $PM_{10}$  sampling for particulate matter cannot be conducted every day, a degree of uncertainty is introduced as to whether the air quality at a site has either met or exceeded the level of the annual standards. This uncertainty can be expressed by means of a statistic called a confidence limit. Assuming a normal distribution of the pollutant data, 95% confidence limits were calculated about the annual arithmetic mean at each site. For example (see Table 2-1), at Norwalk 014 in 1992, 59 samples were analyzed and an arithmetic mean of 29.4 µg/m<sup>3</sup> was then calculated. The columns labeled "95-PCT-LIMITS" show the lower and upper limits of the 95% confidence interval to be 26.6 and 32.2 µg/m<sup>3</sup>, respectively. This means that, if sampling were done every day, there is a 95% chance that the true arithmetic mean would fall between these limits. Since the upper 95% limit is less than 50 µg/m<sup>3</sup>, one can be confident that the level of the annual standards was not exceeded at the site. However, if the upper 95% limit were greater, and the lower limit less, than 50 µg/m<sup>3</sup>, then one could not be confident that the standard was not exceeded at the site. And if both the upper and lower 95% limits were greater than 50 µg/m<sup>3</sup>, then one could assume that the level of the standards was indeed exceeded sometime during the year. These three possibilities are illustrated in Figure 2-3.

Table 2-2 summarizes the statistical predictions from Table 2-1 regarding compliance with the level of the annual air quality standards, using the 95% confidence limit criteria. The table shows that the level of the primary and secondary annual standards was probably achieved at the 26 sites that met the minimum sampling criteria in 1994. The results for previous years are also tabulated.

It should be noted that the above discussion of statistics does not affect the actual determination of attainment or nonattainment of the  $PM_{10}$  standards. The promulgated regulations specify the requirements for making an attainment determination. Those requirements, mentioned in a limited way in Table 1-1, address the projection of exceedances and the calculation and use of arithmetic means in ways that are different from the foregoing discussion.

**24-Hour Averages** - Figure 2-4 presents the maximum 24-hour concentrations recorded at each site. There were no  $PM_{10}$  concentrations at any site that exceeded the 150 µg/m<sup>3</sup> level of the primary and secondary 24-hour standards in 1994. Of the 19 sites that had sufficient data in both 1993 and 1994, 18

sites had higher maximum concentrations and 1 site had a lower maximum concentration. The largest increase was 25  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> at East Hartford 004 and Willimantic 002; the one decrease was 8  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> at Waterbury 123.

Table 2-3 summarizes the statistical predictions from Table 2-1 regarding the number of sites that would have seen  $PM_{10}$  concentrations exceeding the level of the 24-hour standards, if sampling had been conducted every day. In 1994, there were no such sites. The results for the preceding years are also given. In all cases, results are presented only for those sites that met the minimum sampling criteria for the year.

A determination of actual compliance with the primary and secondary 24-hour standards can be made for a site only when the minimum sampling criteria are met in each calendar quarter for the most recent 3 years. Based on these criteria, compliance was achieved at 19 of the 30 sites in 1994. A determination of compliance could not be made for the 11 sites mentioned earlier because there were insufficient data at each site in at least one calendar quarter during the most recent three years. But based upon the data that is available, it is highly improbable that an exceedance would have occurred at any of these sites.

**Hi-vol Averages** - Quarterly and annual averages of the chemical components from the hi-vol TSP/lead monitors have been computed for 1994 and are presented in Table 2-4.

**10 High Days with Wind Data** - Table 2-5 lists the 10 highest 24-hour average  $PM_{10}$  readings with the dates of occurrence for each of the 26  $PM_{10}$  hi-vol site in Connecticut which complied with EPA's minimum sampling criteria in 1994. This table also shows the average wind conditions which occurred on each of these dates. The resultant wind direction (DIR, in compass degrees clockwise from true north) and velocity (VEL, in mph), the average wind speed (SPD, in mph), and the ratio between the velocity and the speed are presented for each of four National Weather Service stations located in or near Connecticut. The resultant wind direction and velocity are vector quantities and are computed from the individual wind direction and speed readings in each day. The closer the wind speed ratio is to 1.000, the more persistent the wind. It should be noted that the Connecticut stations have local influences which change the speed and shift the direction of the near-surface air flow (e.g., the Bradley Field air flow is channeled north-south by the Connecticut River Valley and the Bridgeport air flow is frequently subject to sea breezes).

On a statewide basis, this table shows that approximately 53% of the high  $PM_{10}$  days occur with winds out of the southwest quadrant and most of those days have relatively persistent winds. This relationship between southwest winds and high particulate levels has historically been more prevalent in southwestern Connecticut. However, many of the maximum levels at some urban sites do not occur with southwest winds, indicating that these sites are possibly influenced by local sources or transport from different out-of-state sources. As noted above, a large scale southwesterly air flow is often diverted into a southerly flow up the Connecticut River Valley. At sites in the Connecticut River Valley, many of the highest  $PM_{10}$  days occur when the winds at Bradley Airport are from the south.

**Trends** - Pollutant trends can be illustrated in a number of ways. We wish here to portray a  $PM_{10}$  trend that is both statewide in nature and relevant to one of the ambient air quality standards. Therefore, we have chosen to average the annual mean  $PM_{10}$  concentrations at a number of sites from 1989 -- the first full year of  $PM_{10}$  monitoring -- to the present (see Figure 2-5). In spite of the year-to-year changes, statewide  $PM_{10}$  levels appear to be trending down over the past 6 years.

Significant changes in annual  $PM_{10}$  levels can be caused by a number of things. Among these are simple changes of weather; changes in annual fuel use associated with conservation efforts or heating demand; the frequency of precipitation events, which wash out particulates from the atmosphere; changes in average wind speed, since higher winds result in greater dilution of emissions; and a change in the frequency of southwesterly winds, which affect the amount of particulate matter transported into Connecticut from the New York City metropolitan area and from other sources of emissions located to

the southwest. In illustrating a trend, these year-to-year effects can be diminished, if not eliminated, by using a moving average of three years or more. Figure 2-6 illustrates the trend of  $PM_{10}$  using a 3-year moving average. The trend is clearly down.



TABLE 2-1

1992-1994 PM10 ANNUAL AVERAGES AND STATISTICAL PROJECTIONS

•

MEASURED DAYS OVER 150 UG/M3

				ARTTHAAFTIC	Tuendo	-1 TMTTS	STANDARD	PREDICTED
TOWN NAME	SITE	YEAR	SAMPLES	MEAN	LOWER	UPPER	DEVIATION	150 UG/M3
RIDGEPORT	010	1992	60	22.4	20.0	24.7	9.987	
BRIDGEPORT	010	1993	57	20.8	18.0	23.7	11.814	
<b>RIDGEPORT</b>	010	1994	50*	26.0	22.1	29.9	14.777	
RIDGFPORT	014	1992	12*	29.7	21.5	37.9	13.098	
RIDGEPORT	014	1993	24*	27.3	22.6	32.1	11.707	
BRIDGEPORT	014	1994	56	30.2	27.0	33.4	13.034	
BRISTOL	001	1992	80	19.4	17.0	21.8	10.296	
BRISTOL	001	1993	57	17.9	15.6	20.2	9.402	
BRISTOL	001	1994	28	18.3	15.7	20.9	10.770	
BURLINGTON	001	1992	60	14.0	12.1	15.9	7.993	
BURLINGTON	001	1993	55	12.9	11.0	14.8	7.690	
BURLINGTON	001	1994	55	14.3	11.8	16.8	10.037	
CORNWALL	005	1992	<b>*</b> 6 <b>†</b>	13.3	11.2	15.4	7.837	
CORNWALL	005	1993	52*	12.4	10.0	14.9	9.374	
DANBURY	123	1992	45*	21.8	18.5	25.2	11.879	
DANBURY	123	1993	57	18.8	15.9	21.7	11.844	
DANBURY	123	1994	52*	22.1	18.9	25.3	12.517	
DARIEN	001	1992	59	24.5	22.3	26.7	9.149	
DARIEN	001	1993	60	23.4	20.9	25.9	10.532	
DARIEN	001	1994	54	28.2	24.5	31.9	14.838	
EAST HARTFORD	004	1992	57	20.5	17.7	23.3	11.457	
EAST HARTFORD	004	1993	56	18.8	16.3	21.2	10.070	
EAST HARTFORD	004	1994	59	21.9	18.7	25.2	13.575	
ENFIELD	005	1992	20	19.1	15.5	22.6	15.037	
ENFIELD	005	1993	59	15.4	13.5	17.4	8.152	
ENFIELD	005	1994	55	16.7	13.8	19.5	11.359	

\* THE NUMBER OF SAMPLES IS NOT SUFFICIENT TO COMPLY WITH THE MINIMUM SAMPLING CRITERIA.

-17-

TABLE 2-1, CONTINUED

1992-1994 PM10 ANNUAL AVERAGES AND STATISTICAL PROJECTIONS

MEASURED DAYS OVER 150 UG/M3

TOWN NAME	SITE	YEAR	SAMPLES	ARITHMETIC MEAN	95-PCT- LOWER	-LIMITS UPPER	STANDARD DEVIATION	Predicted Days over 150 UG/M3
GREENWICH	017	1992	43*	18.2	15.8	20.5	8.151	
GREENWICH	017	1993	37*	16.5	14.2	18.9	7.314	
GREENWICH	017	1994	55	20.1	17.0	23.3	12.476	
GROTON	000	1992	61	18.8	16.4	21.2	10.299	
GROTON	000	1993	57	17.0	15.0	19.0	8.238	
GROTON	000	1994	58	20.2	17.7	22.8	10.525	
HARTFORD	013	1992	20	20.0	17.1	22.8	11.987	
HARTFORD	013	1993	20	17.4	15.3	19.5	8.863	
HARTFORD	013	1994	20	19.7	16.9	22.6	11.666	
HARTFORD	015	1992	61	25.0	22.2	27.9	12.221	
Hartford	015	1993	52	23.3	20.4	26.2	11.291	
Hartford	015	1994	52	25.6	22.1	29.1	13.492	
MERIDEN	002	1992	58	21.1	18.2	23.9	11.807	
MERIDEN	002	1993	57	19.3	16.7	21.8	10.322	
MERIDEN	002	1994	54	19.8	16.9	22.7	11.503	
MIDDLETOWN	003	1992	56	20.9	18.0	23.8	12.176	
MIDDLETOWN	003	1993	56	18.7	16.5	21.0	9.186	
MIDDLETOWN	003	1994	53	21.6	18.5	24.8	12.315	
MI LFORD	010	1992	61	17.2	15.0	19.4	9.339	
MI LFORD	010	1993	53	16.7	14.6	18.7	8.826	
MI LFORD	010	1994	53	18.7	16.0	21.3	10.524	
NEW BRITAIN	012	1992	55	20.0	17.1	22.9	11.578	
NEW BRITAIN	012	1993	38‡	19.9	16.3	23.5	11.600	
NEW BRITAIN	012	1994	56	20.1	17.2	22.9	11.566	
NEW HAVEN	013	1992	57	21.5	18.6	24.3	11.682	
NEW HAVEN	013	1993	53	19.8	17.3	22.3	10.448	
NEW HAVEN	013	1994	53	23.1	19.9	26.4	12.694	

\* THE NUMBER OF SAMPLES IS NOT SUFFICIENT TO COMPLY WITH THE MINIMUM SAMPLING CRITERIA.

TABLE 2-1, CONTINUED

1992-1994 PM10 ANNUAL AVERAGES AND STATISTICAL PROJECTIONS

casured NYS OVER 80 UG/M3								
REDICTED ME DAYS OVER DA 150 UG/M3 11	-				-			1
F STANDARD [ DEVIATION	15.606 17.801 19.444	10.691 12.470 13.601	11.986 11.041 15.932	10.534 8.710 11.126	11.926 12.875 17.132	12.010 9.362 11.868	9.897 10.124 13.549	10.962 10.807 11.835 9.447 6.787 9.855
UPPER	37.4 38.8 46.3	25.4 27.0 29.9	26.4 24.4 31.7	22.8 19.7 24.3	32.2 33.0 41.0	22.4 21.2 25.3	23.4 22.2 26.8	21.2 20.6 22.1 15.8 17.8
: 95-PCTI LOWER	29.8 30.1 36.0	20.3 20.9 23.3	20.6 19.0 23.8	17.8 15.3 18.9	26.6 26.5 32.2	16.7 16.6 19.4	18.7 17.2 19.7	16.0 15.3 16.3 11.3 12.9
LRI THMET IC MEAN	33.6 34.4 41.2	22.8 24.0 26.6	23.5 21.7 27.8	20.3 17.5 21.6	29.4 29.7 36.6	19.6 18.9 22.4	21.1 19.7 23.3	18.6 19.2 13.5 15.3 15.3
SAMPLES	57 50*	53 57 58	57 56	53 53 8	55 55 52	58 57 55	59 57 51	22 28 60 21 28 22 28 60 21 28 28 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20
YEAR	1992 1993 1994	1992 1993 1994	1992 1993 1994	1992 1993 1994	1992 1993 1994	1992 1993 1994	1992 1993 1994	1992 1993 1994 1992 1993
SITE	018 018 018	020 020 020	123 123 123	004 004 400	410 410 410	002 002 002	001 001 001	001 001 001 001 001 001 001
OMN NAME	ew haven Ew haven Ew haven	iew haven Iew haven Iew haven	iew haven Iew haven Iew haven	iew London Iew London	Korwalk Korwalk Korwalk	KORWICH KORWICH KORWICH	STAMFORD STAMFORD STAMFORD	TORRINGTON TORRINGTON TORRINGTON VOLUNTOWN VOLUNTOWN

\* THE NUMBER OF SAMPLES IS NOT SUFFICIENT TO COMPLY WITH THE MINIMUM SAMPLING CRITERIA.

TABLE 2-1, CONTINUED

1992-1994 PM10 ANNUAL AVERAGES AND STATISTICAL PROJECTIONS

TOWN NAME	SITE	YEAR	SAMPLES	ARITHMETIC MEAN	95-PCT- Lower	-LIMITS UPPER	STANDARD DEVIATION	PREDICTED DAYS OVER 150 UG/M3	MEASURED DAYS OVER 150 UG/M3
WALLINGFORD WALLINGFORD WALLINGFORD	000 000 000 000	1992 1993 1994	55 55 56 57 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58	20.8 18.2 20.5	17.9 15.9 17.3	23.7 20.5 23.7	11.923 9.321 12.881		
WATERBURY WATERBURY WATERBURY	007 007 007	1992 1993 1994	53 53	22.3 21.6 22.7	19.5 18.6 19.3	25.0 24.7 26.1	11.627 12.584 13.306		
WATERBURY WATERBURY WATERBURY	123 123 123	1992 1993 1994	59 54 54	22.5 22.6 25.0	19.8 19.5 22.0	25.1 25.7 27.9	11.038 12.970 11.701		
WILLIMANTIC WILLIMANTIC WILLIMANTIC	002 002 002	1992 1993 1994	57 60 58	19.2 18.2 19.9	16.6 15.8 16.9	21.9 20.5 22.8	10.824 9.920 12.196		

\* THE NUMBER OF SAMPLES IS NOT SUFFICIENT TO COMPLY WITH THE MINIMUM SAMPLING CRITERIA.

FIGURE 2-2

## **1994 ANNUAL AVERAGE PM10 CONCENTRATIONS**



## **FIGURE 2-2, continued**

## **1994 ANNUAL AVERAGE PM10 CONCENTRATIONS**



## FIGURE 2-2, continued

## 1994 ANNUAL AVERAGE PM10 CONCENTRATIONS



## **FIGURE 2-3**

## COMPLIANCE WITH THE LEVEL OF THE ANNUAL PM10 STANDARDS USING 95% CONFIDENCE LIMITS ABOUT THE ANNUAL ARITHMETIC MEAN CONCENTRATION



- L=The lower limit of the 95% confidence interval about the annual arithmetic mean concentration.
- U=The upper limit of the 95% confidence interval about the annual arithmetic mean concentration.

## TABLE 2-2

## STATISTICALLY PREDICTED NUMBER OF SITES IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE LEVEL OF THE ANNUAL PM10 STANDARDS<sup>\*</sup>

	COMPLIANCE ACHIEVED	COMPLIANCE UNCERTAIN	STANDARD EXCEEDED
1985	2	0	0
1986	4	0	1
1987	4	0	1
1988	3	0	0
1989	40	0	0
1990	39	0	0
1991	30	0	0
1992	28	0	0
1993	23	· 0	0
1994	26	0	0

\* Using 95% confidence limits about the arithmetic mean concentration at only those sites which had sufficient data to satisfy the minimum sampling criteria for the year.

## FIGURE 2-4

## 1994 MAXIMUM 24-HOUR PM10 CONCENTRATIONS



## 150 μg/m<sup>3</sup> 24 - HOUR STANDARD

## FIGURE 2-4, continued

## **1994 MAXIMUM 24-HOUR PM10 CONCENTRATIONS**



## **FIGURE 2-4, continued**

## **1994 MAXIMUM 24-HOUR PM10 CONCENTRATIONS**


## TABLE 2-3

## SUMMARY OF THE STATISTICALLY PREDICTED NUMBER OF PM10 SITES EXCEEDING THE LEVEL OF THE 24-HOUR STANDARDS

SITES WITH  $\geq$  1 DAY EXCEEDING 150 µg/m<sup>3</sup>

YEAR	NO. OF SITES <sup>1</sup>	<u>No. of Sites</u>	Percentage of All Sites
1985	2	0	0%
1986	5	2	40%
1987	5	1	20%
1988	3	1	33%
1989	40	1	3%
1990	39	0	0%
1991	30	0	0%
1992	28	0	0%
1993	23	0	0%
1994	26	0	0%

<sup>1</sup> Only those sites which had sufficient data to satisfy the minimum sampling criteria for the year.

### TABLE 2-4

### **QUARTERLY CHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF 1994 HI-VOL TSP**

	TOWN Bridgeport	A 0	REA <b>060</b>		SITE <b>010</b>	
	1ST	QUARTI 2ND	RLY AVG 3RD	i 4TH		ANNUAL AVG
METALS (ng/r	m <sup>3</sup> )					
BERYLLIUM	<.1	<.1	<.1	<.1		<.1
CADMIUM	1.1	1.3	3.1	0.8		1.6
CHROMIUM	5	17	<1	1		6a
COPPER	50	30	50	10		40
IRON	1280	780	580	580		770
LEAD	20	20	10	10		20
MANGANESE	20	12	8	9		12
NICKEL	13	5	6	9		8
VANADIUM	20	10	<10	10		10a
ZINC	50	110	50	30		60
WATER SOLU	BLĖS (ng/m <sup>3</sup> )					
NITRATE	4410	3620	4090	3300		3820
SULFATE	9520	10870	12960	9420		10860
AMMONIUM	90	<10	<10	290		90p
<u>TSP</u> (μg/m³)	60	52	45	42		49
SAMPLE COU	INT 10	14	15c	14		

<sup>a</sup> The annual average was calculated using one-half the detectable limit in the 3<sup>rd</sup> quarter.

<sup>b</sup> The annual average was calculated using one-half the detectable limit in the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> quarters.

<sup>c</sup> The sample count for sulfate and TSP is 16 in the 3<sup>rd</sup> quarter.

### **QUARTERLY CHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF 1994 HI-VOL TSP**

	TOWN East hartfoi	RD 0	REA <b>220</b>		SITE <b>004</b>
	1ST	QUARTE 2ND	RLY AVG 3RD	4TH	ANNUAL AVG
METALS (ng/r	n <sup>3</sup> )				
BERYLLIUM	<.1	<.1	<.1	<.1	<.1
CADMIUM	1.0	0.8	1.0	1.0	1.0
CHROMIUM	3	3	<1	2	2a
COPPER	50	60	100	10	50
IRON	540	580	470	650	560
LEAD	10	10	10	10	10
MANGANESE	. 11	10	7	10	10
NICKEL	10	3	. 5	9	. 7
VANADIUM	20	<10	<10	10	10b
ZINC	30	30	40	40	40
WATER SOLU	BLES (ng/m <sup>3</sup> )				
NITRATE	3520	3020	2370	2580	2890
SULFATE	8740	8620	11470	8090	9250
AMMONIUM	150	<10	2520	110	660c
<u>TSP</u> (μg/m³)	41	38	39	33	38
SAMPLE COU	NT 15	13	13d	15	

<sup>a</sup> The annual average was calculated using one-half the detectable limit in the 3<sup>rd</sup> quarter.

<sup>b</sup> The annual average was calculated using one-half the detectable limit in the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> quarters.

<sup>c</sup> The annual average was calculated using one-half the detectable limit in the 2<sup>nd</sup> quarter.

<sup>d</sup> The sample count for sulfate and TSP is 15 in the 3<sup>rd</sup> quarter.

### **QUARTERLY CHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF 1994 HI-VOL TSP**

	TOWN Hartford	۵ ۵	REA 420		SITE <b>016</b>
	<u>15T</u>	QUARTI 2ND	ERLY AVO 3RD	G 4TH	ANNUAL AVG
METALS (ng/r	n <sup>3</sup> )				
BERYLLIUM	<.1	<.1	<.1	<.1	<.1
CADMIUM	0.8	0.7	1.8	1.3	1.1
CHROMIUM	5	3	2	3	3
COPPER	50	40	90	10	50
IRON	1060	1180	990	1070	1080
LEAD	20	10	10	20	20
MANGANESE	20	17	12	13	16
NICKEL	10	4	4	9	7
VANADIUM	20	<10	<10	10	10 <sup>a</sup>
ZINC	40	40	50	60	50
WATER SOLU	<u>BLES</u> (ng/m³)				
NITRATE	3980	2960	2640	2900	3130
SULFATE	8650	8820	10570	8600	9150
AMMONIUM	360	50	<10	230	160 <sup>b</sup>
<u>TSP</u> (μg/m³)	71	64	51	53	60
SAMPLE COU	NT 15	15	15c	13	

<sup>a</sup> The annual average was calculated using one-half the detectable limit in the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> quarters. <sup>b</sup> The annual average was calculated using one-half the detectable limit in the 3<sup>rd</sup> quarter.

<sup>c</sup> The sample count for sulfate and TSP is 14 in the 3<sup>rd</sup> quarter.

### **QUARTERLY CHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF 1994 HI-VOL TSP**

	TOWN NEW HAVEN	۵ ۵	AREA 9 <b>700</b>		SITE <b>018</b>	
	107	QUARTI	ERLY AVG		ANNUAL	AVG
	151	ZND	3KD	418		
METALS (ng/n	n <sup>3</sup> )					
BERYLLIUM	<.1	<.1	<.1	<.1	<.1	
CADMIUM	1.6	1.4	1.2	1.4	1.4	
CHROMIUM	8	8	4	8	7	
COPPER	50	70	130	10	60	
IRON	3740	6250	3830	3320	4300	
LEAD	110	180	100	30	110	
MANGANESE	57	95	53	45	63	
NICKEL	18	17	12	15	16	
VANADIUM	40	30	20	20	30	
ZINC	120	170	140	150	150	
WATER SOLU	BLES (ng/m <sup>3</sup> )					
NITRATE	4720	3380	3850	3080	3750	
SULFATE	11130	9600	12900	9770	10880	
AMMONIUM	490	70	3220	370	960	
<u>TSP</u> (µg/m³)	184	227	131	120	165	
SAMPLE COU	NT 15	15	13a	15		

<sup>a</sup> The sample count for sulfate and TSP is 16 in the 3<sup>rd</sup> quarter.

### **QUARTERLY CHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF 1994 HI-VOL TSP**

	TOWN WATERBURY	A 12	REA 2 <b>40</b>		SITE <b>123</b>
	1ST	QUARTE 2ND	RLY AVO 3RD	; 4TH	ANNUAL AVG
METALS (ng/n	n <sup>3</sup> )				
BERYLLIUM	<.1	<.1	<.1	<.1	<.1
CADMIUM	1.2	1.1 ′	1.5	1.3	1.3
CHROMIUM	4	5	3	2	4
COPPER	110	260	100	10	130
IRON	930	1880	1400	1310	1380
LEAD	20	20	20	20	20
MANGANESE	16	36	27	25	26
NICKEL	13	4	5	8	8
VANADIUM	20	<10	<10	10	10a
ZINC	70	60	70	80	70
WATER SOLU	<u>BLES</u> (ng/m <sup>3</sup> )				
NITRATE	3400	2280	2260	2170	2550
SULFATE	8880	8170	11090	9110	9350
AMMONIUM	320	30	1990	170	600
<u>TSP</u> (μg/m³)	60	74	60	56	62
SAMPLE COU	NT 15	15b	13c	13	

<sup>a</sup> The annual average was calculated using one-half the detectable limit in the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> quarters. <sup>b</sup> The sample count for TSP is 14 in the 2<sup>nd</sup> quarter.

<sup>c</sup> The sample count for sulfate and TSP is 16 in the 3<sup>rd</sup> quarter.

	LIND
DATA	
DNIM	
WITH	
DAYS	
PM10	
AVERAGE	
24-HOUR	
HIGHEST	
TEN	
1994	

TABLE 2-5

	-							UNITS : N	AI CROGRAM	s PER CUBI	IC METER
TOWN-SITE (SAMPLES)	RANK	<del></del>	8	ю	4	ß	9	2	80	თ	10
BRIDGEPORT-014 (0056)	PM10	68	61	61	52	51	49	48	45	45	43
	DATE	12/ 4/94	7/13/94	9/17/94	2/19/94	2/ 1/94	12/28/94	3/15/94	7/19/94	1/14/94	5/26/94
METEOROLOGICAL SITE	DIR (DEG)	150	250	260	170	330	250	220	170	280	210
NEWARK	VEL (MPH)	1.4	6.9	8.2	2.9	4.5	8.3	4.5	5.0	6.8	4.7
	(How) das	4.0	8.8 8	11.2	4.2	6.3	10.5	7.9	6.0	9.5	6.3
	RATIO	0.352	0.789	0.731	0.705	0.714	0.794	0.574	0.821	0.716	0.504
METEOROLOGICAL SITE	DIR (DEG)	360	250	210	170	210	230	180	220	310	190
BRADLEY	VEL (MPH)	2.0	2.8	5.6	4.8	-	4.1	5.1	2.5	а. 8	5.4
	(HJM) dds	<u></u> а.5	3.7	6.9	4.9	3.3	7.3	5.2	2.6	6.0	6.0
	RATIO	0.581	0.755	0.809	0.976	0.028	0.562	0.988	0.962	<b>0.621</b>	0.893
METEOROLOGICAL SITE	DIR (DEG)	280	240	270	110	360	270	210	230	310 	230
BRIDGEPORT	VEL (MPH)	3.0	6.2	7.1	Ω, i	<u></u>	80 0 80 0	5.2 1	ה ה ה	0 I 0 I	4 1 D 1
	(Hdw) dds	4.5	6.5	8.6	. 1. 3 2 2 2 2	5.5 0	9.0 910	0.4 0.5	0.0 011	4 I 7	0.0 0.0
	RATIO	0.677	0.960	0.820	0.648	0.490	0.918	0.741	0.915	0.748	0.911
METEOROLOGICAL SITE	DIR (DEG)	280	270	250	230	280	250	230	230	280	160
WORCESTER	VEL (MPH)	-!	- C 4 C	ο ο ο	0.0 0	א ת לי ע		2 4	0.0	ט מ - ר	0 0 1
	SPU (MPH) RATIO	0.969 0.969	8.2 8.902	10.1 0.872	0.0 0.990	0.926 0.926	0.913	0.972 0.972	0.954	3.0 0.528	0.681
		•									
BRISTOL-001 (0058)	PM10	52	42	41	40	38	32	32	31	29	28
	DATE	9/17/94	7/19/94	2/19/94	7/13/94	12/22/94	7/ 7/94	3/15/94	8/12/94	12/28/94	5/26/94
METEOROLOGICAL SITE	DIR (DEC)	260	170	170	250	60	290	220	130	250	210
NEWARK	VEL (MPH)	8.2	5.0	2.9	6.9	10 10	<b>4</b> .2	4.5 5.5	2.9	ю. 9	4.7
	(Hdw) dds	11.2	6.0	4.2	00 - 00 -	3.3	<b>8</b> 1.1	7.9	5.6	10.5	9.3 .13
-	RATIO	0.731	0.821	0.705	0.789	0.657	0.518	0.574	0.518	0.794	0.504
METEOROLOGICAL SITE	DIR (DEG)	210	220	170	250 2.50	360	96	180	180	230	190
BRADLEY	VEL (MPH)	5.6	2.5	4	2 I N I	- L - L	- 0	- u - u	0 I N 0	- t 1 t	4.0
		5.0 0.0	9.2 7	9.4 0 0.0	0.7 1	0.0	7.7		1.2	0.7 0.7	0.0
	RATIO	0.809	0.952	0.9/0	60./9	0.220	0.040 200	6.400 010	905.9	700.0	020.0
		91	2007	20	740 47	001	077 7	2014	90-1 9	ο α α	9 0 0 7 8
			0 M 0 W	ų v		. c	F ư F ư	2 4 7 4	) @   U	. e . o	) F K
		0.00	a 915	0.64B	0.960	0.353	0.799	0.741	0.921	0.918	0.911
METEOROLOGICAL SITE	DIR (DEG)	250	230	230	270	280	160	230	230	250	160
WORCESTER	VEL (MPH)	8.8	6.6	8.5	7.4	6.2	1.8	6.2	3.1	9.7	3.5
	(H-JW) Ods	10.1	6.9	8.6	8.2	6.3	3.5	6.3	а. 0	10.6	5.2
	RATIÒ	0.872	0.954	0.990	0.902	0.981	0.528	0.972	0.803	0.913	0.681
DID TANTON ART (ARES)	DM10	R.A.	14	9 F	00	25	25	25	24	<i>~~</i>	21
DURLING UN DATE ( DAUS)				20/ 1-1/ 1-	27 07 0	0,10,01	7 /05 /04	7 / 71 / 04	E /0E /01	E /17 /04	e / 7 /01
METEOBOLOCICAL SITE	DATE (DEC)	3/1//34	170	1/10/34	2/13/34	130	750/37	160	0/20/31 210	160	280
		2 C	- u	)   	00	000	1	ο 	4	8	7 7
	ALL (MTR)	10.4	9 G		0.1 ₹			- v.	rσ	2.0	13.1
		0 731	A 821	0.789 0	0 705	a.518	0.518	0.319	0.504	0.751	0.588
METEORNI OCICAL SITE	DIR (DEG)	210	220	250	170	180	250	290	190	190	290
	VEL (MPH)	5.6	2.5	2.8	4.8	2.5	4.9	<b>.</b> 2	5.4	6.7	4.8
	SPD (MPH)	6.9	2.6	3.7	4.9	2.7	6.0	6.	6.0	7.9	7.8
	RATIO	0.809	0.962	0.755	0.976	0.930	0.809	0.574	0.893	0.852	0.622

1994 TEN HIGHEST 24-HOUR AVERAGE PM10 DAYS WITH WIND DATA

UNITS : MICROGRAMS PER CUBIC METER

TOWN-SITE (SAMPLES)	RANK	-	7	ñ	4	ß	Q	٢	Ø	O,	10
METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRIDGEPORT METEOROLOGICAL SITE WORCESTER	DIR (DEC) VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH) RATIO DIR (DEC) DIR (DEC) VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH)	270 7.1 8.6 250 8.8 10.1	238 5.8 6.3 6.6 6.6 6.9	240 5.2 6.5 0.960 270 8.2	110 1.3 0.648 2.30 8.5 8.5	130 5.0 5.0 2230 3.1 3.9	240 3.5.6 5.74 5.76 5.7 5.0 5.0	160 1.3 0.834 270 4.3 4.9	230 236 5.3 0.911 3.5 5.2	210 210 5.5 897 7.5 7.8 7.8	280 6.3 7.2 882 8.2 8.2 8.2 9.9
DARIEN-001 (0054) METEOROLOGICAL SITE NEWARK	RATIO PM10 DATE DIR (DEC) ( VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH)	0.872 66 330 4.5 6.3	0.954 64 9/17/94 8.2 11.2	0.902 62 60 50 53.3 3.3	6.94 59 7/13/94 6.9 8.8 8.8	e.883 56 170 2.9 4.2	e.939 56 1/14/94 6.8 6.8 9.5	0.000 53 12/ 4/94 1.4 4.0	7/19/94 5.0 5.0 5.0	0.370 43 1/20/94 6.5 6.5 7.8	e.023 41 226 4.5 7.9
METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRADLEY METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRIDGEPORT METEOROLOGICAL SITE MORCESTER	RATIO DIR (DEG) SPD (MPH) RATIO DIR (DEG) DIR (DEG) T VEL (MPH) RATIO RATIO SPD (MPH) SPD (MPH)	0.714 210 210 210 3.5 0.028 3.5 0.430 5.5 5.3 5.3	0.731 210 5.6 0.809 7.1 0.826 8.8 10.1	0.657 360 3.56 0.326 0.326 0.326 0.326 0.355 0.356 6.3 6.3	0.789 2550 2550 2.55 0.9555 7.4 0.9655 8.2 8.2	0.705 170 170 4.8 4.8 110 0.976 110 0.648 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5	0.716 3.10 3.10 0.621 3.10 0.748 1.9 2.80 3.6 3.6	0.352 360 3.50 3.55 3.55 3.55 3.55 3.55 3.55 3.5	6.821 220 2.20 2.55 2.55 2.56 2.56 6.5 6.9 5.9 6.9	6.841 2.70 3.70 3.70 3.70 5.5 6.4 6.4 6.8 6.8	0.5/4 180 0.988 0.710 0.988 0.741 0.741 0.741 0.741 0.3
EAST HARTFORD-004 (0059) METEOROLOGICAL SITE NEWARK	RATIO PM10 DATE DIR (DEG) SPD (MPH) SPD (MPH) SPD (MPH) SPD (MPH) DIR (DEC)	0.926 72 12/22/94 60 3.3 0.657 3.5	0.872 67 9/17/94 260 8.2 11.2 0.731	0.981 7/19/94 5.0 6.821 221	0.902 45 7/13/94 250 6.9 8.8 0.789 0.789	0.998 39 2/19/94 170 2.9 0.705 0.705	0.528 3/15/94 220 4.5 0.574 180	0.969 36 7/25/94 260 4.3 8.3 0.518 250	0.736 35 12/28/94 250 8.3 10.5 230 230	0.353 35 12/ 4/94 150 1.4 0.352 360 360	0.319 34 7/31/94 160 1.9 0.319 290 290
METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRADLEN METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRIDGEPORI METEOROLOGICAL SITE WORCESTEF	DIR (UEU) Y VEL (MPH) RATIO RATIO DIR (DEC) T VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH) R VEL (MPH) R VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH) R VEL (MPH)	0.325 0.355 0.355 0.355 0.355 0.355 0.355 0.355 0.355 0.355 0.355 0.355 0.355 0.355 0.355 0.355 0.355 0.355 0.	2.10 2.70 2.70 2.70 2.70 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.70	2.26 2.55 2.36 2.36 2.36 2.36 2.36 2.36 2.36 2.36	2.78 3.755 3.755 2.48 6.55 2.76 8.55 2.76 8.22 9.902 8.24 2.76 8.22 8.27 8.27 8.27 8.27 8.27 8.27 8.27	0.976 0.976 0.110 0.648 230 8.5 8.5 8.5 990 0.990	0.972 0.988 0.988 0.741 0.741 0.972 0.972	0.809 0.40 0.5.00000000	0.562 270 270 8.8 9.6 9.6 250 9.7 250 9.7 9.5 9.7 9.5 0.913	0.581 0.581 0.581 0.583 0.580 0.580 0.580 0.580 0.580 0.580 0.580 0.580 0.580 0.580 0.580 0.580 0.580 0.580 0.581 0.580 0.581 0.580 0.581 0.580 0.581 0.580 0.581 0.580 0.581 0.580 0.581 0.580 0.590 0.580 0.5900 0.5900 0.5900 0.590000000000	0.574 0.574 0.1.60 0.134 0.135 0.135 0.838 0.888

1994 TEN HIGHEST 24-HOUR AVERAGE PM10 DAYS WITH WIND DATA

IC METER	10	25 6/25/94 260 5,4	10.9 330 2.0	0.330 160 8.2 8.2 0.518	0.98. 9.984 984	32 230 230 8.2 0.805 10.2	0.886 256 8.8 8.3 8.3 9.8 9.8 9.8	9.9 0.991 31/94 160 1.9 5.9 0.519 2309 2309 2309 2500 2500 2500 2500 2519 2519 2519 2519 2519 2519 2519 2510 2510 2510 2510 2510 2510 2510 2510
s per cub	თ	26 2/ 1/94 330 4.5	0.714 2104 1.1	0.028 360 3.5 0.490 0.490	200 4.9 5.3 0.926	34 6/19/94 350 9.7 11.6 0.836 350	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	6.0 0.892 31 31 31 5.1 6.574 0.574 0.574 0.588 0.988
MICROGRAM	œ	27 6/19/94 350 9_7	0.836 356 6.0 6.0 6.0	0.935 340 3.9 8.6 0.447	5.4 5.6 8.892	34 7/31/94 160 1.9 5.9 0.319	0.574 1.5 0.834 0.834 2.70 4.3	4.9 0.888 33 4.5 4.5 6.714 2/14 2.16 2.16 2.16 3.3 0.028
: STINU	٢	27 3/15/94 220 4 5	7.9 7.9 5.1 5.1	0.988 210 3.2 6.741 0.741	6.2 6.3 6.3 9.972	35 12/28/94 258 8.3 10.5 0.794	0.7 0.562 0.562 0.562 0.562 0.918 0.918 0.7	10.6 0.913 36 2/7/94 7/94 0.835 0.835 0.835 0.330 0.330 0.927
	Q	32 8/12/94 130 2.9	0.518 180 2.5 2.5	0.930 130 4.6 5.0 0.921	3.1 3.1 3.9 0.803	36 2/19/94 170 2.9 8.705 0.705	0.976 9.976 118 0.648 230 8.5 8.5	8.6 0.990 36 7/19/94 5.0 6.821 2.5 0.821 2.5 0.821 2.5 0.962
	ŝ	33 2/19/94 170 2 9	6.705 170 4.8	0.976 110 113 1.3 0.648	2.58 8.5 8.6 0.990 0.990	38 7/19/94 170 5.0 6.0 6.0 821	2.55 2.55 2.36 2.36 2.36 2.36 5.6 6.6	6.9 9.54 37 5/26/94 4.7 9.3 0.504 190 5.4 6.0 8.3
	4	34 12/22/94 60 2 2	0.657 3.3 360 1.1	0.326 160 2.6 0.353	286 6.2 6.3 0.981	38 7/25/94 260 4.3 8.3 0.518	800 800 9.40 9.67 5.0 5.7 5.7 5.7	5.9 959 12/ 4/94 150 150 150 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 250 352 3.5 0.352 3.5 0.581
	ю	34 7/25/94 260	0 558 4.9 258 258 258 258 258 258 258 258 258 258	0.809 240 3.4 0.674	230 5.7 5.9 0.959	45 12/ 4/94 150 1.4 4.0 0.352	2.6 2.5 2.8 2.8 2.8 2.8 2.8 2.8 2.8 2.1 7.1	7.3 0.969 40 7/25/94 266 8.3 8.3 8.3 8.3 250 5.18 250 6.9 809 0.809
	7	45 7/19/94 170 5 0	0.82 0.821 220 2.5	0.962 230 5.8 6.3 915 915	230 6.6 6.9 0.954	56 7/13/94 250 6.9 8.8 8.8 0.789	2.78 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.76 2.76 2.76 2.76 2.76 2.76 2.76 2.76	8.2 9.902 46 12/22/94 60 5.3 3.3 0.557 3.5 0.326 0.326
	<del>6-</del>	67 9/17/94 260 8 2	0.731 210 5.6	0.809 270 7.1 8.6 0.820	250 8.8 10.1 0.872	60 9/17/94 260 8.2 11.2 0.731	8.8 8.8 8.8 8.8 8.8 8.8 8.8 8.8 8.8 8.8	0.872 0.872 9/17/94 260 8.2 11.2 11.2 0.731 5.6 0.809 0.809
+ D D -	RANK	PM10 DATE DIR (DEG) VEI (NEU)	VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH) RATIO DIR (DEG) VEL (MPH)	RATIO DIR (DEG) VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH) RATIO	DIR (DEG) VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH) RATIO	PM10 DATE DIR (DEG) VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH) SPD (MPH)	VEL (MEN) SPEL (MPH) RATIO DIR (DEG) VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH) RATIO DIR (DEG) VEL (MPH)	SPD (MPH) RATIO PM10 DATE DATE DATE CMPH SPD (MPH RATIO RATIO RATIO RATIO
	TOWN-SITE (SAMPLES)	ENFIELD-005 (0055) METEOROLOGICAL SITE	METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRADLEY	METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRIDGEPORT	METEOROLOGICAL SITE WORCESTER	GREENWICH-017 (0055) METEOROLOGICAL SITE NEWARK	MELECAROLOGICAL SITE METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRIDGEPORT METEOROLOGICAL SITE WORCESTER	GROTON006 (0058) METEOROLOGICAL SITE NEWARK METEOROLOGICAL SITE METEOROLOGICAL SITE

1994 TEN HIGHEST 24-HOUR AVERAGE PM10 DAYS WITH WIND DATA

UNITS : MICROGRAMS PER CUBIC METER

160 1.3 0.834 0.834 4.3 4.3 4.3 0.888 õ 3/15/94 2/26 2/15/94 7.9 5.1 5.1 6.988 0.988 0.988 0.988 0.988 0.972 0.972 30 3/15/94 2220 2220 4.5 7.9 6.74 0.574 5.1 0.988 0.988 0.988 0.988 0.988 0.972 0.972 210 3.2 4.3 0.741 6.2 6.2 6.3 0.972 တ 31 259 8.3 8.3 7.52 6.794 7.3 8.8 8.8 8.8 8.8 9.56 9.57 9.57 8.913 9.57 9.513 0.913 360 3.5 0.490 280 4.9 6.3 6.3 0.926 œ 33 7/7/94 290 4.2 8.1 8.1 0.518 90 12/ 4/94 150 4/94 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 3.5 0.581 0.581 3.5 0.581 0.581 0.581 0.581 0.580 0.581 0.580 0.581 0.580 0.581 0.580 .1 220 220 220 220 220 220 160 160 160 1.8 3.5 0.528 310 5.3 8.5 8.5 8.5 290 290 11.3 11.4 0.998 7 7/13/94 250 250 6.9 6.9 3.7 2.8 6.5 6.5 6.5 6.5 6.5 7.4 7.7 0.962 0.902 36 2/19/94 2/19/94 2.9 2.9 4.9 4.8 110 110 110 110 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 0.990 230 5.8 6.3 6.3 0.915 6.3 6.6 6.9 6.9 6.9 ø 41 2/19/94 2.9 2.9 2.9 4.2 4.2 4.2 4.3 6.976 110 110 110 110 110 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 230 4.8 5.3 6.911 160 3.5 5.2 0.681 ŝ 47 7/19/94 5.0 6.0 6.0 2.20 2.20 2.20 2.20 2.30 5.8 5.8 5.8 5.3 6.3 6.5 6.5 6.9 0.954 33 7/13/94 6.9 6.9 2.58 6.2 6.2 6.2 6.2 6.2 6.2 6.2 6.2 8.2 8.2 8.2 8.2 280 3.0 4.5 0.677 0.677 7.1 7.1 7.3 0.969 42 7/19/94 5.0 5.0 6.25 6.25 6.25 6.25 6.3 6.3 6.3 6.3 6.9 6.9 6.9 6.9 6.9 6.9 6.9 6.9 51 2/1/94 3.30 6.3 6.3 6.3 7.14 2.19 2.19 3.5 0.028 0.828 0.828 0.828 0.828 0.828 0.926 240 3.4 5.6 230 5.7 0.959 0.959 р 160 .9 0.353 0.353 0.358 6.2 6.2 6.3 0.981 2 81 12/22/94 60 64 12/22/94 60 3.5 0.326 160 160 2.6 0.353 0.353 6.2 6.3 0.981 2.2 3.3 0.657 360 2.2 3.3 0.657 360 160 .9 2.6 0.353 0.353 280 6.2 6.3 0.981 270 7.1 8.6 9.820 250 8.8 8.8 10.1 0.872 3.5 0.326 -PM10 DATE DIR (DEG) VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH) DIR (DEG) VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH) RATIO DIR (DEG) VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH) RATIO RATIO PM10 DATE DATE DIR (DEG) SPD (MPH) SPD (N RATIO RANK METEOROLOGICAL SITE WORCESTER METEOROLOGICAL SITE WORCESTER METEOROLOGICAL SITE NEWARK METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRADLEY METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRIDGEPORT METEOROLOGICAL SITE NEWARK METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRIDGEPORT METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRADLEY METEOROLOGICAL SITE WORCESTER METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRIDGEPORT HARTFORD-015 (0052) HARTFORD-013 (0057) TOWN-SITE (SAMPLES)

1994 TEN HIGHEST 24-HOUR AVERAGE PM10 DAYS WITH WIND DATA

UNITS : MICROGRAMS PER CUBIC METER

TOMN-SITE (SAMPLES)	RANK	<del></del>	2	ю	4	<u>ى</u>	ဖ	7	ŝ	S	10
MERIDEN-002 (0054) METEOROLOGICAL SITE NEWARK METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRADLEY METEOROLOGICAL SITE METEOROLOGICAL SITE METEOROLOGICAL SITE	PM10 DATE DATE DIR (DEG) SPD (MPH) SPD (MPH) SPD (MPH) SPD (MPH) SPD (MPH) SPD (MPH) PIR (DEG) DIR (DEG) DIR (DEG) DIR (DEG) VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH) SPD (MPH) SPD (MPH) SPD (MPH)	62 694 60 5.2 60 5.3 6.57 6.57 6.57 6.57 6.3 6.3 6.3 6.3 0.326 6.3 0.326 6.3 0.326 6.3 0.328 0.3880 0.328 0.328 0.3880 0.3880 0.3880 0.3880 0.3880 0.3280 0.3880 0.3880 0.3880 0.3880 0.3880 0.3880 0.3880 0.3880 0.3880 0.3880 0.3880 0.3880 0.3880 0.3880 0.38800 0.38800 0.3880000000000	7/13/94 256 6.9 6.9 6.9 2.8 6.2 6.2 6.2 6.2 6.2 6.2 7.4 0.960 7.4 0.902 0.902	7/19/94 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 5.8 6.9 5.3 6.9 6.9 6.9 6.9 6.9	37 37 170 2.19/94 2.9 2.9 4.9 110 110 110 110 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5	7/7/94 35 296 296 5.1 0.518 0.518 0.518 0.518 0.799 0.799 0.528 0.799 0.528	3/15/94 3/15/94 220 5.1 5.1 6.2 6.2 6.2 6.2 6.2 6.3 6.2 6.3 0.972 6.2 6.2 0.972	2/28/94 33 250 250 250 10.5 270 270 270 270 270 270 270 270 270 270	5/26/94 5/26/94 210 4.7 4.7 5.4 6.9 5.4 6.9 5.2 0.911 160 3.5 0.681	32 32 256 4.3 8.51 6.8 258 6.8 258 0.51 2.4 2.3 0.52 5.7 0.959 0.959	2/ 322 339 4.5 6.719 3.3 0.719 3.3 0.456 0.458 0.458 0.458 0.458 0.458 0.458 0.458 0.458 0.533 0.928
MIDDLETOMN-003 (0053) METEOROLOGICAL SITE NEWARK METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRADLEY METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRIDGEPORT METEOROLOGICAL SITE METEOROLOGICAL SITE	PM10 DATE DIR (DEG) VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH) RATIO DIR (DEG) NPH) RATIO DIR (DEG) DIR (DEG) DIR (DEG) DIR (DEG) NPH) SPD (MPH) SPD	9/17/94 260 8.2 0.731 5.6 5.6 5.6 6.9 6.9 8.8 8.8 8.8 8.8 8.8 8.8 0.872 0.872	7/19/94 5.0 5.0 6.3 2.20 5.5 0.962 6.3 6.3 6.5 6.5 0.915 6.3 0.915 0.954	45 7/13/94 6.9 8.8 8.8 2.78 0.755 0.755 0.755 0.755 0.960 0.960 0.960 0.960 0.960 0.902	41 41 2.2 3.5 3.5 0.557 0.557 0.553 0.356 0.356 0.356 0.355 0.353 0.353 0.353 0.353 0.353 0.380 0.380 0.381 0.381 0.381 0.381 0.381 0.381 0.381 0.381 0.382 0.382 0.382 0.380 0.380 0.380 0.353 0.356 0.355 0.356 0.355 0.356 0.355 0.356 0.355 0.3566 0.356 0.356 0.356 0.356 0.356 0.356 0.356 0.356 0.356 0.356	7/7/94 290 8.1 90 90 90 90 90 1160 1.5 1.5 0.799 0.799 1.8 1.8 0.799 0.799 0.799 0.799	37 256 4.3 8.3 8.3 8.3 8.3 7.6 8.6 8.6 8.6 8.6 8.6 8.6 8.6 8.5 7.6 8.5 7.6 8.5 7.6 8.5 7.8 8.5 7.8 8.5 7.8 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8	36 5/26/94 4.7 4.7 9.3 9.3 6.9 6.9 190 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 15	34 2/19/94 176 2.9 2.9 4.2 4.8 4.8 176 0.948 0.95 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.	33 33 136 2.9 2.5 6.518 136 0.518 136 0.921 3.1 2.36 0.921 3.1 2.36 0.921 3.1 0.921 3.1 0.803	31 156 1.4 1.4 1.4 2.6 3.5 3.6 3.5 3.6 3.5 3.6 3.6 7.1 7.1 7.1 7.1 2.80 0.677 0.677 0.969
MILFORD-010 (0053) METEOROLOGICAL SITE .NEWAR <sup>I</sup> METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRADLE <sup>1</sup>	PM10 DATE DATE DIR (DEC) K VEL (MPH) RATIO DIR (DEC) Y VEL (MPH) RATIO RATIO	7/151 250 6.9 0.789 258 258 258 258 258 258 258 258 258	46 12/4/94 150 1.4 1.4 4.0 352 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 8.581	36 260 260 8.3 8.3 0.518 2.59 4.9 6.8 0.809 809	36 7/19/94 5.0 5.0 6.82 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.	35 2/19/94 170 2.9 2.9 6.705 170 170 170 170 170 0.976	35 (26/94 210 4.7 9.3 0.564 7.4 6.0 8.3 0.893	34 258/94 8.3 10.5 0.794 4.1 7.3 0.552	32 3/15/94 220 7.9 7.9 6.18 6.1 5.2 0.988	29 7/31/94 160 5.9 0.319 0.319 290 .5 0.574	2/ 1/94 3.36 6.3 6.3 0.714 210 210 3.3 0.028

-39-

1994 TEN HIGHEST 24-HOUR AVERAGE PM10 DAYS WITH WIND DATA

32 1/14/94 6.8 6.8 6.8 3.16 6.9 5.8 0.52 0.748 0.748 0.528 0.528 0.528 UNITS : MICROGRAMS PER CUBIC METER 360 1.7 3.5 0.490 280 4.9 6.3 0.926 10 36 1/14/94 6.8 6.8 6.8 3.18 6.9 3.18 0.716 5.8 3.19 0.716 3.19 0.718 0.728 0.728 0.528 160 1.3 1.6 0.834 0.834 4.3 4.3 0.888 0.888 თ 210 3.2 4.3 0.741 6.2 6.3 6.3 0.972 Ø 7/ 7/94 2.90 8.1 2.90 8.1 2.20 8.1 90 8.1 2.20 8.1 2.20 8.1 2.20 8.1 2.20 8.1 2.20 8.1 2.20 8.1 2.20 8.1 2.20 8.1 2.20 8.1 168 17 168 18 1.8 3.5 270 8.8 9.6 0.918 250 9.7 10.6 0.913 ~ 39 2569 4.3 4.3 6.518 6.9 6.9 6.49 6.34 6.3 6.7 6.5 6.7 7.3 6.5 9 5.3 0.959 7/7/94 290 4.2 8.1 0.518 0.640 2220 2220 2220 2220 2220 2220 1.8 0.523 0.528 0.528 230 4.8 5.3 0.911 160 3.5 5.2 0.681 ശ 43 7/19/94 5.0 6.0 6.0 2.20 0.962 0.962 6.3 0.962 6.3 0.915 6.3 0.954 110 .8 0.648 230 8.5 8.5 8.6 8.5 8.6 ŝ 47 3/15/94 2220 7.9 6.75 6.1 6.2 6.2 6.2 6.2 6.2 0.972 40 250 6.9 6.3 6.3 8.8 8.8 8.8 7.78 0.755 6.5 6.5 6.5 6.5 0.966 7.7 0.966 7.7 0.966 0.960 0.960 0.960 0.960 0.960 0.960 0.960 0.960 0.960 0.960 0.960 0.770 0.770 0.770 0.770 0.750 0.770 230 5.8 6.3 0.915 6.5 6.6 0.954 0.954 240 5.6 0.674 5.7 5.7 0.959 0.959 Ю 55 260 260 8.2 11.2 7.1 6.3 6.3 6.3 7.1 7.1 7.1 7.1 7.1 7.1 8.8 8.8 8.8 8.8 8.8 8.8 0.872 280 3.0 4.5 0.677 280 7.1 7.1 7.3 0.969 2 69 12/22/94 50 5.2 3.3 3.5 0.657 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 0.326 0.326 .9 2.6 0.353 0.353 0.353 0.353 6.2 6.3 0.981 240 6.2 6.5 0.960 7.4 7.4 8.2 0.902 PM10 DATE DATE DIR (DEG) VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH) PM10 DATE DATE DATE VEL (MPH) SPD (M DIR (DEG) VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH) RATIO DIR (DEG) VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH) RATIO SPD (N RATIO RANK METEOROLOGICAL SITE WORCESTER METEOROLOGICAL SITE NEWARK METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRADLEY METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRIDGEPORT METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRIDGEPORT METEOROLOGICAL SITE WORCESTER METEOROLOGICAL SITE NEWARK METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRADLEY METEOROLOGICAL SITE WORCESTER METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRIDGEPORT NEW BRITAIN-012 (0056) NEW HAVEN-013 (0053) TOWN-SITE (SAMPLES)

1994 TEN HIGHEST 24-HOUR AVERAGE PM10 DAYS WITH WIND DATA

TOMM-SITE (SAMPLES)         RMK         1         2         3         4         5         6         7           NEW HAVEH-226 (08:55)         MATE         12/22/94         7/13/94         9/17/94         7/19/94         7	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5 1/20/94 5 6.5 6.5 6.5 6.5 6.5 6.4 6.4 6.4 6.4 6.8 6.4 6.8 0.808 6.8 0.808 6.4 0.953 9/17/94 7 2609 17/20 1/2 1/20/94 7 1/20/94 7 1/20/94 7 1/20/94 7 1/20/94 7 1/20/94 7 1/20/94 7 1/20/94 7 1/20/94 7 1/20/94 7 1/20/94 7 1/20/94 7 1/20/94 7 1/20/94 7 1/20/94 7 1/20/94 7 1/20/94 7 1/20 1/	6 46 255 255 255 255 255 255 255 25	7 8 41 41 30 41/14/94 55 6.8 55 6.8 14 0.716 14 0.716 14 0.716 14 0.716 14 0.716 14 0.716 14 3.5 50 0.528 80 0.280 1.9 1.9 56 0.528 80 2.80 1.9 56 43 46 43 46 43	9 2/19/94 2/19/94 2.19/94 1.70 1.70 1.70 1.8 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8	10 3/15/94 220 220 5.1 6.5 210 210 210 210 210 210 210 210 210 210
NEW HAVEN-E20 (0055) PM10 BT 12/22/94 7/13/94 2/13/24 0/25 0/25 0/25 0/25 0/25 0/25 0/25 0/25	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	46 1/20/94 300 6.5 6.5 7.8 6.5 3.0 3.10 0.808 6.4 6.4 6.4 6.4 6.5 0.808 6.8 0.808 6.8 0.808 6.8 0.808 6.5 0.808 6.5 0.808 0.955 0.955 0.9553 0.95555 0.95555 0.95555 0.95555 0.95555 0.95555 0.95555 0.9555555 0.95555555555 0.95555555555555555555555555555	7/25/94 2/ 2569 2.3 8.3 2569 2.3 2569 2.3 2569 2.3 2569 0.0 3.4 240 0.3 259 0.0 55.9 0.959 0.9 55.9 0.959 0.9 55.9 0.959 0.9 55.9 7/1 55	41 41 41 1/94 1/14/94 .5 6.8 .3 6.8 .3 9.5 14 0.716 18 310 .1 3.8 .3 6.0 .5 0.748 80 280 .3 1.9 .5 0.748 80 280 .1 3.5 .5 1.9 .6 4.7 80 280 .1 3.5 .5 4.7 9094 7/25/94	2/19/94 170 2.9 2.9 4.2 176 4.2 176 4.2 176 176 176 113 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5	3/15/94 3/15/94 7.9 0.574 1180 5.1 5.11 5.11 0.988 0.988 0.988 0.972 5.26/94 5/26/94
METEOROLOGICAL SITE         DIR         (EC)         66         256         7.8         8.3         4.5           RETEOROLOGICAL SITE         DIR         DES         253         8.8         11.2         8.5         5.6         7.8         8.3         6.5           RETEOROLOGICAL SITE         DIR         DES         253         2.6         7.8         8.3         6.5           RETEOROLOGICAL SITE         DIR         DES         256         2.5         3.7         6.9         3.3         6.3         3.5           RETEOROLOGICAL SITE         DIR         DES         256         2.66         7.8         6.9         3.5         5.4         3.5           RETEOROLOGICAL SITE         DIR         DES         266         2.66         3.6         3.6         3.5         3.	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9.17/94 2.66 3.78 3.78 3.78 0.808 0.808 0.808 0.808 0.808 0.808 0.95.6 0.95.5 0.95.5 0.95.5 0.9700 0.9700 0.9700 0.9700 0.9700 0.9700 0.9700 0.9700 0.97000 0.970000000000	7/13/96 7/14 7/1	30 280 .5 280 14 0.716 14 0.716 .1 3.8 .1 3.8 .1 3.8 .1 3.8 .1 3.8 .1 3.8 .1 3.6 .1 3.5 .1 4 .1 5 .1 6 .1 7 .1 9 .1 9	170 2.9 6.705 6.705 7.705 1.700 1.700 1.700 1.700 1.100 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.	220 220 7.9 6.574 5.1 6.52 6.3 6.3 6.3 6.3 6.3 6.3 6.3 6.3 6.3 6.3
METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRADETY FORTIO         6.657 (a)         6.657 (b)         6.657 (c)         6.65 (c)	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.841 0.841 0.841 0.841 0.844 0.806 0.906 0.	7/13/94 7/1	.3 9.5 14 0.716 18 310 .1 3.8 .3 6.16 .3 6.0 60 310 60 310 .7 3.5 .5 4.7 90 1.9 .3 6 .3 6 .3 6 .3 6 .3 6 .3 6 .3 6 .3 6	6.705 176 176 176 4.8 4.9 118 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 0.996 8.5 236 236 236 236 236 236 236 236 236 236	7.9 0.574 5.1 8.5.1 9.988 2.10 2.10 2.10 5.2 6.3 6.3 6.3 6.3 6.3 6.3 6.3 5.2 6.3 5.2 5,26 94
METEOROLOGICAL SITE         DRATIO         0.657         0.778         0.771         0.821         0.841         0.516         0.711           RATIO         0.657         0.789         0.771         0.220         0.781         0.793         0.711         0.713	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.841 270 270 3.6 3.7 0.808 0.308 0.319 0.308 0.808 0.808 0.808 0.953 0.953 260 4.17/94	250 250 250 4.9 6.0 8.9 240 2.40 2.40 3.4 1 3.4 1 3.4 1 3.4 1 3.5 0.5 0.9 5 0.9 5 0.9 5 0.9 5 0.9 5 0.9 5 0.9 5 0.9 5 0.9 5 0.9 5 0.9 2 3 0.5 0.0 3 0.0 3 0.0 3 0.0 3 0.0 3 0.0 3 0.0 3 0.0 3 0.0 3 0.0 5 0.0 3 0.0 5 0.0 3 0.0 5 0.0 3 0.0 5 0.0 3 0.2 5 0.0 3 0.2 5 0.0 3 0.2 5 0.0 3 0.2 5 0.0 3 0.0 5 0.0 3 0.0 5 0.0 3 0.0 5 0.0 3 0.0 5 0.0 3 0.0 5 0.0 3 0.0 5 0.0 3 0.0 5 0.0 3 0.0 5 0.0 3 0.0 5 0.0 3 0.0 5 0.0 3 0.0 5 0.0 3 0.0 5 0.0 3 0.0 5 0.0 3 0.0 5 0.0 3 0.0 5 0.0 3 0.0 5 0.0 3 0.0 5 0.0 3 0.0 5 0.0 0.0	14 0.716 18 3.10 .1 3.8 .3 6.0 .3 6.0 60 3.10 .5 3.5 .5 3.5 80 2.80 1.9 80 2.80 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 9/94 7/25/94	0.705 176 1.76 4.8 4.9 1.3 0.976 1.3 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5	0.574 180 5.1 5.2 0.988 0.988 7.2 0.741 6.3 0.741 6.3 0.972 5.2 5,26 942
METEOROLOGICAL SITE         DIR         (DE)         3.5         3.7         6.9         2.6         3.7         6.9         3.3         3.7         6.9         3.3         3.5         3.7         6.9         3.3         3.7         6.9         3.3         3.7         6.9         3.3         3.7         6.9         3.3         3.7         6.9         3.3         3.5         5.3         4.5         3.7         5.6         3.3         3.5         5.6         3.5	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	278 3.6 3.7 9.837 4.15 0.808 6.4 0.808 6.4 0.953 9/17/94 26094	250 2.20 6.9 5.0 0.809 0.809 0.809 0.809 0.909 0.959 0.959 0.959 0.959 0.959 0.959 0.959 0.959 0.959 0.959 0.956 0.957 0.956 0.9577 0.9577 0.9577 0.9577 0.9577 0.9577 0.9577 0.9577 0.9577 0.95777 0.9577 0.9577 0.9577 0.9577 0.9577 0.9577 0.9577 0.957	10 318 .1 3.8 .3 6.0 .3 6.0 .6 310 .7 3.5 .9 6.748 .3 3.5 .3 3.5 .3 3.6 .3 9/94 7/25/94	4.8 4.9 4.9 4.9 110 230 230 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 230 230 230 230 230 230 230 230 230 230	5.1 5.1 5.2 0.988 5.2 0.741 6.3 6.3 6.3 6.3 6.3 5,26 942 5,26 942
METEOROLOSICAL SITE         Dir         3:5         5:7         6:3         7:1         6:3         7:1         6:3         7:1         6:3         7:1         6:3         7:1         6:3         7:1         7:3	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3.7 0.808 3.10 2.10 2.70 0.800 6.4 0.953 6.4 0.953 9/17/94	0.859 0.8 240 3 240 3 240 3 3.4 1 3.4 1 3.4 1 3.5 0.959 0.9 0.959 0.9 0.959 0.9	.3 6.0 28 0.621 60 310 .7 3.5 .5 4.7 3.5 2.80 80 2.80 1.9 1.9 1.9 3.6 2.6 2.6 2.6 2.6 2.6 2.6 2.6 2.6 2.6 2	4.9 9.976 110 1.3 0.648 8.5 8.5 8.6 8.6 8.6 8.6 8.6 9.990 1/14/94 280	5.2 0.988 210 2.10 5.2 6.3 6.3 0.972 5/26/94
Referencies         Exatio         6325         6805         79 <t< td=""><td><math display="block">\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc</math></td><td>0.808 310 4.5 6.4 0.800 6.4 0.953 9/17/94 260 27 260 21 260 21 260 25 31 260 25 31 260 25 31 260 25 31 260 25 31 26 31 27 31 30 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5</td><td>0.809 0.0 240 3 3.4 1 3.4 1 3.4 3 0.5.0 3 0.5 5.0 0.3 5.1 4 5.3 0.3 5.3 0.9 5.3 0.9 5.3 0.9 5.3 0.9 7/1 3/1 3/1 3/1 3/1 3/1 3/1 3/1 3/1 3/1 3</td><td>28 0.621 .7 3.5 .7 3.5 .90 0.748 80 280 .9 1.9 1.3 0.528 26 43 9/94 7/25/94</td><td>0.976 110 118 0.648 238 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.6 0.990 1/14/94 280</td><td>6.388 210 2.10 3.2 4.1 6.3 6.3 6.3 6.3 6.3 6.3 6.3 5/26/94</td></t<>	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.808 310 4.5 6.4 0.800 6.4 0.953 9/17/94 260 27 260 21 260 21 260 25 31 260 25 31 260 25 31 260 25 31 260 25 31 26 31 27 31 30 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	0.809 0.0 240 3 3.4 1 3.4 1 3.4 3 0.5.0 3 0.5 5.0 0.3 5.1 4 5.3 0.3 5.3 0.9 5.3 0.9 5.3 0.9 5.3 0.9 7/1 3/1 3/1 3/1 3/1 3/1 3/1 3/1 3/1 3/1 3	28 0.621 .7 3.5 .7 3.5 .90 0.748 80 280 .9 1.9 1.3 0.528 26 43 9/94 7/25/94	0.976 110 118 0.648 238 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.6 0.990 1/14/94 280	6.388 210 2.10 3.2 4.1 6.3 6.3 6.3 6.3 6.3 6.3 6.3 5/26/94
METEOPOLOGICAL SITE DIR (DEG)         158         2.40         2.70         2.36         5.6         5.6         5.6         5.6         5.7         5.5         5.6         5.7         5.5         5.6         5.7         5.5         5.6         5.7         5.5         5.6         5.7 <th< td=""><td>270     270     230       7.1     5.8       8.6     6.3       250     236       250     236       250     236       8.8     6.3       8.8     6.3       94     3/15/94       270     170       221     4.9       221     4.9       221     4.9       221     4.9       221     4.9       221     4.9       221     4.9       221     4.9       221     4.9       221     4.9  </td><td>2.16 6.80 6.4 6.4 6.4 6.8 6.4 6.3 9/17/94 260 260 260</td><td>246 3.4 5.6 6.674 2.230 5.7 2.230 5.7 2.230 5.7 2.7 13/94 7/1 55 0.959 0.9 50 0.9 50 0.9 50 0.9 50 0.9 51 0.9 51 0.9 51 0.9 51 0.5 7/1 51 0.5 51 0.5 7 1 51 0.5 7 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51</td><td>.7 3.5 .5 4.7 .5 4.7 .90 0.748 80 2.80 .9 1.9 .5 0.528 46 43 9/94 7/25/94</td><td>-16 -18 -1.3 -1.3 -236 -236 -236 -236 -1/14/94 -280 -280</td><td>5,26 6.74 6.2 6.3 6.3 6.3 6.3 5,26 94 5,26 94</td></th<>	270     270     230       7.1     5.8       8.6     6.3       250     236       250     236       250     236       8.8     6.3       8.8     6.3       94     3/15/94       270     170       221     4.9       221     4.9       221     4.9       221     4.9       221     4.9       221     4.9       221     4.9       221     4.9       221     4.9       221     4.9	2.16 6.80 6.4 6.4 6.4 6.8 6.4 6.3 9/17/94 260 260 260	246 3.4 5.6 6.674 2.230 5.7 2.230 5.7 2.230 5.7 2.7 13/94 7/1 55 0.959 0.9 50 0.9 50 0.9 50 0.9 50 0.9 51 0.9 51 0.9 51 0.9 51 0.5 7/1 51 0.5 51 0.5 7 1 51 0.5 7 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51	.7 3.5 .5 4.7 .5 4.7 .90 0.748 80 2.80 .9 1.9 .5 0.528 46 43 9/94 7/25/94	-16 -18 -1.3 -1.3 -236 -236 -236 -236 -1/14/94 -280 -280	5,26 6.74 6.2 6.3 6.3 6.3 6.3 5,26 94 5,26 94
METEOROLOGICAL SITE RATIO         0.535 6.5         6.5 7.4         8.6 8.6         6.5 6.7         8.6 8.7         6.3 7.4         5.7 8.7         4.9 8.7         5.7 8.7         5.7 8.7         4.9 8.7         5.7 8.7         5.7 8.7         5.7 8.7         5.7 8.7         5.8 8.7         6.3 8.7         7.9 8.7 <th7.9< th="">         7.12         <th7.9< th=""></th7.9<></th7.9<>	8.6     6.3       250     230       250     230       250     230       250     230       8.8     6.6       10.1     6.9       10.1     6.9       10.1     6.3       10.1     6.3       10.1     6.3       10.1     6.3       10.1     6.3       10.1     6.3       10.1     6.3       10.1     6.3       10.1     6.3       10.1     6.3       1179     179       1170     170       1170     170       1170     170       110     170       111     110	5.6 0.800 270 6.4 6.8 0.953 9/17/94 260	5.0 3 0.674 0.4 230 24 5.7 4 5.3 2 0.959 0.9 7/13/94 7/1	.5 4.7 90 0.748 80 280 .9 1.9 1.3 3.6 26 0.528 46 43 9/94 7/25/94	1.3 0.648 230 8.5 8.5 8.6 0.990 0.990 1/14/94 280	4.3 0.741 230 6.2 6.3 0.972 5/26/94
METEOROLOGICAL SITE         DIR (DEC)         250         0.915         0.800         0.674         0.430           METEOROLOGICAL SITE         VER (MPH)         6.3         8.2         10.1         6.3         5.3         0.306         0.674         0.430         0.430           METEOROLOGICAL SITE         VER (MPH)         6.3         8.2         10.1         6.3         5.3         0.306         0.674         0.430         0.430           METEOROLOGICAL SITE         VENH)         6.3         8.2         10.1         6.3         5.3         0.326         0.355         0.325         0.326	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.800 270 6.4 6.8 0.953 9/17/94 260	0.674 0.4 230 23 5.7 5 5.9 5 0.959 0.9 0.959 0.9	90 0.748 80 280 3 1.9 26 0.528 46 43 9/94 7/25/94	0.648 230 8.5 8.6 0.990 0.990 1/14/94 280	0.741 230 6.2 6.3 0.972 5/26/94
METEOROLOGICAL SITE DIR (DEG)         280         270         250         230         270         250         230         270         250         23	250     230       250     230       250     230       260     230       10.1     6.6       10.1     6.5       10.1     6.5       10.1     6.2       10.1     6.2       10.1     6.2       1179     1.79       1170     4.5       220     1.79       1180     1.70       110     5.1       210     1.88       110     2.16       110     2.16	270 6.4 6.8 9.953 9/17/94 260	236 22 5.7 4 5.3 5 5.9 5 5.9 5 0.959 0.9 7/13/94 7/1	86 256 3 1.9 3 3.6 26 0.528 46 43 9/94 7/25/94	2.26 8.5 8.6 8.6 0.999 1/14/94 1/14/94 280	5/26/94
WORCEALITY RATIO         MORCEALITY PROPERTIO         MORCEALITY PROPERTIO         MORCEALITY PROPERTIO         MORCEALITY PROPERTIO         MORCEALITY PROPERTIO         MORCEALITY PROPERTION         MORCEATING         MORCEATING <t< td=""><td>10.1     6.9       10.1     62       10.1     62       10.1     62       10.1     62       10.1     62       10.1     62       10.1     62       10.1     62       10.1     62       10.1     62       1170     170       1170     170       1180     170       1180     170       110     5.1       110     210       110     110</td><td>6.8 6.953 57 9/17/94</td><td>6.959 0.9 7/13/94 7/1</td><td></td><td>8.6 8.6 999 42 1/14/94 280</td><td>6.3 0.972 42 5/26/94</td></t<>	10.1     6.9       10.1     62       10.1     62       10.1     62       10.1     62       10.1     62       10.1     62       10.1     62       10.1     62       10.1     62       10.1     62       1170     170       1170     170       1180     170       1180     170       110     5.1       110     210       110     110	6.8 6.953 57 9/17/94	6.959 0.9 7/13/94 7/1		8.6 8.6 999 42 1/14/94 280	6.3 0.972 42 5/26/94
MEW HAVEN-123 (0056)         PM10         75         71         6         55         46           NEW HAVEN-123 (0056)         PM10         75         71         62         58         57         55         46           METEOROLOGICAL SITE NEWRK VEL (MPH)         12/22/94         12/4/94         3/15/94         2/19/94         9/17/94         7/13/94         7/19/94           METEOROLOGICAL SITE NEWRK VEL (MPH)         2.2         1.4         4.5         2.9         8.2         6.9         5.9         5.9           RATIO         0.557         0.574         0.755         0.574         0.779         2.8         6.9           RATIO         0.657         356         356         188         177         2.8         8.8         6.9           RATIO         0.555         0.574         0.795         0.731         0.789         0.821           RATIO         0.655         360         188         177         2.6         2.9         2.55         2.55           METEOROLOGICAL SITE         DIR (DEG)         3.55         0.574         0.776         2.76         2.96         2.80         2.75         2.6         2.55         2.56         2.55         0.755         0.7	0.872         0.954           94         3/15/94         2/19/94           94         3/15/94         2/19/94           94         3/15/94         2/19/94           94         3/15/94         2/19/94           94         3/15/94         2/19/94           94         3/15/94         2/19/94           9         220         170           180         7.9         0.705           180         170           180         170           5.1         4.9           5.1         4.9           5.1         4.9           5.1         1005           110         210	0.953 (0.	0.959 0.9 55 7/1 <u>3</u> /94 7/1	26 0.528 46 43 9/94 7/25/94	0.990 42 1/14/94 280	0.972 42 5/26/94
NEW HAVEN-123 (0056) PM10 75 71 62 58 57 55 46 METEOROLOGICAL SITE DIR (DEG) 60 150 220 179 47/13/94 7/13/94 7/13/94 7/13/94 7/19/94 METEOROLOGICAL SITE DIR (DEG) 60 150 220 220 170 260 259 170 NEWNRY VEL (MPH) 2.2 1.4 4.5 2.9 8.2 6.5 731 0.789 0.821 RATIO 0.657 0.552 0.574 0.705 0.731 0.789 0.821 RATIO 0.657 0.352 0.574 0.705 0.731 0.789 0.821 RATIO 0.326 0.581 0.988 0.976 0.809 0.755 0.952 RATIO 0.3256 0.581 0.988 0.976 0.809 0.755 0.952 RATIO 0.3255 0.571 0.741 0.648 0.820 0.915 RATIO 0.353 0.677 0.741 0.648 0.820 0.915 RATIO 0.981 0.909 0.972 0.990 0.915 RATIO 0.981 0.909 0.972 0.990 0.973 0.954 RATIO 0.981 0.909 0.972 0.990 0.872 0.954 7.4 6.6 RATIO 0.981 0.909 0.972 0.990 0.872 0.902 0.954 RATIO 0.981 0.909 0.972 0.990 0.872 0.902 0.954 RATIO 0.981 0.909 0.972 0.990 0.872 0.902 0.954 RATIO 0.981 0.909 0.972 0.990 0.872 0.990 0.974 0.42 4.4 4.4 4.4 4.4 4.4 4.4 4.4 4.4 4.4	62         58           94         3/15/94         2/19/94           94         3/15/94         2/19/94           94         3/15/94         2/19/94           94         3/15/94         2/19/94           94         3/15/94         2/19/94           92         220         170           9         7.9         9.705           9         5.1         4.2           9         5.1         4.8           5         6.988         0.976           10         210         110	57 9/17/94 260	55 7/13/94 7/1	46 43 9/94 7/25/94	42 1/14/94 280	42 5/26/94
METEOROLOGICAL SITE         DATE         12/22/94         15/94         3/15/94         3/17/94         7/13/94 <th7 13="" 94<="" th=""></th7>	(94     3/15/94     2/19/94       15     220     170       17     4.5     2.9       17     9.574     0.705       180     170     4.2       180     170     4.2       19     0.574     0.705       10     180     170       11     0.988     0.976       11     0.988     0.976	9/17/94 260	7/13/94 7/1	9/94 7/25/94	1/14/94 280	5/26/94
METEOROLOGICAL SITE         DIR         (DEG)         60         150         220         1/0         200         220         1/0           RETEOROLOGICAL SITE         DIR         (DEG)         60         150         220         1.12         8.8         6.0           RATIO         0.657         0.352         0.574         0.731         0.789         0.821           RATIO         0.657         0.355         5.1         4.0         7.9         5.1         2.8         5.0           RADLEY VEL         (MPH)         1.1         2.9         5.1         4.9         5.6         2.26         2.26           RADLEY VEL         (MPH)         3.5         5.5         4.19         7.1         2.9         5.1         2.4         5.6         2.6           RETEOROLOGICAL SITE         DIR         (DEG)         360         180         170         2.78         2.8         2.6         2.8         2.6         2.8         2.6         2.8         2.6         2.8         2.6         2.8         2.6         2.8         2.6         2.8         2.6         2.8         2.6         2.6         2.6         2.6         2.6         2.6         2.6         2.6	220 220 210 210 210 210 210 210 210 110 210 110 1	260		000	007	
NEWARK VEL         MEMARK VEL         MEMARK VEL         MEMARK VEL         MEMARK VEL         METTO         5.5         5.5         5.5         5.5         5.5         5.6          5.6         5.6	4.3         4.3         4.3           0.574         0.705         4.2           0.574         0.705         170           0.511         4.3         4.3           0.988         0.976         110           1.10         210         110	с 0	- 720 - 220 - 2	200 7 3 7 3	00 (2)	214
METEOROLOGICAL SITE       DIR (DEG)       560       5574       0.776       0.789       0.821         METEOROLOGICAL SITE       DIR (DEG)       560       560       511       4.8       5.6       236       220         BRADLEY VEL (MPH)       1.1       2.0       5.1       4.8       5.6       2.8       2.8       2.55         RATIO       0.326       0.581       0.988       0.976       0.809       0.755       0.962         METEOROLOGICAL SITE       DIR (DEG)       0.326       0.581       0.988       0.976       0.809       0.755       0.962         METEOROLOGICAL SITE       DIR (DEG)       0.326       0.581       0.988       0.976       0.809       0.755       0.965         METEOROLOGICAL SITE       DIR (DEG)       0.326       0.581       0.988       0.976       0.809       0.755       0.965         METEOROLOGICAL SITE       DIR (DEG)       2.6       3.0       3.1       8.6       6.5       5.8       6.3         METEOROLOGICAL SITE       DIR (DEG)       2.8       3.7       0.74       6.0       276       276       276       276       276       276       276       276       276       276       276	0.574         0.705           180         170           5.1         4.8           5.1         4.8           6.988         0.976           1         0.988         0.976           210         110	11.2	. 0. 0.0	.0 8.3	9.5 9	ю. Э
METEOROLOGICAL SITE       DIR       (DEG)       360       360       180       170       210       250       220         BRADLEY       VEL       (MPH)       1.1       2.0       5.1       4.8       5.6       2.8       2.5         RATIO       0.326       0.581       0.988       0.976       0.809       0.755       0.962         RATIO       0.326       0.581       0.988       0.976       0.809       0.755       0.962         BRIDGEPORT       VEL       (MPH)       2.6       3.5       4.5       4.5       4.5       2.8       2.9         SPD       (MPH)       2.6       4.5       4.5       4.5       4.5       4.6       2.8       2.3         METEOROLOCICAL SITE       DIR       0.657       0.741       0.648       0.820       2.9       2.36         SPD       (MPH)       2.6       4.5       4.5       4.5       4.5       5.8       0.915       2.9       5.8       0.915       0.915       0.915       0.915       0.915       0.915       0.915       0.915       0.915       0.915       0.915       0.915       0.915       0.915       0.916       0.915       0.915       0.91	0         180         170           0         5.1         4.8           5         5.2         4.9           1         0.988         0.976           2         10         110	0.731	0.789 0.8	321 0.518	0.716	0.504
BRADLEY VEL (MPH)       1.1       2.0       5.1       4.8       5.6       2.8       2.3       2.5         RATIO       0.326       0.581       0.988       0.976       0.899       0.755       0.965         RATIO       0.326       0.581       0.988       0.976       0.899       0.755       0.965         RATIO       0.326       0.581       0.988       0.976       0.899       0.755       0.962         RATIO       0.353       0.677       0.741       0.648       0.820       2.8       2.3         RATIO       0.353       0.677       0.741       0.648       0.820       2.96       2.3         METEOROLOGICAL SITE       DIR (DEG)       280       230       236       270       236       5.8         METEOROLOGICAL SITE       DIR (DEG)       280       230       236       270       236       5.3         RATIO       0.353       0.677       0.741       0.648       0.820       2.96       2.3         METEOROLOGICAL SITE       DIR (DEG)       5.3       7.1       6.2       8.5       8.5       6.9       2.3         NeW LONDON-004 (0058)       PM10       5.5       5.3       4.4 <td>3         5.1         4.8           5         5.2         4.9           1         0.988         0.976           210         110</td> <td>210</td> <td>250</td> <td>220 250</td> <td>310</td> <td>190</td>	3         5.1         4.8           5         5.2         4.9           1         0.988         0.976           210         110	210	250	220 250	310	190
METEOROLOGICAL SITE       DI (MFH)       5.0       5.31       0.326       0.581       0.988       0.375       0.962       236         METEOROLOGICAL SITE       DIR (DEG)       160       3.26       0.581       0.988       0.375       0.962       236         METEOROLOGICAL SITE       DIR (DEG)       160       3.26       0.581       0.988       0.775       0.962       236         RATIO       0.355       0.677       0.741       0.648       0.826       0.915         RATIO       0.355       0.677       0.741       0.648       0.826       0.915         RATIO       0.355       0.677       0.741       0.648       0.826       0.956       0.956         METEOROLOGICAL SITE       DIR (DEG)       280       236       236       270       236       236         METEOROLOGICAL SITE       DIR (DEG)       2.37       0.972       0.999       0.872       0.992       0.954         New LONDON-004 (0058)       PM10       5.5       5.3       4.4       42       39       37       36         NEW LONDON-004 (0058)       PM10       5.5       5.3       4.4       42       39       37       36         NEW LO	210 0.988 0.976 110 0.110	5.0 0	8.7 8.7		0 Q 9 Q	- C
METEOROLOGICAL SITE       DIR. (DEG)       160       280       210       110       270       240       230         BRIDGEPORT       VEL       WPH)       2.6       4.5       4.3       1.3       8.6       6.5       6.3         RATIO       0.353       0.577       0.741       0.648       0.820       0.966       0.915         RATIO       0.353       0.577       0.741       0.648       0.826       0.915       5.8         RATIO       0.353       0.577       0.741       0.648       0.826       0.915       5.3         METEOROLOGICAL SITE       DIR       DIR       0.633       280       230       230       270       270       230         METEOROLOGICAL SITE       DIR       DIR       6.2       7.1       6.2       8.6       7.4       6.6         WORCESTER       VEL       MPH       6.2       7.1       6.2       8.8       7.4       6.6       6.6         New       LONDON-004       0.905       0.905       0.902       0.902       0.902       0.902       0.954       7.4       6.6       6.6       6.6       6.6       6.6       6.6       6.6       6.6       6.9 <t< td=""><td>210 110</td><td>0.809 0</td><td>0.755 0.9</td><td> 362 0.809</td><td>0.621</td><td>0.893</td></t<>	210 110	0.809 0	0.755 0.9	 362 0.809	0.621	0.893
BRIDGEPORT VEL (MPH)       .9       3.0       3.2       .8       7.1       6.2       5.8         RATIO       0.353       0.677       0.741       0.648       0.826       0.915         RATIO       0.353       0.677       0.741       0.648       0.826       0.915         RATIO       0.353       0.677       0.741       0.648       0.826       0.915         RATIO       0.353       0.57       0.711       6.2       8.8       7.4       6.5         WORCESTER VEL (MPH)       6.2       7.1       6.2       8.8       7.4       6.5       6.3         WORCESTER VEL (MPH)       6.3       7.3       6.3       8.6       10.1       8.2       6.5         ROTOOLOGICAL SITE       0.981       0.969       0.972       0.999       0.872       0.992       0.954         New LONDON-004 (0058)       PM10       55       53       44       42       39       37       36         NEW LONDON-004 (0058)       PM10       55       53       44       42       39       37       36         NEW LONDON-004 (0058)       PM10       55       53       44       42       39       37       36		270	240 2	230 240	310	230
SPD (MPH)       2.6       4.5       4.3       1.3       8.6       6.5       230       0.966       0.915       236       236       2.7       236       236       2.36       3.7       3.6       3.6       3.7       3.6	0 3.2 	7.1	6.2	6.8 4.0	10 T	≪ •
METEOROLOGICAL SITE       DIR (DEG)       280       230       2.0.741       0.0400       0.020       270       230         METEOROLOGICAL SITE       DIR (DEG)       280       280       230       250       270       230         WORCESTER VEL (MPH)       6.2       7.1       6.2       8.5       8.6       10.1       8.2       6.5         RATIO       0.981       0.969       0.972       0.990       0.872       0.902       0.954         NEW LONDON-004 (0058)       PM10       55       53       44       42       39       37       36         NEW LONDON-004 (0058)       PM10       55       53       44       42       39       37       36         NEW LONDON-004 (0058)       PM10       55       53       44       42       39       37       36         METEOROLOGICAL SITE       DIR (DEG)       260       250       2794       7/7/94       7/94       7/94       7/94         METEOROLOGICAL SITE       DIR (DEG)       260       250       2794       7/7/94       290       290       290       290       290       290       290       290       290       290       290       290       290	5 4.3 1.3	8 8 8 8 8 8	8 65.5 8 66.5 8 6	0.3 0.0 215 0 574	4./ 0 748	0.0
METEOROLOGICAL SITE DATE OF A Control of the contro	0 230 230 230	250	270 270	230 230	280	160
SPD (MPH)       6.3       7.3       6.3       8.6       10.1       8.2       0.95         RATIO       0.981       0.969       0.972       0.990       0.872       0.902       0.954         NEW LONDON-004       0058)       PM10       55       53       44       42       39       37       36         NEW LONDON-004       0058)       PM10       55       53       44       42       39       37       36         NEW LONDON-004       0058)       PM10       55       53       44       42       39       37       36         METEOROLOGICAL SITE       DATE       9/17/94       7/13/94       12/22/94       7/25/94       7/7       4/94       11/22/94       7/7       7/94         METEOROLOGICAL SITE       DIR       DEG       260       60       260       150       290       290         NETEOROLOGICAL SITE       B12       0FH)       8.2       6.9       2.2       4.3       1.4       4.2       290         NETEOROLOGICAL SITE       DIR       B1.2       8.3       4.0       16.5       8.1         SPD (MPH)       11.2       8.8       3.3       8.3       4.0       16.5	1 6.2 8.5	8.8	4.7	5.6 5.7	ۍ د	ເ ເ ເ ເ ເ ເ ເ ເ ເ เ เ เ เ เ เ เ เ เ เ เ
RATIO 0.981 0.969 0.972 0.990 0.872 0.992 0.924 0.954 NEW LONDON-004 (0058) PM10 55 53 54 44 42 39 37 36 37 36 DATE 9/17/94 7/13/94 12/22/94 7/25/94 12/4/94 11/22/94 7/7/94 METEOROLOGICAL SITE DIR (DEG) 260 250 60 260 150 290 290 NEWARK VEL (MPH) 8.2 6.9 2.2 4.3 1.4 14.4 4.2 4.2 NEWARK VEL (MPH) 11.2 8.8 3.3 8.3 4.0 16.5 8.1	3 6.3 8.6	10.1	8.2	0.9	0.0	N.C
NEW LONDON-004 (0058) PM10 55 53 44 42 39 37 36 DATE 9/17/94 7/13/94 12/22/94 7/25/94 12/ 4/94 11/22/94 7/ 7/94 METEOROLOGICAL SITE DIR (DEG) 260 250 60 260 150 290 290 NEWARK VEL (MPH) 8.2 6.9 2.2 4.3 1.4 14.4 4.2 SPD (MPH) 11.2 8.8 3.3 8.3 4.0 16.5 8.1	9 0.972 0.990	0.8/2	0.902 0.5	404 Ø. 408	070.0	100.0
METEOROLOGICAL SITE 9/17/94 7/13/94 12/22/94 7/25/94 12/ 4/94 11/22/94 7/ 7/94 METEOROLOGICAL SITE DIR (DEG) 260 250 60 260 150 290 290 NEWARK VEL (MPH) 8.2 6.9 2.2 4.3 1.4 14.4 4.2 SPD (MPH) 11.2 8.8 3.3 8.3 4.0 16.5 8.1	3 44 42	39	37	36 35	32	32
METEOROLOGICAL SITE DIR (DEG) 260 250 60 260 150 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 2	/94 12/22/94 7/25/94	12/ 4/94 1	11/22/94 7/	7/94 7/19/94	2/19/94	8/12/94 130
NEWARK VEL (MPH) 8.2 0.9 2.2 7.3 1.1 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 2.6 8.1 SPD (MPH) 11.2 8.8 3.3 8.3 4.0 16.5 8.1	0 60 260	9CL	067 9 7 1	230 1/0 4 2 5 0	20	- C
		- 4	16.5	8.1 6.0	4.2	5.6
RATIO 0.731 0.789 0.657 0.518 0.352 0.872 0.518	9 0.657 0.518	0.352	0.872 0.	518 0.821	0.705	0.518
METEOROLOGICAL SITE DIR (DEG) 210 250 360 250 360 310 90	Ø 360 250.	360	310	90 220	170	180
BRADLEY VEL (MPH) 5.6 2.8 1.1 4.9 2.0 10.2 .1	8 1.1 4.9	2.0	10.2	C.Z.	4 4 2 0	0 F 7 F
SPD (MPH) 6.9 3.7 3.5 0.809 0.581 0.975 0.040 RATIO 0.809 0.755 0.326 0.809 0.581 0.975 0.040		0.0	0.975 0.(	2.2 2.2 DAR 0 067	9, 976 0, 976	0.930

1994 TEN HIGHEST 24-HOUR AVERAGE PM10 DAYS WITH WIND DATA

٢

	1994 T	EN HIGHES	1 24-HOUK	AVERAGE		NITAA LUI TAA C		UNITS : N	<b>MICROGRAMS</b>	FER CUBI	C METER
TOMN-SITE (SAMPLES)	RANK	<b>fee</b>	р	Ю	4	ŝ	G	٢	60	Ø	10
METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRIDGEPORT	DIR (DEG) VEL (MPH)	270	240 6.2	160 . 9	240 4.40	280 3.0 4.5	310 9.8 2	220 5.5	230 5.8 6.3	1 8.1 8.5	130 4.6 5.0
METEOROLOGICAL SITE WORCESTER	SPD (MPH) RATIO DIR (DEG) VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH) RATIO	8.6 250 250 8.8 10.1 0.872	0.960 270 7.4 8.2 0.902	6.2 280 6.2 6.3 6.3 0.981	0.674 230 5.7 0.959	0.677 280 7.1 7.3 0.969	0.957 290 15.0 15.2 0.983	0.799 160 1.8 3.5 0.528	0.915 230 6.6 6.9 0.954	0.648 230 8.5 0.990	0.921 230 3.1 3.9 0.803
NORWALK014 (0052) METEOROLOGICAL SITE NEWARK	PM10 DATE DIR (DEG) VEL (MPH)	92 1/20/94 300 6.5 7 5	76 336 4.5	68 12/22/94 60 3.3	67 7/13/94 250 6.9 8.8	63 2/19/94 170 2.9 4.2	58 2/ 7/94 340 7.8 9.3	56 12/28/94 250 8.3 10.5	56 12/ 4/94 150 1.4 4.0	53 3/15/94 220 4.5 7.9	53 7/19/94 170 5.0 6.0
METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRADLEY	RATIO RATIO DIR (DEG) Y VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH)	0.841 270 3.7 3.7	0.714 210 3.3 8 8 9 9	0.657 360 1.1 3.5	0.789 250 2.8 3.7 8 755	0.705 170 4.8 4.9 976	0.835 330 6.5 7.0 9.927	0.794 230 4.1 7.3 0.562	0.352 360 3.5 3.5 0.581	0.574 180 5.1 5.2 0.988	0.821 220 2.5 2.6 0.962
METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRIDGEPORI METEOROLOGICAL SITE WORCESTEF	RAILIO DIR (DEG) SPD (MPH) SPD (MPH) RATIO DIR (DEG) R VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH)	0.300 3.10 2.70 6.4 6.4 6.4	6 450 6 4.7 7.36 6 4.7 7.3 7.3 7.3 7.3 7.3 7.3 7.3 7	0.220 160 2.55 6.2 6.2 6.2 6.2 6.3 6.3 6.3 6.3 6.3 6.3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.648 0.648 2.30 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5	0.629 0.629 0.629 0.629 0.938 0.938	2.70 2.70 2.50 2.50 9.5 9.5 9.5 9.5	280 3.0 4.5 280 280 7.1 7.1 0.969	210 3.2 6.741 6.3 6.3 0.972	238 5.38 6.5 6.5 8.3 8.3 8.3 8.3 8.3 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5
NORWICH-002 (0055) METEOROLOGICAL SITE NEWARI	PM10 PM10 DATE DIR (DEG) SPD (MPH)	9,455 9/17/94 8.2 8.2	0.320 48 7/13/94 5.9 6.9 8.8	7/25/94 260 8.3 8.3	42 42 300 6.5 7.8 841	2.22 12/22/94 60 3.3 8.57	7/ 7/94 298 4.2 8.1 8.1	39 39 170 5.0 6.0 821	39 12/ 4/94 150 1.4 4.0 8.352	38 5/26/94 210 4.7 9.3 0.504	35 2/7/94 340 7.8 9.3 0.835
METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRADLE	KALIO DIR (DEG) Y VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH) RATIO	0.210 0.5.6 0.809	e.755 250 2.8 3.7 0.755	0.250 4.9 6.8 809	270 270 3.0 3.7 0.808	360 3.5 3.5 0.326	90 90 940 940	220 2.5 0.962	360 2.0 3.5 0.581	190 5.4 6.8 93	330 6.5 7.0 927
METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRIDGEPOR	E DIR (DEC) IT VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH) RATIO	) 270 7.1 8.6 0.820	240 6.2 6.5 0.960	240 3.4 5.0 674	310 4.5 6.800 .800	160 .9 0.353	220 5.5 0.799	230 5.8 6.3 0.915	280 3.0 4.5 0.677	236 4.8 5.3 6911	5.3 8.5 0.629 208
METEOROLOGICAL SITE WORCESTE	E DIR (DEG R VEL (MPH SPD (MPH RATIO	250 8.8 10.1 0.872	270 7.4 8.2 0.902	230 5.7 5.9 0.959	270 6.4 6.8 0.953	280 6.2 6.3 0.981	160 1.8 3.5 0.528	6.6 6.6 0.954	7.1 7.3 0.969	3.5 5.2 0.681	11.3 11.4 0.998

·
8
A
Z
8
2-5,
щ
Ξ¥

1994 TEN HIGHEST 24-HOUR AVERAGE PM10 DAYS WITH WIND DATA

								UNITS : N	AICROGRAM	s PER CUB	IC METER
TOWN-SITE (SAMPLES)	RANK	-	7	ю	4	S	9	7	Ø	<b>o</b> .	10
TORRINGTON-001 (0057)	PM10	58	48	46	38	37	35	34	33	31	31
	DATE DIP (DEC)	9/17/94 260	2/19/94 170	7/19/94 170	7/13/94	12/22/94 60	3/15/94 220	2/ 1/94 330	2/ 7/94 340	12/28/94 250	8/12/94 130
MELECCOCCCC JULE	VEL (MPH)	8.2	2.9	5.0	6.9	2.2	4.5	4.5	7.8	8.3	2.9
	(Haim) ogs	11.2	4.2	6.0	8.8	3.3	7.9	6.3	9.3	10.5	5.6
	RATIO	0.731	0.705	0.821	0.789	0.657 760	0.574 190	0.714 210	0.835 770	0.794 770	0.518
	DIR (DEG)	210 5	0/L 8 V	220	802 708 708	200 + +	130	516 7	5.0 5.5 5.5	902	90 22 22
DIVIDUEL		5		2.5	2.2	5.0	5.2	ю Ю	2.0	7.3	2.7
	RATIO	0.809	0.976	0.962	0.755	0.326	0.988	0.028	0.927	0.562	0.930
METEOROLOGICAL SITE	DIR (DEG)	270	110	230	240	160	210	360	310	270	130
BRIDGEPORT	VEL (MPH)	7.1	œ, י	5.8 9.9	6.2 1	້	3.2		ນ ເ		4 u 0 c
	SPD (MPH)	0.6 0.6	1.J	0.5 015	0.0 0 060	2.5	4.0 741	0.0 808 8	0.0 0 670	0.0 010	0.0 0 001
METEORAL OCICAL SITE		0.020 250	010.0	010.0	0.300	280	0.70	280	200	250	230
MELEGACEOSICAL SILE	VEL (MPH)	00,00	8.5	6.6	4.7	6.2	6.2	0.4	11.3	9.7	3.1
	SPD (MPH)	10.1	8.6	6.9	8.2	6.3	6.3	5.3	11.4	10.6	3.9
	RATIÒ	0.872	0.990	0.954	0.902	0.981	0.972	0.926	0.998	0.913	0.803
VOLUNTOWN-001 (0055)	PM10	47	38	38	36	35	30	29	27	25	24
	DATE	7/13/94	7/ 7/94	7/25/94	5/26/94	7/19/94	8/12/94	7/31/94	6/25/94	7/ 1/94	8/18/94
METEOROLOGICAL SITE	DIR (DEG)	250	290	260	210	170	130	160	260	180	220
NEWARK	VEL (MPH)	6.9	4.2	4.3	4.7	5.0	2.9	1.9	5.4		6.5
	(Hom) oas	8.8	8.1	8.3	ເ ເ ເ	6.0	5.6	5.9	10.9	5.9	11.4
	RATIO	0.789	0.518	0.518 0.5	0.504	0.821	0.518	0.319	0.492	0.557	0.568 750
METEOROLOGICAL SITE	DIR (DEG)	250 250	80 7	250	190	220	380	967.	8 9 9 9	977	900 •
BRADLEY	VEL (MPH)	0 r N r		4 u	0.4 4.0	0.v v v	0 r V c	ņ a	, u , u	- v + v	1 U 1 U
		0.7 0 755	2.2 0 040	0.0 800	0.0 201	0.46	026.0	0.574	0.330	0.750	0.670
METERBOI OCICAL SITE	DIR (DEG)	240	220	240	230	230	130	160	160	230	220
BRIDGEPORT	VEL (MPH)	6.2	4 4	3.4	4.8	5.8	4.6	1.3	4.2	5.5	8.2
	(Hdw) Ods	6.5	5.5	5.0	5.3	6.3	5.0	1.6	8.2	6.0	8.3
	RATIO	0.960	0.799	0.674	0.911	0.915	0.921	0.834	0.518 	0.913	0.985 50
METEOROLOGICAL SITE	DIR (DEC)	270	160	230	160 7 E	230 6 6	807 7	9 r 7	90° #	007 4	202
WORCESLER	C VEL (MPH)	- 0 4 c	0 V - M	- 0 - 4		0 0 0 0	- 0 - 1	) 0   4		4.0 4.0	
		7.0 0 0 0		010 010	0 681 2 12	0.054	202	0 888	0.084	9.9	0 960
	KALLO	202.0	070.0	80°.9	00.001	- 00.0		000.0	100.0	0000	
WALLINGFORD-006 (0056)	PM10	65	51	49	42	41	38	36	55	33	33
	DATE	9/17/94	12/22/94	7/13/94	12/ 4/94	7/19/94	7/ 7/94	2/19/94	8/12/94	5/26/94	1/14/94
METEOROLOGICAL SITE	DIR (DEC)	260	60	250	150	170	290	170	130	210	280
NEWARK	( vel (MPH)	8.2	2.5	0.0	4.1	5.0	4.2	2.9	2.9	4. 	0 0 0
	(HJM) Ods	11.2	5.0	8.0	4.0	6.0	. a. 1	- <b>4.</b> 2	5.6	0.0 0.0	0.0 10
	RATIO	0.731	0.657	0.789	0.352	0.821	0.518	0.705	0.518	0.504	0.716
METEOROLOGICAL SITE	DIR (DEG)	210	360 1	802 6	200	27.0	9.7	9 a - 1	- c 0 0 0 1	9 N N	2 a 2 a
BRAULET	C VEL (MPH)	0 0 0 4	- r - r	0 r 9 r	9 Y N	2 Y C		0 0	20	5	0.0 9
	RATIO	0.809	0.326	0.755	0.581	0.962	0.040	0.976	0.930	0.893	0.621

1994 TEN HIGHEST 24-HOUR AVERAGE PM10 DAYS WITH WIND DATA

UNITS : MICROGRAMS PER CUBIC METER

TOMN-SITE (SAMPLES)	RANK	-	2	ю	4	ß	9	2	œ	<b>O</b> .	10
METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRIDGEPORT METEOROLOGICAL SITE WORCESTER	DIR (DEG) - VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH) RATIO DIR (DEG) C VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH)	270 2.70 2.50 8.8 10.1	9.353 9.353 9.353 9.353 6.2 6.2	240 6.5 240 270 8.2 8.2	280 3.0 6.77 280 7.1	0 5.30 6.9 7.30 6.6 6.9 6.9	220 5.5 6.799 1.8 3.5 3.5	110 1.3 0.648 8.5 8.5 8.6	130 5.6 0.21 3.1 3.9	230 5.3 6.911 3.5 5.2	310 3.5 0.748 2.88 3.6 3.6
WATERBURY007 (0053) METEOROLOGICAL SITE NEWARK	RATIO PM10 DATE DIR (DEG) (WPH) SPD (MPH)	0.872 64 2/19/94 170 2.9 2.9	0.981 57 12/22/94 60 3.3 3.3	0.902 56 9/17/94 8.2 8.2 11.2	0.969 3/15/94 220 4.5 7.9	0.954 44 7/13/94 550 6.9 8.8	0.528 38 12/28/94 250 8.3 10.5	0.990 35 11/4/94 4.9 4.9 7.6	0.803 34 5/26/94 4.7 9.3	0.681 33 8/12/94 130 2.9 5.6 5.6	0.528 33 2/7/94 7.8 9.5 9.5
METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRADLEY METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRIDGEPORT METEOROLOGICAL SITE WORCESTER	VEL (MPH) VEL (MPH)	0.01 0.07 0.07 0.07 0.07 0.0 0.07 0.0 0.0 0.	0.355 0.326 0.326 0.355 0.353 0.353 0.353 0.353 0.353 0.353 0.353 0.353 0.353 0.353 0.353 0.353 0.353 0.353 0.355 0.355 0.355 0.355 0.355 0.355 0.356 0.355 0.356 0.3576 0.3570 0.356 0.35	0.800 0.800 0.800 0.820 0.800 0.820 0.8000 0.8000 0.8000 0.8000 0.800000000	0 5.1 2.10 2.18 2.18 2.18 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2	2.56 2.55 2.55 2.755 2.76 2.76 2.76 2.76 2.76 2.76 2.76 2.76	0.562 2.70 2.70 2.70 8.8 9.6 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50	0.920 0.130 0.130 0.130 0.130 0.130 0.1200 0.1200 0.1200 0.1200 0.120000000000	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.930 0.930 0.930 0.930 0.930 0.921 230 230 230 230 230 230 230 230 230 230	0.927 0.927 0.927 0.629 2.90 2.90 2.90 2.90 2.90 2.90 2.90 2.
WATERBURY123 (0054) METEOROLOGICAL SITE NEWARK	PM10 PM10 DATE DIR (DEG) SPD (MPH)	9,17/94 9/17/94 8.2 11.2	0.981 51 170 5.0 6.0	0.872 49 12/22/94 50 3.3	0.972 42 3/15/94 4.5 7.9	0.902 41 12/28/94 8.3 10.5	0.913 41 1/14/94 6.8 6.8	6.978 41 1/20/94 5.5 7.8	0.681 39 12/ 4/94 1.4	0.803 38 330 4.5 6.3	0.998 36 2/ 7/94 7.8 9.3
METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRADLEN METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRIDGEPORI METEOROLOGICAL SITE WORCESTEF	RATIO DIR (DEG) SPD (MPH RATIO DIR (DEG) NPH SPD (MPH SPD (MPH RATIO R VEL (MPH RATIO R VEL (MPH R VEL (MPH	0.731 210 210 0.809 0.820 0.820 0.820 0.820 0.820 0.820 0.820 0.820 0.820 0.820 0.820 0.820 0.820 0.820 0.820 0.731	0.821 2.20 2.50 0.962 0.915 0.915 0.915 0.915 0.915	0.657 360 350 3.5 3.5 1.1 160 280 280 280 280 2.5 6.2	0.574 180 5.1 2.180 3.2 0.741 0.741 0.741 0.730 0.741	0.794 2.30 2.50 2.50 2.70 0.918 0.918 2.50 2.50	0.716 3.10 3.10 3.10 3.15 3.15 3.15 3.15 3.15 3.15 3.15 3.15	0.841 2.70 3.3.0 3.80 3.10 3.10 0.80 0.5 5.5 2.70 0.4 5.5 2.70 0.4 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5	0.352 360 3.56 3.5 3.6 3.6 3.6 4.5 0.677 2.8 0.677 2.1	0.714 210 350 350 210 350 350 230 230 230 230 230 230 230 230 230 23	0.835 336 336 9.927 8.5 8.5 296 8.5 296 2.3
	SPD (MPH RATIO	) 10.1 0.872	6.9 0.954	6.3 0.981	6.3 0.972	10.5 0.913	э. 5 0.528	0.953	0.969	0.926	4.11.4 0.998

ι

1994 TEN HIGHEST 24-HOUR AVERAGE PM10 DAYS WITH WIND DATA

TOWN-SITE (SAMPLES)	RANK	-	7	ю	4	ŝ	Ś	~	۵.	თ	10
WILLIMANTIC-002 (0058)	PM10	72	41	40	40	37	36	35	34	33	31
METFOROLOGICAL SITE	DATE DIR (DEG)	9/17/94 260	7/13/94 250	7/ 7/94 290	2/19/94 170	7/25/94 260	7/19/94	5/26/94 210	3/15/94 220	12/28/94 250	2/ 7/94 340
NEWARK	VEL (MPH)	8.2	6.9	4.2	2.9	4.3	5.0	4.7	4.5	8.3	7.8
	(Hdw) dds	11.2	8.8 8	8.1 1	4.2	8.3	6.9	9.J	7.9	10.5	0°3
	RATIO	0.731	0.789	0.518	0.705	0.518	0.821	0.504	0.574	0.794	0.835
METEOROLOGICAL SITE	DIR (DEG)	210	250	<b>8</b> 6	170	250	220	190	180	230	330
BRADLEY	VEL (MPH)	5.6	2.8		4.8	4.9	2.5	5.4	5.1	4.1	6.5
	(Hdw) dds	6.9	3.7	2.2	4.9	6.0	2.6	6.0	5.2	7.3	7.0
	RATIO	0.809	0.755	0.040	0.976	0.809	0.962	0.893	0.988	0.562	0.927
METEOROLOGICAL SITE	DIR (DEG)	270	240	220	110	240	230	230	210	270	310
BRIDGEPORT	VEL (MPH)	7.1	6.2	4.4	80.	3.4	5.8	4.8	3.2	8.8	5.3
	(Hdw) Ods	8.6	6.5	5.5	1.3	5.0	6.3	5.3	4.3	9.6	8.5
	RATIO	0.820	0.960	0.799	0.648	0.674	0.915	0.911	0.741	0.918	0.629
METEOROLOGICAL SITE	DIR (DEG)	250	270	160	230	230	230	160	230	250	290
WORCESTER	VEL (MPH)	8.8	7.4	1.8	8.5	5.7	6.6	3.5	6.2	9.7	11.3
	SPD (MPH)	10.1	8.2	3.5	8.6	5.9	6.9	5.2	6.3	10.6	11.4
	RATIO	0.872	0.902	0.528	0.990	0.959	0.954	0.681	0.972	0.913	0.998

### FIGURE 2-5





FIGURE 2-6 3-YEAR AVERAGES OF THE ANNUAL PM<sub>10</sub> CONCENTRATIONS\*



\* At the 16 sites that met the minimum sampling criteria in each year of the six-year period.

### III. SULFUR DIOXIDE

### **HEALTH EFFECTS**

Sulfur oxides are heavy, pungent, yellowish gases that come from the burning of sulfur-containing fuel, mainly coal and oil-derived fuels, and also from the smelting of metals and from certain industrial processes. They have a distinctive odor. Sulfur dioxide ( $SO_2$ ) comprises about 95 percent of these gases, so scientists use a test for  $SO_2$  alone as a measure of all sulfur oxides.

Exposure to high levels of sulfur oxides can cause an obstruction of breathing that doctors call "pulmonary flow resistance." The amount of breathing obstruction has a direct relation to the amount of sulfur compounds in the air. Moreover, the effect of sulfur pollution is enhanced by the presence of other pollutants, especially particulates and oxidants. The action of two or more pollutants is synergistic: each pollutant augments the other and the combined effect is greater than the sum of the effects that each alone would have.

Many types of respiratory disease are associated with sulfur oxides: coughs and colds, asthma, bronchitis, and emphysema. Some researchers believe that the harm is due not only to the sulfur oxide gases but also to other sulfur compounds that accompany the oxides.

### CONCLUSIONS

Sulfur dioxide concentrations in 1994 did not exceed any federal primary or secondary standards. Measured concentrations were substantially below the 365  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> primary 24-hour standard and well below both the 80  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> primary annual standard and the 1300  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> secondary 3-hour standard.

### **METHOD OF MEASUREMENT**

The DEP Air Monitoring Unit used the pulsed fluorescence method to continuously measure sulfur dioxide levels at all 13 sites in 1994.

### DISCUSSION OF DATA

**Monitoring Network** - Thirteen continuous  $SO_2$  monitors were used to record data in 12 towns during 1994 (see Figure 3-1):

Bridgeport 012 Bridgeport 013 Danbury 123 East Hartford 006 East Haven 003 Enfield 005 Greenwich 017 Groton 007 Hartford 018 Mansfield 003 New Haven 123 Stamford 124 Waterbury 123

All of these sites telemetered their data to the central computer in Hartford three times each day (i.e., at 0700, 1400, and 2400 hours local time).

**Precision and Accuracy** - 685 precision checks were made on SO<sub>2</sub> monitors in 1994, yielding 95% probability limits ranging from -4% to +4%. Accuracy is determined by introducing a known amount of SO<sub>2</sub> into each of the monitors. Three different concentration levels are tested: low, medium, and high. The 95% probability limits for accuracy based on 17 audits were: low, -7% to +4%; medium, -5% to +5%; and high, -6% to +5%.

**Annual Averages** - SO<sub>2</sub> levels were below the primary annual standard of 80  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> at all sites in 1994 (see Table 3-1). The annual average SO<sub>2</sub> levels increased at six of the eleven monitoring sites that had sufficient data in both 1993 and 1994 to produce valid annual averages. The largest increase was 3  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, which occurred at Mansfield 003 and New Haven 123. The largest decrease was 2  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, which occurred at Groton 007. Bridgeport 013 and Enfield 005 showed no change in the annual average.

**Statistical Projections** - A statistical analysis of the sulfur dioxide data is presented in Table 3-2. This analysis is produced by a DEP computer program and provides information to compensate for any loss of data caused by instrumentation problems. The format of Table 3-2 is the same as that used to present the statistical projections for particulate matter (see Table 2-1). Since the statistical projections are made for the 24-hour standard, the hourly SO<sub>2</sub> data are first converted to 24-hour block averages. These 24-hour "samples" form the basis for the annual arithmetic and geometric means and the arithmetic and geometric standard deviations employed by the DEP computer program to make the statistical projections and calculate the 95% confidence limits.

The monitored data indicate that there were no violations of the primary 24-hour SO<sub>2</sub> standard at any site in Connecticut in the last three years. The statistical projections confirm that no days exceeding the primary 24-hour standard of 365  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> would have occurred during this period at any site, if sampling were complete.

The annual averages in Table 3-2 differ slightly from those in Table 3-1 due to the manner in which they were derived. The averages in Table 3-1 are based on the available hourly readings, while those in Table 3-2 are based on valid calendar day 24-hour averages. (At least 18 hourly readings are required to produce a valid 24-hour average.)

**24-Hour Averages** - Figure 3-2 presents the first and second high calendar day average concentrations recorded at each monitoring site in 1994. No site recorded SO<sub>2</sub> levels in excess of the 24-hour primary standard of 365  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. Second high calendar day SO<sub>2</sub> average concentrations increased at all eleven of the monitoring sites that had adequate data in both 1993 and 1994. The increases ranged from 17  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> at Mansfield 003 to 54  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> at Bridgeport 013.

Current EPA policy bases compliance with the primary 24-hour SO<sub>2</sub> standard on calendar day averages. Assessment of compliance is based on the second highest calendar day average in the year. Running averages are averages computed for the 24-hour periods ending at every hour. If running averages were used, assessment of compliance would be based on the value of the second highest of the two highest non-overlapping 24-hour periods in the year. There has been some contention over which average is the more appropriate one on which to base compliance. Table 3-3 contains the two highest 24-hour SO<sub>2</sub> readings at each site in terms of both the running averages and the calendar day averages. The first high 24-hour running averages are all higher than the first high calendar day averages by up to 16  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>.

**3-Hour Averages** - Figure 3-3 presents the first and second high 3-hour concentrations recorded at each monitoring site. Measured SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations were far below the federal secondary 3-hour standard of 1300  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> at all DEP monitoring sites in 1994. Of the 11 sites that had a sufficient quantity of data in both 1993 and 1994, all had higher second high concentrations in 1994. The increases ranged from 10  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> at East Hartford 006 to 119  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> at Bridgeport 013.

**10-High Days with Wind Data** - Table 3-4 lists the ten highest 24-hour calendar day  $SO_2$  averages and the dates of occurrence for each  $SO_2$  site in Connecticut in 1993. Only the 12 sites were used which had sufficient data in 1993 to produce a valid annual average. The table also shows the average wind conditions that occurred on each of these dates. (The origin and use of these wind data are described in the discussion of Table 2-5 in the particulate matter section of this Air Quality Summary.)

Once again, as with particulate matter, many (i.e., 34%) of the highest SO<sub>2</sub> days occurred with winds out of the southwest quadrant, and most of these days had relatively persistent winds. This relationship is caused, at least in part, by SO<sub>2</sub> transport, but any transport is limited by the chemical instability of SO<sub>2</sub>. In the atmosphere, SO<sub>2</sub> reacts with other gases to produce, among other things, sulfate particulates. Therefore, SO<sub>2</sub> is not likely to be transported very long distances. Previous studies conducted by the DEP have shown that, during periods of southwest winds, levels of SO<sub>2</sub> in Connecticut decrease with distance from the New York City metropolitan area. This relationship tends to support the transport hypothesis. On the other hand, these studies also revealed that certain meteorological parameters, most notably mixing height and wind speed, are more conducive to high SO<sub>2</sub> levels on days when there are southwesterly winds than on other days.

The data in Table 3-4 also suggest another reason for high SO<sub>2</sub> levels. Approximately 96% of the tabulated days occurred during the winter, and 4% occurred in late autumn. This phenomenon can be attributed to the fact that more fuel oil is burned during cold weather resulting in greater SO<sub>2</sub> emissions. In addition, temperature inversions, in which mixing heights are reduced, are more prevalent in autumn and winter.

In summary, high levels of SO<sub>2</sub> in Connecticut seem to be caused by a number of related factors. First, Connecticut experiences its highest SO<sub>2</sub> levels during the late fall and winter months, when there is an increased amount of fuel combustion. Second, the New York City metropolitan area, a large emission source, is located to the southwest of Connecticut, and southwest winds occur relatively often in this region in comparison to other wind directions. Also, adverse meteorological conditions are often associated with southwest winds. The net effect is that during the colder months when a persistent southwesterly wind occurs, an air mass picks up increased amounts of SO<sub>2</sub> over the New York City metropolitan area and transports this SO<sub>2</sub> into Connecticut, where the SO<sub>2</sub> levels are already relatively high. In addition, relatively low mixing heights are associated with warm air advection ( i.e., southwest wind flow), which inhibits vertical mixing and contributes to the enhanced SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. The levels of transported SO<sub>2</sub> eventually decline with increasing distance from New York City, as the SO<sub>2</sub> is dispersed and as it slowly reacts to produce sulfate particulates. These sulfate particulates may fall to the ground in either a dry state (dry deposition) or in a wet state after combination with water droplets (wet deposition or "acid rain").

**Trends** - The  $SO_2$  trend over the ten year period from 1985 to 1994 is presented in Figure 3-4. The trend is clearly down in the last several years.

As was the case with the particulate matter trend, we wanted to portray an SO<sub>2</sub> trend that is both statewide in nature and relevant to one of the ambient air quality standards for SO<sub>2</sub>. We chose to average the annual SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations at a number of sites: Bridgeport 012, East Haven 003, Enfield 005, Groton 007, New Haven 123 and Waterbury 123. These sites were the only sites that had sufficient data and valid annual averages over an eleven year period.

Annual SO<sub>2</sub> levels can be dramatically affected by a number of factors, some of which are annual fuel use, frequency of precipitation events, and changes in wind speed and direction. The importance of these relatively short term factors can be diminished in the portrayal of a pollution trend by means of multiple year averaging. Figure 3-5 employs a three year average of the data in Figure 3-4 and shows a smoother year-to-year transition as a result. The SO<sub>2</sub> trend is significantly down over the last five years, after a period of slight increases.



# TABLE 3-1

# **1994 ANNUAL ARITHMETIC AVERAGES OF SULFUR DIOXIDE**

(PRIMARY STANDARD: 80 µg/m<sup>3</sup>)

	·	<u>ANNUAL AVG</u>
TOWN-SITE	SITE NAME	(µg/m³)
Bridgeport 012	Edison School	25
Bridgeport 013	Congress Street	22
Danbury 123	Western CT State University	17
East Hartford 006	High Street	17
East Haven 003	Animal Shelter	16
Enfield 005	Department of Corrections	່ 11
Greenwich 017	Greenwich Point Park	15
Groton 007	Fire Headquarters	14
Hartford 018	Sheldon Street	18
Mansfield 003	Dept. of Transportation	<b>.</b> 12
New Haven 123	State Street	27
Stamford 124	Health Department	25
Waterbury 123	Bank Street	17

TABLE 3-2

# 1992-1994 SO2 ANNUAL AVERAGES AND STATISTICAL PROJECTIONS

TOWN NAME	SITE	YEAR	SAMPLES	ARITHMETIC MEAN	95-PCT- LOWER	-LIMITS UPPER	STANDARD DEVIATION	PREDICTED DAYS OVER 365 UG/M3
BRIDGEPORT	012	1992	355	27.5	27.1	27.8	20.302	
BRIDGEPORT BRIDGEPORT	012 012	1993 1994	355 362	25.2 25.2	20.52 24.9	25.4 25.4	18.826 24.681	
BRIDGEPORT	013	1992	360	22.6	22.3	22.8	17.715	
BRIDGEPORT	013	1993	361	22.5	22.3	22.7	16.616	
BRIDGEPORT	013	1994	339	22.2	21.5	22.9	23.189	
DANBURY	123	1992	313*	17.5	16.9	18.1	13.436	
DANBURY	123	1993	354	14.7	14.5	14.9	12.467	
DANBURY	123	1994	343	16.7	16.3	17.2	17.789	
EAST HARTFORD	000	1992	334	18.3	17.9	18.8	14.660	
EAST HARTFORD	000	1993	361	15.4	15.3	15.5	11.098	
EAST HARTFORD	000	1994	355	17.2	16.9	17.4	14.033	
EAST HAVEN	003	1992	350	17.1	16.7	17.4	15.663	
EAST HAVEN	003	1993	365	16.6	16.6	16.6	13.277	
EAST HAVEN	003	1994	360	15.6	15.4	15.8	16.644	
ENFIELD	005	1992	360	13.7	13.6	13.8	10.541	
ENFIELD	005	1993	340	11.3	11.0	11.6	9.419	
ENFIELD	005	1994	342	11.4	11.0	11.7	11.397	
GREENWICH	017	1992	312	11.9	11.5	12.4	10.660	
GREENWICH	017	1993	239*	12.1	11.5	12.7	8.408	
GREENWICH	017	1994	364	14.9	14.9	15.0	12.123	
GROTON	007	1992	362	16.2	16.1	16.3	11.961	
GROTON	007	1993	353	16.4	16.3	16.6	9.895	
GROTON	007	1994	365	14.2	14.2	14.2	11.549	
HARTFORD	018	1992	345	19.6	19.2	20.0	15.157	
HARTFORD	018	1993	360	17.3	17.2	17.5	11.503	
HARTFORD	018	1994	347	18.4	18.1	18.7	14.280	

N.B. THE ARITHMETIC MEAN AND STANDARD DEVIATION HAVE UNITS OF MICROGRAMS PER CUBIC METER.

\* THE RANDOMMESS OR QUANTITY OF DATA IS INSUFFICIENT FOR REPRESENTATIVE ANNUAL STATISTICS.

# 1992-1994 SO2 ANNUAL AVERAGES AND STATISTICAL PROJECTIONS

Predicted Days over 365 UG/M3						
STANDARD DEVIATION	7.718 5.974 7.575	23.404 20.539 22.910	18.893	14.738 27.204	15.362 11.583 15.349	
-LIMITS UPPER	12.0 8.7 11.8	32.1 24.5 26.9	23.8	20.7 25.4	19.4 16.1 17.2	
: 95-PCT- LOWER	11.8 8.5 11.7	31.1 23.8 26.2	23.8	19.0 24.5	18.8 15.7 16.8	
ARITHMETIC MEAN	11.9 8.6 11.8	31.6 24.1 26.6	23.8	19.8 24.9	19.1 15.9 17.0	
SAMPLES	360 354 363	351 356 357	365	282 <b>*</b> 357	351 358 359	
YEAR	1992 1993 1994	1992 1993 1994	1992	1993 1994	1992 1993 1994	
SITE	003 003 003	123 123 123	123	124	123 123 123	
TOWN NAME	MANSFIELD MANSFIELD MANSFIELD	NEW HAVEN NEW HAVEN NEW HAVEN	STAMFORD	STAMFORD STAMFORD	WATERBURY WATERBURY WATERBURY	

\* THE RANDOMNESS OR QUANTITY OF DATA IS INSUFFICIENT FOR REPRESENTATIVE ANNUAL STATISTICS.

N.B. THE ARITHMETIC MEAN AND STANDARD DEVIATION HAVE UNITS OF MICROGRAMS PER CUBIC METER.

### FIGURE 3-2

### **1994 MAXIMUM CALENDAR DAY AVERAGE SO2 CONCENTRATIONS**



PRIMARY STANDARD

### FIGURE 3-2, CONTINUED

### **1994 MAXIMUM CALENDAR DAY AVERAGE SO2 CONCENTRATIONS**



PRIMARY STANDARD

# **TABLE 3-3**

### COMPARISONS OF FIRST AND SECOND HIGH CALENDAR DAY AND RUNNING 24-HOUR SO2 AVERAGES IN 1994

	FIRST HIG	H AVERAGE	SECOND HI	GH AVERAGE
<u>SITE</u>	RUNNING 24-HOUR	CALENDAR DAY	RUNNING <u>24-HOUR</u>	CALENDAR
Bridgeport-012	154	152	140	129
Bridgeport-013	143	135	138	133
Danbury-123	136	120	124	97
E. Hartford-006	93	86	83	82
East Haven-003	127	122	126	109
Enfield-005	76	70	71	62
Greenwich-017	87	75	85	73
Groton-007	89	80	80	75
Hartford-018	98	96	93	87
Mansfield-003	71	59	61	48
New Haven-123	158	154	156	146
Stamford-124	178	166	163	150
Waterbury-123	90	88	89	79

N.B. The averages have units of  $\mu g/m^3$ .

### FIGURE 3-3

### **1994 MAXIMUM 3-HOUR RUNNING AVERAGE SO2 CONCENTRATIONS**



\* The date is the month/day/ending hour of occurrence. Secondary standard =  $1300 \,\mu g/m^3$ .

### FIGURE 3-3, CONTINUED

### **1994 MAXIMUM 3-HOUR RUNNING AVERAGE SO2 CONCENTRATIONS**



\* The date is the month/day/ending hour of occurrence. Secondary standard =  $1300 \,\mu g/m^3$ .

¥,
щ
IABI
•

1994 TEN HIGHEST 24-HOUR AVERAGE SO2 DAYS WITH WIND DATA

6 10	103 103 12/ 3/94 2/ 3/94 200 270	0.528       0.528         0.528       0.773         108       250         1.88       3.2         1.88       3.2         256       0.683         258       0.858         258       0.858         256       0.858         256       0.858         0.558       0.858         0.558       0.858         0.955       0.955         0.925       0.925         0.925       0.932	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	2/21/94 3.60 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5	107 107 270 6.3 6.3 7.8 7.3 8.9 6.0 6.9 6.9 6.9 6.9 6.9 6.9 6.9 6.9 7.3 0.948	75 1/12/94 6.5 6.5 6.5 6.5 6.5 6.5 6.5 7.5 3.5 3.5 8.777
-	1/ 1/98 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 9.3 9.3 0.948 0.948 0.976 0.976 0.976	1/12/94 30 5.4 6.5 6.5 6.5 777 6.9 5.9 6.2 6.2 6.2 6.2 1.0 777 6.2 1.0 777 6.4 5.9 777 6.4 5.9 777 6.4 5.9 777 6.4 5.9 777 6.4 5.9 777 76 8.2 777 76 8.2 76 777 76 8.2 76 777 76 777 76 777 76 777 76 777 76 777 76 777 76 777 76 777 76 777 76 777 76 777 76 777 76 775 777 76 775 776 777 76 775 777 76 775 777 76 775 777 76 775 777 76 777 76 775 777 76 777 76 777 76 777 76 775 777 76 777 76 777 76 777 76 777 76 777 76 777 76 777 76 777 76 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75	81 2/18/98 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 0.270 1.60 1.2 0.475 0.475
<b>Q</b>	1/21/94 276 2.76 2.3 6.3 4.0 4.2 6.9 6.9 6.9 6.9 6.9 6.9 256 0.968 0.968 0.968 0.968 0.968 0.968 0.97.3	11.1.1.200 1.1.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 9.9 9.9 0.940 0.940 9.7 9.7 9.7 9.7 0.976	81 300 11.0 14.2 0.770 290 8.1 10.9 8.1 0.744
ß	2/112 216 4.3 4.3 4.7 4.7 6.9 6.9 6.9 256 258 258 258 258 258 258 258 258 258 258	114 2/5/94 210 210 4.5 4.3 4.5 4.3 6.9 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250	83 2/19/94 170 2.9 4.2 6.705 4.8 4.8 4.9 6.976
4	1/12/94 36 6.4 6.4 6.5 3.5 9.35 60 777 60 5.9 60 777 60 777 60 777 60 777 60 777 60 777 60 777 60 777 60 777 60 777 60 777 60 777 70 60 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70	2,119 2,15/94 2,15/94 2,15/94 2,200 2,15 2,15 2,15 2,15 2,15 2,15 2,15 2,15	88 2/3/94 7.2 9.3 9.3 9.3 250 3.2 5.3 6.603
ю	2/ 119 280 5.9 5.9 7.2 8 7.2 8 300 316 7.2 8 300 566 1190 1190 1190 1190 1190 1190 1190 11	1/11/94 5.9 5.9 5.9 5.9 5.9 5.9 785 6.9 286 2.86 2.86 2.86 2.86 2.86 2.86 2.86	94 1/11/94 5.9 6.9 6.9 190 3.4 4.3 8.785
0	1/11/94 250 5.9 6.59 6.96 785 6.99 230 8.6 8.6 8.6 0.994 0.994 0.994	2/ 133 280 5.9 5.9 5.9 98 98 388 2.2 2.2 2.2 3.9 566 198 198 198 198 198 0.946	97 2/2/94 5.9 7.2 0.828 0.828 0.828 0.828 0.828 0.828 0.828 0.816
-	2/152 152 196 1.3 1.3 1.2 1.2 2.4 2.16 2.16 2.16 2.18 2.18 2.18 2.18 2.18 2.18 2.18 2.18	135 2/18/94 196 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.5 0.476 0.476 0.476 0.476 0.590 0.590 0.591 0.591 0.591 0.591	1/21/94 270 5.3 0.813 180 180 4.0 0.968
RANK	SO2 DIR (E DIR (E VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH) RATIO DIR (DEG) VEL (MPH) RATIO DIR (DEG) VEL (MPH) SPD (M	SO2 DATE DIR (DEC) DIR (DE	SO2 DATE DIR (DEG) SPD (MPH) RATIO DIR (DEG) C VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH) RATIO
TOWN-SITE (SAMPLES)	BRIDGEPCRT-012 (0362) METEOROLOGICAL SITE NEWARK METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRADLEY METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRIDGEPORT METEOROLOGICAL SITE METEOROLOGICAL SITE	BRIDGEPORT-013 (0339) METEOROLOGICAL SITE METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRADLEY METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRIDGEPORT METEOROLOGICAL SITE METEOROLOGICAL SITE	DANBURY123 (0343) METEOROLOGICAL SITE NEWAR

1994 TEN HIGHEST 24-HOUR AVERAGE SO2 DAYS WITH WIND DATA

UNITS : MICROGRAMS PER CUBIC METER

TOWN-SITE (SAMPLES)	RANK	-	0	ю	4	ŝ	Q	2	œ	თ	6
METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRIDGEPOK	E DIR (DEG) XT VEL (MPH)	290 6.0	300 2.2	280 4.2	280 8.1	110 8.	300 10.8	210 .5	60 5.9	310 4.5	250
	(Hdw) Ods	6.9	9.5	4.9	9.5	1.3	11.9	3.5	6.2	5.6	2.3
	RATIO	0.869	0.566	0.850	0.858	0.648	0.905	0.136	0.955	0.800	0.263
	DIR (DEG)	260	190	230	260	230 5 E	296	296	9 .	9/9 7	226
MORCESH		ית 1 ס	4.0	ۍ د م	0 0 0 0	0,0	0.5			0 4 4 0	
	RATIO	0.948	4.0 0.946	0.994 0.994	9.2 0.932	0.990 0.990	0.953	*./ 0.591	4.5 0.496	0.953 0.953	0.949
FAST HARTFORD-006 (0355)	SO2	86 BG	83	77	19	67	67	63	57	57	56
	DATE	2/18/94	1/11/94	1/12/94	12/22/94	1/22/94	1/21/94	2/19/94	2/ 5/94	12/ 3/94	12/28/94
METEOROLOGICAL SITE	DIR (DEC)	190	250	30	60	300	270	170	210	200	250
NEWAF	K VEL (MPH)	1.3	5.9	6.4	2.2	11.0	6.3	2.9	4.3	3.1	8.3
	(HPM) OPS	4.7	6.9	6.5	5.5	14.2	7.8	4.2	4.6	5.9	10.5
	RATIO	0.270	0.856	0.983	0.657	0.770	0.813	0.705	0.937	0.520	0.794
METEOROLOGICAL SITI	E DIR (DEG)	160	190	350	360	290	180	170	190	100	230
BRADLI	ey vel (MPH)	1.2	4.0 4			8.1	4 0.4	4 · 8 ·	4.7 0.0	8.6	41
	(HdW) Ods	2.4	4.3 	4.5	3.5 2.5	10.9	4.2 200	4.9 010	6.9	4.6 100	7.5
	RATIO	0.476	0.785 220	0.777	0.326	0.744 700	0.968	0.9/6	0.682 050	6.090 010	0.562 070
MELEOROLOGICAL SIT		210 2	097 790	200	100	000		90	9 9 7	907 7	9 0 7 0
BRIDGERO	KI VEL (MPH)	0 u	4 4	ກ ເ ດ ແ	, הע הע	14.0	9 0 9 0	0 M	, c	<del>-</del>	0 0 0 0
		0.0 1	ה מ לינו לינו	110	0.7	2.1.0	n.0 000		2.2	0.0 1 u	
METEOROLOCICAL SITE	RALIO	0.135 200	808.8 828	6.900 16	0.333 280	202.0	8.009 260	040.0	02.0	82.280 250	0.910
	ER VEI (MPH)	2 C	9.9	5.0	6.2 2	11.8	6.9	8 2 2	7.2	6.1	6.7
	(Han) uds	4		- 12	6.3	12.4	7.3	8.6	7.6	6.6	10.6
	RATIO	0.591	0.994	0.496	0.981	0.953	0.948	0.990	0.949	0.925	0.913
		007	007	101	C	0	90	ЧС ЧС	1.3	C G	- Cu
EASI MAVEN-000 (0000)	DATE	1 /21 /04	111/04	1/17/94	1 /22 /94	2/ 2/94	2/18/94	1 /20/94	12/22/94	2/ 5/94	2/17/94
METEOPOLOCICAL STT		770	250		100	-/ -/ -/ -/ 280	190	300		210	220
	SK VFI (NPH)	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2 2 2 2 2	6.4 4	11.0	5.0		6.5	2.2	ю. 4	5.5
3	(Haw) das	7.8	6.9	6.5	14.2	7.2	4.7	7.8	ы. Ю.	4.6	6.8
	RATIÒ	0.813	0.856	0.983	0.770	0.828	0.270	0.841	0.657	0.937	0.820
METEOROLOGICAL SITI	E DIR (DEG)	180	190	350	290	<b>8</b> 6	160	270	360	190	200
BRADLI	ey vel (MPH)	4.0	3.4	3.5	8.1	œ	1.2	3.0	1.1	4.7	5.2
	(Hain) das	4.2	4.3	4.5	10.9	2.0	2.4	3.7	3.5	6.9	6.2
	RATIO	0.968	0.785	0.777	0.744	0.416	0.476	0.808	0.326	0.682	0.845
METEOROLOGICAL SITI	E DIR (DEG)	290	280	60	300	300	210	310	160	250	240
BRIDGEPON	rt vel (MPH)	6.0	4.2	5.9	10.8	2.2	ຸ່	4°.5	ָּ סי ו	ຍູ່	4. 10.1
	(Hain) ods	6.9	4.9	6.2	11.9	0°0	3.5	5.6	2.6	2.3	ບ.ບ
	RATIO	0.869	0.850	0.955	0.905	0.566	0.136	0.800	0.353	0.263	0.989
METEOROLOGICAL SIT	E DIR (DEG)	260	230	10	290	190	290	270	280	230	240
WORCEST	er vel (MPH)	6.9	8.6	2.1	11.8	4.4	2.8	6.4	6.2	7.2	<b>8</b> .4
	(HJM) Ods	7.3	8.6	4.3	12.4	4.6	4.7	6.8	6.3	7.6	8.5
	RATIO	0.948	0.994	0.496	0.953	0.946	0.591	0.953	0.981	0.949	0.993

1994 TEN HIGHEST 24-HOUR AVERAGE SO2 DAYS WITH WIND DATA

6.1 6.6 0.925 46 3/94 3.1 55 12/ 3/94 200 3.1 5.9 0.520 UNITS : MICROGRAMS PER CUBIC METER 47 2/17/94 5.5 6.8 6.8 6.2 6.2 8.2 6.2 246 5.5 0.989 240 8.4 0.933 1.8 4.6 399 1.8 4.6 0.399 250 2.7 2.7 4.6 0.590 0.590 250 5.4 100 0 12/ 48 2/94 5.9 6.828 6.828 55 12/21/94 5.5 5.5 6.763 198 0.763 5.6 0.748 0.748 0.748 0.978 0.978 2.70 2.70 2/ 4/94 180 3.8 3.8 5.5 0.591 2.30 0.591 0.392 0.933 0.933 0.971 2.0 416 11.6 12.1 0.960 8 52 1/21/94 270 6.3 6.3 7.8 0.813 48 2/ 2/94 280 5.9 7.2 0.828 56 2/19/94 170 2.9 2.9 6.705 1.706 1.706 1.706 1.10 1.10 1.13 0.648 0.548 0.548 0.548 0.548 0.530 0.530 0.530 .8 0.416 300 300 300 3.9 0.566 190 4.2 180 4.4 4.6 0.946 90 Ø 59 2/15/94 2.5 2.7 2.7 2.7 2.7 2.7 0.904 3.8 0.915 0.915 0.915 55 12/22/94 60 3.3 3.3 0.657 1.1 1.1 0.326 51 250 6.5 6.5 6.2 8.2 8.2 8.2 8.2 8.2 8.2 9.6 9.6 9.6 9.6 9.6 9.8 0.987 4.0 5.3 0.751 57 1/17/94 3.4 0.588 0.588 2.5 2.5 2.5 0.549 53 2/ 3/94 ဖ 60 250 5.9 0.856 190 190 4.3 0.785 57 2/ 5/94 210 210 4.3 0.937 0.937 0.937 0.937 0.937 0.937 0.937 0.937 0.258 0.258 0.258 0.258 0.258 0.249 ŝ 64 30 5.4 6.5 6.5 6.5 6.5 3.5 3.5 4.5 0.777 71 300 300 11.0 11.0 14.2 2300 300 300 10.8 11.9 0.953 0.953 66 12/21/94 5.5 7.2 0.763 190 62 2/19/94 2.9 2.9 2.9 6.4.2 4.8 4.8 110 110 110 110 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 ю 75 1/22/94 11.0 14.2 0.770 8.1 10.9 0.744 62 300 11.0 11.0 14.2 0.770 8.1 10.3 0.744 10.3 10.8 11.9 11.9 11.9 11.8 0.953 0.953 2 80 2/18/94 190 70 2/18/9-190 1.3 4.7 0.270 160 .5 3.5 0.136 290 298 2.8 4.7 0.591 1.2 476 1.3 4.7 0.270 2.4 0.476 210 160 SO2 DATE DIR (DEG) VEL (MPH) RATIO DIR (DEG) VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH) RATIO DIR (DEG) VEL (MPH) RATIO DIR (DEG) VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH) SPD (MPH) SPD (MPH) SPD (MPH) SPD (MPH) S02 DATE DIR (DEG) VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH) RATIO VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH) SPD (MPH) SPD (MPH) RATIO DIR (DEG) VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH) RATIO SO2 DATE DIR (DEG) VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH) RATIO DIR (DEG) VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH) VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH) RATIO DIR (DEC) VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH) SPD (M RATIO RANK METEOROLOGICAL SITE NEWARK NEWARK METEOROLOGICAL SITE WORCESTER METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRADLEY METEOROLOGICAL SITE NEWARK METEOROLOGICAL SITE WORCESTER METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRADLEY METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRIDGEPORT METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRIDGEPORT METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRADLEY METEOROLOGICAL SITE GREENWICH-017 (0364) TOWN-SITE (SAMPLES) ENFIELD-005 (0342) SROTON-007 (0365)

-61-

*с* 4

1994 TEN HIGHEST 24-HOUR AVERAGE SO2 DAYS WITH WIND DATA

UNITS : MICROGRAMS PER CUBIC METER 250 2.7 4.6 0.590 0.590 0.550 6.1 6.1 6.6 0.925 0 36 12/ 3/94 200 3.1 5.9 0.520 100 1.8 9.399 259 2.7 2.7 2.7 2.7 6.5 6.1 6.6 6.1 0.25 6.1 300 2.2 3.9 0.566 190 4.4 4.6 0.946 თ 290 6.9 6.9 6.9 869 6.9 7.3 0.948 00 66 2/19/9/ 170 2.9 2.9 6.705 1 160 .9 2.6 0.353 0.353 6.2 6.2 6.3 0.981 2 39 39 220 220 220 8.9 8.9 10.4 10.4 10.4 0.336 0.336 0.336 0.336 0.336 0.336 250 3.7 10.2 0.362 200 11.6 12.1 0.959 ø 33 39 6.3 6.3 6.3 6.3 4.2 7.8 6.9 6.9 6.9 6.9 6.9 6.9 6.9 6.9 7.3 0.348 0.348 71/22/94 300 11.0 11.0 770 2300 14.2 10.8 10.8 10.8 10.8 11.9 0.965 11.9 0.965 11.9 0.965 12.4 0.953 280 4.2 0.850 0.855 0.856 8.6 8.6 8.6 0.994 S 60 5.9 6.2 0.955 0.955 0.496 0.496 270 5.6 5.8 5.8 0.975 270 11.6 12.1 0.960 Ю 48 2/18/94 11.3 1.3 1.3 0.270 1.5 0.476 0.476 0.476 0.476 0.476 0.476 0.476 0.476 0.476 0.530 0.531 87 1/11/94 5.9 6.856 6.9 7.45 6.856 6.785 6.785 6.785 6.785 6.785 6.785 6.785 6.785 6.986 8.6 8.6 8.6 8.6 300 10.8 11.9 0.905 290 11.8 12.4 0.953 2 96 2/18/94 190 1.3 4.7 0.270 160 1.2 2.476 2.16 3.5 0.136 2.99 2.8 2.8 2.8 2.8 2.8 2.8 2.8 2.6 136 2.13 0.531 210 .5 3.5 0.136 290 2.8 2.8 2.8 2.8 2.8 2.8 S02 DATE DATE DATE VEL (MPH) SPD (MP RATIO DIR (DEG) VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH) RATIO DIR (DEG) VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH) SPD (MPH) SPD (MPH) SPD (MPH) DIR (DEG) VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH) RATIO DIR (DEG) VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH) RATIO S02 DATE DATE DIR (DEG) VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH) RATIO DIR (DEG) VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH) RANK METEOROLOGICAL SITE WORCESTER METEOROLOGICAL SITE NEWARK METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRADLEY METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRIDGEPORT METEOROLOGICAL SITE WORCESTER METEOROLOGICAL SITE NEWARK METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRADLEY METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRIDGEPORT METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRIDGEPORT METEOROLOGICAL SITE WORCESTER MANSFIELD-003 (0363) TOWN-SITE (SAMPLES) HARTFORD-018 (0347)

۵
2
EX
8
Ţ
En 4,
TABLE 3-4.

1994 TEN HIGHEST 24-HOUR AVERAGE SO2 DAYS WITH WIND DATA

UNITS : MICROGRAMS PER CUBIC METER

TOMN-SITE (SAMPLES)	RANK	-	2	ю	4	ß	Q	7	œ	Ø	10
NEW HAVEN-123 (0357) METEOROLOGICAL SITE NEWARK METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRADLEY METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRIDGEPORT METEOROLOGICAL SITE METEOROLOGICAL SITE	S02 DIATE DIATE DIATE DIATE NEATIO DIR (DEG) NPH) SPD (MPH) SPD (M	1/11/94 154 5.9 6.55 6.9 7.96 7.95 6.4.3 6.4.3 6.4.3 6.4.3 6.4.3 6.4.3 6.4.3 6.4.3 8.6 8.6 8.6 8.6	7,1,146 1,27/94 2,70 6,3 6,3 4,2 6,3 6,3 6,3 6,3 6,3 6,3 6,3 6,9 6,9 6,5 6,3 7,3 7,3 7,3 7,3 7,3 7,3 7,3 7,3 7,3 7	1112/94 135 1112/94 112/94 135 0.777 0.755 0.777 0.755 0.777 0.755 0.777 0.755 0.777 0.755 0.777 0.755 0.777 0.755 0.777 0.755 0.777 0.755 0.777 0.755 0.777 0.755 0.777 0.755 0.777 0.755 0.777 0.755 0.777 0.755 0.755 0.777 0.755 0.755 0.755 0.755 0.755 0.777 0.755 0.755 0.777 0.755 0.755 0.777 0.755 0.755 0.777 0.755 0.755 0.777 0.755 0.755 0.777 0.755 0.755 0.755 0.755 0.755 0.777 0.755 0.755 0.777 0.7550 0.7550 0.7550000000000	12/22/94 12/22/94 60 3.3 3.5 0.326 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.0 160 150 0.353 0.353 6.3 6.3	1/22/94 300 11.0 11.0 14.2 8.1 8.1 10.8 10.8 10.8 10.8 10.8 11.9 290 2905 11.9 12.4	2/2/98 2/2/94 5.9 0.228 0.229 0.416 0.416 0.416 0.566 0.566 0.566 4.4 4.6	106 2/5/94 2/5/94 4.3 4.7 4.7 6.9 0.582 0.582 0.582 0.582 0.582 0.533 7.5 7.5	2/19/94 2/19/94 170 170 170 110 110 110 110 113 110 113 110 113 110 113 110 113 110 110	1/17/94 2550 3.44 2.55 2.55 2.55 2.55 2.55 2.55 2.56 2.56	0,270 0,2700 0,2700 0,2700 0,270000000000
STAMFORD-124 (0357) METEOROLOGICAL SITE NEWARK METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRADLEY METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRIDGEPORT METEOROLOGICAL SITE METEOROLOGICAL SITE	RATIO SO2 DATE DIR (DEG) VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH) RATIO DIR (DEG) VEL (MPH) RATIO DIR (DEG) DIR (DEG) DIR (DEG) NPH) RATIO RATIO RATIO RATIO RATIO RATIO RATIO	0.994 166 1168 1198 1198 1198 1198 1198 1168 116	0.948 2/19/94 2/19/94 2.170 2.19 4.2 0.976 0.170 110 0.948 0.948 0.930 0.648 0.530 0.648 0.930 0.648 0.930 0.990	0.496 144 2/2/94 5.9 0.828 0.416 0.566 0.566 0.946 0.946	0.981 2/5/94 2/5/94 4.7 4.3 4.3 4.7 8.9 6.9 250 256 256 256 256 256 256 256 256 256 256	0.953 1/11/94 5.59 0.856 190 190 190 190 190 2.30 0.850 0.850 0.850 0.850 0.994	9.946 9.946 9.946 9.828 9.845 9.9355 9.9355 9.9355 9.9355 9.9355 9.9355 9.9355 9.9355 9.9355 9.9	0.949 134 2/15/94 2.7 0.904 2.7 2.7 2.7 2.7 2.7 0.537 3.8 0.537 3.8 0.537 0.915 2.8 0.915 2.8 0.915 0.751	0.990 118 2/3/94 7.2 0.773 9.3 0.603 3.2 0.603 8.1 0.858 0.858 0.955 0.932 0.932 0.932	6.959 1/12/94 6.5 6.5 6.5 6.5 6.777 6.2 6.2 6.2 6.2 6.2 6.2 6.2 6.2 6.2 6.2	0.953 106 2/1/94 4.5 6.3 6.3 6.3 6.3 6.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 0.496 0.496 0.498 0.498 0.498 0.498 0.928
WATERBURY-123 (0359) METEOROLOGICAL SITE NEWAR <sup>I</sup> METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRADLE)	S02 DATE DIR (DEC) SPD (MPH) RATIO T VEL (MPH) VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH) RATIO	2/18/94 190 1.3 4.7 0.270 160 11.2 0.476 0.476	79 2/19/94 170 2.9 6.705 170 170 170 170 170 0.976	76 360 11.6 11.6 14.2 0.776 0.776 8.1 10.9 0.744	75 250 5.9 6.9 6.9 190 190 785 0.785	75 256 3.4 5.8 8.584 8.584 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 0.549	74 270 2.3 6.3 7.8 180 180 4.0 4.2 0.968	68 2/17/94 5.5 6.8 6.2 6.2 6.2 0.845	67 2/5/94 4.3 4.3 0.937 190 6.9 6.9 0.682	66 200 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5	63 63 6.4 6.5 6.5 6.5 6.5 6.5 7.5 3.5 4.5 4.5 0.777

1994 TEN HIGHEST 24-HOUR AVERAGE SO2 DAYS WITH WIND DATA

C METER	10	0 5.0 66 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70
PER CUBI	თ	240 240 940 9.7 9.7 9.7
MICROGRAMS	ω	250 250 253 253 253 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250
: STINU	٢	0.55 0.55 0.56 0.54 0.54 0.54 0.54 0.54 0.54 0.55 0.55
	Q	290 290 260 260 260 260 243 243 243 243 243 243 243 243 243 243
	Ŋ	256 3.7 0.362 0.362 11.6 12.1 12.6
	4	280 280 230 230 230 230 230 20 20 20 20
	ю	300 300 11.9 2905 11.8 12.4 250 25.4
	7	0.648 8.55 8.55 0.66 0.66 0.66 0.66 0.66 0.66 0.66 0
	+	210 3.5 2.98 2.98 2.98 2.98 2.98 2.4 2.8 2.98 2.4 2.8 2.5 5.5 1.5 5.5 2.5 5.5 1.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5
	RANK	DIR (DEG) VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH) RATIO DIR (DEC) VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH) SPD (MPH)
	TOMN-SITE (SAMPLES)	METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRIDGEPORT METEOROLOGICAL SITE WORCESTER


### FIGURE 3-4

AVERAGES OF THE ANNUAL SO<sub>2</sub> CONCENTRATIONS AT SIX SITES

### FIGURE 3-5

3-YEAR AVERAGES OF THE ANNUAL SO2 CONCENTRATIONS AT SIX SITES



### IV. OZONE

### **HEALTH EFFECTS**

Ozone is a highly reactive form of oxygen and the principal component of modern smog. Until recently, EPA called this type of pollution "photochemical oxidants." The name has been changed to ozone because ozone is the only oxidant actually measured and is the most plentiful.

Ozone and other oxidants -- including peroxyacetal nitrates (PAN), formaldehyde and peroxides -are not usually emitted into the air directly. They are formed by chemical reactions in the air from two other pollutants: hydrocarbons and nitrogen oxides. Energy from sunlight is needed for these chemical reactions. This accounts for the term photochemical smog and the daily variation in ozone levels, which increase during the day and decrease at night.

Ozone is a pungent gas with a faintly bluish color. It irritates the mucous membranes of the respiratory system, causing coughing, choking and impaired lung function. It aggravates chronic respiratory diseases like asthma and bronchitis and is believed capable of hastening the death, by pneumonia, of persons in already weakened health. PAN and the other oxidants that accompany ozone are powerful eye irritants.

### NATIONAL AMBIENT AIR QUALITY STANDARD

On February 8, 1979 the EPA established a national ambient air quality standard (NAAQS) for ozone of 0.12 ppm for a one-hour average. Compliance with this standard is determined by summing the number of days at each monitoring site over a consecutive three-year period when the 1-hour standard is exceeded and then computing the average number of exceedances over this interval. If the resulting average value is less than or equal to 1.0 (that is, if the fourth highest daily value in a consecutive three-year period is less than or equal to 0.12 ppm) the ozone standard is considered attained at the site. This standard replaces the old photochemical oxidant Standard of 0.08 ppm. The definition of the pollutant was changed along with the numerical value of the standard, partly because the instruments used to measure photochemical oxidants in the air really measure only ozone. Ozone is one of a group of chemicals which are formed photochemically in the air and are called photochemical oxidants. In the past, the two terms have often been used interchangeably. This Air Quality Summary uses the term "ozone" in conjunction with the NAAQS to reflect the change in both the numerical value of the NAAQS and the definition of the pollutant.

The EPA defines the ozone standard to two decimal places. Therefore, the standard is considered exceeded when a level of 0.13 ppm is reached. However, since the DEP still measures ozone levels to three decimal places, any one-hour average ozone reading which equals or is greater than 0.125 ppm is considered an exceedance of the 0.12 ppm standard in Connecticut. This interpretation of the ozone standard differs from the one used by the DEP before 1982, when a one-hour ozone concentration of 0.121 ppm was considered an exceedance of the standard.

### CONCLUSIONS

As in past years, Connecticut experienced high concentrations of ozone in the summer months of 1994. Levels in excess of the one-hour NAAQS of 0.12 ppm were recorded at all eleven ozone monitoring sites. The highest concentration was 0.187 ppm, which occurred at the Stratford 007 site.

The incidence of hourly ozone concentrations in excess of the 1-hour 0.12 ppm standard was significantly lower in 1994 than in 1993 (see Table 4-1). There was a total of 84 hourly exceedances in 1993 and 67 hourly exceedances in 1994 at the eleven monitoring sites. This represents a decrease in the frequency of such exceedances from 1.6 per 1000 sampling hours in 1993 to 1.3 per 1000 sampling hours in 1994: a 19% decrease. The actual number of hours when the ozone standard was exceeded in the state decreased from 45 in 1993 to 32 in 1994.

The number of site-days on which the ozone monitors experienced ozone levels in excess of the 1hour standard decreased from 42 in 1993 to 27 in 1994 at the eleven monitoring sites (see Table 4-2). This represents a decrease in the frequency of such occurrences from 1.9 per 100 sampling days in 1993 to 1.25 per 100 sampling days in 1994: a 34% decrease. The actual number of days on which the ozone standard was exceeded in the state decreased from 15 in 1993 to 9 in 1994.

The yearly changes in ozone concentrations can be attributed primarily to year-to-year variations in regional weather conditions, especially wind direction, temperature and the amount of sunlight. A large portion of the peak ozone concentrations in Connecticut is caused by the transport of ozone and/or precursors (i.e., hydrocarbons and nitrogen oxides) from the New York City area and other points to the west and southwest. Therefore, a decrease in the frequency of winds out of the southwest would help to explain the decrease in the number of ozone exceedances from 1993 to 1994. The percentage of southwest winds during the "ozone season" increased from 32% in 1993 to 38% in 1994, as is shown by the wind roses from Newark (Figures 4-1 and 4-2). The magnitude of high ozone levels can be partly associated with yearly variations in temperature, since ozone production is greatest at high temperatures and in strong sunlight. The summer season's daily high temperatures were significantly lower in 1994 than in 1993. This is demonstrated by the number of days exceeding 90° F which decreased from seventeen in 1993 to eleven in 1994 at Sikorsky Airport in Bridgeport, and from nineteen in 1993 to fourteen in 1994 at Bradley International Airport. The incidence of high ozone levels is dependent on the percentage of possible sunshine, since sunlight is essential to the creation of ozone. According to National Weather Service local climatological data recorded at Bradley Airport, the percentage of sunshine decreased from 65% in 1993 to 49% in 1994 for the months April through October. The average for these summer months at Bradley is usually 60%. Of the meteorological parameters discussed above, both temperature and percentage of possible sunshine can be seen as contributing to the decrease in ozone levels from 1993 to 1994.

The meteorological influences notwithstanding, additional and important factors contributing to the decrease in ozone concentrations over time are the continuing efforts of the EPA and the state Department of Environmental Protection to control the emissions of nitrogen oxides and hydrocarbons. Newer automobiles continue to be less polluting and the use of lower vapor pressure gasoline in the summer months, which was initiated in 1989, is a major effective control strategy.

### METHOD OF MEASUREMENT

The DEP Air Monitoring Unit uses UV photometry to measure and record instantaneous concentrations of ozone continuously by means of a UV absorption technique. Properly calibrated, instruments of this type are shown to be remarkably reliable and stable.

### DISCUSSION OF DATA

**Monitoring Network** - In order to gather information which will further the understanding of ozone production and transport, DEP operated a state-wide ozone monitoring network consisting of four types of sites in 1994 (see Figure 4-3):

Urban

- East Hartford, Middletown

Advection from Southwest	- Gr
Urban and advection from Southwest	- Br
Rural	- Sta

Greenwich, Groton, Madison, Stratford Bridgeport, Danbury, New Haven Stafford, Torrington

**Precision and Accuracy** - The ozone monitors had a total of 327 precision checks during 1994. The resulting 95% probability limits were -7% to +3%. Accuracy is determined by introducing a known amount of ozone into each of the monitors. Three different concentration levels are tested: low, medium, and high. The 95% probability limits, based on 11 audits conducted on the monitoring system, were: low, -7% to +6%; medium, -5% to +4%; and high, -5% to +2%.

**1-Hour Average -** The 1-hour ozone standard was exceeded at all eleven DEP monitoring sites in 1994. Between 1993 and 1994, the maximum 1-hour concentration increased at six sites and decreased at four sites; the second high 1-hour concentration decreased at seven sites and increased at three sites.

The number of hours when the ozone standard was exceeded at each site during the summertime "ozone season" is presented in Table 4-1. The number of days on which the 1-hour standard was exceeded at each site is presented in Table 4-2. Figure 4-4 shows the year's high and second high concentrations at each site.

**10 High Days with Wind Data** - Table 4-3 lists the ten highest 1-hour ozone averages and their dates of occurrence for each ozone site in 1994. The wind data associated with these high readings are also presented. (See the discussion of Table 2-5 in the particulate matter section of this Air Quality Summary for a description of the origin and use of these wind data.)

Most (i.e., 83%) of the tabulated high ozone levels occurred on days with winds out of the southwest. This is due to the special features of a southwest wind blowing over Connecticut. The first feature is that, during the summer, southwest winds are usually accompanied by high temperatures and bright sunshine, which are important to the production of ozone. The second feature of a southwest wind is that it will transport precursor emissions from New York City and other urban areas to the southwest of Connecticut. It is the combination of these factors that often produces unhealthful ozone levels in Connecticut.

There are also instances of high ozone levels on non-southwest wind days. This suggests that pollution control programs currently being implemented in this state are needed to protect the public health of Connecticut's citizenry on days when Connecticut is responsible for its own pollution.

**Trends** - Ozone trends can be illustrated in a number of ways by using various statistics: daily mean concentration, daily maximum concentration, number of hourly exceedances, number of daily exceedances, etc. Each has its merits. The daily maximum ozone concentration is used here as the basis for a trend analysis because (1) it represents a more robust data set than hourly or daily exceedances, and (2) a maximum concentration is more relevant to the NAAQS for ozone.

Figure 4-5 shows the unweighted average of the annual means of the maximum daily concentrations at ten ozone sites from 1985 to 1994. There is a lot of variation in the statistic from one year to the next. The importance of meteorology in the formation of ozone explains much of this variation. However, unless the effect of meteorology can be factored out, one cannot judge the effect of emission control measures on ozone production. A regression line through the data in Figure 4-5 would trend down, but the reason for this would not be evident.

The effect of meteorology on an ozone trend can be diminished by multiple year averaging. Periods of multiple years exhibit much less meteorological variability than do single years, and a trend analysis based on multiple years should more clearly reveal the effect of emission controls on ambient ozone concentrations. Figure 4-6 illustrates five year running averages of the data that is presented in Figure 4-5. With the variability of the weather minimized, it is evident that ozone is trending downward.

### TABLE 4-1

### NUMBER OF HOURS WHEN THE 1-HOUR OZONE STANDARD WAS EXCEEDED IN 1994

SITE	<u>APRIL</u>	<u>MAY</u>	<u>JUNE</u>	JULY	<u>AUG</u> .	<u>SEPT</u> .	<u>OCT</u> .	<u>1994</u>	<u>1993</u>
Bridgeport 013	0	0	4	2	0	0	0	6	8
Danbury 123	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	4	5
E. Hartford 003	0	0	6	2	0	0	0	8	5
Greenwich 017	0	0	4	8	0	0	0	12	10
Groton 008	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	5
Madison 002	0	0	3	4	0	0	0	7	10
Middletown 007	0	0	2	3	1	0	0	6	11
New Haven 123	0	0	4	2	0	0	0	6	3
Stafford 001	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	8
Stratford 007	0	0	4	8	0	0	0	12	14
Torrington 006	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	. 1	5
TOTAL SITE HOURS	0	0	27	37	3	0	0	67	84

### TABLE 4-2

### NUMBER OF DAYS WHEN THE 1-HOUR OZONE STANDARD WAS EXCEEDED IN 1994

SITE	<u>APRIL</u>	<u>MAY</u>	JUNE	JULY	<u>AUG</u> .	<u>SEPT</u> .	<u>ост</u> .	<u>1994</u>	<u>1993</u>
Bridgeport 013	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	3	4
Danbury 123	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	4
E. Hartford 003	0	0	1	<sup>-</sup> 1	0	0	0	2	3
Greenwich 017	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	4	4
Groton 008	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
Madison 002	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	5
Middletown 007	0	0	1	2	1	0	0	4	5
New Haven 123	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	3	2
Stafford 001	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	3
Stratford 007	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	4	6
Torrington 006	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	4
TOTAL SITE DAYS	0	0	7	17	3	0	0	27	42

### FIGURE 4-1

### WIND ROSE FOR APRIL - OCTOBER 1993 NEWARK INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT NEWARK, NEW JERSEY



### FIGURE 4-2

,

### WIND ROSE FOR APRIL - OCTOBER 1994 NEWARK INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT NEWARK, NEW JERSEY





### **FIGURE 4-4**

### I<sup>ST</sup> AND 2<sup>ND</sup> HIGH 1-HOUR OZONE CONCENTRATIONS IN 1994



- \* The date is the month/day/ending hour (standard time) of occurrence.
- N.B. To be consistent with the requirements of the NAAQS for ozone, only the highest hourly concentration per day per site is considered.

<del>1</del> -3	
TABLE	

1994 TEN HIGHEST 1-HOUR AVERAGE OZONE DAYS WITH WIND DATA

									UNITS : F	ARTS PER	MILLION
TOWN-SITE (SAMPLES)	RANK	-	2	ю	4	сı	9	7	Ø	თ	10
BPINCEDOPT_013 (4754)	OZONE	160	130	128	101	100	000	905	905	005	203
	DATE	6/18/94	7/ 6/94	7/13/94	7/ 2/94	7/20/94	8/ 2/94	8/26/94	7/ 8/94	7/24/94	8/ 9/94
METEOROLOGICAL SITE	DIR (DEG)	250	280	250	210	190	190	240	120	210	210
NEWARK	VEL (MPH)	7.4	6.8	6.9	5.3	5.5	4.3	5.9	3.5	д.9	5.7
	(Hdw) dds	9.5	9.6	8.8	7.5	7.9	7.2	7.5	6.8	5.6	8.5
	RATIO	0.778	0.702	0.789	0.714	0.697	0.597	0.792	0.519	0.703	0.677
METEOROLOGICAL SITE	DIR (DEG)	210	200	250	210	200	230	220	210	180	210
BRADLEY	VEL (MPH)	3.2	1.8	2.8	4.6	1.6	s.	<u>.</u>	3.1	3.8	4.4
	(MPM) OPS	3.5	3.7	3.7	6.8	3.3	1.3	2.4	4.2	6.5	5.5
	RATIÒ	0.919	0.472	0.755	0.682	0.478	0.370	0.350	0.752	0.584	0.815
METEOROLOGICAL SITE	DIR (DEG)	250	240	240	240	160	230	230	130	190	230
BRIDGEPORT	VEL (MPH)	5.7	5.5	6.2	5.2	2.8	4.8	4.1	1.7	2.0	6.6
	(How) dds	5.8	5.6	6.5	5.9	3.9	5.0	4.9	3.9	2.9	6.8
	RATIÒ	0.992	0.979	0.960	0.877	0.726	0.947	0.834	0.427	0.702	0.982
METEOROLOGICAL SITE	DIR (DEG)	260	250	270	240	240	250	270	260	220	240
WORCESTER	VEL (MPH)	4.7	5.3	7.4	8.1	5.1	3.4	4.1	2.0	4.8	5.0
	(Hdw) dds	5.0	5.3	8.2	8.3	5.8	4.2	4.6	3.6	5.3	5.3
	RATIO	0.924	0.989	0.902	0.975	0.889	0.821	0.883	0.550	0.894	0.936
DANRI IRV-123 (4755)	OZONE	141	125	123	122	116	114	111	109	107	107
	DATE	7/ 2/04	R/ 4/94	6/17/94	R/ 9/94	6/14/94	7/ 9/94	7/19/94	R/ 8/94	R/ 3/94	R/ 2/94
METFOROLOGICAL SITE	DIR (DEG)	210	240	150	210	220	180	170	150	200	190
NFWARK	VFI (WPH)		8	4	5.7	7.1	4.6	5.0	4	4	4
	SPD (NPH)	2.5	0	6.0	5.5	10,1	7.2	6.0	6.3	6.7	7.2
	RATIO	0.714	0.814	0.682	0.677	0.710	0.646	0.821	0.646	0.521	0.597
METFOROLOGICAL STTE	DIR (DEG)	210	200	200	210	170	200	220	170	220	230
	VEI (MPH)	4.6	0.0	2.4	4	5.5	3.7	2.5	2.1	1.2	5.
	(HdW) UdS	6.8	4.6	4.0	5.5	6.2	4.0	2.6	3.2	2.0	1.3
	RATIO	0.682	0.843	0.606	0.815	0.572	0.911	0.962	0.679	0.604	0.370
METEOROLOGICAL SLIF	DIR (DEG)	240	230	230	230	220	140	230	200	220	230
BRIDGEPORT	VEL (MPH)	5.2	5.7	5.6	6.6	6.0	4.0	5.8	3.6	5.0	4.8
	SPD (MPH)	5.9	6.3	5.9	6.8	6.3	5.6	6.3	4.9	5.5	5.0
	RATIO	0.877	0.901	0.943	0.982	0.956	0.710	0.915	0.737	0.909	0.947
METEOROLOGICAL SITE	DIR (DEG)	240	210	270	240	240	150	230	200	230	250
WORCESTER	: VEL (MPH)	8.1	7.5	4.2	5.0	4.0	3.2	6.6	1.3	4.6	3.4
	(HJM) DJS	8.3	7.9	4.6	5.3	4.3	4.5	6.9	3.5	5.0	4.2
	RATIÒ	0.975	0.947	0.918	0.936	0.927	0.712	0.954	0.374	0.905	0.821
EAST HARTFORD-003 (4850)	OZONE	.169	.141	.120	.120	.111	.109	.109	.108	.106	.105
•	DATE	6/18/94	7/ 2/94	8/4/94	7/20/94	8/ 9/94	8/28/94	7/19/94	8/ 3/94	8/ 1/94	7/8/94
METEOROLOGICAL SITE	DIR (DEG)	250	210	240	190	210	220	170	200	210	120
NEWARK	( VEL (MPH)	7.4	5.3	8.0	5.5	5.7	9.0	5.0	4.1	5.4	3.5
	(Hdw) dds	9.5	7.5	9.8 8	7.9	8.5	10.2	6.0	7.9	7.0	6.8
	RATIÒ	0.778	0.714	0.814	0.697	0.677	0.882	0.821	0.521	0.767	0.519
METEOROLOGICAL SITE	DIR (DEG)	210	210	200	200	210	200	220	220	220	210
BRADLEY	vel (MPH)	3.2	4.6	3.9	1.6	4.4	4.3	2.5	1.2	1.6	3.1
	(Hdw) dds	3.5	6.8	4.6	3.3	5.5	6.6	2.6	2.0	1.7	4.2
	RATIO	0.919	0.682	0.843	0.478	0.815	0.654	0.962	0.604	0.948	0.752

-75-

TABLE 4-3, CONTINUED

## 1994 TEN HIGHEST 1-HOUR AVERAGE OZONE DAYS WITH WIND DATA

	-								UNITS : F	ARTS PER	MILLION
TOMN-SITE (SAMPLES)	RANK	<b>-</b> 1	0	ю	4	υ	Q	2	00	თ	10
METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRIDGEPORT	DIR (DEG) VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH)	250 5.7 5.8	240 5.2 5.9	230 5.7 6.3	160 2.8 3.9	230 6.6 6.8	230 7.5 7.5	230 5.8 6.3	220 5.6 5.5	230 4.5	130 1.7 3.9
METEOROLOGICAL SITE WORCESTER	RATIO DIR (DEG) VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH) RATIO	0.992 260 4.7 6.924 0.924	0.877 240 8.1 8.3 0.975	0.901 210 7.5 0.947	0.726 240 5.1 0.889 0.889	0.982 240 5.0 0.936	0.959 230 10.6 0.985 0.985	0.915 230 6.6 0.954	0.909 230 4.6 5.0 0.905	0.950 240 3.6 4.2 0.711	0.427 260 2.6 3.6 0.550
GREENWICH-017 (4859) METEOROLOGICAL SITE NEWARK	OZONE DATE DIR (DEG) VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH)	.155 6/18/94 7.4 9.5	.155 7/ 6/94 6.8 9.6	.144 7/ 7/94 290 4.2 8.1	.128 7/13/94 6.9 8.8	.121 7/24/94 3.9 5.6	.121 8/ 9/94 5.7 8.5	7/19/94 5.0 5.0	.119 8/ 2/94 190 7.2	.116 8/ 4/94 240 8.0 9.8	.115 7/20/94 5.5 7.9
METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRADLEY	VEL (MPH) VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH) BATTO	8.7/8 3.5 3.5	0.702 200 3.7 3.7	0.018 90 2.2 818	0.769 250 3.7 9.55	9.703 180 3.8 6.5 8.5	0.0/ 210 5.5 815	8.021 2.20 2.5 0.62	230 230 1.3 250 250 230	0.014 3.9 4.6 843	9.03/ 200 3.3 478
METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRIDGEPORT METEOROLOGICAL SITE WORCESTER	VEL (MPH) VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH) RATIO DIR (DEG) DIR (DEG) VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH)	0.550 5.7.7 5.60 5.60 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00	6.446 5.5 6.979 5.3 5.3 5.3	9.220 2.220 5.5 1.60 1.8 3.5 3.5	0.960 240 0.960 7.4 8.2 8.2	0.104 2.0 2.0 2.2 2.3 2.3 5.3	0.982 5.0 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3	0.915 0.915 0.915 0.9 0.9 0.9	0.947 9.56 9.56 9.47 9.4 4.2	0.901 2.30 2.10 2.10 2.10 7.5	0.726 2.8 0.726 5.1 5.8 5.8
GROTON003 (4357) METEOROLOGICAL SITE NEWARK	RATIO OZONE DATE DIR (DEG) SPD (MPH)	0.924 .132 7/13/94 6.9 6.9 8.8	0.989 .118 7/7/94 290 8.1	0.528 .108 7/27/94 50 7.8	0.902 .107 320 6.7 6.9	0.894 .106 8/27/94 3.6 5.8	0.936 .104 6/7/94 280 7.7 13.1	0.954 .103 6/18/94 7.4 9.5	0.821 .100 50 350 9.7 11.6	0.947 .100 6/23/94 1.4 6.9	0.889 .098 8/ 4/94 8.0 9.8
METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRADLEY	RATIO DIR (DEG) VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH)	0.789 250 3.7 3.7	0.518 90 2.2 2.2	0.048 346 2.0 2.3	0.977 290 2.7 2.7	0.632 300 3.5 3.5	0.588 290 4.8 7.8	0.778 210 3.5 3.5	0.836 350 6.5 035	0.203 270 3.7 4.0 0.08	0.814 200 3.9 4.6 843
METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRIDGEPORT	DIR (DEG) DIR (DEG) VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH) RATIO	0.960 0.240 0.55 0.960	0.799	0.327	256 3.4 8.3 0.780	240 240 4.5 0.981	0.280 280 6.3 0.882	256 5.7 0.992	340 340 8.6 .447	240 7.0 7.2 0.979	230 5.7 6.3 0.901
METEOROLOGICAL SITE WORCESTER	DIR (DEG) VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH) RATIO	270 7.4 8.2 0.902	160 1.8 3.5 0.528	310 5.5 0.984	290 6.8 7.0 0.969	280 5.7 6.0 0.952	280 8.2 9.9 0.823	260 4.7 5.0 9.924	330 5.4 6.8 892	290 5.6 0.998	210 7.5 6.947

ß	
S	
- 10	
4	
E	
<b>I</b>	

## 1994 TEN HIGHEST 1-HOUR AVERAGE OZONE DAYS WITH WIND DATA

	- - - -								UNITS :	PARTS PER	MILLION
TOWN-SITE (SAMPLES)	RANK	-	0	ю	4	ß	S	4	ω	ດ	10
MADISON-002 (4794) METEOROLOGICAL SITE NEWARK	OZONE DATE DIR (DEG) VEL (MPH)	.149 6/18/94 250 7.4	.148 7/13/94 250 6.9	.120 8/ 9/94 210 5.7	.113 7/25/94 260 4.3	.111 7/ 6/94 280 6.8	.111 8/ 4/94 240 8.0	.110 7/ 2/94 210 5.3	.110 7/12/94 270 7.2	.108 5/23/94 260 3.4	.107 7/19/94 170 5.0
METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRADLEY	SPD (MPH) RATIO DIR (DEG) VEL (MPH)	9.5 0.778 210 3.2	8.8 0.789 250 2.8	8.5 0.677 210 4.4	8.3 0.518 250 4.9	9.6 9.6 200 1.8	9.8 9.8 200 3.9	7.5 0.714 210 4.6	8.6 8.6 230 3.2	6.5 0.524 50 2.6	6.0 0.821 220 2.5
METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRIDGEPORT	SPD (MPH) RATIO DIR (DEG) VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH)	3.5 0.919 5.7 5.8	3.7 0.755 6.2 6.5	5.5 0.815 6.6 6.8	0.80 0.809 3.40 3.4	3.7 0.472 5.5 5.6	4.6 0.843 5.7 5.7	0.682 5.2 5.2 9 5.2	3.9 0.815 220 4.7 6.8	4.9 0.527 270 3.7	0.962 5.8 5.8
METEOROLOGICAL SITE WORCESTER	RATIO DIR (DEG) C VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH) RATIO	0.992 260 4.7 5.0 0.924	0.960 270 7.4 8.2 0.902	6.982 246 5.6 6.936	8.674 238 5.7 8.959	0.979 250 5.3 6.989	0.901 210 7.5 0.947	0.877 240 8.1 8.3 0.975	0.694 270 6.9 7.2 0.963	0.675 20 3.5 5.0 0.691	0.915 230 6.6 6.9 0.954
MIDDLETOMV-007 (4668) METEOROLOGICAL SITE NEWARK	OZONE DATE DIR (DEG) ( VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH)	.161 6/18/94 7.4 9.5	.140 7/13/94 6.9 8.8	.127 7/ 2/94 5.3 7.5	.126 8/ 9/94 5.7 8.5	.124 7/19/94 5.0 6.0	.122 7/ 6/94 6.8 9.6	.120 8/28/94 9.0 10.2	.120 7/25/94 4.3 8.3	.112 8/ 3/94 200 4.1 7.9	.111 8/ 4/94 240 8.0 9.8
METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRADLEY METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRIDGEPORT	RATIO DIR (DEC) VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH) SPD (MPH) RATIO DIR (DEC)	0.778 210 3.5 0.919 5.7 5.7	0.789 250 2.8 3.7 0.755 6.2	0.714 210 6.83 5.240 2402 5.240 2402 5.240	0.677 210 4.4 0.815 6.5 6.5	0.821 220 2.5 0.962 5.8 5.8	0.702 200 1.8 0.472 5.5 5.5	0.882 200 4.3 0.654 7.2 230 230	0.518 250 6.0 6.0 3.40 3.40 3.40 3.40 3.40 3.40 5.6	0.521 220 2.20 2.20 2.20 2.20 5.20 5.20	0.814 200 3.9 2.3 0.8 4.6 2.3 0.7 2.3 0.7 2.3 0.7 2.3 0.7 2.3 0.7 2.3 0.7 2.3 0.7 2.3 0.7 2.4 3.4 3.4 3.4 3.4 3.4 3.4 3.4 3.4 3.4 3
METEOROLOGICAL SITE WORCESTER	RATIO RATIO DIR (DEG) RPH) RPH) RPH) RATIO	0.992 260 4.7 5.0 0.924	0.960 270 7.4 8.2 0.902	0.877 240 8.1 8.3 0.975	0.982 240 5.0 5.3 0.936	0.915 230 6.6 6.9 0.954	0.979 250 5.3 0.989	0.959 230 10.6 10.8 0.985	0.674 230 5.7 0.959	0.909 230 4.6 0.905 0.905	0.901 210 7.5 0.947
NEW HAVEN-123 (4753) METEOROLOGICAL SITE NEWARK	OZONE DATE DIR (DEG) < VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH) RATIO	.151 6/18/94 250 7.4 9.5 0.778	7/2/94 210 5.3 7.5 0.714	7/ 8/94 126 3.5 6.8 6.8 0.519	.121 7/ 9/94 180 4.6 7.2 0.646	.111 7/ 6/94 5.8 9.6 9.6	.107 7/20/94 5.5 7.9 8.697	.103 8/ 9/94 5.7 8.5 8.5	.102 7/25/94 260 4.3 8.3 0.518	.100 8/28/94 220 9.0 10.2 0.882	.096 7/19/94 5.0 6.0 8.21
METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRADLEY	DIR (DEG) VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH) RATIO	210 3.5 0.919	210 4.6 6.8 0.682	210 3.1 4.2 0.752	200 3.7 4.0 0.911	200 1.8 3.7 0.472	200 1.6 3.3 0.478	210 4.4 0.815 0.815	250 4.9 6.8 809	200 4.3 6.6 0.54	220 2.5 0.962

-

CONTINUED
₽-3.
TABLE

## 1994 TEN HIGHEST 1-HOUR AVERAGE OZONE DAYS WITH WIND DATA

	+ 222			AVERAGE					UNITS : F	PARTS PER	MILLION
Town-SITE (SAMPLES)	RANK	<del>6</del>	N	ы	4	ß	Q	2	Ø	თ	10
METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRIDGEPORT	DIR (DEG) VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH) BATTO	250 5.7 8.00 8.00	240 5.2 5.9	130 1.7 3.9	4 + 40 5.6 10	240 5.5 976 976	160 2.8 3.9 776	230 6.6 6.8 6.8	240 5.0 574	230 7.2 9.55	230 5.30 6.3
METEOROLOGICAL SITE WORCESTER	DIR (DEG) VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH) RATIO	0.924 0.924 0.924	0.975 240 8.1 8.3 0.975	260 260 3.6 0.550	0.712 0.712	250 250 5.3 6.989	0.720 240 5.1 6.889 0.889	0.936 0.936	2.30 5.7 6.959	0.985 230 10.6 10.8 0.985	0.954 0.5 0.5 0.954
STAFFORD001 (4522) METEOROLOGICAL SITE NEWARK	OZONE DATE DIR (DEG) VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH)	.129 7/ 2/94 5.3 7.5	.119 8/1/94 5.4 7.0	.118 8/ 9/94 5.7 8.5	.116 8/ 4/94 240 8.0 9.8	.115 8/28/94 220 9.0	.112 6/18/94 7.4 9.5	.109 8/25/94 210 7.1 9.1	.105 7/20/94 5.5 7.9	.103 7/19/94 5.0 6.0	.102 8/ 3/94 200 4.1 7.9
METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRADLEY	RATIO DIR (DEG) VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH)	0.714 210 6.8 6.8	0.767 220 1.7	0.677 210 5.5	0.814 3.90 4.6	0.882 200 6.6	0.778 3.2 3.5	0.784 5.4 6.9	0.697 200 3.3 3.3	0.821 220 2.5 2.5	0.521 220 2.0 2.0
METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRIDGEPORT METEOROLOGICAL SITE WORCESTER	MALLO DIR (DEC) VPCL (MPH) RATIO DIR (DEC) DIR (MPH) SPD (MPH) BATTO	0.682 5.2 0.877 0.877 0.877 0.877 0.82 0.92 0.53	6.948 236 256 4.5 5.5 5.5 7.1 7.1 7.1 7.1	ອ 235 235 235 2482 2482 2482 253 253 253 253 253 253 253 253 253 25	6.845 5.7 6.3 6.3 0.901 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5	0.054 230 2.12 0.555 10.6 10.6 0.85 0.85 0.85 0.85 0.85 0.85 0.85	6.919 256 0.952 0.992 8.7.7 266 5.6 8.5.8 8.5.8 8.5.8 8.5.8 8.5.8 8.5.8 8.5.8 8.5.8 8.5.8 8.5.8 8.5.8 8.5.10 8.5.100 8.5.100 8.5.100000000000000000000000000000000000	6.782 246 7.3 6.7 5.9 6.9 685 685	0.4/8 160 2.8 0.726 5.1 5.1 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	6.9 6.9 6.9 6.9 6.6 6.6 6.6 6.6 6.6 6.6	o 500 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50
STRATFORD-007 (4825) METEOROLOGICAL SITE NEWARK	OZONE DATE DIR (DEC) SPD (MPH) SPD (MPH)	.187 6/18/94 250 7.4 9.5	.174 7/13/94 250 6.9 8.8	.127 7/ 2/94 5.3 7.5	.126 7/25/94 4.3 8.3		.110 8/9/94 5.7 8.5	.107 8/1/94 210 5.4	.106 8/26/94 5.9 7.5	5/25/94 5/25/94 7.4 9.8	.103 .103 8/28/94 9.0 9.0
METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRADLEY	VEL (MPH) VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH) ATTO	6.//8 3.2 910 910	6.789 250 3.7 9.755	6.8 6.8 6.8 6.8	0.518 250 6.0 800	0.821 220 2.5 2.6 967	0.0/ 210 815 815	0./0/ 220 1.7 9.48	0.792 220 2.4 3.5 8 3.5 8	0.701 240 8.2 8.2	0.802 200 6.6 6.6
METEOROLOGICAL SITE BRIDGEPORT	DIR (DEG) VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH) RATIO	250 5.7 5.8 922	240 6.5 6.5 6.5	240 5.2 5.3 877	240 3.4 5.0 674	230 5.3 6.3 915	2.30 5.6 6.8 982	230 230 4.7 8.950	230 230 4.1 834	240 5.5 84 84	230 7.2 0.959
METEOROLOGICAL SITE WORCESTER	DIR (DEG) VEL (MPH) SPD (MPH) RATIO	260 4.7 0.924	270 7.4 8.2 0.902	240 8.1 8.3 0.975	230 5.7 8.9 8.959	230 6.6 8.9 954	240 5.0 5.3 0.336	240 3.0 4.2 0.711	270 4.1 883 0.883	256 9.8 9.6 9.6	230 10.6 0.85

TABLE 4-3, CONTINUED

1994 TEN HIGHEST 1-HOUR AVERAGE OZONE DAYS WITH WIND DATA

									UNITS :	Parts per	MILLION
TOWN-SITE (SAMPLES)	RANK	*	2	ю	4	S	Q	2	œ	თ	10
TORRINGTON-006 (4708)	OZONE	.127	.119	.110	.109	.103	.102	.098 0 /75 /04	.097	.095	.095 e / 76 / 64
METEOROLOGICAL SITE	DIR (DEG)	o/ */34 240	190	180	1/ 2/34 210	o/ 3/34 210	210	0/ 20/ 34 210	150	180	0/20/34 180
NEWARK	VEL (MPH)	8.0	5.5	4.6	5.3	5.7	8.3	7.1	4.1	6.5	6.0
	(Hdw) Ods	9.8	7.9	7.2	7.5	8.5	10.5	9.1	6.0	10.2	7.2
	RATIÒ	0.814	0.697	0.646	0.714	0.677	0.793	0.784	0.682	0.640	0.829
METEOROLOGICAL SITE	DIR (DEG)	200	200	200	210	210	190	200	. 200	240	190
BRADLEY	· VEL (MPH)	3.9	1.6	3.7	4.6	4.4	9.6	5.4	2.4	2.8	2.8
	(HJW) DJS	4.6	3.3	4.0	6.8	5.5	11.4	6.9	4.0	4.0	2.9
	RATIO	0.843	0.478	0.911	0.682	0.815	0.790	0.782	0.606	0.695	0.989
METEOROLOGICAL SITE	DIR (DEG)	230	160	140	240	230	230	240	230	230	150
BRIDGEPORT	· VEL (MPH)	5.7	2.8	4.0	5.2	6.6	7.3	6.7	5.6	7.8	<u></u> .1
	SPD (MPH)	6.3	3.9	5.6	5.9	6.8	7.5	7.3	5.9	8.1	0°5
	RATIO	0.901	0.726	0.710	0.877	0.982	0.974	0.918	0.943	0.965	0.795
METEOROLOGICAL SITE	DIR (DEG)	210	240	150	240	240	230	220	270	220	220
WORCESTER	k vel (MPH)	7.5	5.1	3.2	8.1	5.0	12.0	5.9	4.2	6.9	5.1
	(Hulm) das	7.9	5.8	4.5	8.3	5.3	12.1	6.9	4.6	7.3	5.5
	RATIO	0.947	0.889	0.712	0.975	0.936	0.992	0.985	0.918	0.943	0.930

į

### FIGURE 4-5 AVERAGES OF THE ANNUAL MEAN DAILY MAXIMUM OZONE CONCENTRATIONS AT TEN SITES



### FIGURE 4-6

5-YEAR AVERAGES OF THE ANNUAL MEAN DAILY MAXIMUM OZONE CONCENTRATIONS AT TEN SITES



### **V. NITROGEN DIOXIDE**

### HEALTH EFFECTS

Nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>) is a toxic gas with a characteristic pungent odor and a reddish-orangebrown color. It is highly oxidizing and extremely corrosive.

The presence of  $NO_2$  in the atmosphere is accounted for by the oxidation of nitric oxide (NO) to  $NO_2$  by means of reactions with various chemical species, principally ozone, hydroperoxyl radicals and organic peroxyl radicals. Large amounts of NO are emitted into the air by high temperature combustion processes. Industrial furnaces, power plants and motor vehicles are the primary sources of NO emissions.

Exposure to  $NO_2$  is believed to increase the risks of acute respiratory disease and susceptibility to chronic respiratory infection.  $NO_2$  also contributes to heart, lung, liver and kidney damage. At high concentrations, this pollutant can be fatal. At lower levels of 25 to 100 parts per million, it can cause acute bronchitis and pneumonia. Occasional exposure to low levels of  $NO_2$  can irritate the eyes and skin.

Other effects of nitrogen dioxide are its toxicity to vegetation and its ability to combine with water vapor to form nitric acid. Furthermore,  $NO_2$  is an essential ingredient, along with hydrocarbons, in the formation of ozone.

### CONCLUSIONS

Nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>) concentrations at all monitoring sites did not violate the NAAQS for NO<sub>2</sub> in 1994. The annual arithmetic mean NO<sub>2</sub> concentration at each site was well below the federal standard of 100  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. The highest annual mean was 49  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, which occurred at the New Haven 123 site.

### SAMPLE COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS

The DEP Air Monitoring Unit used continuous electronic analyzers employing the chemiluminescent reference method to continuously monitor NO<sub>2</sub> levels.

### **DISCUSSION OF DATA**

**Monitoring Network** - There were three nitrogen dioxide monitoring sites in 1994 (see Figure 5-1). The sites -- Bridgeport 013, East Hartford 003 and New Haven 123 -- were located in three urban areas near major expressways in order to obtain maximum NO<sub>2</sub> readings.

**Precision and Accuracy** - Fifty-four precision checks were made on the NO<sub>2</sub> monitors in 1994, yielding 95% probability limits ranging from -11% to +11%. Accuracy is determined by introducing a known amount of NO<sub>2</sub> into each of the monitors. Eight audits for accuracy were conducted on the monitoring network in 1994. Four different concentration levels were tested on each monitor: low, low/medium, medium/high and high. The 95% probability limits for the low level test ranged from -7% to +4%; those for the low/medium level test ranged from -5% to +5%; those for the medium/high level test ranged from -5% to +6%; and those for the high level test ranged from -5% to +6%.

Annual Averages - The annual average NO<sub>2</sub> standard of 100  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> was not exceeded in 1994 at any site in Connecticut (see Table 5-1). In addition, all three sites had sufficient data to compute valid

arithmetic means. This permits comparisons with the 1992 and 1993 annual averages. The annual average NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations were up at all three sites between 1992 and 1994.

**Statistical Projections** - The format of Table 5-1 is the same as that used to present the particulate matter and sulfur dioxide data, except that for NO<sub>2</sub> there are no 24-hour standards and, therefore, no projections of violations are possible. However, Table 5-1 gives the annual arithmetic mean of the hourly NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in order to allow direct comparison to the annual NO<sub>2</sub> standard. The 95% confidence limits about the arithmetic mean for each site demonstrate that it is unlikely that any site exceeded the primary annual standard of 100  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> in 1994.

**10-High Days with Wind Data** - Table 5-2 presents for each site the ten days in 1994 when the highest hourly  $NO_2$  readings occurred, along with the associated wind conditions for each day. (See the discussion of Table 2-5 in the particulate matter section for a description of the origin and use of the wind data.)

According to National Weather Service local climatological data recorded at Bradley Airport, 16 of the 21 days listed in the table had at least 50% of the possible sunshine. A high percentage of the possible sunshine is interpreted to confirm the importance of photochemical oxidation in the formation of NO<sub>2</sub>.

Using the National Weather Service data from the Bridgeport meteorological site for Bridgeport 013 and New Haven 123 sites, and using the data from Bradley for East Hartford 003 site, one finds that 67% of the days have persistent winds out of the southwest. This is not unexpected since the NO<sub>2</sub> sites were deliberately located to the north and east of major expressways and interchanges, which are major sources of nitrogen oxide emissions. Moreover, high NO<sub>2</sub> levels coincident with southwest winds confirm the importance of pollution transport into Connecticut from the southwest.

**Trends** - The weighted averages of the annual NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations at the three monitoring sites are illustrated in Figure 5-2. The year-to-year trend appears to be down through 1987, up in 1988, down until 1991 when levels rose, down again in 1992 and up through 1994. In spite of the choppiness, there appears to be an overall downward bias in the annual NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations.

Given the importance of meteorology -- sunlight, in general, and southwest winds in Connecticut, in particular -- on the formation of NO<sub>2</sub>, a trend might best be illustrated by the averaging of data over multiple years. As was the case with ozone, a trend based on multiple years of data should diminish the effect of meteorology and, thereby, reveal the effect of nitrogen oxide and hydrocarbon emission controls on ambient concentrations of NO<sub>2</sub>. Figure 5-3 shows that the 3-year average NO<sub>2</sub> concentration, unlinked from meteorology, has been trending downward over the past ten years.



**TABLE 5-1** 

## **1992 - 1994 NITROGEN DIOXIDE ANNUAL AVERAGES**

Town Name	Site	Year	Samples	Arithmetic <u>Mean</u>	95-Perce <u>Lower</u>	int-Limits <u>Upper</u>	Standard <u>Deviation</u>
Bridgeport	013	1992	8595	44.86	44.78	44.93	24.14
Bridgeport	013	1993	8347	45.64	45.53	45.76	23.80
Bridgeport	013	1994	8390	49.33	49.21	49.46	28.25
East Hartford	003	1992	7384	31.99	31.81	32.17	20.06
East Hartford	003	1993	8505	34.65	34.57	34.73	22.45
East Hartford	003	1994	8355	38.25	38.12	38.37	27.04
New Haven	123	1992	8186	47.15	47.03	47.27	21.69
New Haven	123	1993	8326	48.98	48.86	49.10	24.47
New Haven	123	1994	7694	56.48	56.26	56.70	27.80

N.B. The arithmetic mean and standard deviation have units of  $\mu g/m^3$ .

.

DATA
DNIM
HITIW
DAYS
N02
AVERAGE
1-HOUR
HIGHEST
TEN
1994

TABLE 5-2

										NITS :	PARTS PER	MILLION
TOWN	SITE (SAMPLES)	RANK	<b>4</b>	7	ю	4	ß	9	٢	ø	თ	10
BRIDG	EPORT013 (4831)	NO2	.076	.073	.073	.072	.071	.070	.069	.068	.068	.068
~	METEOROLOGICAL SITE	DALE DEC)	10/30/94 220	6/10/94 90	5/25/94 260	9/13/94 270	4/22/94 110	4/19/94 260	10/24/94 230	8/20/94 240	9/ 9/94 250	6/ 9/94 280
	NEWARK	VEL (MPH)	5.5	2.7	ы. 4.	4.0	2.4	5.2	6.0	5.9	4.9	5.2
		SPD (MPH)	7.2	6.9	6.5	11.5	8.1	0.3 	7.2	7.5	8.2	8.3
-	ETENDOLONION CITE	RATIO	0.763	0.387 770	0.524 50	0.818 200	0.301	0.552	0.839 250	0.792 220	0.600 200	0.627
	METENTOLOUTON BRADLEY	VEL (MPH)	4 4 4	900 4.1	2.6 2.6	4.0	3.1	2.4	9.5 2.9	6.	5.1	3.0
		SPD (MPH)	7.5	3.5	4.9	5.5	4.9	6.2	6.5	2.4	6.5	3.3
		RATIO	0.587	0.414	0.527	0.724	0.629	0.387	0.606	0.350	0.796	0.899
-	METEOROLOGICAL SITE	DIR (DEG)	240	180	270	280	110	210	240	230	250	250 250
	BKINGEPOKI	VEL (MPH)	20	ר ה היג	י ת י ת	0 G 0 F	9.0 9.0	0.4 0.4	4 4	4 4	4 (C	9 9 9 9
			0.868	0.346	0.675	0.960	0.953	0.865	0.866	0.834	0.757	0.712
_	METEOROLOGICAL SITE	DIR (DEG)	250	350	20	290	70	230	260	270	240	270
	WORCESTER	VEL (MPH)	6.3	3.7	3.5	8.2	7.2	7.4	5.0	4.1	6.2	7.9
		(Hdin) das	6.5	4.5	5.0	8.5	7.5	8.5	5.5	4.6	6.5	8.2
		RATIO	0.980	0.838	0.691	0.972	0.966	0.876	0.908	0.883	0.965	0.966
EAST	HARTFORD-003 (4928)	NO2	.077	.065	.061	.061	.059	.058	.058	.058	.058	.057
		DATE	10/30/94	10/13/94	10/31/94	4/25/94	10/28/94	10/24/94	9/13/94	9/21/94	4/14/94	10/18/94
	METEOROLOGICAL SITE	DIR (DEG)	220	80	90	110	240	230	270	140	250	120
	NEWARK	VEL (MPH)	0 0 0	- r 4 (	0.7 0	4 •	6.3 •	0 0 0	4 L	6. N		יי ר-ע
			7.1	0.0 976 0	0.0 6 570	0.1 0 701	0 0 100	7.7	010 0	0.4 0.10	10.1	0.0
1	VETEOROLOCICAL SITE	DIR (DEC)	210	002.0	0100	1901	190	0.0J3	280	91 / - 9	280	200
	MELLENVELOUTONE JILE	VEL (MPH)	14 54	а.5 2.5	2.5 4.5	3.1	2.1	3.9	4.0	1.5 2.1	3.2	2.0
		(HdW) Ods	7.5	9.0	5.0	4.9	2.7	6.5	5.5	3.5	5.5	4.7
		RATIO	0.587	0.901	0.485	0.629	0.763	0.606	0.724	0.427	0.584	0.418
-	METEOROLOGICAL SITE	DIR (DEG)	240	240	110	110	250	240	280	200	260	110
	BRIDGEPORT	VEL (MPH)	6.2	4.0	0.0 .0	0.0 	6.2 1	4	6.8 1	2.5	ດ. ເ	2.1
		(H-M) Ods	7.2	0.0	5.3	7.2	7.0	<b>4.9</b>	7.0	4.2	6.5 200	4.0 0.1
		RATIO	0.868	0.616	0.569	0.953 70	0.874	0.866 966	0.960	0.591	0.904	0.514
	METEOROLOGICAL SITE	VEI (MPH)	2027	5.16 5	30 7 8	о́ г	907 1	00 7 7 7	222	0 Y 7	0 4 0	1 8
		SPD (MPH)	0.5	6.2	5.0	7.5	7.2	5.5	8.51	2.7	8.6	3.7
		RATIO	0.980	0.987	0.840	0.966	0.983	0.908	0.972	0.185	0.975	0.469
NEW H	AVEN-123 (4218)	SUN SUN	080	07R	077	677	076	075	673	073	070	070
		DATE	R/ 1/04	A0/ 70/ 8	0/21/04	5 /73 /04	0/0/0	4/10/04	0/00/0	10/30/04	4/ 4/04	5/28/04
	METEOROLOGICAL SITE	DIR (DEC)	0/ // 210 210	280	3/.4/.01 140	260	250	260	200	220	360	240
	NEWARK	VEL (MPH)	5.4	3.6	2.9	3.4	4.9	5.2	4.8	5.5	4.3	9.7
		SPD (MPH)	7.0	5.8	4.0	6.5	8.2	9.3	7.0	7.2	8.8 8	11.4
		RATIÒ	0.767	0.632	0.710	0.524	0.600	0.552	0.680	0.763	0.491	0.852
	METEOROLOGICAL SITE	DIR (DEG)	220	300	30	50	200	180	230	210	340	260
	BRADLEY	VEL (MPH)	1.6	2.8	1.5	2.6	5.1	2.4	2.5	4.4	6.3	5.1
		(HJW) QJS	1.7	3.5	3.5	4.9	6.5	6.2	4.6	7.5	7.0	6.8
		RATIO	0.948	0.807	0.427	0.527	0.796	0.387	0.541	0.587	0.900	0.759

TABLE 5-2, CONTINUED

1994 TEN HIGHEST 1-HOUR AVERAGE NO2 DAYS WITH WIND DATA

									: STINU	PARTS PEI	S MILLION
TOMN-SITE (SAMPLES)	RANK	-	7	ю	4	ŝ	Q	7	œ	თ	10
METEOROLOGICAL SITE	DIR (DEG)	230	240	200	270	250	210	240	240	350	220
BRIDGEPORT	VEL (MPH)	4.5	4.4	2.5	3.7	4.9	3.6	5.0	6.2	3.3	9.1
	(How) Ods	4.7	4.5	4.2	5.5	6.5	4.2	5.9	7.2	6.9	9.5
	RATIO	0.950	0.981	0.591	0.675	0.757	0.865	0.847	0.868	0.471	0.957
METEOROLOGICAL SITE	DIR (DEG)	240	280	220	20	240	230	270	250	270	260
WORCESTER	: VEL (MPH)	3.0	5.7	5.	3.5	6.2	7.4	5.8	6.3	-	9.3
	SPD (MPH)	4.2	6.0	2.7	5.0	6.5	8.5	6.3	6.5	1.0	9.5
	RATIO	0.711	0.952	0.185	0.691	0.965	0.876	0.923	0.980	0.144	0.983



### FIGURE 5-3

3-YEAR AVERAGES OF THE ANNUAL NO2 CONCENTRATIONS AT THREE SITES



### FIGURE 5-2

-87**-**

### VI. CARBON MONOXIDE

### **HEALTH EFFECTS**

Carbon monoxide (CO) is a colorless, odorless, poison gas formed when carbon-containing fuel is not burned completely. It is by far the most plentiful air pollutant. Fortunately, this deadly gas does not persist in the atmosphere. It is apparently converted by natural processes to carbon dioxide in ways not yet understood, and this is done quickly enough to prevent any general buildup. However, CO can reach dangerous levels in local areas, such as city-street canyons with heavy auto traffic and little wind.

Clinical experience with accidental CO poisoning has shown clearly how it affects the body. When the gas is breathed, CO replaces oxygen in the red blood cells, reducing the amount of oxygen that can reach the body cells and maintain life. Lack of oxygen affects the brain, and the first symptoms are impaired perception and thinking. Reflexes are slowed, judgement weakened, and drowsiness ensues. An auto driver breathing high levels of CO is more likely to have an accident; an athlete's performance and skill drop suddenly. Lack of oxygen then affects the heart. Death can come from heart failure or general asphyxiation if a person is exposed to very high levels of CO.

### CONCLUSIONS

The one-hour National Ambient Air Quality Standard of 35 parts per million (ppm) was not exceeded at any of the five carbon monoxide monitoring sites in Connecticut during 1994. Nor was there an exceedance of the 9 ppm eight-hour standard.

In order to put the monitoring data into proper perspective, it must be realized that carbon monoxide concentrations vary greatly from place-to-place. The magnitude and frequency of high concentrations observed at any monitoring site are not necessarily indicative of widespread CO levels. Mobile sources contribute 83% of the CO emissions in Connecticut, and three quarters of this can be attributed to motor vehicles. Therefore, the highest concentrations occur in areas of traffic congestion. In fact, 4 of the 5 CO monitors in Connecticut are sited specifically to measure CO levels from high traffic areas. The fifth monitor (Hartford 013) is located in a populated area and represents background levels of a neighborhood scale.

As Connecticut's SIP control strategies are implemented, there should continue to be a decrease in the number of areas with traffic congestion. Also, as federal and state mandated controls continue to reduce emissions from new motor vehicles, a reduction in ambient CO levels should continue to be achieved.

Unlike  $SO_2$ , particulate matter, and  $O_3$ , elevated CO levels are not often associated with southwesterly winds, indicating that this pollutant is more of a local-scale, rather than a regional-scale, problem. Moreover, high CO levels tend to occur during the colder months when there are low atmospheric mixing heights, stable conditions and high CO auto emissions due to cold engine operation. Stable conditions, which are characterized by cold temperatures at the surface and warm temperatures aloft, discourage surface mixing and result in calm surface conditions. With little or no surface winds, CO emissions can accumulate to unhealthy levels.

### **METHOD OF MEASUREMENT**

The DEP Air Monitoring Section uses instruments employing a non-dispersive infrared technique to continuously measure carbon monoxide levels. The instantaneous concentrations are electronically recorded at the site, averaged for each hour, and stored for transmission to the central computer in Hartford. Due to the relative inertness of CO, a long sampling line can be used without the danger of CO being depleted by chemical reactions within the lines. The most important consideration in the measurement of CO is the placement of the sampling probe inlet -- that is, its proximity to traffic lanes.

### **DISCUSSION OF DATA**

**Monitoring Network** - The network in 1994 consisted of five carbon monoxide monitors: Bridgeport 004, Hartford 013, Hartford 017, New Haven 019, and Stamford 020. They are all located in urban areas. All the sites are also located west of the Connecticut River, with three of them in coastal towns (see Figure 6-1).

**Precision and Accuracy** - The carbon monoxide monitors had a total of 192 precision checks during 1994. The resulting 95% probability limits were -2% to +4%. Accuracy is determined by introducing a known amount of CO into each of the monitors. Eight audits for accuracy were conducted on the monitoring network in 1994. Three different concentration levels were tested on each monitor: low, medium and high. The 95% probability limits ranged from -1% to +4% for the low level test; 0% to +2% for the medium level test; and -1% to +2% for the high level test.

**8-Hour and 1-Hour Averages** - An 8-hour concentration is said to exceed the standard of 9 ppm if it is equal to or greater than 9.5 ppm. No site had an exceedance of the 8-hour CO standard, which means that the standard was not violated in Connecticut in 1994 (see Table 6-1). The maximum 8-hour running average increased at each site from 1993 to 1994. The increases ranged from 1.1 ppm at Hartford 017 to 3.9 ppm at Bridgeport 004. The second highest 8-hour running average also increased from 1993 to 1994 at each site. The increases ranged from 0.7 ppm at Hartford 017 to 2.1 ppm at Bridgeport 004.

As for 1-hour averages, no site in the state recorded a value exceeding the primary 1-hour standard of 35 ppm. Again, all sites recorded maximum 1-hour values that were higher than the year before. The increases ranged from 1.6 ppm at Stamford 020 to 4.0 ppm at New Haven 019. And the second high 1-hour values at all the sites were also higher in 1994. The increases ranged from 1.3 ppm at Stamford 020 to 5.2 ppm at Hartford 017.

The maximum and second high CO concentrations at each site are presented in Table 6-1. Table 6-2 presents monthly high concentrations and the monthly average concentration at each site. Seasonal variations in CO levels can be observed using this table.

**Trends** - Due to the local nature of CO emissions, it is not appropriate to give an estimate of widespread CO trends. However, local CO trends can be addressed in a number of ways. Exceedances of the 8-hour standard can be tracked in order to determine if a CO problem is worsening or abating at a site. This is illustrated in Table 6-3. One can see that over the past five years the Hartford-017 site is the only monitoring site with an exceedance of the 8-hour CO standard. No exceedances were recorded at any of the other sites during this period.

Another way of illustrating local CO trends is to use running averages. Running averages have the advantage of smoothing out the abrupt, transitory changes in pollutant levels that are often evident in consecutive sampling periods and from one season to the next. Figure 6-2 shows the 36-month running averages of the hourly CO concentrations at each monitoring site. CO levels are relatively flat at Bridgeport 004, Hartford 013 and Stamford 020, and are falling at Hartford 017 and New Haven 019.



TABLE 6-1

# **1994 CARBON MONOXIDE STANDARDS ASSESSMENT SUMMARY**

TOWN-SITE	MAXIMUM 8-HOUR RUNNING <u>AVERAGE</u>	TIME OF MAXIMUM 8-HOUR RUNNING AVERAGE1	2ND HIGH 8-HOUR RUNNING AVERAGE	TIME OF 2ND HIGH 8-HOUR RUNNING <u>AVERAGE</u> 1	MAXIMUM 1-HOUR <u>AVERAGE</u>	TIME OF MAXIMUM 1-HOUR <u>AVERAGE</u> 2	2ND HIGH 1-HOUR AVERAGE	TIME OF 2ND HIGH 1-HOUR <u>AVERAGE</u> 2
Bridgeport-004	7.7	02/21/19	5.8	02/21/14	11.1	02/21/17	9.6	02/18/18
Hartford-013	7.5	12/23/01	4.8	12/22/21	8.9	12/22/23	8.4	12/22/21
Hartford-017	8.6	02/18/20	7.9	12/23/01	18.9	02/18/18	15.7	02/18/17
New Haven-019 <sup>3</sup>	8.3	02/21/19	7.5	01/20/06	13.8	02/19/24	12.4	02/21/18
Stamford-020	7.1	12/22/01	6.2	02/19/01	9.9	12/21/20	9.6	12/21/21

<sup>1</sup> The time of the 8-hour average is reported as follows: month/day/hour (EST), specifying the end of the 8-hour period. <sup>2</sup> The time of the 1-hour average is reported as follows: month/day/hour (EST), specifying the end of the 1-hour period. <sup>3</sup> DEP was forced to vacate the site ( first week of April). A new site is being sought.

N.B. The CO averages are expressed in terms of parts per million (ppm).

TABLE 6-2

### **1994 CARBON MONOXIDE SEASONAL FEATURES**

TOWN-SITE	AVERAGING PERIOD	NAL	EB .	MAR	APR	<u>MAY</u>			AUG	SEP	<u>0CT</u>	NON 1	
Bridgeport-004	Max. 1-Hour May Bunning	6.9	11.1	<u>5</u> .1	с. С	2.2	с. С	3.0	с. С.	с. С.	4.0	5.8	
	8-Hour	3.7	7.7	3.2	1.9	2.0	2.6	2.2	2.6	2.4	2.4	3.9	
	Month	1.1	1.5	1.1	1.0	0.9	1.1	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.0	
Hartford-013	Max. 1-Hour	5.5	4.5	4.3	2.7	1.9	1.7	1.4	2.4	2.9	3.0	3.9	
	Max. Running 8-Hour	3.4	3.6	3.0	2.1	1.4	1.3	1.1	1.9	2.0	2.4	3.4	
	Month	0.8	1.0	0.9	0.8	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.9	0.7	
Hartford-017	Max. 1-Hour	13.1	18.9	12.2	6.8	5.6	6.1	6.1	5.9	6.7	10.5	8.4	
	Max. Running 8-Hour	6.7	8.6	7.3	5.3	3.6	3.2	3.9	3.8	4.0	5.4	4.9	
	Month	1.9	2.0	2.0	1.6	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.5	1.7	1.9	1.8	
New Haven-019	Max. 1-Hour	4.8	13.8	5.2	3.0								
	Max. Running 8-Hour	2.8	8.3	3.8	2.0								
	Month	1.3	1.7	1.3	1.1								
Stamford-020	Max. 1-Hour	6.5	7.9	5.9	3.9	4.3	3.0	4.1	4.0	4.7	6.6	6.0	
	Max. Running 8-Hour	4.1	6.2	4.3	2.8	2.9	2.4	2.7	2.8	3.3	3.3	4.7	
	Month	1.9	2.3	1.8	1.4	1.4	1.3	1.5	1.5	1.6	1.7	1.5	
NETWORK	Month	1.4	1.7	1.4	1.2	1.0	1.1	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.3	

N.B. The CO concentrations are in terms of parts per million (ppm).

### TABLE 6-3

### **EXCEEDANCES OF THE 8-HOUR CO STANDARD FOR 1990 - 1994**

SITE	<u>1990</u>	<u>1991</u>	<u>1992</u>	<u>1993</u>	<u>1994</u>
Bridgeport-004	0	0	0	0	0
Hartford-013	0a	0	0	0	0
Hartford-017	0	1	1	0.	0
New Haven-019	0	0	0	0	0ь
Stamford-020	0	0	0	0	• 0

<sup>a</sup> Data are missing for April through most of October due to road construction. <sup>b</sup> The site was shut down in the first week of April. FIGURE 6-2

# 36-MONTH RUNNING AVERAGES OF THE HOURLY CO CONCENTRATIONS



### VII. LEAD

### **HEALTH EFFECTS**

Lead (Pb) is a soft, dull gray, odorless and tasteless heavy metal. It is a ubiquitous element that is widely distributed in small amounts, particularly in soil and in all living things. Although the metallic form of lead is reactive and rarely occurs in nature, lead is prevalent in the environment in the form of various inorganic compounds, and occasional concentrated deposits of lead compounds occur in the earth's crust.

The presence of lead in the atmosphere is primarily accounted for by the emissions of lead compounds from man-made processes, such as the extraction and processing of metallic ores, the incineration of solid wastes, and the operation of motor vehicles. Nationally, in 1994, these source categories contributed 41%, 17% and 32%, respectively, of the atmospheric lead. The motor vehicle contribution, while still a large source of airborne lead emissions, has decreased significantly over the years and, since 1988, is no longer the largest source of nationwide airborne lead emissions. These emissions are in the form of fine-to-course particulate matter and are comprised of lead sulfate, ammonium lead halides, and lead halides, of which the chief component is lead bromochloride. The halide compounds appear to undergo chemical changes over a period of hours and are converted to lead carbonate, oxide and oxycarbonate.

The most important sources of lead in humans and other animals are ingestion of foods and beverages, inhalation of airborne lead, and the eating of non-food substances. From the standpoint of the general population, the intake of lead into the body is primarily through ingestion. The airborne lead settles out on crops and water supplies and is then ingested by the general population. The direct intake of lead from the ambient air is relatively small.

Overexposure to lead in the United States is primarily a problem in children. Age, pica, diet, nutritional status, and multiple sources of exposure serve to increase the risk of lead poisoning in children. This is especially true in the inner cities where the prevalence of lead poisoning is greatest. Overexposure to lead compounds may result in undesirable biologic effects. These effects range from reversible clinical or metabolic symptoms, which disappear after cessation of exposure, to permanent damage or death from a single extreme dose or prolonged overexposure. Clinical lead poisoning is accompanied by symptoms of intestinal cramps, peripheral nerve paralysis, anemia, and severe fatigue. Very severe exposure results in permanent neurological, renal, or cardiovascular damage or death.

### CONCLUSIONS

The Connecticut primary and secondary ambient air quality standard for lead and its compounds was not exceeded at any site in Connecticut during 1994.

The monitoring sites where the lead levels were highest were generally in urban locations with moderate to heavy traffic. In Connecticut, this is due to the fact that the primary source of lead to the atmosphere is the combustion of gasoline, which still contains trace amounts of lead.

### SAMPLE COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS

The Air Monitoring Unit used hi-vol samplers in 1994 to obtain ambient concentrations of lead. These samplers are used to collect particulate matter onto fiberglass filters. The particulate matter collected on the filters is subsequently analyzed for its chemical composition. Wet chemistry techniques are used to separate the particulate matter into various components. The lead content of the particulate matter is determined using an atomic absorption spectrophotometer.

Unlike hi-vol particulate samples which are analyzed separately, the hi-vol lead sample is a composite of all the individual samples obtained at a site in a single month. That is, a cutting is taken from each filter during the month, and these cuttings are collectively chemically analyzed for lead.

### **DISCUSSION OF DATA**

**Monitoring Network** - In 1994, only hi-vol samplers were operated in Connecticut to determine lead levels. There were 6 such samplers operated throughout the state by the DEP in five areas with populations of 200,000 or more: Bridgeport, East Hartford, Hartford, New Haven and Waterbury (see Figure 7-1). The samplers are situated near some of the busiest city streets and highways in order to monitor "worst-case" lead concentrations.

Much of the lead monitoring network was dismantled in 1988 due to the changeover from hi-vol to  $PM_{10}$  monitoring in the particulate matter network. By the end of that year, all but two of the hi-vol lead sampling sites were terminated: Hartford 013 and New Haven 013. By the end of 1989, the remaining hi-vol samplers were terminated and only lo-vol samplers were in use.

In 1991, the lo-vols were replaced by hi-vols. The primary reason for this has to do with data losses resulting from instrument problems or failures. With a lo-vol, an entire month of data is invalidated if an instrument fails because lo-vols operate continuously for a month. In the case of a hi-vol, instrument problems or failures result in the loss of only a single 24-hour sample.

**Precision and Accuracy** - Due to the very low airborne lead concentrations, precision checks yield 95% probability limits that are statistically unrealistic. Accuracy for lead can be assessed in two ways. One is by auditing the air flow through the monitors. Five audits for flow accuracy were conducted on the monitoring network in 1994. The probability limits ranged from -8% to +1%. Accuracy can also be defined as the accuracy of the analysis method. This is determined by the chemical analysis of known lead samples. On this basis, 4 audits were performed on the network. Two different concentration levels were tested: high and low. The 95% probability limits for the low level ranged from 0% to +4%; those for the high level ranged from -2% to +3%.

**NAAQS** - Connecticut's ambient air quality standard for lead and its compounds, measured as elemental lead, is: 1.5 micrograms per cubic meter ( $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>), maximum arithmetic mean averaged over three consecutive calendar months. This standard was enacted on November 2, 1981. Previously, Connecticut's lead standard was identical to the national standard: 1.5  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> for a calendar quarter-year average. The change to a 3-month running average means that a more stringent standard applies in Connecticut, since there are three times as many data blocks within a calendar year which must not exceed the limiting concentration of 1.5  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>.

**3-Month Running Averages -** Three-month running average lead concentrations for 1994 are given in Table 7-1. All are significantly below the primary and secondary standard of  $1.5 \,\mu\text{g/m}^3$ .

**Trends** - A downward trend in measured concentrations of lead has been observed since 1977. This is due to the increasing use of unleaded gasoline. Figure 7-2 shows that the decrease in statewide ambient average lead concentrations has been commensurate with a decrease in lead emissions from gasoline combustion from 1982 to 1989. In fact, this relationship is so close it has a correlation coefficient of 0.987 (see Figure 7-3). Reliable data on the sales of leaded gasoline in Connecticut are unavailable after 1989; so lead emissions are no longer updated in Figure 7-2, and Figure 7-3 contains only pre-1990 data.

The downward trend in airborne lead concentrations can be expected to level off when the use of leaded gasoline is finally phased out or minimized. Lead emissions will then rise and fall with the number of vehicle miles travelled (VMT's) by the population. This is due to the fact that so-called unleaded gasoline still contains a small proportion of lead.



**TABLE 7-1** 

# **1994 3-MONTH RUNNING AVERAGE LEAD CONCENTRATIONS<sup>a</sup>**

TOWN-SITE	NAL	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	NUL		AUG	SEP	001	NOV	DEC
Bridgeport-010	0.01		81 M M M		0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02
East Hartford-004	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
Hartford-016	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01			0.02
New Haven-018	0.20	0.12	0.09	0.15	0.17	0.17	0.14	0.10	0.08	0:05	0.04	0.06
Waterbury-123	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02

 $^{\rm a}$  The lead concentrations are in terms of micrograms per cubic meter ( $\mu g/m^3).$ 



**FIGURE 7-2** 

## **STATEWIDE ANNUAL LEAD EMISSIONS FROM GASOLINE**

-100-


# STATEWIDE ANNUAL AVERAGE LEAD CONCENTRATIONS

<u>Vs</u>.

# **STATEWIDE ANNUAL LEAD EMISSIONS FROM GASOLINE**



### VIII. CLIMATOLOGICAL DATA

Weather is often the most significant factor influencing short-term changes in air quality. It also has an affect on long-term trends. Climatological information from the National Weather Service station at Bradley International Airport in Windsor Locks is shown in Table 8-1 for the years 1993 and 1994. Table 8-2 contains information from the National Weather Service station located at Sikorsky Memorial Airport near Bridgeport. All data are compared to "mean" or "normal" values. Wind speeds<sup>1</sup> and temperatures are shown as monthly and yearly averages. Precipitation data includes both the number of days with more than 0.01 inches of precipitation and the total water equivalent. Also shown are the number of degree days<sup>2</sup> (heating requirement) and the number of days with temperatures exceeding 90°F.

Wind roses for Bradley Airport and Newark Airport have been developed from 1994 National Weather Service surface observations and are shown in Figures 8-2 and 8-4, respectively. Wind roses from these stations for 1993 are shown in Figures 8-1 and 8-3, respectively.

<sup>1</sup> The mean wind speed for a month or year is calculated from all the hourly wind speeds, regardless of the wind directions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The degree day value for each day is arrived at by subtracting the average temperature of the day from 65°F. This number (65) is used as a base value because it is assumed that there is no heating requirement when the outside temperature is 65°F.

TABLE 8-1

### 1993 AND 1994 CLIMATOLOGICAL DATA

## **BRADLEY INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, WINDSOR LOCKS**

NO. OF DAYS

<		Ц	N	D. OF D/	ΔΥS TEMID				PREC		NC TN:	WITH 0.01	MORE T	HAN OF	AVFF	AGE WI	
ERATU		RE +	EXC	EEDED	90 °F	DEG	REE DA	<u>\YS</u>	INCHES	OF W	ATER	PREC	IPITATIO		SPE	ED (MPH	
1994		Mean <sup>a</sup>	1993	1994	Mean <sup>b</sup>	1993	1994 N	Jormal <sup>c</sup>	1993	1994	Mean <sup>a</sup>	1993	1994	Mean <sup>d</sup>	1993	1994 1	Mean <sup>d</sup>
18.8	~	26.6	0	0	0.0	1114	1424	1252	2.63	5.83	3.53	11	13	10.6	9.2	8.7	0.6
23.	7	27.8	0	0	0.0	1148	1163	1050	2.90	3.38	3.17	7	12	10.3	10.5	7.6	9.4
36	-	37.2	0	0	0.0	935	888	853	6.67	5.70	3.76	14	18	11.6	10.2	8.3	9.9
ណ៍	0.0	48.2	0	0	0.3	454	417	489	4.71	2.51	3.74	15	12	11.2	9.3	6.9	9.9
n	8.3	59.2	•	F	1.2	139	226	194	1.92	4.12	3.71	ø	14	11.7	6.9	8.0	8.8
	1.1	67.9	m	4	3.5	43	15	20	2.63	3.84	3.59	11	12	11.3	6.2	6.9	8.1
	1.1	73.2	7	6	7.7	m	0	0	4.90	5.32	3.59	6	12	9.8	4.7	4.3	7.3
	0.0	71.0	7	0	4.7	4	ø	9	1.80	5.33	3.93	80	15	9.9	4.5	4.5	7.1
•	53.7	63.5	-	0	1.3	142	11	96	5.35	5.47	3.64	13	10	9.5	5.6	6.5	7.3
	52.6	52.9	0	0	*	464	379	397	4.15	1.53	3.22	12	ø	8.5	7.1	6.1	7.8
	46.1	42.1	0	0	0.0	722	561	693	3.27	4.57	3.84	12	ø	11.1	7.0	7.9	8.4
	35.0	30.4	0	0	0.0	1049	923	1101	4.16	5.38	3.73	10	10	11.9	8.0	8.7	8.7
ц,	50.2	50.0	19	14	18.6	6217	6081	6151	45.09	52.98	43.46	130	144	127.5	7.4	7.0	8.5

\* Less than 0.05Extracted From:Local Climatological Data Chartsa 1905-1994U.S. Department of Commerceb 1960-1994National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administrationc 1961-1990Environmental Data Serviced 1955-19941955-1994

TABLE 8-2

.

### 1993 AND 1994 CLIMATOLOGICAL DATA SIKORSKY INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, STRATFORD

	QNI	Ē	Mean <sup>f</sup>	13.2	13.6	13.5	13.0	11.6	10.5	10.0	10.1	11.2	11.9	12.7	13.0	12.0
	RAGE W	ED (MP	1994	I	I	ļ	ł	1	ł	ł	I	ł	1	ł	ł	1
	AVE	SPE	1993	1	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	1	I	I	I
S HAN	DF	z	Mean <sup>e</sup>	10.7	9.7	11.2	10.6	11.0	9.4	8.7	9.4	8.7	7.3	10.0	11.2	118.0
of day More ti	INCHES (	<b>PITATIC</b>	1994	13	6	13	14	13	11	ø	13	10	9	6	11	130
NO.	0.01	PRECI	1993	13	80	12	13	80	10	ß	თ	14	ø	ß	თ	114
Z	NT	TER	Mean <sup>d</sup>	3.54	3.21	3.96	3.81	3.74	3.31	3.67	4.07	3.50	3.34	3.77	3.64	13.55
ΙΡΙΤΑΤΙΟ	UIVALEI	OF WA	1994 N	5.12	3.17	5.74	2.85	3.42	1.51	1.82	4.95	4.46	1.06	3.13	3.73	96.0t
PREC	IN EQ	INCHES	1993	2.60	2.60	6.75	3.50	2.25	1.42	1.58	1.58	6.60	4.00	1.71	4.54	39.13 4
		۲S	ormal <sup>c</sup>	1119	696	818	504	219	18	0	0	54	302	582	952	5537
		REE DA	1994 N	1283	1080	843	425	208	٢	0	-	39	306	473	807	5472
		DEG	1993	966	1036	871	465	127	27	2	0	89	358	597	606	5447
YS	TEMP.	30 °F	Mean <sup>b</sup>	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.2	1.1	3.4	1.7	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.8
0. OF D/	NAX.	EEDED	1994	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	0	0	0	0	0	11
Ň	WHEN	EXC	1993	0	0	0	0	0	2	ø	9	-	0	0	0	17
		부	Mean <sup>a</sup>	28.5	30.6	38.0	48.1	58.5	67.8	73.4	72.1	65.2	54.6	44.3	33.3	51.2
	VERAGE	ERATUR	1994	23.4	26.2	37.5	50.6	58.6	71.8	78.5	72.0	65.4	54.9	48.9	38.7	52.2
	A	TEMP	1993	33.6	27.9	36.7	49.2	61.4	70.0	76.7	75.3	65.7	53.3	45.0	35.5	52.5
				Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	nn	lul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	YEAR

Local Climatological Data Charts	U.S. Department of Commerce	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration	Environmental Data Service			
Extracted From:						
* Less than 0.05	a 1903-1994	b 1966-1994	c 1961-1990	d 1894-1994	e 1949-1994	f 1958-1980

-104-

### ANNUAL WIND ROSE FOR 1993 BRADLEY INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT WINDSOR LOCKS, CONNECTICUT



### ANNUAL WIND ROSE FOR 1994 BRADLEY INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT WINDSOR LOCKS, CONNECTICUT



### ANNUAL WIND ROSE FOR 1993 NEWARK INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT NEWARK, NEW JERSEY



### ANNUAL WIND ROSE FOR 1994 NEWARK INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT NEWARK, NEW JERSEY



### IX. ATTAINMENT AND NON-ATTAINMENT OF THE NAAQS IN CONNECTICUT

The State of Connecticut can be broadly designated as either attainment or non-attainment with respect to the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for the following pollutants: particulate matter no greater than 10 micrometers in diameter ( $PM_{10}$ ); sulfur dioxide ( $SO_2$ ); ozone ( $O_3$ ); nitrogen dioxide ( $NO_2$ ); carbon monoxide (CO); and lead (Pb). The 1994 designations are:

<u>Attainment</u>	<u>Non-attainment</u>
NO <sub>2</sub>	СО
Pb	Ozone
SO <sub>2</sub>	PM <sub>10</sub>

When the State has been designated as attainment for a pollutant, all regions of the State are in compliance with all the standards (i.e., short term and long term; primary and secondary) for the particular pollutant. This is the case for  $NO_2$ , Pb and  $SO_2$ .

When the State has been designated as non-attainment for a pollutant, one or more of the standards for the pollutant have been violated in one or more regions of the State. The non-attainment designation that is subsequently applied to a region can reflect the "degree" of non-attainment depending upon a number of factors: the air pollution history in the region; previous designation of the region as either attainment or non-attainment; lack of air pollutant monitoring in the region; inferences made based on pollutant monitoring done in adjacent or similar regions, *et al.* For example, the whole state is designated as non-attainment for ozone, but the degree of non-attainment varies between regions (see Figure 9-1). The region comprising Fairfield County (less Shelton), New Milford and Bridgewater is designated as "severe non-attainment" for ozone, while the rest of the State is designated as "serious non-attainment." The difference in the two designations is explained by higher ozone concentrations in excess of the 1-hour ozone standard in the Fairfield County portion of the NY-NJ-CT non-attainment area.

For CO, there is a mix of both attainment and non-attainment regions (see Figure 9-2). The region comprising Fairfield County (less Shelton), New Milford and Bridgewater is designated as "moderate non-attainment" primarily due to exceedances of the 8-hour CO standard in the New York / New Jersey portion of the region (not shown). The region comprising Hartford County (less Hartland), Tolland County, Middlesex County and Plymouth is designated as "moderate non-attainment" due to exceedances of the 8-hour CO standard in the city of Hartford. The region comprising New Haven County, Bethlehem, Watertown, Woodbury, Thomaston and Shelton is designated as "unclassified non-attainment." This designation reflects the fact that although no exceedances of the CO standards have been recorded there in the recent past, the region was previously part of the New Haven -- Hartford -- Springfield Air Quality Control Region which was designated as non-attainment due to exceedances of the 8-hour CO standard recorded in the city of Hartford. The two remaining regions of the State are designated as "unclassified attainment." This designation reflects the fact that ford as non-attainment due to exceedances of the 8-hour CO standard recorded in the city of Hartford. The two remaining regions of the State are designated as "unclassified attainment." This designation reflects the fact that although no CO monitoring has been done in these regions, their status as attainment areas can be inferred from population and traffic density data.

For  $PM_{10}$ , the entire State is designated as attainment, except for the city of New Haven (see Figure 9-3).







### X. CONNECTICUT SLAMS AND NAMS NETWORK

On May 10, 1979, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency made public its final rulemaking for ambient air monitoring and data reporting requirements in the "Federal Register" (Vol. 44, No. 92). These regulations, which can also be found in Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 58, Appendix A through G, are meant to ensure the acceptability of air measurement data, the comparability of data from all monitoring stations nationwide, the cost-effectiveness of monitoring networks, and timely data submission for assessment purposes. The regulations address a number of key areas including quality assurance, monitoring methodologies, network design, probe siting and data reporting. Detailed requirements and specific criteria are provided which form the framework for ambient air quality monitoring. These regulations apply to all parties conducting ambient air quality monitoring for the purpose of supporting or complying with environmental regulations. In particular, state/local control agencies and industrial/private concerns involved in air monitoring are directly influenced by specific requirements, compliance dates and recommended guidelines.

### **QUALITY ASSURANCE**

The regulations specify the minimum quality assurance requirements for State and Local Air Monitoring Stations (SLAMS) networks and for National Air Monitoring Stations (NAMS) networks, which are a subset of SLAMS. Two distinct and equally important functions make up the quality assurance program: assessment of the quality of monitoring data by statistically calculating their precision and accuracy, and control of the quality of the data by implementation of quality control policies, procedures and corrective actions, and by overseeing their proper implementation. (See Part D of Section I, Quality Assurance).

The data assessment requirements entail the determination of precision and accuracy for both continuous and manual methods. A one-point precision check must be carried out at least once every other week on each automated analyzer used to measure  $SO_2$ ,  $NO_2$ , CO and  $O_3$ . Standards from which the precision check test data are derived must meet specifications detailed in the regulations. For manual methods, precision checks are to be accomplished by operating co-located duplicate samplers. In 1994, Connecticut maintained three co-located  $PM_{10}$  samplers (Hartford 015, New Haven 123 and Waterbury 123) and one co-located lead sampler (Waterbury 123).

Accuracy determinations for automated analyzers (SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub>, CO, O<sub>3</sub>) are accomplished by audits performed by an independent auditor utilizing equipment and gases which are disassociated from the normal network operations. Accuracy determinations are accomplished via traceable standard flow devices for hi-vols and also spiked strip analyses for lead. During each calendar quarter, at least 25% of each pollutant SLAMS network must be audited.

All precision and accuracy results are statistics derived through calculation methods specified by the regulations, with the data and results reported quarterly. The NAMS network is actually part of the SLAMS network; so the SLAMS accuracy determinations also apply to the NAMS network. The distinguishing characteristics of NAMS are: 1) the sites are located in high population, high pollution areas (i.e., urban areas); 2) only continuous instruments are used to monitor gaseous pollutants; 3) the regulations specify a minimum number and locations for them; and 4) the data, in addition to being included in the annual report, are required to be reported quarterly to EPA.

In order to control the quality of data, the monitoring program has operational procedures for each of the following activities:

- 1. Selection of methods, analyzers, and samplers,
- 2. Site selection and probe siting,
- 3. Equipment purchase, check-out and installation,
- 4. Instrument calibration,
- 5. Control checks and their frequency,
- 6. Control limits for control checks, and corrective actions when such limits are exceeded,
- 7. Preventive and remedial maintenance,
- 8. Documentation of quality control information, and
- 9. Data recording, reduction, validation and reporting.

### MONITORING METHODOLOGIES

Except as otherwise stated within the regulations, the monitoring methods used must be "reference" or "equivalent," as designated by the EPA. Table 10-1 lists methods used in Connecticut's network in 1994 which were on the EPA-approved list as of February 8, 1993. Additional updates to these approved methods are provided through the "Federal Register."

### NETWORK DESIGN

The regulations also describe monitoring objectives and general criteria to be applied in establishing the SLAMS and NAMS networks and for choosing general locations for new monitors. Criteria are also presented for determining the location and number of monitors. Since January 1, 1984, these criteria have served as the framework for all State Implementation Plan (SIP) monitoring networks.

The SLAMS and NAMS networks are designed to meet four basic monitoring objectives: (1) to determine the highest pollutant concentration in the area; (2) to determine representative concentrations in areas of high population density; (3) to determine the ambient impact of significant sources or source categories; and (4) to determine general background concentration levels. Proper siting of a monitor requires precise specification of the monitoring objectives, which includes a spatial scale of representativeness. The spatial scales of representativeness are specified in the regulations for all pollutants and monitoring objectives. The 1993 SLAMS and NAMS networks in Connecticut are presented and described in Table 10-2.

### PROBE SITING

Location and exposure of monitoring probes are described in Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 58, Appendix E. The probe siting criteria promulgated in the regulations are specific. They are also sufficiently comprehensive to define the requirements for ensuring the uniform collection of compatible and comparable air quality data.

These criteria are detailed by pollutant and include vertical and horizontal probe placement, spacing from obstructions and trees, spacing from roadways, probe material and sample residence time, and various other considerations. A summary of the probe siting criteria is presented in Table 10-3. The siting criteria generally apply to all spatial scales except where noted. The most notable exception is spacing from roadways which is dependent on traffic volume.

For the chemically reactive gases  $SO_2$ ,  $NO_2$ , and  $O_3$ , the regulations specify borosilicate glass, FEP teflon or their equivalent as the only acceptable sample train materials. Additionally, in order to minimize the effects of particulate deposition on probe walls, sample trains for reactive gases must have residence times of less than 20 seconds.

TABLE 10-1

U. S. EPA-APPROVED MONITORING METHODS USED IN CONNECTICUT IN 1994

		<b>Monitoring Methods</b>	
<u>Pollutant</u>	Reference Manual	Reference Automated	Equivalent Automated
PM10	High Volume Method [Wedding & Associates Critical Flow Hi-vol]		Tapered Element Oscillating Microbalance [Rupprecht & Patashnick TEOM Series 1400]
so2			Pulsed Fluorescence [Thermo Electron 43 (0.5) & Thermo Electron 43A (0.5)]
03			UV Absorption [Monitor Labs 8810 (0.5)]
S		Non-dispersive Infrared [Thermo Electron 48 (50)]	
NO <sub>2</sub>		Chemiluminescence [Thermo Electron 42 (1.0)]	
Lead	High Volume Method [General Metal Works GL 2000H]		

( ) = Approved range in ppm

**TABLE 10-2** 

## **1994 SLAMS AND NAMS SITES IN CONNECTICUT**

Spatial Scale of <u>Representativeness</u>		Neighborhood	Micro	Neighborhood	Regional	Neighborhood	Micro	Neighborhood	Regional	Neighborhood	Neighborhood		Neighborhood	Micro	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Middle	Neighborhood	Middle	Middle	Neighborhood
Monitoring Objective		Population	High Concentration	High Concentration	Background	Population	High Concentration	High Concentration	Population	Population	High Concentration		Population	High Concentration	High Concentration	High Concentration	Population	High Concentration	Population	High Concentration	High Concentration	Population
Operating Schedule	ER (PM <sub>10</sub> )	6 <sup>th</sup> day	6 <sup>th</sup> day	6th day	6 <sup>th</sup> day	6 <sup>th</sup> day	6 <sup>th</sup> day	6 <sup>th</sup> day	6 <sup>th</sup> day	6 <sup>th</sup> day	6th day		6 <sup>th</sup> day	6th day								
Analytic Method	TICULATE MATT	Gravimetric	Gravimetric	Gravimetric	Gravimetric	Gravimetric	Gravimetric	Gravimetric	Gravimetric	Gravimetric	Gravimetric		Gravimetric	Gravimetric								
Sampling <u>Method</u>	PAR	Hi-Vol	Hi-Vol	Hi-Vol	Hi-Vol	Hi-Vol	Hi-Vol	Hi-Vol	Hi-Vol	Hi-Vol	Hi-Vol		Hi-Vol	Hi-Vol								
SLAMS or <u>NAMS</u>		z	z	S	S	S	z	S	S	S	S		z	Z	S	S	S	z	z	z	z	S
Site		010	014	001	001	123	001	004	005	017	006		013	015	002	003	010	012	013	018	020	123
<u>Urban Area</u>		Bridgeport	Bridgeport	Bristol	NONE	Danbury	Stamford	Hartford	MA-CT*	Stamford	New London/	Norwich	Hartford	Hartford	Meriden	Hartford	Bridgeport	New Britain	New Haven	New Haven	New Haven	New Haven
Town		Bridgeport	Bridgeport	Bristol	Burlington	Danbury	Darien	E. Hartford	Enfield	Greenwich	Groton		Hartford	Hartford	Meriden	Middletown	Milford	New Britain	New Haven	New Haven	New Haven	New Haven

\* Includes Springfield, Chicopee, Holyoke in MA; East Windsor, Enfield, Suffield, Windsor Locks in CT.

$\mathbf{O}$
$\supset$
ورجع
0
U
N
<u> </u>
$\mathbf{O}$
<b>V</b>
indiană R
a de la companya de l
<b>D</b>

## **1994 SLAMS AND NAMS SITES IN CONNECTICUT**

Spatial Scale of <u>Representativeness</u>		Middle		Micro	Neighborhood		Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Regional	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Middle	Neighborhood		Middle	Neighborhood	Micro	Middle	Middle
Monitoring Objective		High Concentration		High Concentration	Population		High Concentration	Population	Background	Population	Population	High Concentration	High Concentration		High Concentration	Population	High Concentration	High Concentration	High Concentration
Operating Schedule	<u>ER (</u> PM <sub>10</sub> )	6 <sup>th</sup> day	•	6 <sup>th</sup> day	6th day		6th day	6 <sup>th</sup> day	6 <sup>th</sup> day	6 <sup>th</sup> day	6 <sup>th</sup> day	6 <sup>th</sup> day	6 <sup>th</sup> day		6th day	6 <sup>th</sup> day	6th day	6th day	6th day
Analytic Method	ICULATE MATT	Gravimetric		Gravimetric	Gravimetric		Gravimetric	Gravimetric	Gravimetric	Gravimetric	Gravimetric	Gravimetric	Gravimetric	LEAD	Atomic Abs.	Atomic Abs.	Atomic Abs.	Atomic Abs.	Atomic Abs.
Sampling <u>Method</u>	PART	Hi-Vol		Hi-Vol	Hi-Vol		Hi-Vol	Hi-Vol	Hi-Vol	Hi-Vol	Hi-Vol	Hi-Vol	Hi-Vol		Hi-Vol	Hi-Vol	Hi-Vol	Hi-Vol	Hi-Vol
SLAMS or <u>NAMS</u>		z		z	S		S	S	S	S	S	z	S		S	z	z	S	S
Site		004		014	002		001	001	001	006	007	123	002		010	004	016	018	123
Urban Area		New London/	Norwich	Norwalk	New London/	Norwich	Stamford	NONE	NONE	New Haven	Waterbury	Waterbury	NONE		Bridgeport	Hartford	Hartford	New Haven	Waterbury
Town		New London		Norwalk	Norwich		Stamford	Torrington	Voluntown	Wallingford	Waterbury	Waterbury	Willimantic		Bridgeport	E. Hartford	Hartford	New Haven	Waterbury

TABLE 10-2, CONTINUED

## **1994 SLAMS AND NAMS SITES IN CONNECTICUT**

Spatial Scale of <u>Representativeness</u>		Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Regional	Urban	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood
Monitoring Objective		High Concentration	High Concentration	Population	High Concentration	Population	Background	Background	Population	Population	Population	High Concentration	High Concentration	Population
Operating Schedule	OXIDE	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous
Sampling & Analytic Method	SULFUR DI	<b>Pulsed Fluorescence</b>	Pulsed Fluorescence	Pulsed Fluorescence	Pulsed Fluorescence	Pulsed Fluorescence	<b>Pulsed Fluorescence</b>	Pulsed Fluorescence	Pulsed Fluorescence	<b>Pulsed Fluorescence</b>	Pulsed Fluorescence	<b>Pulsed Fluorescence</b>	<b>Puised Fluorescence</b>	Pulsed Fluorescence
SLAMS or <u>NAMS</u>		S	z	S	z	S	S	S	S	z	S	z	S	S
Site		012	013	123	900	003	005	017	007	018	003	123	124	123
<u>Urban Area</u>		Bridgeport	Bridgeport	Danbury	Hartford	New Haven	MA - CT*	Stamford	New London/ Norwich	Hartford	NONE	New Haven	Stamford	Waterbury
Town		Bridgeport	Bridgeport	Danbury	E. Hartford	East Haven	Enfield	Greenwich	Groton	Hartford	Mansfield	New Haven	Stamford	Waterbury

\* Includes Springfield, Chicopee, Holyoke in MA; East Windsor, Enfield, Suffield, Windsor Locks in CT.

$\cap$
-
0
U.
~
N
$\mathbf{O}$
<b>Contractor</b>
<u>Rođeni</u> R
$\boldsymbol{\Omega}$

## **1994 SLAMS AND NAMS SITES IN CONNECTICUT**

Spatial Scale of <u>Representativeness</u>		Neighborhood Neighborhood Neighborhood		Neighborhood Urhan	Neighborhood	Urban	Urban		Urban	Urban	Neighborhood	Urban	Urban	Urban		Micro	Neighborhood	Micro	Micro
Monitoring Objective		High Concentration High Concentration High Concentration		Population High Concentration	Population	High Concentration	High Concentration		High Concentration	High Concentration	Population	High Concentration	High Concentration	High Concentration		High Concentration	Population	High Concentration	High Concentration High Concentration
Operating Schedule	I OXIDES	Continuous Continuous Continuous	NE	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous		Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	ONOXIDE	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous Continuous
Sampling & Analytic Method	NITROGEN	Chemiluminescent Chemiluminescent Chemiluminescent	020	Chemiluminescent Chemiluminescent	Chemiluminescent	Chemiluminescent	Chemiluminescent		Chemiluminescent	Chemiluminescent	Chemiluminescent	Chemiluminescent	Chemiluminescent	Chemiluminescent	CARBON M	NDIR	NDIR	NDIR	NDIR
SLAMS or <u>NAMS</u>		ააა		Zv	ηZ	S	S		S	z	z	z	z	Ś	×	S	Z	Z	აა
Site		013 003 123		013	003	017	008		002	007	123	001	007	006		004	013	017	019 020
<u>Urban Area</u>		Bridgeport Hartford New Haven		Bridgeport	Hartford	Stamford	New London/	Norwich	NONE	Hartford	New Haven	NONE	Bridgeport	NONE		Bridgeport	Hartford	Hartford	New Haven Stamford
Town		Bridgeport E. Hartford New Haven		Bridgeport	E. Hartford	Greenwich	Groton		Madison	Middletown	New Haven	Stafford	Stratford	Torrington		Bridgeport	Hartford	Hartford	New Haven Stamford

### TABLE 10-3

## SUMMARY OF PROBE SITING CRITERIA

		Distance fror Structure	n Supporting (meters)	Height Above	
Pollutant	Spatial Scale	Vertical	Horizontala	(meters)	Other Spacing Criteria
PM <sub>10</sub>	Micro		<b>&gt;</b>	2-7	<ol> <li>The sampler should be &gt; 20 meters from the dripline and must be 10 meters from the dripline when any tree acts as an obstruction.</li> <li>The distance from the sampler to an obstacle, such as a building, must be at least twice the height the obstacle protrudes above the sampler, except for street canyon sites.<sup>b</sup></li> <li>There must be unrestricted air flow 270 degrees around the sampler, except for street canyon sites.<sup>b</sup></li> <li>The sampler, except for street canyon sites. A. No furnace or incineration flues should be nearby.<sup>c</sup></li> <li>The spacing from roads varies with trafficd, except for street canyon sites which must be from 2 to 10 meters from the edge of the nearest traffic lane.</li> </ol>
	Middle, neighborhood, urban and regional		>2	2 - 15	<ol> <li>The sampler should be &gt; 20 meters from the dripline and must be 10 meters from the dripline when any tree acts as an obstruction.</li> <li>The distance from the sampler to an obstacle, such as a building, must be at least twice the height the obstacle protrudes above the sampler.<sup>b</sup></li> <li>There must be unrestricted air flow 270 degrees around the sampler.</li> <li>No furnace or incineration flues should be nearby.<sup>c</sup></li> <li>The spacing from roads varies with traffic.<sup>d</sup></li> </ol>

### TABLE 10-3, CONTINUED

## SUMMARY OF PROBE SITING CRITERIA

	Other Spacing Criteria	<ol> <li>The sampler should be &gt; 20 meters from the dripline and must be 10 meters from the dripline when any tree acts as an obstruction.</li> <li>The distance from the sampler to an obstacle, such as a building, must be at least twice the height the obstacle protrudes above the sampler.<sup>b</sup></li> <li>There must be unrestricted air flow 270 degrees around the sampler, except for street canyon sites.</li> <li>No furnace or incineration flues should be nearby.<sup>c</sup></li> <li>The sampler must be 5 to 15 meters from a major roadway.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>The sampler should be &gt; 20 meters from the dripline and must be 10 meters from the dripline when any tree acts as an obstruction.</li> <li>The distance from the sampler to an obstacle, such as a building, must be at least twice the height the obstacle protrudes above the sampler.<sup>b</sup></li> <li>There must be unrestricted air flow 270 degrees around the sampler.</li> <li>No furnace or incineration flues should be nearby.<sup>c</sup></li> <li>The spacing from roads varies with traffic.<sup>d</sup></li> </ol>
Height Above Ground	(meters)	<b>7 - 2</b>	2 - 15
n Supporting (meters)	Horizontala	>2	>2
Distance fron Structure	Vertical		
	Spatial Scale	Micro	Middle, neighborhood, urban and regional
	Pollutant	q	

### TABLE 10-3, CONTINUED

## SUMMARY OF PROBE SITING CRITERIA

		Distance fror Structure	n Supporting ) (meters)	Height Above Ground	
Pollutant	Spatial Scale	Vertical	Horizontala	(meters)	Other Spacing Criteria
so2	AII	3 - 15	~	λ.	<ol> <li>The probe should be &gt; 20 meters from the dripline and must be 10 from the dripline when a tree acts as an obstruction.</li> <li>The distance from the inlet probe to an obstacle, such as a building, must be at least twice the height the obstacle protrudes above the inlet probe.<sup>b</sup></li> <li>There must be unrestricted air flow 270 degrees around the inlet probe, or 180 degrees if the probe is on the side of a building.</li> <li>No furnace or incineration flues should be nearby.<sup>c</sup></li> </ol>
ő	AII	$\overline{\lambda}$	7	3 - 15	<ol> <li>The probe should be &gt; 20 meters from the dripline and must be 10 from the dripline when a tree acts as an obstruction.</li> <li>The distance from the inlet probe to an obstacle, such as a building, must be at least twice the height the obstacle protrudes above the inlet probe.</li> <li>There must be unrestricted air flow 270 degrees around the inlet probe, or 180 degrees if the probe is on the side of a building.</li> <li>The spacing from roads varies with traffic.<sup>d</sup></li> </ol>

TABLE 10-3, CONTINUED

### SUMMARY OF PROBE SITING CRITERIA

		Distance fror Structure	n Supporting (meters)	Height Above	
Pollutant	Spatial Scale	Vertical	Horizontala	meters)	Other Spacing Criteria
9	Micro	2.5 - 3.5	7	×	<ol> <li>The probe must be &gt;10 meters from the street intersection and should be at a midblock location.</li> <li>The probe must be 2 to 10 meters from the edge of the nearest traffic lane.</li> <li>There must be unrestricted airflow 180 degrees around the inlet probe.</li> </ol>
	Middle neighborhood	3 - 15	×	<b>∼</b> .	<ol> <li>There must be unrestricted airflow 270 degrees around the inlet probe, or 180 degrees if the probe is on the side of a building.</li> <li>The spacing from roads varies with traffic.<sup>d</sup></li> </ol>
NO2	All	3 - 15	$\overline{\Lambda}$	$\overline{\lambda}$	<ol> <li>The probe should be &gt; 20 meters from the dripline and must be 10 from the dripline when a tree acts as an obstruction.</li> <li>The distance from the inlet probe to an obstacle, such as a building, must be at least twice the height the obstacle protrudes above the inlet probe.<sup>b</sup></li> <li>There must be unrestricted air flow 270 degrees around the inlet probe, or 180 degrees if the probe is on the side of a building.</li> <li>The spacing from roads varies with traffic.<sup>d</sup></li> </ol>

<sup>a</sup> When the probe is located on a rooftop, this separation distance is in reference to walls, parapets, or penthouses located on the roof.

<sup>b</sup> Sites not meeting this criterion would be classified as middle scale.

c Distance is dependent upon height of furnace or incineration flue, type of fuel or waste burned, and quality of fuel (sulfur and ash content). This is to avoid undue influences from minor pollutant sources.

<sup>d</sup> Distance is dependent upon traffic ADT, pollutant, and spatial scale.

### XI. PUBLICATIONS

The following is a partial listing of technical papers and study reports dealing with various aspects of Connecticut air pollutant levels and air quality data.

1. Bruckman, L., *Asbestos: An Evaluation of Its Environmental Impact in Connecticut*, internal report issued by the Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection, Hartford, Connecticut, March 12, 1976.

2. Lepow, M. L., L. Bruckman, R.A. Rubino, S. Markowitz, M. Gillette and J. Kapish, "Role of Airborne Lead in Increased Body Burden of Lead in Hartford Children," Environ. Health Perspect., May, 1974, pp. 99-102.

3. Bruckman, L. and R.A. Rubino, "*Rationale Behind a Proposed Asbestos Air Quality Standard*," paper presented at the 67th Annual Meeting of the Air Pollution Control Association, Denver, Colorado, June 9-11, 1974, J. Air Pollut. Cntr. Assoc., 25: 1207-15 (1975).

4. Rubino, R.A., L. Bruckman and J. Magyar, *"Ozone Transport*," paper presented at the 68th Annual Meeting of the Air Pollution Control Association, Boston, Massachusetts, June 15-20, 1975, J. Air Pollut. Cntr. Assoc.: 26, 972-5 (1976).

5. Bruckman, L., R.A. Rubino and T. Helfgott, "*Rationale Behind a Proposed Cadmium Air Quality Standard*," paper presented at the 68th Annual Meeting of the Air Pollution Control Association, Boston, Massachusetts, June 15-20, 1975.

6. Rubino, R.A., L. Bruckman, A. Kramar, W. Keever and P. Sullivan, "Population Density and Its Relationship to Airborne Pollutant Concentrations and Lung Cancer Incidence in Connecticut," paper presented at the 68th Annual Meeting of the Air Pollution Control Association, Boston, Massachusetts, June 15-20, 1975.

7. Lepow, M.L., L. Bruckman, M. Gillette, R.A. Rubino and J. Kapish, "Investigations into Sources of Lead in the Environment of Urban Children," Environ. Res., 10: 415-26 (1975).

8. Bruckman, L., E. Hyne and P. Norton, "A Low Volume Particulate Ambient Air Sampler," paper presented at the APCA Specialty Conference entitled "Measurement Accuracy as it Relates to Regulation Compliance," New Orleans, Louisiana, October 26-28, 1975, APCA publication SP-16, Air Pollution Control Association, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, 1976.

9. Bruckman, L. and R.A. Rubino, "High Volume Sampling Errors Incurred During Passive Sample Exposure Periods," J. Air Pollut. Cntr. Assoc., 26: 881-3 (1976).

10. Bruckman, L., R.A. Rubino and B. Christine, "Asbestos and Mesothelioma Incidence in Connecticut," J. Air Pollut. Cntr. Assoc., 27: 121-6 (1977).

11. Bruckman, L., Suspended Particulate Transport in Connecticut: An Investigation Into the *Relationship Between TSP Concentrations and Wind Direction in Connecticut*, internal report issued by the Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection, Hartford, Connecticut, December 24, 1976.

12. Bruckman, L. and R.A. Rubino, *"Monitored Asbestos Concentrations in Connecticut*," paper presented at the 70th Annual Meeting of the Air Pollution Control Association, Toronto, Ontario, June 20-24, 1977.

13. Bruckman, L., "Suspended Particulate Transport," paper presented at the 70th Annual Meeting of the Air Pollution Control Association, Toronto, Ontario, June 20-24, 1977.

14. Bruckman, L., "A Study of Airborne Asbestos Fibers in Connecticut," paper presented at the "Workshop in Asbestos: Definitions and Measurement Methods" sponsored by the National Bureau of Standards/U.S. Department of Commerce, July 18-20, 1977.

15. Bruckman, L., "*Monitored Asbestos Concentrations Indoors,*" paper presented at The Fourth Joint Conference of Sensing Environmental Pollutants, New Orleans, Louisiana, November 6-11, 1977.

16. Bruckman, L., paper presented at the Joint Conference on Applications of Air Pollution Meteorology, Salt Lake City, Utah, November 28 - December 2, 1977.

17. Bruckman, L., E. Hyne, W. Keever, "A Comparison of Low Volume and High Volume Particulate Sampling," internal report issued by the Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection, Hartford, Connecticut, 1976.

18. "Data Validation and Monitoring Site Review," (part of the Air Quality Maintenance Planning Process), internal report issued by the Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection, Hartford, Connecticut, June 15, 1976.

19. "Air Quality Data Analysis," (part of the Air Quality Maintenance Planning Process), internal report issued by the Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection, Hartford, Connecticut, August 16, 1976.

20. Bruckman, L., "Investigation into the Causes of Elevated SO2 Concentrations Prevalent Across Connecticut During Periods of SW Wind Flow," paper presented at the 71st Annual Meeting of the Air Pollution Control Association, Paper #78-16.4, Houston, Texas, June 25-29, 1978.

21. Anderson, M.K., "Power Plant Impact on Ambient Air: Coal vs. Oil Combustion," paper presented at the 68th Annual Meeting of the Air Pollution Control Association, Paper #75-33.5, Boston, MA, June 15-20, 1975.

22. Anderson, M.K., G. D. Wight, "New Source Review: An Ambient Assessment Technique," paper presented at the 71st Annual Meeting of the Air Pollution Control Association, Paper #78-2.4, Houston, TX, June 25-29, 1978.

23. Wolff, G.T., P.J. Lioy, G.D. Wight, R.E. Pasceri, "Aerial Investigation of the Ozone Plume Phenomenon," J. Air Pollut.8 Control Association, 27: 460-3 (1977).

24. Wolff, G.T., P.J. Lioy, R.E. Meyers, R.T. Cederwall, G.D. Wight, R.E. Pasceri, R.S. Taylor, "Anatomy of Two Ozone Transport Episodes in the Washington, D.C., to Boston, Mass., Corridor," Environ. Sci. Technol., 11-506-10 (1977).

25. Wolff, G.T., P.J. Lioy, G.D. Wight, R.E. Meyers, and R.T Cederwall, *"Transport of Ozone Associated With an Air Mass,"* In: Proceed. 70 Annual Meeting APCA, Paper 377-20.3, Toronto, Canada, June, 1977.

26. Wight, G.D., G.T. Wolff, P.J. Lioy, R.E. Meyers, and R.T.Cederwall, "Formation and Transport of Ozone in the Northeast Quadrant of the U.S.," In: Proceed. ASTM Sym. Air Quality and Atmos. Ozone, Boulder, Colo., Aug. 1977.

27. Wolff, G.T., P.J. Lioy, and G.D. Wight, *"An Overview of the Current Ozone Problem in the Northeastern and Midwestern U.S.,"* In: Proceed. Mid-Atlantic States APCA Conf. on Hydrocarbon Control Feasibility, p. 98, New York, N.Y., April, 1977.

28. Wolff, G.T., P.J. Lioy, G.D. Wight, R.E. Meyers, and R.T.Cederwall, "An Investigation of Long-Range Transport of Ozone Across the Midwestern and Eastern U.S.," Atmos. Environ. 11:797 (1977).

29. Bruckman, L., R.A. Rubino, and J. Gove, "Connecticut's Approach to Controlling Toxic Air Pollutants," paper presented at the STAPPA / ALAPCO Air Toxics Conference, Air Toxics Control: An Environmental Challenge, Washington, D. C., October 15-17, 1986.

30. Wackter, D.J., and P.V. Bayly, *"The Effectiveness of Emission Controls on Reducing Ozone Levels in Connecticut from 1976 through 1987,"* paper presented at the APCA Specialty Conference on: The Scientific and Technical Issues Facing Post-1987 Ozone Control Strategies, Hartford, Connecticut, November 17-19, 1987.

31. Wackter, D.J., *"Sensitivity Analysis of Ozone Predictions by the Urban Airshed Model in the Northeast,"* paper presented at the Air Pollution Control Association Conference on VOC and Ozone, Northampton, MA, November 1-2, 1988.

32. Leston, A.R., J. Catalano, K. Crossman, R. Pirolli, N. Rowe, G. Hunt and B. Maisel, "The Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection's Evaluation of Pre/Post Operational Dioxin Monitoring Conducted at Four Resources Recovery Facilities," paper presented at the Dioxin '91 Conference, RTP, North Carolina, Sept., 1991.

33. Leston, A.R., and W. Ollison, *"Estimated Accuracy of Ozone Design Values: Are They Compromised by Method Interference?,"* In: Proceed. A&WMA's Conference "Troposheric Ozone: Nonattainment and Design Value Issues," Boston, Massachusetts, October 27-30, 1992.

34. Leston, A.R., and S.A. Bailey, *"Preliminary Report on Establishing a Prototype PAMS Site in the Urban Northeast,"* In: Proceed. A&WMA's 86<sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting & Exhibition, Denver, Colorado, June 14-18, 1993.

35. Hartman, R.M., and A. Leston, "Use of an OPSIS Open Path Monitor for Ambient Aldehyde Monitoring," In: Proceed. A&WMA's Conference "Optical Sensing for Environmental and Process Monitoring," McLean, Virginia, November 7-10, 1994

### XII. ERRATA

During the preparation of this Air Quality Summary, a number of errors were discovered in previous editions of this document. For the benefit of the reader, the corrections are presented below:

- Regarding the 1993 edition of the Air Quality Summary,
  - 1. On page 93, in the paragraph under **Precision and Accuracy**, the first sentence should end with the word 'unrealistic'.
- Regarding the 1991 edition of the Air Quality Summary,
  - 1. On page 92, in Table 6-1, the 2nd high 8-hour running average CO concentration at the New Haven 019 site is 6.3, not 6.2.
  - 2. On page 93, in Table 6-2, the maximum 1-hour CO concentration at the Hartford 017 site during February is 15.0, not 15.3.
- Regarding the 1990 edition of the Air Quality Summary,
  - 1. On page 98, in Table 6-1, the 2nd high 8-hour running average CO concentration at the Stamford 020 site is 6.3, not 6.0.
- Regarding the 1987 edition of the Air Quality Summary,
  - 1. On page 141, in Table 24, footnote #3 applies to Hartford 013, not Hartford 017.
- Regarding the 1985 edition of the Air Quality Summary,
  - 1. On page 140, in Table 24, the 2nd high 1-hour running average CO concentration at the Stamford 020 site is 17.1, not 15.3. And the time of occurrence is 1/28/8, not 1/14/18.