

## **Department of Economic and Community Development**

## Office of Brownfield Remediation and Development

## **Targeted Brownfield Development Loan Program Project Rating Sheet**

| Applicant Name: |  |
|-----------------|--|
| Reviewer:       |  |

Each of the criteria is ranked on a scale of 0 and up to 10, where zero is unsatisfactory/incomplete and ten is outstanding.

|  | Max      |        |                    |                  |
|--|----------|--------|--------------------|------------------|
|  | Possible | Actual |                    |                  |
| Applicant/Municipal Financial Need (20 Points)                                     |          | Points | Note               | Scoring Comments |
| Does unemployment exceed state or national averages in the municipality in which   |          |        |                    |                  |
| the project is located?  | 5        |        | 0 <b>or</b> 5 pts  |                  |
| Is the median household income in the municipality in which the project is located |          |        |                    |                  |
| below state or national averages?  | 5        |        | 0 <b>or</b> 5 pts  |                  |
| Has the applicant demonstrated that "but for" the State's investment the           |          |        |                    |                  |
| remediation project could not proceed?   | 10       |        | 0 <b>to</b> 10 pts |                  |
| Financial Need: Total Points   |          | 0      | •                  |                  |

|   | Max                |                  |                      |   |
|---|--------------------|------------------|----------------------|---|
| Remediation/Cleanup Project Feasibility (20 Points)   | Possible<br>Points | Actual<br>Points | Note                 | Comments  |
| Has the applicant provided a detailed project description outlining how they will   |                    |                  |                      |   |
| implement the remediation/cleanup project?  | 5                  |                  | 0 <b>to</b> 5 pts    |   |
| Does the applicant have a remedial action plan for the subject properties?  | 5                  |                  | 0 <b>or</b> 5 pts    | 0 points if contamination is hazardous building materials only        |
| Has the applicant received or applied for applicable State permits (floodplain, wetlands, SHPO, traffic)?                 | 5                  |                  | 0, 3 <b>or</b> 5 pts | 3 points if analysis by applicant indicates no state permits required |
| Does the remediation/cleanup project have a strong likelihood of being completed within two years after contract closing? | 5                  |                  | 0 <b>to</b> 5 pts    |   |
| Remediation Project Feasibility: Total Points   | 20                 | 0                |                      | 1   |

|  | Max      |        |                   |          |
|--|----------|--------|-------------------|----------|
|  | Possible | Actual |                   |          |
| Redevelopment Project Feasibility (20 Points)  | Points   | Points | Note              | Comments |
| Has the applicant articulated a clear vision and specific plan for re-use of the site, |          |        |                   |          |
| with buy-in from local elected officials, relevant municipal committees, community     |          |        |                   |          |
| groups and other stakeholders?   | 5        |        | 0 <b>to</b> 5 pts |          |
|  |          |        |                   |          |
| Does the redevelopment plan have site plan approval from the host municipality?        | 5        |        | 0 <b>or</b> 5 pts |          |
| Has the project received previous DECD or EPA assessment funding?                      | 2        |        | 0 <b>or</b> 2 pts |          |
| Is the project site included in a DECD BAR plan?                                       | 3        |        | 0 <b>or</b> 3 pts |          |
| Has the applicant identified committed non-State funds to support the                  |          |        |                   |          |
| redevelopment project?   | 5        |        | 0 <b>or</b> 5 pts |          |
| Redevelopment Project Feasibility: Total Points  | 20       | 0      |                   |          |

OBRD Rating and Ranking 1 of 2

|  | Max<br>Possible | Actual |                   |  |  |
|--|-----------------|--------|-------------------|--|--|
| Redevelopment Project Economic and Community Impact (40 Points)                        |                 | Points | Note              | Comments   |  |
| Will redevelopment of the project site(s) directly result in increased permanent       |                 |        |                   |  |  |
| jobs?  | 5               |        | 0 <b>or</b> 5 pts |  |  |
| Will redevelopment of the project site(s) directly result in an increase to the host   |                 |        |                   |  |  |
| municipality's tax base?   | 5               |        | 0 <b>or</b> 5 pts |  |  |
| Has the property been underused / vacant for more than a decade?                       | 5               |        | 0 <b>or</b> 5 pts | 1 point for 5 year vacancy, 3 points for 10 years, 5 points for 15 years |  |
| Is the site listed in DECD's Brownfields Inventory?                                    | 2               |        | 0 <b>or</b> 2 pts |  |  |
| Will future redevelopment of the project site result in addressing an unmet            |                 |        |                   |  |  |
| neighborhood, municipal and/or regional need?  | 5               |        | 0 <b>to</b> 5 pts |  |  |
|  |                 |        |                   |  |  |
| Will future redevelopment of the project site result in development of housing?        | 2               |        | 0 <b>or</b> 2 pts |  |  |
| If including affordable non-age restricted housing, is the census tract in which the   |                 |        |                   |  |  |
| project is located identified by the CT Dept. of Housing as having a moderate, high or |                 |        |                   |  |  |
| very high opportunity level?   | 3               |        | 0 <b>to</b> 3 pts | 1 point for Moderate, 2 points for High, 3 points for Very High          |  |
| f including market rate housing, is the census tract in which the project is located   |                 |        |                   |  |  |
| dentified by the CT Dept. of Housing as having a very low, low or moderate             |                 |        |                   |  |  |
| opportunity level?   | 3               |        | 0 <b>to</b> 3 pts | 1 point for Moderate, 2 points for Low, 3 points for Very Low            |  |
| Does the project include renewable energy generation?                                  | 5               |        | 0 <b>or</b> 5 pts |  |  |
| Will redevelopment of the project site result in improved public health and            |                 |        |                   |  |  |
| environmental safety?  | 5               |        | 0 <b>or</b> 5 pts | Maximum 2 points for hazardous building materials-only cleanups          |  |

|  | Max      |        |                      |   |
|--|----------|--------|----------------------|---|
|  | Possible | Actual |                      |   |
| Responsible Growth and Livability Initiatives (15 Points)                            | Points   | Points | Note                 | Comments  |
| Is the proposed project site(s) within a 1/2 mile walking distance of an existing or |          |        |                      | 3 points if located near bus; 5 points if located near active or planned passenger rail |
| planned commuter rail station or bus stop?   | 5        |        | 0, 3 <b>or</b> 5 pts | station or Bus Rapid Transit station  |
| Is the neighborhood of the proposed project site(s) zoned for mixed-use              |          |        |                      |   |
| development?   | 5        |        | 0 <b>or</b> 5 pts    |   |
| Will the future development of the site include the rehabilitation of an historical  |          |        |                      |   |
| property(ies) or mill?   | 5        |        | 0 <b>or</b> 5 pts    |   |
| Commitment, Responsible Growth: Total Points   | 15       | 0      |                      |   |

0

40

| Summary  |     |   |
|--|-----|---|
| Applicant/Municipal Financial Need                   | 20  | 0 |
| Remediation/Cleanup Project: Feasibility             | 20  | 0 |
| Redevelopment Project: Feasibility                   | 20  | 0 |
| Redevelopment Project: Economic and Community Impact | 40  | 0 |
| Responsible Growth and Livability Initiatives        | 15  | 0 |
| Applicant Capacity and Experience                    | 10  | 0 |
| Total  | 125 | 0 |

OBRD Rating and Ranking 2 of 2

Redevelopment Project Economic and Community Impact: Total Points