Crop Pest Commission CONNECTICUT of Louisiana.

AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION

NEW HAVEN, CONN.

BULLETIN 130, JANUARY, 1900.

Commercial Feeding Stuffs in the Connecticut Market.

CONTENTS.

Pa	ıge.
Notice as to Bulletins and Reports	2
Commercial Feeding Stuffs	3
Uses of Commercial Feeding Stuffs	3
Average Composition of Commercial Feeding Stuffs	6
Analyses of the Commercial Feeds found in the Conn. Market	6
Cotton Seed Meal	9
Linseed Meal	10
Wheat Feeds	rr
Corn Meal	14
Gluten Meal and Gluten Feed	14
Hominy Chops	17
Ground Oats	17
Provender	17
Oat Feeds, Corn and Oats, Corn, Oats and Barley	18
Other Mixed Feeds	19
Rye Bran and Rye Feed	20
Miscellaneous Feeds	20
Summary	40

NOTICE AS TO BULLETINS.

The Bulletins of this Station are mailed free to citizens of Connecticut who apply for them, and to others as far as the limited editions permit.

Applications should be renewed annually before January 1st. The matter of all the Bulletins of this Station, in so far as it is new or of permanent value, will be made part of the Annual Report of the Station Staff.

All Bulletins earlier than No. 71 and Nos. 72, 83, 86, 93, 100, 101, 102, 105, 106, 111, 118 and 123 are exhausted and cannot be supplied.

NOTICE AS TO SUPPLY OF STATION REPORTS.

The Station has no supply of its Annual Reports for the years 1877, 1878, 1879, 1880, 1881, 1882, 1883, 1887, 1891, 1893 (Pt. II.), 1894 (Pt. I.), and 1895 (Pts. I. and II.).

The Annual Report of this Station, printed at State expense, is by law limited to an edition of 7,000 copies.

After exchanging with other Experiment Stations and Agricultural Journals, the Reports remaining at the disposal of the Station will be sent to citizens of Connecticut who shall seasonably apply for them, and to others as long as the supply lasts.

FORMER REPORTS WANTED.

There is frequent call for our earlier Annual Reports on the part of public libraries, students, chemists, naturalists, and station workers.

Persons who can supply copies of Reports of this Station for any of the years above named, will be likely to find purchasers by communicating with the Director.

COMMERCIAL FEEDING STUFFS.*

A public Act of the State of Connecticut approved June 20, 1899, and taking effect July 1, 1899, authorizes this Station to collect samples not exceeding two pounds in weight, for analysis, from any lot, parcel or package of concentrated commercial feeding stuff which may be in the possession of any manufacturer, importer, agent or dealer.

The manner of taking the samples is prescribed by law.

The Station is required to analyze at least one sample of each brand thus collected annually. It is also required to publish analyses of these feeds in Station Bulletins, "together with such other additional information in relation to the character, composition and use thereof as may seem to be of importance, and issue the same annually, or more frequently if deemed advisable."

USE OF COMMERCIAL FEEDING STUFFS.†

Commercial Feeding Stuffs are bought to supply certain deficiencies in the cattle food which is raised upon the farm.

Hay, corn fodder, ensilage and stover with corn meal, raised at home, form the basis and make up the bulk of the cattle food and supply all of the coarse feed and of the starch, sugar and fat which are required. They are, however, deficient in digestible protein.

^{*}The microscopic work referred to in this paper was wholly done by A. L. Winton. The chemical analyses were mostly made by A. W. Ogden, W. L. Mitchell and Clifford Langley. The discussion of the results is by E. H. Jenkins.

[†]Reproduced in amended form from Bulletin No. 128.

Protein is the name now commonly given to a class of substances (also termed "proteids" or "albuminoids") of which the muscles, brain, nerves, tendons and all other working or necessary organs and parts of the animal body largely and essentially consist. Protein contains from 14 to 19 per cent. of nitrogen.

The white of eggs, the fiber of lean beef, the clot of blood, the curd

The white of eggs, the fiber of lean beef, the clot of blood, the curd of milk and the gluten of wheat are familiar examples of protein. Other similar matters are found in all animals and in all plants.

The animal cannot grow or long exist without constantly renewed supplies of protein in its food. The animal itself is totally unable to create protein. Only plants can originate protein, which they do from the plant-food of air, soil and fertilizers or manures. Animals can produce or create blood-protein, brain-protein, flesh-protein and milk-protein, but only by appropriating and transforming or making over the similar but different protein of plants.

Other needful food-substances or nutrients, such as cellulose (woody fiber), starch, sugars, gums, pentosans (that yield gums and jellies), acids and fats or fat oils, contain no nitrogen and collectively are commonly termed "non-nitrogenous matters"; we shall usually designate them as "non-protein."

Few farmers are now raising as much protein, in concentrated form, as is required to bring cattle up to and maintain them in the most profitable condition. Two chief defects in our present farm management are that little care is given to the raising of crops rich in protein and that, as a rule, insufficient protein goes into the food of our cattle.

If the quantity of digestible protein in the food is too small, the animals produce less beef or milk than they easily would with a proper supply of protein. Furthermore, when protein is deficient, the other (non-nitrogenous) matters of the ration are in excess of the animal's capacity for assimilating them and are therefore to some extent wasted. The latter (starch, sugar, etc.) in part pass through the body, incompletely digested and—unlike the protein—give little value to the manure.

To meet and overcome these defects in home-grown cattle food, dairymen and keepers of live-stock buy commercial feeds; they should buy them *chiefly* with the purpose of getting digestible protein in cheap and concentrated forms.

A feed rich in digestible protein is, when properly used, "milk-producing"; a feed rather poor in protein, however highly endorsed, cannot prove permanently satisfactory, or be a "milk producer" in any way superior to home-raised coarse feed and corn meal.

Every farm on which cattle are kept for profit should yield all the hay, corn fodder, corn ensilage, corn stover and corn meal which the live stock on it need to eat.

One hundred pounds of each of the feeds just named contain, on the average, the following quantities of digestible nutrients or elements of food:

Table I.—Quantities of Digestible Nutrients in One Hundred Pounds of the Feeds Named.

(From Armsby,	Penn. Ag	'l Ex. Sta. Rep	., 1897-98, D.	45.)	
Total dry matter Digestible protein Digestible non-protein*	4.8	Corn Fodder Field-cured. 57.8 2.5 36.1	Corn Stover Field-cured. 59.9 2.0 34.8	Corn Ensilage. 27.9 I.I 18.2	Corn Meal. 85.0 5.5 71.1
Ratio of digestible protein to digestible non-protein ("Nutritive Ratio")	,	1:14.4	1:17.4	1:16.5	1:12.9

^{*}Since fat is believed to have about 2½ times the nutritive effect of starch and similar non-nitrogenous matters, the digestible fat is, in these calculations, reduced to its "starch equivalent" by multiplying by 2½.

Observation and careful experiment have shown that milk cows need, per day and per 1,000 pounds of live weight, about 24 pounds of dry matter including 2 to 2½ pounds of digestible protein and from 13½ to 15 pounds of digestible non-protein (sugar, starch, fat, etc.), and that the quantity of digestible non-protein in this standard ration should be between five and one-half and seven times as great as the digestible protein.

Now a glance at the table above shows that no one of these staple farm products, nor any combination of them, can furnish the most profitable cattle food.

All of them have from ten to seventeen times as much nonprotein as protein, and hence a properly balanced ration cannot be made up from them without adding some feed much richer in protein and poorer in non-protein than any one of them.

Feeds rich in protein are what the stock owner most commonly needs to buy, and they are the ones the purchase of which is most likely to be profitable. All feeds contain non-nitrogenous matters, starch, sugar, etc., but those best worth buying *should* have a considerably larger proportion of protein than any which are commonly raised on the farm.

In the preparation of vegetable foods for human consumption and in the manufacture of cotton seed and linseed oils, certain by-products are produced, unfit for human food, but rich in protein and valuable as feed for horses and cattle. There are also certain other products which are of very little value as cattle food because of the small amount of protein in them, or they are uneconomical to buy, because they contain no larger proportion of protein than corn meal which is raised on the farm.

Both kinds of by-products are now offered for sale, frequently without an analysis or other statement to inform the buyer as to the real nature or value of what he is purchasing, and in consequence a good deal of money is spent for cattle feeds uneconomically.

THE AVERAGE COMPOSITION OF COMMERCIAL FEEDING STUFFS.

In order to show the average composition of the various brands of feeding stuffs which are at present offered in the New England market as well as the range or variation of composition, Table I has been prepared. This includes the analyses, more than 1,600 in number, which have been published since May, 1898, in Bulletins 44, 47, 48 and 51 of the Maine Station, in Bulletins 53 and 56 of the Massachusetts Station and in Table II of this Bulletin.

Table I gives the number of analyses on which each average is based, the average percentages of protein and fat, and the highest and lowest percentages of each of these ingredients found in any single analysis.

In a few instances, where the figures found in a single analysis were such as to make it quite certain that the sample was adulterated or was not of the kind represented, they have been excluded from the average and from the minimum figures.

In some cases, not only is the lowest percentage of protein and fat given, but also the one or two percentages which stand next; for sometimes, among a large number of analyses, there is a single result very much lower than any other, which marks an extremely exceptional case.

ANALYSES OF THE COMMERCIAL FEEDS FOUND IN THE CONNECTICUT MARKET IN 1899.

During the year ending Nov. 1st, 1899, the Station has drawn two hundred and forty-one samples of commercial feeding stuffs and in conformity with law gives the results of their examination in Table II, pages 22 to 39 of this Bulletin.

The law prescribes that the analysis "shall include determinations of crude fat and crude protein and such other determinations as may at any time be deemed advisable."

The analyses here reported include determinations of moisture, ash, fiber and nitrogen-free extract, in addition to protein and fat. Many of the feeds have also been examined carefully with the microscope to determine what materials if any were present other than those named in the brand or label.

These feeds are classified as follows and are discussed in following pages in the order here given (see page 9):

TABLE I.—AVERAGE COMPOSITION OF FEEDS AS DETERMINED BY ANALYSES MADE IN THE NEW ENGLAND STATES, LATER THAN MAY, 1898, AND COMPILED BY THE CONN. AGRICULTURAL STATION, NEW HAVEN, CONN., JAN. 1, 1900.

	d lyses.		PROTEIN.			FAT.	
	No. of Analyses	Average.	Highest.	Lowest.	Average.	Highest.	Lowest.
Cotton Seed Meal, hulled, all analyses	205	45-4	52.6 51.9 51.2	40.3 41.2 41.7	11.2	17.0	6.
" Southern Cotton Oil Co's " Amer. Cotton Oil Co's	33 46	45·3 45·5	48.3	41.4	10.7	13.I 12.2	9.
" Sea Island, unhulled	8	26.8	36.1	24.4	7.0	8.2	5.
Linseed Meal, New Process	31	38.2	42.2	34.6	2.4	3.5	I. 2.
" " Amer. Linseed Co's	23	38.5	42.2	34.6	2,2	3.5	1.
" " Old Process, all analyses	25	35.7	38.9	31.8	7.2	9.6	2. 4. 6.
" " Nat'l Linseed Oil Co's	11	37.2	38.9	35.I 36.I	6.7	9.6	5.
Wheat Bran, all analyses	120	15.8	17.9	13.6	4.7	5.6	5. 3.
" " from Winter Wheat	45	15.5	17.8 17.4 17.3	13.6	4.4	5.6	3.
" " from Spring Wheat	53	16.1	17.5	15.1	4.9	5.6 5.3	4.
Wheat Middlings, all analyses	135	17.0	22.2	12.4	5.0	7.I 7.I	2.
" " from Winter Wheat	20	16.0	17.9		4.8	5.I 5.0	4.
" " Spring Wheat	60	18.8	22.2 21.9 21.5	16.0	5.2	7.I 5.9	3.
Mixed Wheat Feed, all analyses	219	16.6	20,0	14.0	4.7	5.8	3.
" " from Winter Wheat	88	16.2	18.5	13.8 14.0 14.5	4-5	5.4 5.3 5.2	3.
" from Spring Wheat	60	17.3	19.8	15.6 15.8 16.2	4.9	5·5 5·4	3.
Red Dog Flour	9	19.3	21.2	16.5	4.4	5.3	4.
Corn Meal	17	9.5	10.8	8.6	4.0	4.7	2.
Atlantic Gluten Meal, Atlantic Starch Works	2	48.9	49.1	9.0	7.9	2.0	I.
Chicago Gluten Meal, Glucose Sugar Rfg. Co	76	36.7	41.3	31.7	2.7	7.6	I. I.

TABLE I.—AVERAGE COMPOSITION OF FEEDS AS DETERMINED BY ANALYSES MADE IN THE NEW ENGLAND STATES, LATER THAN MAY, 1898, AND COMPILED BY THE CONN. AGRICULTURAL STATION, NEW HAVEN, CONN., JAN. 1, 1900-Continued.

	Analyses.	Pi	ROTEIN			FAT.	
	No. of Ans	Average.	Highest.	Lowest.	Average.	Highest.	Lowest.
Cream Gluten, Chas. Pope Glucose Co	40	34.1	41.3 41.2 38.9	30.9	3.2	6.1 5.4	1.
Buffalo Gluten Feed	34	27.5		31.0 25.3 26.3	3.1	4.9 4.7 4.3	2.
Diamond Gluten	30	23.6		20.3	3.6	5.0 4.4	0.000
Golden Gluten	15	27.0	100 Miles	23.6	3.0	4,0 3.4	2.0
Hominy Chops, White Meal	20	11.2	12.0 11.8 11.6	10.3 10.7 10.0	7.8	9.7 9.5 9.3	5.5
Ground OatsProvender	3 22	9.4		8.3 7.9 8.2 8.3	4.0 3.8	5.0 5.4 4.8	3.2
Corn and Oat Feed, Victor Am. Cereal Co " Various brands	26 17	9.2		9.5	3.9	4.5 5.1 7.1	2.0
Oat Feed, Crescent	36	7.9	12.4	7.3 7.4 7.8	3.3	3.7 4.3 4.3	3.0 2.0 2.1
" Various brands	16	9.3	11.7	7.9	4.2	4.I 8.8	1.5
Oatena Corn, Oats and Barley Feed, American Cereal Co. H. O. Co.'s Dairy Feed	6 20	9.4 11.9 19.0	12.8	11.3	4·3 4·5 4·4	5.4 5.4	4.0
" " Horse Feed	-0		20.9	16.5		5.0	3.8
	18	12.4	13.8 13.7 13.3	11.0 11.1 11.7	4.2	4.8 4.5 4.4	3.8
" " Poultry Feed	14	17.5	19.5	15.0	5.5	6.0 5.1	4.8
Am. Cereal Co.'s Quaker Dairy Feed	5 8	12.8	17.8 14.1 15.5	17.1	3.9	5.9 4.4 5.9	4.8 3.5 4.8
Blatchford's Calf Meal	3 4	27.7 14.6	33.4 14.8	24.8	4.8	5.2	4.4
" " Indianapolis Mill	39	32.9	37.I 37.3	26.4 29.9 32.1	4.8	19.8	2.7

^{*} The American Cereal Co.'s Quaker Oat Feed is no longer made, being superseded by Dairy Quaker Feed.

		Sample:
Cotton Seed Meal		10
Linseed or Flax-seed Meal		12
Ground Wheat		I
Bran, from Winter Wheat		7
Spring Wheat		9
Unclassified		5
Middlings, from Winter Wheat		6
Spring Wheat Unclassified		
Mixed (Wheat) Feed, from Winter Wheat		17 15
Spring Wheat		7
Unclassified		25
Corn Meal		9
Gluten, Gluten Meal, Gluten Feed:		-
Additic Gittell		2
Chicago Gluten		5
Pope Gluten		4
King Gluten		2
Buffalo Gluten Feed		6
Diamond Gluten		2 2
Miscellaneous		6
Hominy Chops		8
Ground Oats		2
Provender		13
Corn and Oat Feed		6
Oat Feeds		14
Oat Chaff		I
Corn, Oats and Barley		2
Rye Bran and Rye Feed		5
Malt Hulls		1
Champion Bell Fodder		2 I
H. O. Dairy Feed		6
Horse Feed		9
Poultry Feed		3
American Cereal Co.'s Quaker Dairy Feed		5
Poultry Feed		I
Blatchford's Calf Meal		I
Pioneer Clover Meal		I
Carob Beans		1
Pods		I
Baum's Horse and Stock Food		I
Bowker's Animal Meal		I
Lederer's Chicken Food		I
Beef Scraps		I
tion to be in the last the second second second	-	-
	2	41

COTTON SEED MEAL.

After removing the cotton fiber by machinery as far as possible, the black outer covering or "hull" of the cotton seed is broken off by machinery and separated from the yellow kernels or meats. These kernels are cooked and while still hot are subjected to hydraulic pressure, which removes a part of the oil

and leaves the residue, "cotton seed cake," in very hard plates or sheets which can be cut with a saw like boards. These cakes when broken and finely ground make the "cotton seed meal" of the feed market: the most concentrated cattle food which is in general use.

In the ten samples examined, the analyses of which appear in the table, page 22, no admixture of foreign matters was found and all were of good quality as regards chemical composition, the percentage of protein ranging from 49.38 to 44.20 and of fat from 12.96 to 8.55.

The average of many recent analyses of cotton seed meal gives 45.4 per cent. of protein and 11.2 per cent. of fat, while these ten samples contain on the average 46.4 per cent. of protein and 10.4 per cent. of fat.

Undecorticated cotton seed meal, full of black hulls and with only 30 per cent. of protein, and cotton seed meal adulterated with rice refuse, have been found in this State in times past. At present much more cotton seed meal is used in Connecticut as a fertilizer,—chiefly for tobacco,—than as a feed, and the frequent publication of analyses made in the interest of tobacco growers has driven out the inferior grades of cotton seed meal.

Guarantees.

Three of the samples were from lots having a guaranteed analysis. The guaranteed and actual percentages of protein and fat in these cases were as follows:

		Protein.		F	at.
		Found.	Guaranteed.	Found.	Guaranteed.
	Dixie Bran		43-48	9.0	10-14
12126	Chapin & Co	44.2	43	12.8	9
12132	J. E. Soper & Co.	44.7	43	9.6	9

In neither case is there any deficiency of protein. In one case there is a per cent. less of fat than is guaranteed, but the protein in this sample is nearly three per cent. above the guarantee.

LINSEED MEAL.

For removing the oil from flaxseed or linseed two methods are employed. Under the "old process" the crushed seed, while warm, is subjected to hydraulic pressure which squeezes out much of the oil. The residue is in the form of hard cakes which when broken and ground make the "old process linseed

meal." Under the "new process" the oil is removed from the crushed seed by a solvent, like benzine, which is afterwards fully removed from the residue by steaming. The extracted residue is "new process" meal.

Practically all the flax seed on the feed market has been treated by one or other of these processes. New process linseed meal contains on the average two and a half per cent. more of protein and four and three-quarters per cent. less of oil or "fat" than old process meal.

Of the twelve samples examined none were found in any way adulterated.

Samples 12156 and 12074 (though labeled "old process") and samples 12088 and 11567 are new process meals, as is indicated by the low percentage of fat.

Samples 11385, 11381 and 11585 are inferior, because of their low percentages of protein.

WHEAT FEEDS.

These are by-products in the manufacture of wheat flour. Several different processes of milling are in common use yielding by-products which are not entirely alike in composition. There are also differences in composition between the products from winter wheat and those from spring wheat.

Wheat Bran consists of the outer layers of the wheat berry which are dark in color and do not easily pulverize.

Wheat Middlings,—as found in the feed market—, consist of inner layers of the covering of the berry, which are lighter in color and more easily pulverized than bran, and of other parts from which fine white flour cannot be made. Red Dog Flour is the poorest grade of flour, off color and often sold as a cattle food.

It is also used for paste and in making "pancake leather"—composed of leather scraps and flour paste, compacted by hydraulic pressure, stated to be made up into soles for children's shoes.

Many mills do not sell bran and middlings separately, but run them together and sell the product as "Mixed Feed."

Red Dog Flour is also sometimes run in to the Mixed Feed. In the compilation on page 7 as well as in the tabulation of the wheat products analyzed at this Station, which appears on pages 22 to 29, the product from the following mills is classed as from winter wheat:

Acme Milling Co., Indianapolis, Ind. American Cereal Co., Chicago. Blish Milling Co., Seymour, Ind. Cole, H. C., Milling Co., Chester, Ills. Eldred Mill Co., Jackson, Mich. Evans, Geo. F., Hoosier Mills, Indianapolis, Ind. Hannibal Milling Co., Hannibal, Mo. Harter, Isaac, & Co., Galena, O. Holly Milling Co. Hunter Bros., St. Louis. Jenks, J., & Co., Sand Beach, Mich. Kehlor Bros., St. Louis, Mo. Lawrenceburg Roller Mills Co. "Snowflake," Lawrenceburg, Ind. Lexington Roller Mill Co., Lexington, Ky.

Maumee Valley Milling Co., Defiance, McDaniel & Pitman Co., Franklin, Ind. Meyer, J. T. & Co., Clinton, Mo. Miles & Son, Frankfort, Ky. Model Roller Mills, Nashville, Tenn. Moore, R. P., Milling Co., Princeton, Ind. Rex Milling Co., Kansas City, Mo. Saginaw Milling Co., Saginaw, Mich. Stock, F. W., Hillsdale, Mich. Stott's Flour Mills, Detroit, Mich. Taylor Bros. Milling Co., Quincy, Ill. Valley City Milling Co., Grand Rapids, Mich. Voigt Milling Co., Grand Rapids, Mich. Walsh De Roo Milling Co., Holland, Mich.

The wheat products from the following mills are classed as from spring wheat:

Anchor Milling Co., Superior, Wis.
Bay State Milling Co., Winona, Wis.
Daisy Roller Mill Co., Milwaukee, Wis.
Duluth Imperial Mill Co., Duluth.
Freeman Milling Co., Superior, Wis.
Grafton Roller Mills, Grafton, N. D.
Lake Superior Mills, Superior, Wis.
Listman, Wm., Milling Co., Superior, Wis.
Minkota Milling Co., Superior, Wis.
Moseley & Motley Milling Co., Rochester, N. Y.

North Dakota Milling Association, No. Dakota.

North Western Consolidated Milling
Co., Minneapolis.

Pillsbury-Washburn Co., Minneapolis. Russell & Miller Milling Co., Superior, Wis.

Star & Crescent Milling Co., Chicago. Washburn-Crosby Co., Minneapolis. Whitney & Wilson, Rochester, N. Y.

At present, winter wheat bran is worth on the average about seventy-five cents per ton more than spring bran, although the latter as a rule contains a half per cent. more of protein and a per cent. more of fat than the former.

But white winter wheat bran (from Michigan or Canada) sells for from seventy-five cents to a dollar per ton more than the common red wheat.

Ground Wheat.

A single sample was analyzed and found free from admixture with foreign matters.

Wheat Bran.

Eight samples of winter wheat bran and nine of spring wheat bran were analyzed. Regarding four others it is not known which kind of wheat they represent. In none of them was there any evidence of adulteration.

All the winter brans contained more than the average percentage amounts of protein. In several of the spring brans the percentage of protein was rather low.

Occasionally "cheap" bran is offered for several dollars a ton below the regular market rates. Such "bargains" are to be looked upon with great suspicion. In a "cheap" bran referred to us from another State, microscopic examination showed the presence of finely ground corn cobs.

Middlings.

Six samples of spring wheat middlings, one of winter wheat middlings and seventeen regarding which it is not known whether they represent winter or spring wheat, were examined.

Of the unclassified middlings, Nos. 12159, 12144 and 14012 have such low percentages of protein and the two former such low percentages of fat as well, that unless they were sold under a guarantee which corresponded with their actual composition, or at a reduced price, the buyer would be justified in objecting to them.

Some sorts of middlings closely resemble bran, while others are very fine and starchy, having much the look and composition of wheat flour.

They also show a wide range of composition. Thus one of the samples contained over twenty per cent. of protein, while another contained less than thirteen. The price is practically the same, but the one is a valuable feed and the other is uneconomical to buy even at a much lower price.

As a rule spring wheat middlings contain two and one-half per cent. more of protein and a half of one per cent. more of fat than winter wheat middlings.

Mixed (Wheat) Feed.

Nineteen analyses of feed from winter wheat are given in the table, page 26, nine of feed from spring wheat and twenty of feed in which the kind of wheat is not specified.

Of the winter wheat feeds all are of good quality, a single one, 12802, being deficient in fat.

Of the spring wheat feeds two, 12105 and 12803, are rather low in protein, but all appear to be genuine wheat products free from adulteration.

The same is true of the twenty-five samples of feed in which the kind of wheat is not specified.

Mixed feed from spring wheat contains as a rule about eighttenths per cent. more of protein and three-tenths per cent. more of fat than that from winter wheat.

CORN MEAL.

In the table on page 28 to 30 are given nine analyses of this article which show the usual range of composition. They were all free from admixture with cobs or oher adulterant.

We have already called attention to the fact that while corn meal raised on the farm may be used in a ration, it has to be supplemented by more nitrogenous, more "concentrated" feeds. But it is poor economy to buy corn meal, for feeding milch cows, at a cost of \$17 to \$19 per ton, when wheat feeds and gluten feeds, which are much richer in protein and much more fit to balance the ration, can be bought at just about the same price as corn meal.

Old crop corn meal contains from ten to fourteen per cent. of moisture. New crop meal may contain twenty per cent. or more of moisture, with correspondingly lower percentages of other ingredients.

GLUTEN, GLUTEN MEAL, GLUTEN FEED.

These are by-products obtained chiefly in the manufacture of glucose and corn (or wheat) starch.

The process used for separating these by-products by the Glucose Sugar Refining Co. of Chicago, at all its factories,—Chicago, Rockford and Peoria, Ill., Davenport and Marshall-

GLUTEN. 15

town, Iowa, may be outlined as follows: The corn, after soaking for twelve to twenty-four hours in warm water containing three-tenths of one per cent. of sulphurous acid, is ground with water which carries off the mill product in suspension. By rightly adjusting the amount of water and corn, the chits or germs separated by the grinding float on the surface and are skimmed off. A large percentage of oil may be removed from these chits by pressure and the germ cake may be sold by itself or in mixture as a cattle food.

After separating the germs, and straining to remove part of the starch, the residue is ground once more and again passed over sieves which retain the hull or husk of the kernel. This is dried, ground and sold as "corn chop." The gluten or nitrogenous matter of the kernel and the starch suspended in water which have passed together through the sieves are next run with water over settling tables, where the starch, by reason of its greater specific gravity, settles first out of the stream of water and is thus separated from the gluten. The gluten is dried, ground and sold as cattle food while the starch alone is used in the factory. It will be seen from this description that no chemical is used in this process, except a very small quantity of sulphurous acid which must be completely washed out with the large quantities of wash water used.

We are advised that the methods employed by the Charles Pope Glucose Co. and by the National Starch Manufacturing Co. in extracting these feeds are essentially like those used by the Glucose Sugar Refining Co.

On pages 30 to 33 are given the analyses of gluten meal and gluten feed which have been lately made at this Station.

Atlantic Gluten Meal, made by the Atlantic Starch Works, Westport, Conn., is derived from wheat, being a by-product in the manufacture of wheat starch, and contains a larger percentage of protein than any other feed in our markets.

The manufacturers guarantee 38-40 per cent. of protein and the two samples analyzed contained respectively 48.8 and 49.1 per cent. with 1.70 and 2.04 per cent. of fat.

The manufacturers of *Chicago Gluten Meal* state that it contains 36 per cent. of protein and 3.0 per cent. of fat, but we are informed that this statement refers to the *dry matter* of the meal.

The lowest percentage of protein found in the dry matter of any sample was 38.8 and the lowest percentage of fat 1.95. But the sample with this low percentage of fat contained in the dry matter 43.8 per cent. of protein, nearly seven per cent. more of protein than the guarantee, and for feeding purposes is of course worth to the buyer more than gluten meal which contains the exact guaranteed amounts of protein and fat. The five samples of Chicago gluten meal contain on the average 12.32 per cent. of water, 37.17 of protein and 2.5 of fat: or calculated on the dry matter 42.2 per cent. of protein and 2.85 of fat.

Cream Gluten Meal, made by the Chas. Pope Glucose Co. of Chicago, is stated by the manufacturers to contain 34.12 per cent. of protein and 3.20 of fat. In the four samples examined protein ranges from 32.12 to 35.37 per cent. and fat from 1.70 to 3.78 per cent., while the average figures were 33.91 per cent. of protein and 2.44 per cent. of fat; nearly two per cent. more of protein and a half per cent. less of fat than the guarantee.

King Gluten is made by the National Starch Manufacturing Co. at two factories, and the product of these factories is quite unlike in composition.

As appears in the table on page 30 the product of the Indianapolis mill contains about 34.6 per cent. of protein and 4.8 per cent. of fat, while that of the Des Moines mill contains 32.9 per cent. protein and 15.3 per cent. fat. Buyers should find out, before purchasing, which product they are getting, for the one brand covers two very different feeds.

Gluten Feed. The gluten feed now made by the Glucose Sugar Refining Co. at its several factories is stated to be uniform in composition. The output of the Davenport factory is sold under the brand "Davenport Gluten Feed." That of the Marshalltown factory is branded "Marshalltown Gluten Feed"; that of the Peoria factory is branded "Buffalo Gluten Feed" and that of the Rockford factory as "Rockford Diamond Gluten Feed." "Golden Gluten Feed" is no longer sent out under that brand.

All these brands, as made at the present time, are stated by the manufacturer to contain 27.0 per cent. of protein and three per cent. of fat *in the dry matter*. GLUTEN. 17

The six samples of *Buffalo Gluten Feed* contained on the average (9.63 per cent. of water) 30.2 per cent. protein and 3.6 per cent. of fat. The protein in the dry matter of all was well above 27 per cent.

In one case the fat was below 3 per cent.

The percentages of protein in the three samples of "Rockford" gluten feed and the two of "Diamond" gluten feed ranged from 22.81 to 30.12. It is possible that some of these samples represented feed manufactured before the consolidation of these factories and the introduction of uniform methods of preparation.

The gluten feed made by the National Starch Mfg. Co. apparently has no guarantee.

HOMINY CHOPS.

Also called hominy feed, white meal, Baltimore meal, is a by-product from the manufacture of hominy. The eight samples analyzed were of average composition and no evidence of adulterants was found in them.

GROUND OATS.

Of the two samples examined, one, 11558, is of inferior quality, having much less protein and fat than should be present and much more fiber. It is made of light and inferior oats or oat hulls or clippings have been mixed with it. Oats contain, on the average, 11.8 per cent. of protein, 5.0 per cent. of fat and 9.5 per cent. of fiber.

PROVENDER.

This is supposed to be a mixture of equal weights of ground corn and oats.

The thirteen analyses show a wide range of composition and two of them at least, 12904 and 11356, have such low percentages of protein together with such large percentages of fiber as to make it probable that oat hulls have been added to them. Provender to be of good quality should certainly contain at least ten per cent. of protein.

CORN AND OAT FEEDS.

In the table are given analyses of six samples of this class which have about the same composition as provender, most of them containing however a larger percentage of fiber (hulls).

The Victor Corn and Oat Feed, made by the American Cereal Co., is the only brand, in this class, bearing a statement of composition. The guarantee is 9.46 per cent. of protein and 3.92 per cent. of fat. One of the samples, 11365, falls short of the guaranteed protein by nearly one per cent. and of the guaranteed fat by one and three-tenths per cent.

Champion Bell Fodder, 12127, is simply a corn and oat feed of average quality, under another name.

OAT FEEDS.

Analyses of fourteen samples of Oat Feed appear in the table and one of Oat Chaff.

Those made by the American Cereal Co. contain a fair amount of protein and fat.

The same is true of 12903. But all the others contain far less protein than good corn meal even and almost or quite as much woody fiber as good hay.

They are factory wastes of very inferior feeding value, consisting largely of oat chaff, which are sold to dairymen in this State for nearly the same price as good wheat bran.

No feeder can afford to use them, however cheaply he can buy them. They ought not to have a place in the feed market.

Oat chaff, as appears in the table, 12190, can be bought under its true name for \$7.00 per ton. It can be bought under the name of "Oat Feed," as also appears in the table, 12197, for \$15.00 a ton.

Some of the oat feeds contained some wheat, but no other foreign matter was detected.

The Quaker Oat Feed is the only brand which has the guaranteed statement of composition which the law requires.

This guarantee is, protein 12.03 per cent., fat 3.49 per cent. Only one of the four samples contained the guaranteed amount of protein, and three of them contained much less than this amount.

We are informed by the manufacturers that Quaker Oat Feed is no longer made, being superseded by Quaker Dairy Feed.

CORN, OATS AND BARLEY.

Two samples bearing this brand, made by the American Cereal Co., have about the same composition as their oat feed, though with less fiber.

OTHER MIXED FEEDS.

Feeds made by the H. O. Co., Buffalo, N. Y.

H. O. Dairy Feed. Six samples of this article have been examined. The chemical analyses given in the table show them to have a tolerably uniform composition, differing from bran in having somewhat more protein, considerably more woody fiber and less carbhydrates and fat. Microscopic examination shows them to be mixtures of oats, corn, wheat and cotton seed.

This brand is guaranteed by the manufacturers to contain 18 per cent. of protein and 4.5 per cent. of fat.

Two of the analyses fall slightly below the guaranteed protein and none of the samples contain the guaranteed percentage of fat.

- H. O. Horse Feed. Nine samples of this article have been examined. It consist of oats, corn, wheat and linseed. Twelve per cent. of protein are guaranteed in this brand and four and a half per cent. of fat. With one exception the analyses substantially came up to the guaranteed protein, but all show less fat than is guaranteed.
- H. O. Poultry Food. Three samples of this material also appear in the table. They contain oats, corn and wheat, and are guaranteed to contain 17 per cent. of protein and 5.50 per cent. of fat.

One of the samples contains two per cent. less of protein and nearly one per cent. less of fat than is guaranteed.

Feeds made by the American Cereal Co., Chicago, Ill.

Quaker Dairy Feed. The analyses of five samples of this feed are given in the table. The feed is composed of oats and wheat and guaranteed to contain 12.09 per cent. of protein and 3.49 per cent. of fat. The percentage of fiber shows the presence of a considerable quantity of oat hulls. In two of the samples the percentage of protein fell below the guaranteed amount. One analysis is also given of the American Poultry Food, made by the same company, and one of their Stock Food.

Blatchford's Calf Meal.

Called "The Perfect Milk Substitute." The directions for using, however, indicate that for very young calves it is to be used with skim milk and for older calves a double handful is given daily, in addition to their other feed. The quality and quantity of the other feed would affect somewhat the profitableness of this feed, which costs \$3.50 per 100 pounds.

The sample examined contained linseed meal, a starchy bean meal, wheat middlings, cotton seed meal, carob bean and husk and fenugreek. It contains about the same percentages of protein, fat and nitrogen-free extract as the gluten feeds.

RYE BRAN AND RYE FEED.

The five samples examined were genuine and of very similar composition. Rye bran sells for the same price as wheat feeds and contains less fat and from one and one-half to two per cent. less of protein. It is of less value in "balancing" a ration than the wheat feeds and therefore cannot be as economical a feed to purchase. All the samples consisted wholly of rye. None of them had any guaranteed statement of composition.

MALT HULLS.

A single analysis, 12261, of this feed shows it to be of inferior value as a feed. It represents a sample sent to T. S. Gold, West Cornwall, by a feed dealer in Chicago.

STARCH FEEDS.

Schumacher's Starch Feed, 12078, contains much less protein than wheat bran and is of no value in balancing a ration. It contains oats, corn and wheat. The dry Glen Cove Starch Feed, 12135, ranks in composition with the gluten feeds, and contains as much protein as the best wheat brans.

PIONEER CLOVER MEAL.

This material, No. 12189, is put up for a poultry food and claims to be, and apparently is, ground clover hay. Its cost is \$1.00 for 50 pounds.

CAROB BEANS.

As this material forms a part of one of the mixed feeds above referred to and as no analyses of beans and pods were at hand, analyses were made both of the beans and pods, from which is calculated the composition of bean and pod together.

In one hundred parts of the unopened pods are 7.5 parts by weight of seed and 92.5 parts of empty "husks" or pods. analyses were as follows:

	Seeds.	Husks.	Full Pods. (Seed and Husk.)
Water	12.84	14.15	14.05
Ash	3.27	3.25	3.26
Protein	15.00	4.81	5.57
Starch*		.85	
Sugars and dextrines†	5.31	40.63	41.56
Reducing sugar‡	None	3.62	3.25
Other nitrogen-free extract	54.59	27.67	26.99
Fiber	7.16	4.80	4.98
Fat	1.83	.22	-34
	100.00	100.00	100.00

AMERICAN CATTLE FEEDING SALTS.

No. 12192, made by the American Cattle Feeding Salts Co., 138-140 55th St., New York City. John M. Draper, Agricultural and Research Chemist, Manager.

Said to consist of "various tonic substances and natural salts," which when added to the other feeds is a "means of growing prime beef, brighter in color, wavy or marbled in texture, and with pure white fat, in much less time than is possible under the present system of feeding."

The "Salts" contain about 16 per cent. of common salt, 631/2 per cent. of Glaubers salts, 4.8 per cent. of Epsom salts, 9.3 per cent. of carbonate of soda, a per cent. and a half of matter insoluble in water and for the rest contains water and some volatile matter.

The last three analyses of the table are of beef scraps and animal meal used as poultry food.

^{*}By diastase method.

[†]Carbhydrates soluble in water calculated as dextrose after hydrolizing with acid and deducting "reducing sugars."

‡Reducing power of aqueous extract determined without hydrolysis.

TABLE II.—ANALYSES OF COMMERCIAL FEEDS.

	-		
Station No.	Name of Feed.	Manufacturer or Jobher.	Retail Dealer.
12092 12125 12126 12103 12071 12087 11379 12132 12149 12895	" Dixie Brand " American	Chapin & Co., St. Louis	Hamden, Ira W. Beers
11395 12074 12088 11392 11385 11381 12156 12147 12894 11567	" "Old Process" " "Old Process" " "Old Process" " "Old Process"	Hunter Bros., St. Louis, Mo. Chapin & Co., Boston J. E. Soper & Co., Boston	Middletown, Meech & Stoddard New Britain, Hugh Reynolds " M. D. Stanley New Haven, J. T. Benham " Abner Hendee
12194	Ground Wheat	C. M. Cox & Co., Boston	Torrington, B. C. Patterson
12806 12801 11383 11565 12131	" Michigan" " Coarse Amber	City Mills Co., N. Y Hollister, Crane & Co	Hartford, Smith, Northam & Co New Britain, Hugh Reynolds New London, Beebe & Bragan New Haven, R. G. Davis

SAMPLED IN 1899.

			ANA	LYSES.			
Station No.	Water.	Ash.	Protein.	Fiber.	Nitrogen-Free Extract. (Starch, gum, etc.)	Ether Extract.	Price per ton.
12002	0.10	5.90	48.83	4.24	23.38	8.55	\$24.00
12125	8.80	6.72	45 88	4.27	25.30	9.03	23.00
12126	7.72	7.52	44.20	5.70	22.09	12.77	23.00
12103	7.74	7.40	45.76	6.92	21.23	10.95	24.00
12071	8.20	6.48	49 38	441	22.54	8.99	25.00
12087	8.85	7.33	46 01	5.33	21.36	11.12	23.00
11379	6.84	7.86	47.00	5.76	21.23	11.31	26.00
12132	9.15	5.88	44.69	5.87	24.83	9.58	25.00
12149	9.09	6.48	48.38	4.87	22.50	8.59	24.00
12895	7.14	7.42	43.94	4.69	23.85	12.96	25.00
	8.26	6.90	46.41	5.53	22.52	10.38	- G. S.
MARKET E			45.4			11.2	- Inter
			52.6			17.0	1000
			40.3			6.5	
12093	10.71	5.56	35 07	7.48	31.59	9.59	28.00
11395	10.71	4.90	36.19	8.56	34 97	4.67	27.00
12074	11.16	5.56	36.07	8.39	36.59	2.23	29.50
12088	9.77	6.24	38.13	8.11	35.04	2.71	26.00
11392	10.28	6.06	34.56	8.00	32.00	9.10	28.00
11385	10.58	5.13	31.81	8.85	36.97	6.66	
11381	10 20	5.50	32.06	8.79	36.67	6.78	30.00
12156	10.83	5.61	36 26	8.58	36.89	1.83	29.00
12147	9.82	6.62	33.76	7.12	33.54	9.14	28.00
12894	16.94	6.60	34.37	7.08	35.59	9.42	30.00
11567	11.17	5.15	40.44	7.64	32.62	2.98	28.00
11585	9 76	5.64	32.94	8.51	36.89	6.26	30.00
1	9.87	5-75	33.84	8.05	34-79	7.70	
			35.7			7.2	
-			38.9			9.6	- Audul
	****	2222	31.8		****	4.7	
	10.73	5.64	37.72	8.18	35.29	2.44	
			38.2			2.4	
			42.2			3.5	i audi
			34.6			1.8	- ANDES
12194	12.02	5.48	18.12	6.34	53.17	4.87	18.00
0.6			2200	,			
12806	12.80 13.26	5.00 6.27	17.37	6.92 8.80	53.99 51.80	3.92 4.18	
100							
11383	10.84	6.49	15.62	9.01	53.47	4.57	
11565	11.31	6.16	15.37	9.59	53.18	4.39	21.00
12131	10.17	6.93	16.19	8.18	54.24	4.29	19.00

^{*} Car load lots delivered.

TABLE II.—Continued. ANALYSES OF COMMERCIAL FEEDS. (2007)

Station	Name of Feed.	Manufacturer or Jobber.	Retail Dealer.
No.			
12151 12900 11576	Bran from Spring	Valley City Milling Co., Grand Rapids	New Haven, J. T. Benham
11372 12096 11398 12805 12081 11384 12152 12906 11569	" Coarse Red Wheat	Freeman Milling Co Pillsbury "Washburn, Crosby Co	" E. P. Yates & Co New Britain, Hugh Reynolds
12115 12077 12065 11389	"		Bridgeport, Taylor & Clark
12804 11396 12160 12137	Middlings, Spring Wheat A	Pillsbury " " Northwestern Consolidated Milling Co., Minneapolis	Hartford, Smith, Northam & Co "Daniels Mill Co New Haven, J. T. Benham "R. G. Davis "Abner Hendee Average of the above 6 analyses of Spring Wheat Middlings Average of 60 recent analyses Highest Lowest
11575	Wheat.	Valley City Milling Co., Grand Rapids	Norwich, Joseph Connor & Sons Average of 20 recent analyses Highest Lowest

SAMPLED IN 1899.

		1	Ana	ALYSES.			
Station No.	Water.	Ash.	Protein.	Fiber.	Nitrogen-Free Extract. (Starch, gum, etc.)	Ether Extract.	Price per ton
	0	0	16.13	8.20			\$19.00
12151	9.98	5.98 6.53	15.94	8.74	55.20 56.61	4.51	20.00
11576	11.40	5-33	15.25	8.32	55-37	4-33	
- 013	10.98	6.08	15.94	8.46	54.25	4.29	
	7777		15.5			4.4	1511
• 11.0	****		17.0			5.6	
20.100			13.6			3-5	
11372	10.53	6.98	15.56	12.12	49.87	4.94	19.00
12006	9.74	6.51	16.37	8.87	53-37	5.14	20.00
11398	11.88	5.80	15.81	7.83	54.26	4.42	20.00
12805	12.99	6.72	15.06	10.96	49.84	4.43	
12081	11.31	6.54	15.75	10.42	50.92	5.06	20.00
11384	11.45	6.40	15.12	10.37	52.03	4.63	
12152	10.25	6.54	15.31	11.56	51.28	5.06	18.00
12006	8.20	5.86	16.37	9.11	55.95	4.51	18.00
11569	11.44	6.01	15.44	10.59	52.15	4.37	19.00
	10.86	6.37	15.64	10.20	52.20	4.73	a little
			16.1			4.9	Loss
			17.5 15.1			5.6 4.4	
12115	9.86	6.27	15.75	9.68	53.76	4.68	18.00
12077	10.39	5.79	16.94	8.04	53.78	5.06	20.00
12065	10.75	6.35	15.75	9.46	52.86	4.83	20.50
11389	11.65	5.50	15.31	8.50	54.91	4.13	21.50
12800	12.18	3.33	18.06	5.36	56.26	4.81	Palauli
12804	12.28	5.30	16.50	9.53	51.04	5.35	
11396	11.48	5.52	17.10	10.26	50.06	5.49	21.00
12160	11.06	4.71	17.32	9.48	51.67	5.76	19.00
12137	11.63	5.45	16.00	10.31	51.57	5.04	17.00
12901	8.43	5.18	16.69	10.07	54.38	5.25	20.00
-	11.17	4.91	16.96	9.17	52.51	5.28	- 1
			18.8			5.2	HE WITTE
			22.2			7.1	
			16.0			3.3	
		Selfin Los	24 - 14 HOULE		1		CO PINE
11575	11.76	4-37	15.87 16.0	6.87	56.71	4.42	19.00
-			17.9			5.I	
			12.4		1	4.4	

TABLE II.—Continued. ANALYSES OF COMMERCIAL FEEDS. ()

Station No.	Name of Feed.	Manufacturer or Jobber.	Retail Dealer.
12112	Middlings, unclassified.	T. B. Chase & Son, New	The second
		Vork	Bridgeport Taylor & Clark
12111			Bristol, W. O. Goodsell
1557	Puritan	J. T. Benham, New Haven	Bristol, W. O. Goodsell Guilford, G. F. Walter
12067	N. Y. Brand No. 2		Hartford, C. A. Pease & Co
			" " " "
12054			" E. P. Yates & Co
2159	White		New Haven, I. I. Bennam
2144	Fine ,		Aprici fichice
2143	Coarse		New London, Beebe & Bragan
11566		New York City Mills	New London, Beebe & Bragan
11571		Whitney & Wilson, Roch-	
11578		F. W. Stock, Millsdale,	" Arnold Rudd
- 11		Mich.	Norwich, A. A. Beckwith
12195		C. M. Cox & Co., Boston	Norwich, A. A. Beckwith
12193		Bay State Co., Winona,	
11584		Day State Co., Willona,	
14012	Middlings, Colonial	Minn. Miner, Hillard MillingCo.	Willimantic, H. A. Bugbee New Haven, Abner Hendee
	Mixed Feed from Win- ter Wheat.		
11267		C. M. Cox & Co. Boston	Berlin, J. C. Lincoln
11368	Buckeye	American Cereal Co.,	
11559	"	American Cereal Co.,	Guilford, F. H. Rolf
11560	I Harter Co's	Isaac Harter Co. Toledo	" "
12122	Coarse	Isaac Harter Co., Toledo	Hamden, Ira W. Beers
	Brand		
11207	Anchor	Anchor Mill Co. Superior	" Hartford, Daniels Mill Co
12060	Acme	Tricular Francisco, Superior	" C. A. Pease & Co.
12802			" C. A. Pease & Co " Smith, Northam & Co
11350	Snow Flake Brand	Lawrenceberg Mills Co., Ind. American Cereal Co.,	Cimility Profitmatin & Co
11339	onon Tiano Brana IIII	Ind.	Meriden, Meriden Grain & Feed C
11364	Buckeye	American Cereal Co.	and a standard of the standard
504	20000	Chicago	" A. H. Cashen
11378	Snow Flake	Chicago Lawrenceberg Mills Co., Ind. Happibal Milling Co.	
		Ind.	New Britain, M. D. Stanley
51-	Carried Carrie	Hannibal Milling Co	
11 40 /	Hannibal Wilked Feed-	Hammod Willing Co.,	" Hugh Reynolds
11 40 /	Hannibal Wilked Feed-	Hammod Willing Co.,	" Hugh Reynolds
12153	Transition Wixed Feed.	Hannibal, Mo	New Haven, J. T. Benham
12153		Hannibal, Mo	New Haven, J. T. Benham
12153		Hannibal, Mo	New Haven, J. T. Benham
12153		Hannibal, Mo	" Hugh Reynolds New Haven, J. T. Benham " R. G. Davis North Haven, The Co-Op. Feed C Rockville, Edward White

SAMPLED IN 1899.

			Anz	ALYSES.			
Station No.	Water,	Ash.	Protein.	Fiber.	Nitrogen-Free Extract. (Starch, gum, etc.)	Ether Extract,	Price per ton.
	11 11 2	liolanilla.	or sugget	ings, S	realt, too'l		rens va
12113	11.58	4.18	18.63	5.00	54-35	6.26	\$19.00
12111	11.07	4.29	14.38	5.57	60.34	4.35	
11557	11.86	4.24	17.56	7.11	54.40	4.83	21.00
12067	10.44	4.30	18.44	6.59	55.18	5.05	20.00
12066	10.50	4.32	20.13	4.68	54.60	5.77	21.50
12084	13.92	5.27	19.19	6.98	52.92	1.72	21.00
12159	12.23	2.86	13.69	3.03	65.31	2.88	20.00
12142	12.08	4.44	17.25	4.94	55.88	5.41	18.00
12144	12.61	3.68	12.85	1.05	67.15	2.70	20.00
12143	11.32	4.69	17.88	8.11	52.09	5.91	18.00
11566	10.92	4.91	17.75	4.48	54.24	7.70	21.00
11571	12.74	3.58	17.31	6.31	54.56	5.50	20.00
11578	11.75	4.34	16.19	6.16	59.72	1.84	21.00
12195	12.50	4.88	19.44	6.78	50.76	5.64	16.00
12193	12.74	2.86	14.87	4.05	61.68	3.80	17.25
11584	11.62	4.38	18.62	8.13	51.69	5.56	70.00
14012	7.85	3.75	13.75	5.48	62.37	6.80	19.00
			ر دمی		Salte on the		1000
11367	10.93	5.34	16.56	8.28	53.79	5.10	20.00
11368	11.27	5.48	17.12	7.21	54-55	4.37	20.00
11559	12.11	4.97	17.75	6.82	53.98	4.37	20.00
11560	11.69	5.04	15.75	6.76	56.49	4.27	20.00
12122	9.73	6.57	17.13	7.90	54.08	4.59	18.00
12121	9.97	6.06	16.75	7.41	55.28	4.53	18.00
11397	11.58	5.06	16.12	8.94	53.10	5.20	21.00
12069	10.18	6.33	17.00	6.97	54.92	4.60	20.00
12802	13.28	5.11	18.12	6.48	53.41	3.60	
11359	11.02	4.86	17.31	7-57	54.96	4.28	20.00
11364	11.30	5-39	16.37	6.55	55.98	4.41	20.00
11378	10.76	5.45	17.56	7.26	54.67	4.30	21.00
11387	11.75	4-37	15.56	6.95	57.32	4.05	20.00
12153	10.68	5.94	16.63	7.22	54.74	4.79	18.50
12128	10.88	5.96	16.32	7.04	55.22	4.58	18.00
14017	8.94	5.42	17.62	8.95	54.20	4.87	18.00
11594	11.24	5.48	17.50	7.15	54.63	4.00	20.00
14016	8.16	6.75	16.37	9.22	54-33	5.17	18.00

^{*} Car load lots delivered.

TABLE II.—Continued. ANALYSES OF COMMERCIAL FEEDS. (28)

Station		State of the state of	
No.	Name of Feed.	Manufacturer or Jobber.	Retail Dealer.
	Mixed Feed from Spring	Hunter Bros., St. Louis	Wallingford, E. E. Hall
12120	Wheat. Best Fine		Hamden, Ira W. Beers
TOTOS	Anchor		Hartford E. H. Arnold & Son
12105	Minkota	Pillsburg	" Smith, Northam & Co" " E. P. Yates & Co
12086		"	" " " "
11375	Superior Mixed Feed Golden Bull Mixed	Lake Superior Mills, Wis.	" E. P. Yates & Co New Britain, C. W. Lines
113/0		Bay State Milling Co., Winona, Minn.	
14018	Boston	Imperial Mill Co., Duluth	North Haven, The Co-Op. Feed Co Average of the above 9 analyses of feed from Spring Wheat Average of 60 recent analyses Highest Lowest
	Mixed Feed, unclassified.	II-W 0 0- C-	
11370		Hollister, Crane & Co., N. Y.	Berlin, J. C. Lincoln
12110	No. 1		Bridgeport, Taylor & Clark
12099	Michigan Stock Food -		Hartford, Daniels Mill Co
12101	St. Louis Mixed Feed -	•••••	
11303	St. Louis "		" "
12070	Boston "		" C. A. Pease & Co
11555 11357 11360	Stirling Mixed Feed Mixed Feed	Chapin & Co., Boston	" C. A. Pease & Co
12902			New Haven, Abner Hendee
12158	New York Mixed Feed		" R G Davis
11591	Starling Mixed Food	Hecker Jones Milling Co.	Willimantic, E. A. Buck & Co
11586	Sterning Mixed Feed 11	" Chapin & Co	" R. G. Davis
	Corn Meal.		
12112		Smith, Northam & Co.,	
1	The same of the same	Hartford	East Hartford, G. M. White & Co

ANALYSES OF COMMERCIAL FEEDS.

SAMPLED IN 1899.

		esc large	Ana	ALYSES.			
Station No.	Water.	Ash.	Protein.	Fiber.	Nitrogen-Free Extract. (Starch, gum, etc.)	Ether Extract.	Price per ton.
11355	10.92	4.65	17.00	6.66	56.72	4.05	\$20.00
	10.86	5.48	16.87	7.44	54.87	4.48	
and a		3.40	16.2	7.44	34.07	4.5	Control District
			18.5			5.4	
Callenn is			13.8			3.6	311119
12120	10.42	5.26	16.25	8.27	54.30	5.50	18.00
12106	10.20	5.26	16.75	7.83	54.97	4.90	20.00
12105	11.20	3.75	16.00	5.20	59.63	4.22	20.00
12803	12.05	3.51	15.56	9.36	54.66	4.86	
12086	10.19	7.11	18.75	9.41	49 45	5.09	18.00
12082	11.16	6.27	17.38	8.57	51.51	5.11	20.00
11375	11.12	5.00	16.69	8.80	53-33	5.06	20.00
2000	U. DOM IN		8,59 100		College Charles		01000
11376	11.12	5.75	16.75	9.18	51.56	5.64	20.00
14018	10.08	4.80	16.81	* 8.05	. 55.00	5.26	18.00
	10.85	5.18	16.77	8.29	53.84	5.07	
THE PARTY OF	5		17.3			4.9	
777			19.8		****	5.5	
			15.6		1	3.8	
11370	11.01	5.87	17.50	6.52	54.98	4.12	20.00
11373	11.02	5.27	16.50	8.67	54.16	4.38	20.00
12116	11.00	4.56	16.13	5.57	58.20	4.54	
12090	10.46	6.05	15.82	6.33	56.77	4.57	20.00
12001	10.79	5.64	16.00	7.04	56.07	4.46	20.00
12099	10.14	5.60	15.00	6.97	57.92	4.37	19.00
12101	10.43	5.37	16.31	7.50	54.99	5.40	19.00
12102	10.17	4.98	16.88	6.75	56.68	4.54	19.00
11393	12.00	5.06	17.62	7.24	54.17	3.91	21.00
12070	10.72	5.04	16.88	6.53	55-37	5.46	20.00
11555	12.00	5.32	16.19	8.65	53.22	4.62	21.00
11357	10.85	5.90	17.81	7.54	53.49	4.41	20.00
11360	10.66	5.85	17.50	7.43	53.56	5.00	20.00
12109	8.06	4.99	19.13	7.43	54.57	5.82	14.00
12902	8.03	5.98	16.19	9.11	55.96	4.73	20.00
12158	10.87	5.47	18.00	7.66	53.98	4.02	18.00
12196	11.22	5.99	16.00	8.58	53.23	4.98	19.00
11591	12.10	5.78	15.50	9.03	53.46	4.13	20.00
11590	10.61	5.60	19.00	7.94 8.73	52.85	4.00	20.00
11586	11.02	5.72	17.19	0.73	53.04	4.30	20.00
12112	14.30	1.31	10.25	2.45	67.98	3.71	17.00
12089	13.91	1.45	9.88	1.50	68.90	4.36	19.00

^{*} Car load lots.

TABLE II.—Continued. ANALYSES OF COMMERCIAL FEEDS. ()

No.	Name of I	Feed.	Manufacturer or Jobber.	Retail Dealer.
12076 12097 12064 12079 11604				" Daniels Mill Co
12136	Chicago Glut	"	Westport, Conn	Middletown, Meech & Stoddard. New Haven, R. G. Davis East Hartford, G. M. White & Co Hartford, C. A. Pease & Co.
12080	"	"		" E. P. Yates & Co.
11386 11380		"		New Britain, Hugh Reynolds " M. D. Stanley
		•		Average of the above 5 analyses of Chicago Gluten Meal
11394 12085 11361	Cream Gluter	1		Hartford, Daniels Mill Co " Smith, Northam & Co. Meriden, Meriden Grain and Feed
11577	"			Co. Norwich, A. A. Beckwith Average of the above 4 analyses o Cream Gluten Average of 40 recent analyses
	6.			HighestLowest
11600	King Gluten	Meal	Natl. Starch Mfg, Co (Indianapolis Mill).	Sent by A. Cullen & Co., N. Y. City Average of 10 recent analyses Highest Lowest
12108	King Gluten	Meal	Natl. Starch Mfg. Co (Des Moines Mill).	West Hartford, C. M. Beach
	00.3		61.45	Average of 39 analyses
11599	Gluten Feed,	Buffalo	Glucose Sugar Refining Co., Chicago	Bridgeport, Berkshire Mills
11574	**	"	Glucose Sugar Refining Co., Chicago	Groton, Groton Grain Co

SAMPLED IN 1899.

			Ana	LYSES.			Price per ton
Station No.	Water.	Ash.	Protein.	Fiber.	Nitrogen-Free Extract. (Starch, gum, etc.)	Ether	
12104	13.39	1.22	9.75	1.96	69.74	3.94	\$19.00
12076	14.25	1.65	9.45	1.71	68.24	4.70	19.00
12097	14.65	1.56	9.44	1.71	68.20	4.44	18.00
12064	17.38	1.40	9.56	1.65	66.82	3.19	19.00
12079	13.61	1.91	9.56	1.66	69.05	4.21	19.00
11604	12.35	1.26	10.00	1.75	70.96	3.68	
12138	16.54	1.39	9.51	1.63	67.14	3 ·79	19.00
	14.49	1.46	9.71	1.78	68.56	4.00	
			9.5 10.8			4.0	
1						4.7	
			8.6			2.7	
11388	8.66	1.12	48.75	0.85	38.92	1.70	25.00
12136	7.26	1.07	49.06	0.88	39.69	2.04	21.00
12005	10.26	1.05	41.29	1.10	44.43	1.87	23.00
12068	11.86	1.83	35.94	1.50	46.85	2.02	23.50
12080	17.16	1.22	36.25	· I.4I	42.34	1.62	24.00
11386	11.56	1.85	37.75	1.52	44.69	2.63	30.00
11380	10.79	0.60	34.62	1.56	48.24	4.19	25.00
	12.32	1.31	37.17	1.42	45.32	2.46	
			36.7			2.7	
			41.3			7.6	
			31.7			1.4	
11394	10.00	0.99	32.12	2.36	52.24	2.20	25.00
12085	11.25	0.95	34-94	1.35	47.73	3.78	20.00
11161	9.90	0.54	35-37	1.43	51.06	1.70	24.00
11577	9.27	0.84	34.12	1.49	52.30	1.98	25.00
	10.10	0.83	34.14	1.65	50.84	2.44	
			34.1			3.2	
			41.3		I	6.1	
			30.1			1.6	Ì
11600	7.40	0.45	33.12	1.21	55.03	2.79	
			34.62			4.80	İ
			37.32		••••	6.87	
			32.11			2.65	
12108	8.15	0.89	32.07	1.93	41.69	15.27	
			32.89		****	15.35	
			37.06			19.77	
			26.38			11.71	
11599	10.10	2.60	27.00	7.10	50.03	2 17	20.0
		in off		·	9	3.17	20.0
11574	10.12	1.67	27.12	7.27	51.48	2.34	25.0

TABLE II.—Continued. Analyses of Commercial Feeds. ()

	1	I	
Station No.	Name of Feed.	Manufacturer or Jobber.	Retail Dealer.
		Glucose Sugar Refining	Hamden, Ira W. Beers
11377	"	Glucose Sugar Refining Co., Chicago	New Britain, C. W. Lines
12155	**	Glucose Sugar Refining	
14015	"	Co., Chicago	<u>-</u>
12150	" Rockford	Co., Chicago	North Haven, Cooperative Feed Co.
12899			New Haven, Abner Hendee
• •		Co., Chicago	
11570		Glucose Sugar Refining Co., Chicago	New London, Arnold Rudd Average of the above 6 analyses of Buffalo Gluten Feed Average of 34 recent analyses Highest Lowest
11568	Golden Gluten Feed	Glucose Sugar Refining Co.	New London, Beebe & Brogan Average of 15 recent analyses Highest
12133	Diamond Gluten Feed.	Glucose Sugar Refining	N. H. D.C.D.
11597		Co. Glucose Sugar Refining Co.	Average of 30 recent analyses Highest Lowest
12821 11598	Gluten Feed	National Starch Mfg. Co.	Bridgeport, Berkshire Mills Co
11374 12124	Gluten		Berlin, T. B. Wickwire Hamden, Ira W. Beers
12120	"White Meal" "Hominy Meal"	C. M. Cox & Co., Boston Miner, Hillard Co., Wilkesbarre Indianapolis H. Co C. M. Cox & Co., Boston Chapin & Co., Boston	Guilford, F. H. Rolf

SAMPLED IN 1899.

		7	Ana	ALYSES.			Price per ton.
Station No.	Water.	Ash.	Protein.	Fiber.	Nitrogen-Free Extract. (Starch, gum, etc.)	Ether Extract,	
			43		Beig	- ilas	Lastr
12123	9.85	2.60	28.57	6.08	49.73	3.17	\$18.50
11377	9.20	1.55	27.75	6.60	50.81	4.09	23.00
12155	10.04	2.83	26.32	6.58	51.24	2.99	19.00
14015	8.46	2.39	27.12	6.85	51.48	3 70	18.00
12150	8.77	0.90	25.19	7.07	54.30	3.77	19.00
12899	7.21	0.64	22.81	6.34	59.79	3.21	20.00
11570	9.55	0.88	30.12	6.85	49.26	3.34	19.00
	9.63	2.27	27.31	6.74	50.80	3.25	
			27.5			3.1	1
			29.6			4.7	
	MITT A		25.3		****	2.3	
11568	8.48	0.82	27.25	6.58	53.12	3.75	22.00
A COUNTY OF			27.00			3.00	
The second			29.6			4.0	
	*****		23.6			2.0	
12133	9.87	0.88	23.69	5.85	56.14	3-57	19.00
11597	8.99	0.72	24.37	6.65	55.75	3.52	22.00
-57.			23.6			3.6	
			30.1			5.0	
			20.3			2.3	
12821	7.35	0.60	21.37	7.43	57.07	6.18	
11598	6.77	0.88	19.87	7.63	53-33	11.52	20.00
11374	9.75	0.40	26.10	3.58	56.37	3.71	20.00
12124	8.17	0.98	18.94	7.94	53.25	10.72	18.00
11562	10.65	2.46	11.25	7.61	62.92	5.11	19.00
12120	7.14	3.00	12.00	4.01	64.36	9.49	18.00
12908	6.85	1.93	11.37	3.22	69.07	7.56	18.00
14019	7.85	2.45	11.25	5.96	63.23	9.26	17.00
14011	6.36	2.81	10.87	4.18	67.32	8.46	16.50
11603	9.66	3.32	11.06	5.10	61.86	9.00	-0.0
11391	10.20 8.71	2.80 1.95	11.75	5.43 3.85	61.15	8.67 6.93	18.00
00,	The state of			3-3			
1986	8.43	2.60	11.35	4.92	64.64	8.06	
			11.2			7.8	
DEC 1			12.0			9.7	MEN
			10.3		****	4.0	

TABLE II.—Continued. ANALYSES OF COMMERCIAL FEEDS. (2007)

Station No.	Name of Feed.	Manufacturer or Jobber.	Retail Dealer.
11371	Ground Oats	Miner & Hillard Co.,	
11558	"	Wilkesbarre	Berlin, J. C. Lincoln
- 10	Provender.		
11573		Valley Grain Co., Brattle-	Groton, Groton Grain Co
11556		Guilford Town Mill	Guilford, G. F. Walters
			Hartford, C. A. Pease & Co
11554		M. L. Crittenden, Buffalo	" E. P. Yates & Co
		S. E. Miller	Middlefield, S. E. Miller
12140		American Cereal Co	New Haven, R. G. Davis
		J. T. Benham	" J. T. Benham
12904		E W D II. & C. M.	" Abner Hendee
11572		E. W. Bailey & Co., Mont- pelier, Vt.	New London, Arnold Rudd
11581		pelier, Vt	Norwich, Norwich Grain Co
11350		Chicago	Wallingford, E. E. Hall
11589		E. A. Buck & Co	Willimantic, E. A. Buck & Co
11592		M. L. Crittenden, Buffalo	Average of the above 13 analyse of Hominy Chop
12819	Corn and Oat Feed	Miner, Hillard Co.,	Lowest
		Wilkesbarre, Pa	Torrington, Geo. W. Greene
11382	Defiance Corn and Oat Feed		New Britain, Hugh Reynolds
11369	Victor Corn and Oat		
11564	Feed	American Cereal Co	Berlin, J. C. Lincoln
	Feed Victor Corn and Oat		Guilford, F. H. Rolf
	Feed	" "	Meriden, A. H. Cashen
11593	Feed		Rockville, Edw. White
12127	Champion Bell Fodder	Hollister, Crane & Co.	New Haven, R. G. Davis
12098	Quaker Oat Feed	Amer'n Cereal Co., Chi'go	Hartford, Daniels Mill Co
12073	" "		" C. A. Pease & Co
12148		" " "	New Haven, Abner Hendee
11596			Rockville, Edward White
11583			Willimantic, H. A. Bugbee

SAMPLED IN 1899.

			Anz	LYSES.	daily for		
Station No.	Water.	Ash.	Protein.	Fiber.	Nitrogen-Free Extract. (Starch, gum, etc.)	Ether Extract.	Price per ton.
			and y	Lill Mar		LuO, se	
11371	10.10	3.69	10.94	10.35	59.94	4.98	0.600
11558	9.69	4.78	8.25	19.67	54.46	3.15	\$16.00
11573	12.72	1.87	8.94	4.51	67.59	4.37	20.00
11556	12.36	1.94	10.50	4.53	66.30	4.37	21.00
12075	11.59	2.53	10.44	5.10	65.81	4.53	21.50
11554	10.96	3.03	9.50	13.85	59-33	3.33	20.00
11605	12.00	1.80	10.56	4.52	66.79	4.33	
12140	11.28	4.36	9.25	9.52	61.44	4.15	19.00
12154	11.99	1.81	10.13	4.18	67.48	4.41	20.00
12904	8.35	3.61	7.94	13.75	63.21	3.14	18.00
11572	12.08	1.82	8.87	6.14	67.46	3.63	20.00
11581	12.55	1.81	10.00	3.85	66.97	4.82	20.00
11356	9.11	3.83	7.94	15.28	60.89	2.95	19.00
11589	12.65	1.88	10.81	5.27	65.18	4.21	21.00
11592	10.24	4.02	9.25	13.40	59.82	3.27	20.00
	11.57	2.64	9.55	7.99	64.49	3.96	
			9.4			3.8	
			11.4		****	5.4	190
			7.4			2.4	
12819	7.13	3.98	10.56	3.06	70.92	4-35	20.00
11382	9.86	4-44	9-37	13.54	59.64	3.15	
11369	8.77	4.12	9.87	12.85	59.78	4.61	18.00
11564	11.08	3.58	9.37	11.83	60.16	3.98	20.00
11365	10.66	3.77	8.50	12.85	61.53	2.69	19.00
11593	9.03	4.72	10.62	13 60	57.80	4.23	19.00
				00			
12127	9.14	3.31	9.88	12.88	59.75	5.04	18.00
12098	7.44	5.25	11.44	17.16	54.71	4.00	18.00
12073	7.95	5.39	11.56	15.83	55.30	3.97	19.50
12148	8.37	5.30	10.25	19.58	53.80	2.70	17.00
11596	8.42	5.05	13.12	17.73	4 51.83	3.85	18.00
11583	7.90	4.77	11.94	18.95	53.01	3.43	18.00
	10.8	5.15	11.66	17.85	53.74	3.59	1 4 4 9
			10.26			3.4	
			12.8		****	4.3	
			7.4		****	2.7	100

TABLE II.—Continued. ANALYSES OF COMMERCIAL FEEDS. ()

Station No.		Name	of Fee	ed. Manufacturer or Jobber.				Retail Dealer.	
12820	Cres	cent (Oat F	eed	A. Cullen	& Co.,	N. Y	ork	
11400 12083		t Oat	Feed						Hartford, E. P. Yates & Co
12114 12107 12100 11606 12903 12197	"	1	No. 2.		Illinois Co	ereal Co)		Bridgeport, Taylor & Clarke Hartford, E. H. Arnold & Son "Daniels Mill Co Middlefield, S. E. Miller New Haven, Abner Hendee Putnam, J. W. Andrews
12190	Oat (Chaff.							New Haven, R. G. Davis
12091 11561	Corn	, Oats	and	Barley.	American	Cereal	Co		East Hartford, G. M. White & Co Guilford, F. H. Rolf
11563 11390 12134 11579	Stock	c Foo	" d, Coley	rn, Oats	American	Cereal Cereal Cereal	Co., Co., Co.,		Berlin, J. C. Lincoln
11595	Ame	rican	Poult	ry Food	American	Cereal	Co		Rockville, Edward White Average of 14 recent analyses Highest Lowest
11363	Н-О	Dair	y Fee	d	H-O Co.,	Buffalo,	N. Y	7	Meriden, Meriden Grain and Feed
12141	**			ATT OF THE PARTY O	"	"	"		New Haven, R. G. Davis
12907	(200)		**		"	"			" Abner Hendee
11582	10000		"			"	. "		Norwich, Norwich Grain and Feed
11352 11587		The second	"		"	"	"		Co. Wallingford, E. E. Hall Willimantic, H. A. Bugbee Average of the above 6 analyse of H-O Dairy Feed Average of 20 recent analyses Highest

SAMPLED IN 1899.

			An	ALYSES.			
Station No.	Water.	Ash.	Protein.	Fiber.	Nitrogen-Free Extract. (Starch, gum, etc.)	Ether Extract.	Price per ton
12820	6.36	5.60	7.25	23.28	54-34	3.17	
11400	9.35	5.23	8.94	21.80	52.03	2.65	\$19.00
12083	8.26	5.12	7.88	20.55	55.14	3.05	19.00
12114	7.24	5.77	8.75	21.88	53.50	2.86	16.00
12107	6.74	5.93	6.44	26.64	51.59	2.66	10.00
12100	6.20	5.77	7.06	24.88	53.30		
11606	8.51	5.78	6.87	27.19	49.18	2.79	16.00
12903	6.67	4.71	11.25	20.22	54.38	2.47	
12197	9.03	4.19	4.37	28.11	52.81	2.77 1.49	15.00
12190	7.80	7.48	5.12	28.53	49.17	1.60	7.00
12001	9.33	4.46	12.50	11.33			
11561	9.60	4.48	11.31	13.15	57.71 56.85	4.67 4.61	20.00
11366	8.26	4.89	13.19	16.12	53.74	3.80	18.00
11563	9.50	5.27	11.31	18.47	51.94	3.51	19.00
11390	7.86	4.92	13.75	17.39	52.34	3.74	19.00
12134	8.02	5.30	11.69	15.66	54.98	4.35	17.00
11579	7.94	4.63	14.12	16.62	52.73	3.96	18.00
	8.31	5.00	12.81	16.85	53.16	3.87	10.00
				20.03	33.40	3.07	T(A)259
12094	9.33	4.46	12.50	11.33	57.71	4.67	20.00
11595	9.56	2.95	13.62	7.20	60.49	6.18	24.00
MINISTER A			17.5		THE CONTRACTOR	5.5 6.0	1
			19.5				
		0/1404-4703	15.0		DEM seed By	4.7	910 821
11363	9.00	2.82	17.31	13.15	53.72	4.00	22.00
12141	9.67	4.50	20.32	12.46	48.88	4.17	19.00
12907	7.57	3.47	18.75	13.10	53.42	3.69	21.00
11582	8.59	3.51	18.60	13.40	51.94	3.87	0.00
11352	8.80	4.45	18.87	12.18			21.00
11587	9.31	4.45	17.62	15.27	51.47 49.79	4.23 3.80	22.00
100	8.82	3.83	18.59	13.26	51.54.	3.96	
Section 1			19.0		1	4.4	
			21.2	S -www.l	0 1	5.4	
	****		15.5			3.7	

TABLE II .- Continued. ANALYSES OF COMMERCIAL FEEDS. ()

Station No.				Manufa	icturer or	Jobber.		Retail Dealer,
12110 11399 11362	11	Horse Fee	d	H-O Co.,	Buffalo	, N. Y	7	Meriden, Meriden Grain and Fee
12139	**	"		"	"	"		Co. New Haven, R. G. Davis "Abner Hendee
12897 11580	"	"		"	"	"		Norwich, Norwich Grain Co Wallingford, E. E. Hall
11351				"	"	"		Willimantic, H. A. Bugbee
12191 12898 11353	44	Poultry Fo	bod	H-O Co.,	Buffalo			New Haven, R. G. Davis
2157 12130 12146	"						:::	Hartford, C. A. Pease & Co New Haven, J. T. Benham R. G. Davis Abner Hendee
12261	Malt	Hulls		H. C. Ed	wards,	Chica	go_	West Cornwall, T. S. Gold*
2078		nacher's S		American	Cereal	Co		Hartford, Cummings & Garvin
		Cove Starc						New Haven, R. G. Davis
2188	Blatch	hford's Cal	Meal_	J. W. Barv			an,	New Haven, R. G. Davis
2189	Pione	er Clover	Meal	The Benn Gouver	ett & Mi neur, N	llett (Co.,	New Haven, R. G. Davis
2199	Carob	Bean (See Bean (Hu	ds)					
1358	Anim Poult	al Meal ry Food		Bowker F J. Ledere Haven	r & C	o., N	ton	Meriden, S. A. Billings
2806	Beef :	Scraps						New Haven, Abner Hendee

SAMPLED IN 1899.

Station No.	Analyses.						
	Water.	Ash,	Protein.	Fiber.	Nitrogen-Free Extract. (Starch. gum, etc.)	Ether Extract.	Price per ton.
12110	9.21	3.23	12.07	10.34	61.04	4.11	
11399	10.28	3.64	13.25	10.19	58.59	4.05	\$22.00
11362	10.38	1.95	12.87	9.10	61.80	3.90	22.00
12139	9.92	3.17	11.13	10.68	60.88	4.22	21.00
12145	10.51	2.98	11.63	10.43	59.98	4.47	24.00
12897	8.02	3.28	13.12	8.79	62.74	4.05	21.00
11580	10.35	2.91	12.62	9.51	60.58	4.03	21.00
11351	10.29	2.78	12.50	9.95	60.17	4.31	22.00
11588	10.40	3.47	12.06	11.63	58.64	3.80	21.00
	9.93	3.05	12.36	10.07	60.49	4.10	the state of
			12.4			4.2	
			13.8			4.8	
			110			3.6	3
12191	8.91	2.63	17.12	4.87	60.46	6.01	20.00
12898	8.00	3.01	17.75	4.01	60.66	5.67	30.00
11353	10.80	2.32	15.00	2.86	64.35	4.67	26.00
	9.23	2.65	16.62	4.21	61.84	5.45	J. J.
			17.5			5.5	-
			19.5			6.0	1500
			15.0			4.7	14
12072	11.75	3.69	14.81	2.97	63.95	2.83	20.00
12157	12.45	3.00	14.50	3.15	64.18	2.72	18.50
12130	12.17	3.03	14.25	2.92	64.74	2.88	18.00
12146	12.54	3.02	14.69	3.01	63.90	2.84	19.00
12905	8.67	3.44	14.50	2.70	68.08	2.61	22.00
12261	7.73	6.14	10.44	22.77	51.76	1.16	12.00
12078	9.32	4.82	12.69	9.78	58.84	4.55	21.00
12135	11.20	0.54	21.13	3.15	60.73	3.25	19.00
12188	8.93	5.46	24.75	5.06	51.11	4.69	70.00
12189	8.36	6.76	9.50	28.28	44.68	2.42	40.00
12198	14.05	3.26	5.57	4.98	71.80	0.34	
12199	12.84	3.27	15.00	7.16	59.90	1.83	
12200	14.15	3.25	4.81	4.80	72.77	0.22	
11358	6.20	41.43	36.94		4.18	11.25	40.00
14013	7.48	21.10	51.12	2.01	2.21	16.08	36.00
12896	7.64	17.90	49.12	3.79	5.29	16.26	35.00

[†] Car load lots delivered.

SUMMARY.

No cases of actual adulteration have been found among the samples examined.

A considerable number of these "feeds," notably most of the so-called "oat-feeds," are however of such inferior quality that they cannot be used to any profit.

It appears that the three most concentrated feeds, the three which, pound for pound, will go further in "balancing" or piecing out the ration made from home-grown feed, viz: cotton seed, linseed and Atlantic gluten meal, are the most costly. This is as it should be. Yet of these, the one which contains the most protein, "Atlantic gluten meal," is the cheapest. It does not follow that it should be bought to the exclusion of the others. Linseed meal, though a very expensive feed, is greatly relished by cattle, flavors the food and is generally regarded as an excellent thing to keep cows "in condition."

But evidently the wise feeder will endeavor to use the cheaper forms of protein, as far as possible.

An examination of the prices and analyses of the feeds given in the table also shows that the market prices bear very little if any relation to their feeding value. That is, "feed" costs from \$17.00 to \$20.00 per ton at retail, whether it is concentrated, rich in protein, and well suited to supplement the homegrown feed, or whether it is a starchy food and of much less value in compounding suitable cattle rations. In this condition of the market, special care in the purchase of feeds and some knowledge of their chemical composition will be found highly advantageous in keeping the cost of milk production down to a point which will admit of profit in the business.